# Lessing's Dramatic Theory

#### CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

LONDON: BENTLEY HOUSE NEW YORK, TORONTO, BOMBAY

CALCUTTA, MADRAS: MACMILLAN TOKYO: MARUZEN COMPANY LTD

All rights reserved



THE HAMBURG THEATRE OPENED BY ACKERMANN IN 1765
(From a watercolour in the possession of the Museum fur Hamburgische Geschichte)

# Lessing's Dramatic Theorp

# BEING AN INTRODUCTION TO & COMMENTARY ON HIS HAMBURGISCHE DRAMATURGIE

by

# J. G. ROBERTSON

Late Professor of German Language and Literature
in the University of London



CAMBRIDGE
AT THE UNIVERSITY PRESS
1939



# CONTENTS

INTRODUCTORY NOTE	PAGE ix
Introduction	I
Part One	
THE HAMBURG 'NATIONALTHEATE	R'
1767–1769	
CHAPTER I	PAGE 13
Organisation and Staff of the Theatre	
CHAPTER II	40
The Repertory of the Theatre	
CHAPTER III	94
Lessing's Earlier Dramaturgic Journals	
CHAPTER IV	116
Lessing's Association with the National Theatre	
Part Two	
LESSING'S CRITICISM OF THE DRAM	MА
Chapter V	PAGE 133
The German Plays of the Repertory	00
CHAPTER VI	164
The French Plays of the Repertory	•
CHAPTER VII	245
The English Drama	10
CHAPTER VIII	279
The Italian Drama	
CHAPTER IX	293
The Spanish Drama	
CHAPTER X	307
The Drama of Antiquity	

vi Contents

# Part Three

# LESSING'S DRAMATIC THEORY

CHAPTER XI The Sources of Lessing's Aesthetic Theory	PAGE 333
CHAPTER XII Theory of Tragedy	351
CHAPTER XIII  Comedy and Other Forms of Drama	389
CHAPTER XIV Characters in Drama	400
CHAPTER XV Imitation and Illusion	428
CHAPTER XVI  Genius and Good Taste. Some Points of Form and Technique	449
CHAPTER XVII The Art of the Actor. Music in the Theatre	471
Conclusion	489
Bibliographical note	493
INDEX OF REFERENCES TO HAMBURGISCHE  DRAMATURGIE	495
General index	499

# **ILLUSTRATIONS**

The Hamburg Theatre opened by Ackermann in 1765 Frontispiece (From a watercolour in the possession of the Museum fur Hamburgische Geschichte, by permission)

Madame Mecour, Herr Bock, Herr Brandes as Francisca, Tellheim and Werner in Minna von Barnhelm (Act III, Sc. 10)

Madame Bock and Herr Eckhof as Lise and Jurge in Der

Bauer mit der Erbschaft (Sc. 1)

(Reproduced from Theater-Kalender auf das Jahr 1776, Gotha)

## INTRODUCTORY NOTE

The present volume is the outcome of investigations pursued for many years by the late Professor J. G. Robertson. At his death in 1933 the work was almost complete, with the exception of Chapter xv, for which a series of memoranda and extracts existed showing the line of treatment which the author intended to follow, and of the Conclusion, for which a first draft was found. This draft has been printed here, since it embodies the author's personal view and has for that reason a special value, although it is almost certainly not wholly in the form which it would ultimately have taken. With these exceptions, the MS. only stood in need of final revision, and of the verifications involved in its preparation for the press. But since the author alone could be aware of the extent to which verification was needed, it has been necessary to carry out a much more comprehensive examination than is usually required at this stage. Professor Robertson had drawn information from many libraries and collections in different European countries, and over a long period of years. It has been my task to trace his materials to their source, even though this might often imply covering again ground which had already been covered. All references and quotations have been verified, and in some cases lacunae have been filled in accordance with what was clearly the intention of the author. Quotations have been given in the exact form in which they occur in the original, without standardisation in respect of spelling or accentuation. Both grammatical and orthographical peculiarities have thus been reproduced unchanged—the only exception to this being the indications of Umlaut.

I have avoided, as far as I have been able to do so, alterations in the text of the work; my guiding principle has been to present it in a form as near as possible to that which I believe it would have assumed under the author's hand. I am well aware that no labour of mine can take the place of the final revision which the author himself would have made. But it has been a privilege, which I have valued, to associate myself at his request with a work to which he gave so much labour and so many years.

It is impossible for me to express—as Professor Robertson would have expressed—the gratitude which is undoubtedly due to individuals and institutions with whose help the original researches were carried

out. But for my own part I wish to acknowledge my particular indebtedness to the authorities of the Preussische Staatsbibliothek. Berlin, the Staats- und Universitats-Bibliothek, Hamburg, the Herzogliche Bibliothek, Gotha, the Staatsbibliothek, Munchen, and the Universitatsbibliothek, Leipzig, for much courtesy and kindness during my visits to these libraries, and to the Director of the Auskunftsburo der Deutschen Bibliotheken for his helpful aid in enquiries for rare works; to the librarians of the University Library, Cambridge. the Bibliothèque Nationale, the Royal Library at The Hague, the Stadtbibliothek, Dresden, and the Universitatsbibliothek, Marburg, for their kindness in lending books and in answering enquiries; to Dr Lothar Gross, of the Haus-Hof- und Staatsarchiv, Vienna, for his good offices in obtaining books and information from other libraries. and to Dr L. W. Kahn (Rochester, U.S A.) for his personal kindness in sending me photostat pages from a rare volume in the New York Public Library. I am indebted to the authorities of the Museum fur Hamburgische Geschichte for permission to publish the picture of the Hamburg Theatre which appears as frontispiece.

I need hardly add that the work which I have carried out in connexion with this volume would have been impossible without the extensive resources of the British Museum Library and the great kindness of its custodians.

The publication of this book has been generously aided by a grant from the Publications Fund of the University of London.

EDNA PURDIE

London 1938

#### IOHN GEORGE ROBERTSON

BORN January 18, 1867

Educated Glasgow University, M.A. 1886, B.Sc. 1889;

Leipzig University, Ph.D. 1892;

Lecturer in English (subsequently Professor Extraordinarius), Strassburg University, 1896–1903,

Professor of German Language and Literature, University of London, 1903-1933 (1920-1933 tenable at Bedford College);

Director of Scandinavian Studies, University College, London, 1924–1933;

Editor of Modern Language Review, 1905-1933;

Chairman of Council, English Goethe Society, 1923-1930, President, 1930-1933;

President of Modern Humanities Research Association, 1924-1925;

Hon. Litt.D., Manchester, 1928;

Hon. Litt.D., Dublin, 1928;

F.R.S.L.; F.B.A.;

Order of the Star of the North of Sweden;

Order of St Olaf of Norway;

Medal Für Kunst und Wissenschaft.

DIED May 28, 1933.

#### LARGER WORKS

History of German Literature, 1902 (revised 1931).

Schiller after a Century, 1905.

The Literature of Germany (Home University Library).

Goethe and the Twentieth Century (Cambridge Manuals of Science and Literature), 1912.

Studies in the Genesis of Romantic Theory in the Eighteenth Century, 1923.

Goethe and Byron (Publications of the English Goethe Society, N.S. v), 1925.

Goethe (Republic of Letters), 1927.

The Life and Work of Goethe, 1932.

Essays and Addresses on Literature, 1935.

## INTRODUCTION

The German drama was the last of the greater national dramas of Europe to free itself from the leading-strings of the Church, to emerge from that common matrix of dramatised liturgy and naively reproduced biblical story from which the drama sets out in all Christian lands. Apart from such plays, performed, or at least controlled, by servants of the Church, one can hardly point to any German dramatic literature before the sixteenth century; the instincts of the people for imitative representation, resting often on traditions which stretched back into pre-Christian times, took no shape which could be described as literary. The profession of the actor was correspondingly slower to disengage itself from that of the mediaeval mountebank who provided the favourite entertainment of the common crowd.

The sixteenth century was not without promise of a kind. Indeed, in the striving of the literature of that century to dissociate itself from the all-obsessing religious controversy the drama was not behindhand; it does reveal, in a dim, unconscious way, some effort to take upon itself aesthetic form. Slowly and with difficulty the humanistic drama of ancient pedigree found acceptance with the German people; but, Latin in form, technique and spirit, it long remained an exotic. For that matter, the highest dramatic achievement of Germany in the sixteenth century is to be sought in the plays written in the Latin language. This fact alone is testimony to the lack of a dramatic art that could be called national, or had root in the consciousness of the people. The movement for religious reform which filled the sixteenth century, however, provided the plays of that century with a serious content; and, difficult as their untutored authors found it to press their ardent Protestantism into the Procrustean bed of humanistic form—the resultant products were often grotesque enough—a certain progress as the century advanced is noticeable. More hopeful, in many ways, than the religious drama was the beginning of a genuinely autochthonous comedy which knew nothing of humanistic example, with the 'Fastnachtspiele' or shrovetide plays, which in the hands of the prolific cobbler poet of Nurnberg, Hans Sachs, often attained a high degree of excellence. Thus there was dramatic promise of a kind in the sixteenth century, and one likes to think that under more auspicious political and social conditions, the German people might

RL

have entered thus early upon a development such as that which in England led to such dizzy heights before the century was out.

Difficult as the Germans found it to throw a bridge from these stirrings of the popular dramatic instinct to the humanistic drama. it was much harder for them to acquire understanding for the real essence of dramatic poetry. Their plays, whatever may have been their promise, were still little more than narratives in dialogue form. They were first to learn what constituted the real nerve of the drama and distinguished it from other forms of imaginative creation, on the arrival of wandering companies of English actors towards the close of the sixteenth century. These troupes brought with them the English form of stage, on which they performed the more showy and sensational plays of the Elizabethan theatre-sadly garbled, it may be, for since they were playing to foreigners who did not understand their tongue, they were under no necessity to be careful about their words. Here was the opportunity of a great education for the German theatre, still hardly emerged from its infancy; and if the German imitators of these English plays-men such as the Nurnberg notary Jakob Ayrer and Duke Heinrich Julius of Brunswick—had not been so lacking in higher poetic talent, the German drama might have reaped immediate benefit from this invasion. Here was the moment to graft on the naive native art of Hans Sachs a higher dramatic significance. As it was, however, these German imitators represent only the glimmer of better things; their attempts did not get beyond the adoption of the English mode of dramatic presentation. And they had hardly ceased to write when all progress was brought to a standstill by the devastation of the Thirty Years' War. But the war was not alone responsible for the check; in the seventeenth century Germany was exposed to the delayed influence of the Latin Renaissance, and the English example was forgotten in the desire to vie with the polite writers of Latin Europe. But how futile it all was! At a time when Corneille was already celebrating his triumphs in France, the Germans were still as far from a national dramatic art as ever; they could point to nothing better than the crude Senecan tragedy of Andreas Gryphius and Daniel Caspar von Lohenstein.

If the German tragedy of the seventeenth century was thus so hopelessly inferior to that of England or France—its comedy was, in isolated examples, not lacking in promise—the state of the German theatre was yet more deplorable. The German actor was still little more than a wandering vagabond, dependent on the caprice of town

or village authorities as to whether he should be allowed to play at all; and of buildings set apart for the express purpose of performing dramas there was no question, at least not until late in the century. There is indeed no darker and more depressing chapter among the many dark chapters of European dramatic history than that which concerns the German theatre of the seventeenth century.

A faint hope of improvement came with the activities of Meister Johann Velthen (1640-92), the one German actor of the seventeenth century who stands out with some pre-eminence as a man inspired by higher ideals. With a certain pride the German literary histories record that Velthen actually played Corneille's Polyeucte in Leipzig in 1660, that he cultivated Molière and adapted the scenarios of Gherardi to German needs. But when we look closely into Velthen's activities, we find that the version of Polyeucte by C. Cormarten (Christophorus Kormart) which he produced, was an outrage on Corneille -was, in fact, a mere collection of sensational horrors decked out with the naive realism which had been made popular by the English actors; and that his imitations of Franco-Italian comedy were the crudest of buffooneries. What Velthen offered the Germans was only the empty shell of the new dramatic literature of Europe—indeed it was hardly recognisable as such. It is idle to claim that he made any attempt to bridge the yawning gulf that separated literature from the stage in Germany; and he probably cherished no ambitions beyond the daily toil to make ends meet. But even for such modest efforts as his there was no response from the German public; and broken and disappointed, he died in 1692 in Hamburg—that Hamburg which was to stand in the forefront of German theatrical enterprise in the next hundred years. Moreover, any germs of better things in the 'legitimate' theatre of the seventeenth century were killed by a new and insidious form of dramatic entertainment which appealed mightily to German tastes, the opera. On this opera, and not on the drama, was lavished the extravagance of later seventeenth-century minor potentates who wished to emulate at their courts the splendours of Versailles. The first German theatres were opera-houses. Velthen then was not the first of the moderns, but rather the last of the race of mediaeval vagabonds who carried over into a later age the traditions of histrionic entertainment which had come down from Roman times. 'The art of the theatre', says Devrient, 'did not die in the seventeenth

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Cp. E. Devrient, Geschichte der deutschen Schauspielkunst, new ed. by H. Devrient, Berlin, 1905, 1, pp. 125 ff.

century; it was stunted, ran wild, had to pass through all the stages of decay...and do penance for all the extravagances to which rivalry with the opera and the abandonment of poetry had condemned it.' Comedy meant crude and coarse improvisation, and tragedy still cruder and coarser 'Haupt- und Staatsaktionen'—in all, perhaps the lowest level to which the art of the theatre in Europe ever sank.

Velthen's widow continued the work of her husband, not without success, for some twenty-five years after his death; but there was still no hope of better things. A new foreign invasion-companies of extempore players from the south of Europe, at the head of which stood the harlequin—introduced another disturbing factor. For a time the old Hans Wurst and Pickelharing of the native stage were forgotten, ousted by the Italian in his coat of many colours; the Italian masks enjoyed an enormous vogue. Still, as Lessing insisted,2 the harlequin was not entirely a thing of evil, and with the Viennese comic actors Johann Anton Stranitzky (who had begun his career with Velthen) and Gottfried Prehauser, a fusion with native elements was effected: early in the eighteenth century3 the Italian harlequin was converted into a German harlequin. Nothing is less international than humour, and the comic figure of the old German theatre never remained long an exotic figure, whatever his origins—English clown or Italian harlequin—had been. This is perhaps one drop of consolation in all the unhappy history of stagnancy and decay.

It is thus hardly possible to find a single encouraging moment in the history of the German theatre prior to the advent of Caroline Neuber in the eighteenth century; and even her activities set in when that century had already passed its first quarter. Friederike Caroline Neuber (née Weissenborn)<sup>4</sup> was a woman of remarkable acumen and character; but she, too, had had to pass through the apprenticeship of vagabondage and bear the brunt of the unhappy tradition. In no art are the links of tradition closer and more tenacious than in that of the theatre. But with her a new hope for the fraternisation of stage and literature was born. She came of something better than the strolling acting class, her father having been a doctor of laws. Born

<sup>2</sup> Dramaturgie, xvIII, 36 ff.; see below, pp. 424 ff.

<sup>4</sup> Cp. F. J. von Reden-Esbeck, Caroline Neuber und ihre Zeitgenossen, Leipzig, 1881; also Devrient, op. cit. i, pp. 263 ff.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Cp. E. Devrient, op cst. i, p. 159. Cp. also Das deutsche Drama, herausg. von R. F. Arnold, Munchen, 1925, pp. 167 ff.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Cp. W. Creizenach, Die Entstehungsgeschichte des neueren deutschen Lustspiels, Halle, 1879, p. 9.

in Reichenbach in 1697, she enjoyed a comparatively good education, and when her father settled as an advocate in Zwickau, she, weary of his galling tutelage, ran away from home. This was in 1717. She married Johann Neuber of Zwickau, and with him joined Spiegelberg's company of players at Weissenfels. Caroline Neuber—one always speaks of her, not of her husband, who was but an indifferent actor—passed then to the 'Polnisch-Sachsische' company of Madame Hoffmann, the best of its day. In the course of her wanderings she occasionally saw French troupes playing in Germany, and fell under the spell of their declamation of the alexandrine. Even before Gottsched stretched out a helping hand to her, she had appeared in dramas of literary distinction, such as Corneille's Cid and Pradon's Regulus, crudely adapted as they no doubt were. Her strength seems. however, to have lain rather in comedy rôles. Ultimately she formed a company of her own, and in 1727 played at the Leipzig Easter Fair. This was the significant moment when Gottsched took the step of inviting her to join forces with him and assist him to elevate and purify the German stage. For over ten years Leipzig was the headquarters of her company, and may thus fairly claim the honour of being the cradle of the modern German theatre. From Leipzig she paid periodical visits to Dresden, Brunswick, Hanover, Hamburg and Nürnberg. The honours in this partnership of the actress with the Leipzig professor, whereby a certain conciliation was effected between dramatic poetry and the entertainment of the stage, lay rather with Frau Neuber than with Gottsched. It was condescension on his part; but not a little heroism on hers. It was she who had to bear the brunt of that antagonism which, in matters of the theatre, always takes so brutal a form; she who had to face discouragement and failure.

Gottsched's action in enlisting Frau Neuber's co-operation was no mere sudden impulse or inspiration—Gottsched was not the man to be influenced by such—but was part of an important movement which originated in France. There is, indeed, no more decisive event in the history of the modern theatre than when the great Cardinal Richelieu condescended to extend a helping hand to the French theatre in 1630, and when, backed by his authority, Chapelain set up laws and norms for a French national drama and d'Aubignac devised his Projet pour le réétablissement du théâtre français, to be followed later by his famous Pratique du Théâtre. Thus the stage entered the service of the state as something more than a mere provider of entertainment—as a vehicle of education, an 'école de vertu'. In the course of time,

after it had justified itself at home, this reform spread to other lands. Italy was the first country to welcome it; and it is possible that it did not reach Germany directly from France, but by way of Italy 1 Many new literary ideas, not only French but even English, came to her through this channel in the early eighteenth century. Impressed by Richelieu's patronage of the theatre, the Italian churchman and scholar, Ludovico Muratori, pleaded for a similar reform of the Italian theatre; his plea was taken up and put into practice by Count Scipione Maffei, who attempted to establish in his native Verona a national Italian stage. Maffei enlisted the assistance of the actor Luigi Riccoboni or 'Lelio', and revived old Italian plays, while the encroachment of the harlequin on the serious drama was at least kept within bounds. Maffei's Teatro scelto was the forerunner and model of Gottsched's Deutsche Schaubuhne. As Maffei, with Riccoboni's help. created a theatre to fulfil the functions of the re-organised theatre in France, so the 'Senior' of the Deutsche Gesellschaft in Leipzig won over Caroline Neuber and her company to bring about similar reforms in Germany. Following Maffei's lead, he declared war on the harlequin; and as Riccoboni won fame for his patron's Merope, so Gottsched, with the help of Caroline Neuber, gave Germany a tragedy, Der sterbende Cato, which was to point out the way she should go. Unfortunately Der sterbende Cato, a quite uninspired blending of the Caton d'Utique of F. M. C. Deschamps with Addison's Cato, was no masterpiece. All this happened just a hundred years after the reform of the theatre had been initiated in France.

Caroline Neuber's innovations were not restricted to the establishment of the regular alexandrine tragedy on the German stage; she also introduced order and punctuality into her company; she fought the old inborn tendencies of the actor class to loose living, she insisted on good morals and would not countenance unlicensed unions. The weak side of her management in Lessing's opinion (in 1754) was her excessive fondness for stage display:

Man müsste sehr unbillig seyn, wenn man dieser beruhmten Schauspielerin eine vollkommne Kenntniss ihrer Kunst absprechen wollte. Sie hat mannliche Einsichten; nur in einem Artikel verrath sie ihr Geschlecht. Sie tändelt ungemein gerne auf dem Theater. Alle Schauspiele von ihrer Erfindung sind voller Putz, voller Verkleidung, voller Festivitäten; wun-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For the following see my Studies in the Genesis of Romantic Theory in the Eighteenth Century, Cambridge, 1923, pp. 282 ff.

derbar und schimmernd. Vielleicht zwar kannte sie ihre Herren Leipziger, und das war vielleicht eine List von ihr, was ich für eine Schwachheit an ihr halte.<sup>1</sup>

Her fight for the alexandrine tragedy was no easy one, and the rebuffs she received were many. Hamburg, an increasing lure for the wandering companies, would at first have none of it. To Gottsched her husband wrote in 1730:

Die Verse gefallen, aber man klagt uber eine gewisse unbekannte versteckte Dunkelheit, welche verursachet, dass der Zuhorer nicht sogleich Alles verstehen kann, was gesaget wird; man muss Geduld haben, mit der Zeit wird sich's geben.

#### And again later:

Unsre Comodien und Tragodien haben noch so ziemlich Zuschauer. Die Muhe, so zu Verbesserung des Geschmackes angewendet wird, scheint nicht gar vergebens zu seyn Es finden sich auch allhier verschiedene bekehrte Herzen. Leute, denen man es fast nicht hatte zutrauen konnen, sind nunmehr Liebhaber der Poesie geworden und Viele finden an den ordentlich gesetzten Stucken ein gutes Belieben.<sup>2</sup>

But the general mass of the public in Hamburg remained obdurate; they frankly preferred the old farces and the 'Haupt- und Staats-aktionen'. Hanover in the same year proved a little better; and in Nurnberg in 1731, *Cinna* had a considerable measure of success, thanks partly, no doubt, to the fact that the translator, Führer, was a 'Ratsherr' of that town.

Of the Gottsched-Neuber reforms none stirred up more dust and controversy than the banishment of the harlequin. This in fact involved a much more far-reaching reform than the mere exclusion of comic irrelevancies from serious poetic tragedies. It was a blow at the old extempore comedy with its fixed types; if the harlequin went, the doctor, the pantaloon and the other figures of the commedia dell' arte had to go too. It is interesting, even a little ironical, that this reform should have been advocated in Paris by the leader of the Théâtre italien, Riccoboni, who had served under Maffei. In October, 1737,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Introduction to Mylius's Vermischte Schriften in G. E. Lessing, Samtliche Schriften, herausgegeben von K. Lachmann, 3. Auflage [von] F. Muncker, Stuttgart, 1886–1924 (hereafter quoted as Schriften), vi, p. 403. (References to lines of sections of the Dramaturgie refer to this edition.) Caroline Neuber is mentioned in the Dramaturgie, xviii, 22 and xxvi, 29.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Cp T. W. Danzel, Gottsched und seine Zeit, Leipzig, 1848, pp. 131 ff., and E. Devrient, op. cit. i, p. 270.

8

in a theatre-booth in Bose's garden in Leipzig, Frau Neuber performed a Prelude composed by herself, in which the harlequin was tried for his misdemeanours, condemned and banished. From this time on she fought resolutely against his reinstatement; but it was a losing battle. Germany was not yet prepared to relinquish the comic element in her tragedies. Perhaps—and in view of Lessing's subsequent defence of the harlequin, this may be the truer explanation—Frau Neuber did not sufficiently recognise that what was most urgently called for was not his complete banishment, but his transformation; that he should be set free, as it were, should be divested of his coat of many colours, and should become merged, like any other character, in the action of the plays in which he appeared. It is a truism that to attempt to tamper with tradition in the things of the theatre is always dangerous; and no doubt Frau Neuber was too uncompromising. The public showed its disapproval by deserting her theatre; and nowhere was this desertion more marked and the antagonism to this reform bitterer than in Hamburg. At last, in January, 1740, she was stung to retaliation, and closed her season there with a satiric epilogue which made her return to that city for ever impossible.

Meanwhile, troubles of another kind were brewing. The old alliance with Gottsched, which Frau Neuber's anti-harlequin zeal had helped to maintain, was beginning to show signs of breaking. The dictator was becoming more dictatorial; and a breach of some seriousness was caused when Gottsched demanded that the Neuber company should unlearn the translation of Alzire which they had hitherto performed, and substitute for it a new translation by his wife. The breach widened rapidly; finally, faced by defeat and ruin, Caroline Neuber left Germany and took her company for a year to Russia. When she returned, she found that Gottsched had transferred his patronage to a younger disciple of her own, who had joined her company in 1730 as a harlequin player—Johann Friedrich Schonemann. She took a peculiar revenge; she discredited Gottsched in the eyes of the public by putting one of his own reforms into practice. She performed, as an 'experiment', the third act of Der sterbende Cato and (to the great amusement of the audience) made the characters appear, not in the conventional court costume of the eighteenth-century stage but in Roman costume, and speak their lines with an affected exaggeration of Gottsched's demands. This meant open warfare, and Gottsched

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Cp. H. Devrient, J. F. Schonemann und seine Schauspielergesellschaft (Theatergeschichtliche Forschungen, x1), Hamburg, 1895.

was the stronger. Frau Neuber, however, got in one more effective blow before she succumbed: on September 18, 1741, she produced a Prologue, written by herself, entitled *Der allerkostbarste Schatz*, in which Gottsched himself was brought on to the stage in a fantastic costume as the 'Tadler', searching for faults with a lantern. From now on Caroline Neuber's fortunes went gradually downhill; in 1750 she finally gave up the struggle and died some ten years later in penury and bitterness.

Her mantle fell on Schönemann's shoulders: he carried on the Leipzig tradition. As an actor, Schönemann may be largely discounted; he had acquired all the stiff traditions of the classic school. without being able to give them distinction. He had also in an aggravated degree a weakness common to so many actor-managers. a vanity that led him to show his versatility in rôles that lay beyond his physical capacity. And other outstanding members of his company followed his example. But Schonemann certainly possessed qualities that make for leadership in things theatrical. He had practical common sense, and his enterprise never transgressed, as Caroline Neuber's did, the bounds of expediency, so necessary if bankruptcy was to be avoided; above all, he seems to have had the power of attracting to his standard and retaining the best talent of his time. It was at Luneburg in 1740 that Schönemann became formally 'Principal' of his company, and for more than ten years he advanced steadily to the position of acknowledged leader of the German theatre. His company, which included Sophie Schroder, Ackermann and Ekhof, played in all the towns of North Germany from Hamburg to Breslau, and with rising prestige and success. His repertory, without making much literary pretension, included the best plays that were available. He entered into the heritage of the alexandrine tragedy which Caroline Neuber had sought to establish; and the plays of the French masters afforded to Ekhof and himself a welcome opportunity to shine. Modern French comedy was equally favoured; and the local farce of Hamburg, as well as the popular adaptations of Holberg, had a place in his repertory.

No town has been more closely associated with the progress of the German theatre than Hamburg.<sup>1</sup> It was the chief centre of theatrical activity in German-speaking lands in the eighteenth century, eclipsing even Vienna; in the latter city the instinct for the theatre may have been more widespread and more deep-rooted in the popular con-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Cp. J. F. Schutze, Hamburgische Theater-Geschichte, Hamburg, 1794.

sciousness; but the eighteenth century was nearly over before the Viennese were aware of the new literary spirit that was stirring in the north, and they took their theatre less seriously. And Hamburg had the advantage of being a large and wealthy city—the largest and wealthiest of Northern Germany. At the time of the 'National Theatre' in which we are interested, its population was about 97,000.¹ Moreover its people were in closer touch with the outside world, notably with England; its tastes were more cosmopolitan; its republican government gave it a freedom and democratic control which the Berlin of Frederick the Great did not know; and in the affairs of the theatre it was less hampered by petty police control. Thus everything pointed to its being the right place for the first attempt to create a serious national theatre.

The history of its theatre shows that it was always in the forefront of such progress as there was in the eighteenth century. Already at the close of the seventeenth it had been able to rival wealthy courts like that of Dresden by providing its citizens with a home for the Italian opera; and its opera house, associated with the early work of Handel, was opened in 1678, only to be demolished in 1765 to make room for the theatre which was to be the occasion of the Hamburgische Dramaturgie. This opera house may have had little literary significance; the baroque librett of the operas it produced may have had no poetic value; but their fabrication or translation gave occupation to Hamburg poets, and their very extravagance provoked satiric antagonism and led to a reaction in taste.

For a time in the Gottsched-Neuber period, Leipzig had been a more important centre of theatrical activity; but between 1737 and 1740 the Neuber troupe played long and frequently in Hamburg, and from then onwards it became virtually the headquarters of the leading theatrical companies. It had been the main centre of Schönemann's activities ever since he first played there in 1741–42; Sophie Schroder, after her dissociation from Schönemann, gave performances, between 1742 and 1744, in the old opera house, and subsequently in the Hof von Holland in the Fuhlentwiete. We find Schonemann again in Hamburg in 1747, and in the early 'fifties, when his company reached the acme of its reputation, its triumphs were all intimately associated with that city. Between 1758 and 1763 the fortunes of Hamburg

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> J. L. von Hess, Topographisch-politisch-historische Beschreibung der Stadt Hamburg, Hamburg, 1796, ii, p. 362 (cited also by B. Litzmann, F. L. Schröder, ein Beitrag zur deutschen Litteratur- und Theatergeschichte, i (Hamburg, 1890), p. 248).

theatrical enterprise were mainly in the hands of Gottfried Heinrich Koch (1703-75). This actor had begun as a student in Leipzig, but he was too poor to continue his studies there, and he joined Caroline Neuber in 1728, subsequently becoming a member of Schonemann's troupe. His years in Hamburg were prosperous, the more so perhaps as he was not overburdened with an artistic conscience, but studiously gave his public what it wanted. Lastly Konrad Ackermann, who had had a company of his own since 1753 and had frequently played in Hamburg, was, as will be seen, the 'Principal' of the troupe which immediately preceded the period of Hamburg theatrical history in which we are interested.

After the failure of the National Theatre in 1760, Ackermann again assumed control of Hamburg's theatre, this time with the help of his famous stepson, Friedrich Ludwig Schröder (1744-1816), the greatest German actor of the eighteenth century. In 1771 Schroder took over the sole control, and for nearly ten years gave Hamburg the most brilliant period of its theatrical history. The greatest of all his achievements was the acclimatisation of Shakespeare in Germany, the first performance of *Hamlet* on September 20, 1776, being a particularly memorable event. There was a break in Schroder's directorship of the Hamburg theatre between 1780 and 1785. This marked the close of the dominating part which the city had played in the development of the German stage. For in these years permanent theatres under state patronage and with state assistance were established in Gotha and Mannheim, the latter theatre becoming under August Wilhelm Iffland the particular focus for the new literary 'Sturm und Drang'; Berlin and Vienna were rapidly pushing to the front; and from now on Hamburg became only one of several centres of theatrical activity.

## Part I

#### THE HAMBURG 'NATIONALTHEATER'

1767-1769

#### CHAPTER I

#### ORGANISATION AND STAFF OF THE THEATRE

The history of the Hamburg 'Enterprise' to which we owe the *Hamburgische Dramaturgie* begins, not with the opening of the theatre on April 22, 1767, but with that of the same theatre by the actor and 'Principal' Konrad Ackermann on July 31, 1765. It cannot be sufficiently emphasised that the new undertaking was virtually a continuation of the previous one, and the fact that it was described as 'national' and was nominally controlled by a 'Consortium' meant no essential break.

Konrad Ernst Ackermann¹ was born at Schwerin on February 1, 1710, and had a chequered enough career behind him before he became, in 1740, a member of Schonemann's company. He had served for a time in the army as a professional soldier. His first attempt at acting seems to have met with immediate success, and he rapidly advanced to a leading position in the company. In 1742 he joined the independent company of Sophie Schröder, the mother of Friedrich Ludwig Schröder, and he remained with her for two years. Then he went back for a time to Schönemann. In 1749, however, he married Sophie Schröder, and from then onwards his activity was bound up with hers, and later with that of her famous son. His strength as an actor lay on the comic rather than the tragic side; he was more at his ease in the realism of the 'tragedy of common life'

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Ackermann has not yet been made the subject of an adequate monograph. See, however, the biographies of his stepson Schroder: F. L. W. Meyer, F. L. Schroder: Beitrag zur Kunde des Menschen und des Kunstlers, Hamburg, 1819, and B. Litzmann, F. L. Schroder: ein Beitrag zur deutschen Litteratur- und Theatergeschichte, Hamburg and Leipzig, 1890–94.

than in the artificial alexandrine tragedy. It is thus not surprising that his repertory favoured plays of English origin, and was strengthened on the side of comedy by adaptations of Goldoni. It displays in consequence a variety which compares favourably with the more assiduous cultivation of the higher tragedy and the preference for French comedy of his predecessor Schonemann. It may have been that it was not so much Ackermann as G. H. Koch who imported the new realistic drama from England, but Ackermann, finding this drama congenial to his particular talent, reaped the benefit of Koch's initiative. In respect of variety, indeed, Ackermann's repertory compares favourably, not merely with Schonemann's, but also with that of his immediate successors.<sup>2</sup>

In 1764 Ackermann brought his company to play in the Hamburg theatre. The first difficulty which confronted him was the inadequacy of the old 'Komodienhaus' which had been originally a stable for riding horses. Not only was the building cramped and unsuitable, but Koch, from whom Ackermann took it on lease, refused to extend that lease to his rival beyond the beginning of December, 1764. Ackermann first thought of turning his back on Hamburg altogether, but he was dissuaded by his friends and patrons; and with the financial aid they offered him he resolved to build a new theatre. The old Opera House, which stood on the eastern side of the Gansemarkt and had lent glamour to Hamburg theatrical life for eighty-seven years, was to be demolished, and the site was leased to Ackermann by its owner, Demoiselle Willers, on advantageous terms. Here, within the comparatively short period of six months, a simple theatre was erected at a cost of some 20,000 talers. The new building did not stand directly on the market-place, but was connected with it by two narrow, shoplined courts. The site was cramped and the auditorium suffered on this account.3 The main building was roughly fifty-five feet broad and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> F. L. W. Meyer, op. cit. 1, p. 16, quotes Schroder's tribute to Ackermann's talent in his best years: 'Er glanzte im August, 1m Ulfo, im Cato, im Mithridat, im Oedip, im Oresman, im Polieuct, im Beverley....Freilich stand auch damals schon der komische Schauspieler weit uber dem tragischen. Im ersten Fache gab es durchaus keine Rollen, die er nicht vollkommen darstellte. Ich erinnere mich nicht, in den langen Jahren meiner Beobachtung, eine einzige Übertreibung von ihm bemerkt zu haben.'

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> B. Litzmann (op. cit. i, pp. 81 ff.) seems to me to underrate the merits of Ackermann's repertory.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The dimensions are given by J. F. Schutze, Hamburgische Theater-Geschichte, Hamburg, 1794, pp. 322 ff., who had them from a description of the theatre published by Ekhof in the Hamburgische Unterhaltungen, ii, 2, August, 1766, pp.

a hundred and three feet long; the side-building which provided accommodation for the actors—the women's dressing-rooms were under the stage—approximately twenty feet by forty-five feet. The stage of the theatre was just over fifty-one feet wide and of the same depth, but so faultily constructed that the crossbeams at the top were visible from the auditorium. Schroder relates how he himself helped to remove the worst of them before the opening of the theatre. The preliminary descriptions stated that the occupants of the back seats in the boxes had a full view of the stage, but Lowen was probably nearer the truth when he said that little could be seen from them.2 The entrances were narrow, and the building was not adjudged safe from fire; permission to use it was at first refused, and a large sum had still to be expended to meet the objections of the town authorities. The proscenium was just over thirty-four feet wide and twenty-five feet high, and on each side stood two Corinthian pillars painted to resemble marble, with gilded plinths and capitals. Between each pair of pillars stood a gilded vase on a pedestal. At each side of the orchestral well was a stove by which the auditorium could be heated. The accommodation for the spectators consisted of a sloping parterre, which could be boarded over for the masquerade balls held from time to time in winter in the theatre, and two rows of boxes and a gallery. The ceiling was decorated with an allegorical painting, and Schutze speaks contemptuously of the two curtains, which were both, no doubt, still in use under the later régime. The first showed a picture of Liberty sitting under a baldachin and protecting Tragedy and Comedy, the second one of the Hamburg arms and arm-bearers, from which an ugly dancer was drawing back a curtain and smirking at the audience. These and the scenery had been hastily painted by an incompetent

<sup>164</sup> ff. I have converted them into English feet, the Hamburg foot being a little under three-quarters of an inch shorter than ours. There is also an account of the theatre in L. Wollrabe, Chronologie sammtlicher Hamburger Buhnen, Hamburg, 1847, p. 57. See also B. Litzmann, op. cit. i, pp. 316 ff. The theatre was not demolished until 1877. The picture of it which I reproduce as frontispiece to this volume, is from a water-colour in the possession of the Museum fur Hamburgische Geschichte. It is also to be found in the edition of the Dramaturgie published by J. Petersen, Berlin [1915].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> F. L. W. Meyer, op. cit. i, p. 139: 'Wie erschrak Schroder als er das ganz verpfuschte neue Haus erblickte! Selbst die Suffiten mussten, um sie den Augen der Zuschauer einigermassen zu entziehn, als Gardinen gehandhabt werden, so viele Kreuzbande waren über der Buhne angebracht.'

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Schreiben an einen Freund über die Ackermanische Schaubuhne zu Hamburg (dated December 13, 1765), Hamburg and Leipzig, 1766, p. 5.

scene-painter, Kawall, and the disabilities under which the new theatre laboured were thus increased.

The theatre was opened by Ackermann on July 31, 1765, with a 'Vorspiel', Die Comodie im Tempel der Tugend, written by J. F. Lowen. which was followed by a new French tragedy, Zelmire, by De Bellov. The entertainment concluded with a ballet, Die Kornerndte, in which Schroder, then a youth of twenty, displayed his remarkable choreographic talents. In the matter of his repertory Ackermann seems to have met, at least at first, the tastes of his patrons; in addition to the numerous plays of French origin there was a fair sprinkling of English and Italian works; and the native drama was represented mainly by Johann Elias Schlegel, Lessing and J. C. Kruger. Ackermann seems to have succeeded best in keeping his somewhat fickle public loyal to him by the unfortunately costly expedient of elaborate stagemountings and costumes. Much money was squandered on the production of Favart's Soliman II, and on Corneille's Rodogune, and the ballets and pantomimes which were the most popular feature of his repertory made heavy inroads into his exchequer. He had begun his management deep in debt, and that debt went on growing. His staff does not seem to have been satisfactory from the standpoint of ensemble. He himself and his wife were too old to bear adequately the burden that lay upon their shoulders; she, in fact, played little, and Ackermann's memory frequently left him in the lurch; and his two daughters were still little more than children. He had too many inexperienced members in his company, and young Schroder was mainly utilised in the ballets. Konrad Ekhof, however, who returned to Hamburg to join him, was a tower of strength, although he did not, under Ackermann, have full opportunity for displaying his powers. Sophie Friederike Hensel, who had been playing in Vienna, where her success had not been conspicuous, was engaged to take the place of the manager's wife, and made her début on November 1 in Voltaire's Zayre.2 She had already in two previous periods been a member of Ackermann's company, and it might have been thought that his former experience of her difficult temper would have made him wary of inviting her to return; but her attraction for the public was not to be gainsaid. The situation was aggravated by her finding, when she came to Hamburg, that her rival, Karoline Schulze, was in possession

<sup>1 &#</sup>x27;Dieser Kawall', says Schutze (op. cit. p. 324), 'war ein Sudler.'

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Cp. C. H. Schmid, Chronologie des deutschen Theaters, ed. P. Legband in Schriften der Gesellschaft für Theatergeschichte, i (Berlin, 1902), p. 151.

of many of her best rôles. From the first, therefore, she was a centre of intrigue and dissension. Outside the theatre she had friends, among whom the chief was Abel Seyler, the son of a Swiss clergyman who had established a business in Hamburg and brought it to the verge of bankruptcy. Seyler had a passion for the theatre, and more particularly for Madame Hensel, who became his mistress and ultimately, in 1772, his wife. Another admirer was Adolf Siegmund Bubbers, a tapestry manufacturer, who, stage-struck in early days, had run away from home and become an actor under Schonemann.

Apart from such difficulties with his company, the chief thorn in Ackermann's flesh was Johann Friedrich Lowen. Born in Klaustal on September 13, 1727, Lowen had studied at Helmstedt and Gottingen, but owing to want of means was obliged to give up his academic career. The poet Hagedorn suggested that he should try his fortune in London and provided him with letters of introduction to friends there, but a Hamburg Legationsrat, Zink, induced him to settle in Hamburg, probably putting him in the way of congenial work as theatre critic for a local journal. In 1757 he obtained a miserably paid secretaryship in Schwerin. His theatrical interests had brought him into close touch with Schonemann; he assisted the latter in his business embarrassments and ultimately married his daughter. In spite of his official connexion with Schwerin, he appears to have spent the better part of each year in Hamburg, and when Ackermann assumed control of the theatre there Lowen was engaged as a kind of literary adviser. Soon, however, Ackermann-irritated no doubt by Lowen's frank criticism of his management—supplanted him by appointing a certain Ast as his 'house poet'. This slight drove Lowen into the ranks of Ackermann's enemies. Before the end of 1765 he wrote an outspoken criticism of the theatre, Schreiben an einen Freund über die Ackermannsche Schaubühne zu Hamburg, and in the following year an undisguised attack, Schreiben an einen Marionetten-Spieler als eine Abfertigung des Schreibens an einen Freund über die Ackermannsche Schaubühne. Im Namen des Ackermannschen Lichtbutzers. His Geschichte des deutschen Theaters, also published in 1766,2 culminates in a general arraignment of the German 'Principals' or actor-managers for their incompetency. Lowen's history, as history, is negligible, but what he has to say of contemporary conditions throws valuable light on the situation with

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Cp. O. D. Potkoff, Johann Friedrich Lowen, Heidelberg, 1904.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> A reprint, edited by H. Stumcke, is published in the *Neudrucke literarhistorischer Seltenheiten*, viii (Berlin, 1905).

which Lessing was faced. He suggests reforms which, a little later, were to be incorporated in the scheme for the 'National Theatre'; in particular, he proposes the abolition of the control of the theatre by the leading actor of a company. He says:

Es wurde demnach die Aufnahme unsrer Buhne sehr befordern, wenn man erstlich die Principalschaft ganz aufheben wollte; und wenn der Furst, oder die Republik, die die Schauspiele schutzen, selbst das Direktorium führen, das heisst, einen Mann wahlen wollten, dem, da er selbst eine feine Kenntniss der schonen Kunste und Wissenschaften besasse, die Annahme der Schauspieler, die Wahl der Stucke, und die ganze Policey des Theaters, ohne dass er selbst Schauspieler ware, musste überlassen werden.<sup>1</sup>

It was Lowen's attitude, combined with the growing dissension within the theatre, and not financial difficulties (for the receipts were by no means so unsatisfactory) which ultimately forced Ackermann to give up his directorship. The question now was: what next? No doubt it was Löwen who came forward with a scheme for re-organisation. A 'Consortium', consisting nominally of twelve Hamburg business-men, was formed to carry out Lowen's plans, and the financial control of the theatre was vested in them. By an agreement dated October 24, 1766, they took over the theatre with its scenery and properties for a period of ten years, from 1767 to 1777. They agreed to pay an annual rent of a thousand 'Speciesdukaten',2 that is, about £500; the properties and garderobe were purchased for 20,000 Hamburg marks, about £1250, to be paid in instalments. A third of the proceeds from masquerades was to be handed to Ackermann, and suitable fines were arranged in case either party should break the contract.3

This was not the first time that Hamburg citizens had come to the rescue of their theatre—nine years before, in 1757, they had assisted Ekhof to keep the old Schönemann troupe above water in Hamburg; but it was the first time that financial supporters had taken over the actual control of the undertaking. It seemed a step in the direction of an endowed and municipally controlled theatre; but the parallel does not extend very far. One's suspicions are awakened by the constitution of the Consortium. The leading spirits were Madame

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Geschichte des deutschen Theaters (Reprint, p. 68).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> F. L. Schmidt, Geschichte des Hamburgischen Theaters (Fortsetzung) in Almanach fürs Theater, Hamburg, 1810, p. 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> F. L. W. Meyer, op. cst. i, pp. 153 f. <sup>4</sup> J. F. Schutze, op. cst. p. 302.

Hensel's admirers, Seyler and Bubbers. The latter, although he had no money to put into the enterprise, was actively associated with it because of his experience in theatrical matters; and he made himself useful by travelling through North Germany in search of talent for the theatre. A third member of the Consortium was Seyler's business partner Johann Martin Tillemann; he, however, took no active part at all, and this was also the case with the nine other Hamburg citizens whom these three apparently succeeded in interesting in their venture. Thus in practice the famous Consortium was a one-man affair—it consisted of Seyler and Seyler alone; and as far as he was concerned, there was little enough idealism in the scheme, he being mainly intent on furthering the interests of his mistress. The more staid members of the Hamburg plutocracy could not but look askance on the half bankrupt Seyler and his stage-struck friends.

Thus the Hamburg theatre of 1767 was in no essential sense an advance on the existing conditions of theatrical enterprise. Ackermann had found himself in difficulties; and Seyler had seized the opportunity—which has tempted the stage-struck amateur with money to spend in all ages—to become its manager. Lowen, who had quarrelled with Ackermann, was able to win Seyler's ear for his schemes of reform, and became director of the new undertaking, which was grandiloquently described as a 'Nationaltheater'.¹ These are the facts. There is little reason for believing that anyone but Lowen was really interested in seeing an essentially different theatre from that which Schonemann, Koch or Ackermann had conducted in the past. Something might indeed have been hoped for from Lowen, who had found valuable support, and possibly had drawn inspiration for his scheme in the recommendations (not published until 1764) of Johann Elias Schlegel

¹ The description 'Nationaltheater' seems to have been used in Vienna just before this. Cp. J. von Sonnenfels, Der Mann ohne Vorurtheil, III, xiii (Gesammelte Schriften, Wien, 1783–7, III, p. 118) 'Ich bin aber auch der Meinung, eine Anleitung [für die Zuschauer]...konne zur Verbesserung und Reinigung eines Nationaltheaters mehr beitragen, als die feinsten Beobachtungen' (September, 1766), and J. H. F. Müller, Genaue Nachrichten von beiden k. k. Schaubuhnen in Wien, Pressburg, 1772, p. 13, where the term 'Nationalbuhne' is used to describe the German theatre in Vienna in 1766. Cp. also Nicolai's note to a letter, addressed to Lessing, of August 19, 1769 (Lessing's sammtliche Schriften, xxvii (Berlin, 1794), p. 247; 2nd ed. Berlin, 1809, pp. 328 f.): 'Ich weiss nicht, was das Wort Nationaltheater bedeuten soll, das zuerst dem Theater in Wien zu einer Zeit beygelegt ward, da es kaum mittelmassig war, und das die Herren Schauspieler seitdem allenthalben zum Scherwenzel gebraucht haben, aber ein sehr vorzugliches Theater zu besitzen, ist Hamburg gewiss der erste Ort in Deutschland.'

for the theatre in Copenhagen;¹ but he was unfortunately unable to assert his authority as director. Now, as under Ackermann, the actor was in control: it was Ekhof, whose power had been enhanced by the retirement of Ackermann, and not the inexperienced Seyler or Lowen, who arranged the repertory and decided upon the distribution of the rôles; and things went on as before. The acting staff of the theatre was enlarged by the engagement of Elisabeth Lowen and Susanna Mecour among the principals, but otherwise it was not essentially changed; and there was no alteration at all in the quality of the repertory or in the manner in which plays were produced and acted. Indeed, if anything, the change was for the worse. The fact that Lessing was associated with the undertaking was purely adventitious and exerted no influence on the conduct of the theatre; and Lessing made no concealment of his powerlessness.

In October, 1766, immediately after the agreement was signed, the plans of the new management were given publicity in a printed statement,<sup>2</sup> of which Lowen was no doubt the author, in spite of the self-praise it contains. This statement is sufficiently important for an understanding of the conditions under which the *Hamburgische Dramaturgie* was written to justify its being reprinted here in full.<sup>3</sup>

#### VORLÄUFIGE NACHRICHT VON DER AUF OSTERN 1767 VORZUNEHMENDEN VERÄNDERUNG DES HAMBURGISCHEN THEATERS

Amphora cœpit Institui; currente rota cur urceus exit? HORAT.

Wir kundigen dem Publico die vielleicht unerwartete Hoffnung an, das deutsche Schauspiel in Hamburg zu einer Würde zu erheben, wohin es unter andern Umstanden niemals gelangen wird. So lange dieser vortreff-

- <sup>1</sup> J. E. Schlegel, Werke (ed. J. H. Schlegel), iii (Copenhagen, 1764), pp. 251-98. Cp. J. F. Lowen, Schriften, Hamburg, 1765-6, iv, pp. 1-2.
  - <sup>2</sup> Cp. Hamburgische Dramaturgie, Ankundigung (5).
- This Vorläufige Nachricht, which was 'gedruckt und zu bekommen bey Michael Christian Bock' in Hamburg, was referred to in the Hamburgische Unterhaltungen, 11, 5, November, 1766, p. 440, it was discussed in Lowen's journal, Freye Nachrichten aus dem Reiche der Wissenschaften und der schonen Kunste of November 7, 1766 (St. 45), and also (in less encouraging terms) in the Hamburgische Correspondent of November 8, 1766. (Cp. also O. D. Potkoff, op. cit. p. 89.) It was then reprinted by F. L. W. Meyer (op. cit. ii, 2, pp. 31 ff.), and in our time by H. Stumcke in his edition of Lowen's Geschichte des deutschen Theaters (pp. 83 ff.). Lowen's Anrede an die samtlichen Mitglieder des Hamburgischen Theaters was also printed, Hamburg, 1767, and is to be found in Stumcke (pp. 91 ff.).

liche, angenehme und lehrreiche Zweig der schonen Kunste noch in den Handen solcher Manner, auch der redlichsten Manner ist, die ihre Kunst lediglich zu einer Brodtwissenschaft zu machen gezwungen sind; so lange die Aufmunterung und der edle Stolz der Nachahmung unter den Schauspielern selbst fehlt; so lange man die Dichter der Nation nicht zu Nationalstucken anzufeuern gewohnt ist; und so lange vorzuglich die theatralische Policey, sowol auf der Buhne in der Wahl der Stucke, als auch bey den Sitten der Schauspieler selbst, eine ganz fremde Sache bleibt; so lange wird man umsonst das deutsche Schauspiel aus seiner Kindheit hervortreten sehen.

Wir setzen die grossen Vortheile zum voraus, die eine Nationalbuhne dem ganzen Volke verschaffen kann; und wir durfen sie auch heut zu Tage niemand mehr beweisen, als den Eigensinnigen, die sie nicht erwiesen haben wollen. Wenn es inzwischen wahr ist, und es ist langst ausgemacht, dass, ausser dem edelsten Zeitvertreib, den das Theater gewahrt, auch der Sittenlehre durch ihn die herrlichsten Dienste geleistet werden; so verlohnt es sich gewiss der Muhe, nicht mit derjenigen Schlafrigkeit an die wahre Aufnahme der Buhne zu gedenken, mit der man bis auf den heutigen Tag die innerliche Vollkommenheit derselben bearbeitet hat. Und aus eben diesem wichtigen Grunde, dessen Folgen fur eine ganze Nation interessant sind, und wovon sich die Vortheile, die aus der Verfeinerung des Geschmacks, und ihrer Sitten fliessen, auf den ganzen Staat und auf die Biegsamkeit seiner Burger erstrecken; aus diesem wichtigen Grunde, sagen wir, freuen wir uns, dass wir die Mittel in Handen haben, unsern Mitburgern, ausser dem edelsten Vergnügen, dessen der menschliche Verstand nur fahig seyn kann, auch die reichsten Schätze einer gelauterten Moral zu gewahren.

Wir wollen uns uber die Moglichkeit und Gewissheit dieser Absicht naher erklaren.

Eine kleine Gesellschaft gutdenkender Bürger hieselbst hat schon seit verschiedenen Jahren auf die Ausfuhrung dieses Plans gedacht; und da sie gegenwartig dahin arbeiten, eine hinlangliche Anzahl von gesitteten Leuten zu erhalten, und die zugleich die vortrefflichsten und besten unter den deutschen Schauspielern sind, so sind sie willens, das deutsche Theater zu derjenigen Zeit, die alsdann in den offentlichen Blattern bekannt gemacht werden soll, mit aller der Vollkommenheit zu eröffnen, die man mit Recht von einer wohleingerichteten und lehrreichen Bühne fodert. Man hat zu dem Ende das Directorium derselben den Händen eines Mannes anvertrauet, dessen untadelhafte Sitten, und dessen bewusste Einsichten in die Geheimnisse dieser Kunst zu der Aufnahme des Theaters nothwendig sind. Da dieser Mann nichts mit der eigentlichen Arbeit als Acteur zu schaffen haben wird, sondern lediglich, ausser den bekannten Pflichten, die einem jeden Directeur obliegen, noch die so hochst nothwendige Verbindlichkeit uber sich genommen hat, für die Bildung des Herzens, der Sitten und der Kunst junger, angehender Schauspieler zu sorgen; so kann man leicht denken, dass das Publicum sich in der Erwartung,

die man ihm macht, gewiss nicht betriegen wird. Man ist willens, dieser Gesellschaft gesitteter und einsichtsvoller Leute alle die Vortheile zu verschaffen, die man in einer theatralischen Akademie gewinnen kann. Zu dem Ende wird der Directeur, ausser seinem ubrigen Unterricht, der. wie bereits gesagt, die Bildung des Herzens und des Geschmacks betrifft. uber kurze von ihm herauszugebende Grundsatze der korperlichen Beredsamkeit, und uber des Dorat vortrefflichen Essai sur la Declamation Tragique, der nachstens National gemacht werden soll, ordentliche Vorlesungen halten. Man wird sich hiebey der vortrefflichen theatralischen Auszuge bedienen, welche Herr Lessing in seiner theatralischen Bibliothek. und in den Beytragen zur Historie des Theaters aus den besten Werken der Auslander gemacht hat. In diesen Vorlesungen sollen diejenigen, die sich der Buhne widmen, von den ersten Anfangsgrunden der Kunst an. durch das ganze dramatische Feld gefuhrt, und mit den Geheimnissen dieser wichtigen Kunst bekannt gemacht werden. Den theoretischen Unterricht wird man ihnen durch Beyspiele unsrer besten Acteurs erlautern lassen; und da sie vornehmlich dereinst in dem Spiele der Leidenschaften die Seele der ganzen Action setzen mussen, so wird es eins von den Hauptgeschaften dieser theatralischen Vorlesungen seyn, sie mit der wichtigen Lehre der Affecten bekannt zu machen, und uberhaupt nichts vergessen, was nur irgend zu den feinsten Nüancen dieser schweren Kunst gezahlet werden kann.

Da man den Schauspieler so vortrefflich zu bilden suchen wird; und er. wenn zu diesem Unterricht Talente kommen, dem deutschen Theater gewiss Ehre macht; so ist man auch darauf bedacht, die ausserlichen Glücksumstande desselben vorzüglich bequem einzurichten. Man wird daher den Stand dieser Leute so geehrt zu machen suchen, als es die Kunst verdient, der sie sich gewidmet haben. Man wird einen, ihren Talenten angemessenen, jahrlichen Gehalt aussetzen: aber vorzuglich darauf bedacht seyn, diejenigen Schauspieler anständig und Lebenslang zu versorgen, die Altershalber dem Theater nicht mehr dienen konnen. Bev dergleichen glanzenden Aussichten aber verlangt man durchaus die strengste, edelste und untadelhafteste Auffuhrung, und die besten und liebenswurdigsten Sitten, die Leute von gutem Denken, und einer feinen Lebensart unterscheiden müssen. Da der ganze Nutze des Theaters, der überdem immer beschrieen wird, sogleich wegfällt, und die Sitten der Mitburger umsonst gebessert werden, wenn diejenigen, die der Spiegel dieser Sitten seyn sollen, ihre eigene Handlungen beflecken; so wird die ungeheuchelte Gottesfurcht, der Abscheu an allen, der bürgerlichen Gesellschaft so gefährlichen Lastern, eine unverletzte, und von dem geringsten Verdacht befreyte Lebensart, die erste Pflicht eines jeden Schauspielers seyn; und auch der Beste unter ihnen wird sogleich alle Vortheile verlieren, so bald man ihn von dieser

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Lowen proposed to publish a new edition of this work, which appeared originally in 1755.

Pflicht, und von allen den ubrigen strengen Gesetzen, die man unter sich machen wird, nur im geringsten vorsetzlich abweichen siehet.

Da endlich selbst nach dem Ausspruch des Diderot, eines Philosophen, der selbst bey seinen wichtigen Arbeiten, so viel Zeit gefunden, zwo der grossten Meisterstucke für das Theater zu verfertigen, der theatralische Nutze nur alsdann erst betrachtlich für eine ganze Nation werden kann, wenn sie ihre eigene Buhne hat, so wird man sein wichtiges Augenmerk seyn lassen, das deutsche Theater mit der Zeit so national zu machen, als sich alle andere Nationen des ihrigen zu rühmen Ursache haben. Man weiss, dass dies das erste Geschafte unserer dramatischen Dichter seyn muss: aber man kennt auch die Ursachen, die dieser Arbeit zum Theil noch immer im Wege gestanden sind; und man hofft, durch Aufmunterung und ausgesetzte Preise diese Absicht mit zu erreichen. Freylich wird man durch Belohnung keine eigentliche Genies für das Theater bilden konnen; aber die Talente dererjenigen, die bereits Genie haben, durch thatigen und belohnenden Beyfall der Nation anzufeuern, ist langst bey allen Nationen, von den Griechen und Romern an, von dem herrlichsten Nutzen gewesen. Man wird demnach jahrlich einen Preis von funfzig Ducaten, auf das beste Trauerspiel, es sey heroisch oder burgerlich; funfzig Ducaten auf das beste Lustspiel setzen; und es mit der Einsendung durch versiegelte Namen und Devisen eben so halten, als es bey allen gelehrten Gesellschaften, und noch zuletzt bey den erhabenen Kennern des Schönen, bey den Verfassern der Bibliothek der schonen Wissenschaften zum Ruhm des deutschen Geschmacks ublich gewesen ist. Die Entscheidung, welches von den eingesandten Stucken den Preis verdienet, wird man auf den Ausspruch der Leute von bekannten Talenten ankommen lassen. Die Ankundigung des erhaltenen Preises wird, so wie bey der Bibliothek der schonen Wissenschaften,¹ jedesmal offentlich, mit dem gekronten Stücke zugleich, durch den Druck geschehen.

Eine solche Buhne, von deren nähern Einrichtung, Beschaffenheit und Fortgang man von Zeit zu Zeit fortfahren wird, dem Publico umstandliche Nachricht zu ertheilen, darf allerdings des Beyfalls unserer gesitteten Mitburger gewiss seyn. Und um das Antheil offentlich zu ruhmen, das diese gesegnete Stadt an der Verbesserung des Geschmacks, und der Verfeinerung der Sitten nimmt, wissen wir kein besseres Merkmaal einer unauslöschlichen Dankbarkeit, als wenn wir uns entschliessen, verschiedenemal, freywillig des Jahrs, an gewissen bestimmten Tagen, die ganze Einnahme den hiesigen offentlichen frommen Stiftungen und Häusern zu widmen. Man hofft, im Stande zu seyn, bey der Eröffnung dieser Bühne die zwote Nachricht von der Einrichtung derselben dem Publico vorlegen zu konnen.<sup>2</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Cp. Bibliothek der schonen Wissenschaften und der freyen Kunste, i (Leipzig, 1757), p 15 The prize here was awarded to Cronegk's Codrus See below, p. 147 (note 1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> No 'zwote Nachricht' was issued; its place was presumably taken by Lessing's Ankundigung.

It was proposed then to eliminate the 'Principal'—a reform which Lessing endorses (Ank. 31)—and to institute fixed salaries; and old-age pensions were promised. Lowen had particularly at heart plans which would make the life of the acting profession less precarious. He warmly supported the claim which Johann Elias Schlegel had put forward, and which Lessing himself quoted in his Ankindigung (24):

Die Ursache, dass noch kein hiesiges Theater von Bestand gewesen ist, scheint diese zu seyn, dass man vorhin den Komodianten selbst die Sorge uberlassen hat, für ihren Verlust und Gewinnst zu arbeiten. Entweder wird in diesem Falle alles durch den Neid verderbt, der leicht in eine solche Gesellschaft einschleichen kann; oder sie verzehren auf einmal die Einkunfte der fetten Zeiten, und in den magern machen sie Schulden. Sie mussen also unter einer gewissen Aufsicht stehen, und nach festgesetzten Regeln arbeiten.<sup>1</sup>

It was hoped to realise the scheme for the better training of actors which Ekhof had initiated in Schwerin, by the institution of a 'theatralische Akademie'; and Lowen was to give lectures on declamation and 'Mimik'. To encourage dramatic writers prizes were to be given for the best original tragedies and comedies. In all this Lowen, convinced that 'der Vorwurf, den uns die Auslander machen, dass wir kein eigenes Theater haben, mehr als zu gegründet [ist]',² was inspired by the most admirable ideals, and he rightly believed that Hamburg was the best place in which to try the experiment.³ It is unfair to stigmatise him as merely an ambitious journalist, intent on furthering purely personal ends; he was entirely sincere in his desire to give Hamburg an organised and efficiently equipped theatre. Between October, 1766 and the opening day, April 22, 1767, he put his best energies into the work of preparation, and his address to the staff of the theatre before the first performance is admirable.4

<sup>1</sup> The passage is not from the Gedanken zur Aufnahme des danischen Theaters, as Lessing implies, but from the short essay, Schreiben von Errichtung eines Theaters in Kopenhagen, which precedes it in Schlegel's Werke (ed. cit. iii, p. 252).

<sup>2</sup> The reviewer of Nicolai's Briefe uber die schonen Wissenschaften in the Bibliothek der schonen Wissenschaften (i, pp. 116 f.) had said: 'Hier stimmen wir den Herrn V. vollkommen bey, wann sie behaupten, dass es mit unserer Schaubuhne gar ungemein schlecht bestellt sey, und dass eben die allgemeine gute Meynung, welche man davon zu haben pflegt, eine wichtige Verhinderung ihrer Verbesserung sey.'

<sup>8</sup> Cp. the dedication of vol. iv of Lowen's Schriften (Hamburg, 1766), p. [iii]: 'Es fehlt freylich noch viel, ehe wir so weit kommen, uns eines Nationaltheaters rühmen zu durfen, allein, wenn wir nie den Anfang machen wollen, wie werden wir es erhalten? Kein Ort in ganz Deutschland ist hiezu geschickter, als eben Hamburg.'

4 Reprinted by Stumcke, op. cit. pp. 91 ff. He shared, however, the fate of every would-be reformer of the theatre; from the first he was met by the bitterest opposition, even on the part of those who were to benefit by his reforms. There were plenty of people in Hamburg who, as Lessing said (Ank. 11):

bey jedem guten Unternehmen nichts als Nebenabsichten erblicken. Man konnte ihnen diese Beruhigung ihrer selbst gern gonnen; aber, wenn die vermeinten Nebenabsichten sie wider die Sache selbst aufbringen; wenn ihr hamischer Neid, um jene zu vereiteln, auch diese scheitern zu lassen, bemuht ist. so mussen sie wissen, dass sie die verachtungswurdigsten Glieder der menschlichen Gesellschaft sind.

'Es regnete Pasquille der unflatigsten Art auf sie', writes F. L. W. Meyer; and J. F. Schutze makes a similar statement:

Andre, aus Neid, Nebenabsichten und Neuerungshass, verhohnten offentlich die gute Sache. Ein Schongeist (wahrscheinlich Dreyer) liess folgendes gegen Lowens Nachricht handschriftlich ins Publikum fliegen:

Klein ist der Buhne Ruhm, der Schade desto grösser, der aus dem Zweck sie zu verandern fliesst, wenn die Veranderung nicht besser, als diese Nachricht ist.<sup>2</sup>

One must also be fair, however, to Lowen's opponents. The Hamburg public was, no doubt, quick to see that his innovations would not lead to any real improvement of the theatre. Lowen had neither enough personality nor sufficient experience to command the situation; he was at the mercy of Seyler, and still more, of the actors; they scorned his theories and laughed at his attempts to teach them their business. His lectures did not get beyond the first, and there was never any question of prizes for plays. Before the opening of the theatre the promise of the Vorläufige Nachricht had been shorn of its best features; and it was not long before Ekhof took over in all practical matters of production Lowen's duties. The cardinal feature of the scheme, the abolition of the 'Principal', was tacitly allowed to lapse. Such a beginning was anything but promising, and only a month after the opening day, Lessing wrote to his brother Karl: 'Mit unserm

<sup>1</sup> Op. cit. i, p. 180.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Op. cit. pp. 336 f. On Johann Matthias Dreyer see Stumcke, op. cit. pp. xxvi ff., and O. D. Potkoff, op. cit. pp. 78 f.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Schroder sums him up very fairly (Meyer, op. cst. i, pp. 180 f.): 'Einsicht und guter Wille lassen sich ihm nicht absprechen, Kraft und Ansehn wurden ihm versagt.'

Theater (das im Vertrauen!) gehen eine Menge Dinge vor, die mir nicht anstehn Es ist Uneinigkeit unter den Entrepreneurs, und keiner weiss, wer Koch oder Kellner ist.' Both Schutze and Mever<sup>2</sup> state that it was intended to dispense with the most popular feature of Ackermann's management, the ballets; but I am doubtful whether this was ever seriously contemplated. Far from regarding them as an offence against artistic taste, Lowen had nothing but praise for them.3 In any case, if there had been any such intention, it was given up at a quite early stage of the preparations. As the attendance at the theatre fell off, it was found necessary to make much more objectionable concessions to the popular taste and have recourse to acrobats and jugglers to coax an unwilling public. Intrigue was rife behind the scenes-it could hardly be otherwise where Madame Hensel was concerned—and financial difficulties soon became pressing; by September Sevler's means were approaching exhaustion. After eight months the season was brought to a close on December 4, theatrical performances being forbidden in Hamburg in Advent; and the company betook itself to Hanover in the hope of retrieving their losses by a repetition there of the successful plays of the Hamburg season. On May 13, 1768, they returned to make a fresh start; but the public was not to be lured into the theatre in the heat of summer, and rival attractions, a circus and a French troupe, increased their embarrassments. Moreover, they found their creditors awaiting them, and only with the greatest difficulty could the actors be paid their weekly wage. The theatre had again to be closed for a time; by June or July even Löwen had deserted the sinking ship. One more attempt—not entirely without success—was made to court back fortune in Hanover at the beginning of December, 1768; but the situation was clearly hopeless. The actors had ultimately to use their fists to get paid at all; and on March 3, 1769, in Hanover, the great 'Enterprise' which had been begun with such high hopes was borne to its grave.

Lowen attributed the failure to extravagance in the management

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Schriften, xvii, p. 232.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Schutze, op. cit. p. 337; Meyer, op. cit. i, p. 154.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Cp. Geschichte des deutschen Theaters (Reprint, p. 58): 'Dass die vortrefflichen Ballette, mit welchen itzt vornehmlich Ackermann das Theater aufstutzet, dem wahren Geschmack nachtheilig seyn sollten, wie einige behaupten, kann ich nicht sehen. Ich kann es ganz wol leiden, dass man auch unsern Augen ein reizendes Vergnugen gewahrt, wenn man vorher unser Herz entzuckt hat: und es verlohnt sich schon der Muhe, einige von Ackermanns vortrefflichen Balletten, die zwar nicht alle durchgehends pantomimisch sind, zu sehen.'

and to 'one great political mistake'—the retention of Ackermann.¹ Still, Ackermann had never refused his co-operation, and his financial interest in success must surely have tempered any slight malice that he may have felt. Lowen died in poverty-stricken circumstances in 1771. We part from him with kindly feelings, for, after all, his ideas were right ideas, and his intentions were of the best. We may like, too, to remember that with his poem *Die Walpurgisnacht* he contributed a stone to the great temple of German poetry, by bringing Dr Faustus to take part in the witches' revelry on the Blocksberg.

Seyler's day was by no means over when his theatre came to grief. He and Madame Hensel remained in Hanover, and he succeeded in obtaining from George III an appointment as 'koniglicher und churfurstlicher teutscher Hof-schau-spieler' with various privileges and subventions. The latter allowed him to deal a damaging blow to any hopes that might have been entertained for a revival of the theatre in Hamburg; for he was able to lure Ekhof back to his flag. But this belongs to a later chapter in the history of the German stage.

The leading actor and the most commanding personality of the Hamburg company was Hans Konrad Dieterich Ekhof2—he himself always wrote his name so, not as Eckhof. He was born in Hamburg on August 12, 1720, in the Opernhof where the theatre was to stand in which he achieved his most memorable success. Although the son of a blacksmith who subsequently did service as a city militiaman, he had a comparatively good education; and his excellent handwriting obtained for him at about the age of fifteen a clerkship at the Swedish postal agency in Hamburg. He threw up this position, however, when the commissioner demanded that he should also do service as lackey. He then found a similar post with an advocate in Schwerin, where his interest in the theatre was awakened by the books which his master permitted him to read from his library, as well as by personal acquaintance with Frau Sophie Schröder. Towards the end of 1730 he joined the company which Schonemann was then forming—it was Schönemann's first independent step—in Lüneburg. Early in 1740, he made his début as an actor in a barn there in the rôle of Xipharès in Racine's Mithridate, playing with Ackermann, who assumed the title-rôle, and Madame Schroder who

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Hamburgische Unterhaltungen, vi, 4, October, 1768, p. 348.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Cp. H. Uhde, Konrad Ekhof in Der neue Plutarch, ed. R. Gottschall, iv (Leipzig, 1876), pp. 119 ff.

appeared as Monime. He made no phenomenal beginning, and success only came to him after long years of hard work.

Ekhof's association with Schonemann extended over seventeen years, and in a member of this company, Demoiselle Georgine Spiegelberg, he found his future wife. He married her in 1746; but it was not a happy marriage. She was fourteen years his senior; she outlived him nevertheless, and the later years of marriage were darkened by her mental derangement. In 1740 Ekhof seems to have been advanced to leading rôles; and as Schonemann had accepted Gottsched's reforms, Gottsched's Deutsche Schaubuhne was liberally drawn upon for the repertory. He had also, however, invaluable experience in comedy. In particular, Johann Christian Kruger, a young student of theology who turned to the theatre and was employed by Schönemann as actor and 'theatre poet', provided him, in his Herzog Michel and in an adaptation of a play by Marivaux, Der Bauer mit der Erbschaft, with two of his most popular rôles. In 1741 he appeared in Leipzig, playing under the eye of the dictator Gottsched himself; but his first resounding success would seem to have been won in his native town, where the company opened their season on June 7 of the same year with Le Cid. The alexandrines gave him trouble at first, but his audience was quick to perceive the genuine feeling that lay behind his declamation; his fine voice carried conviction and made one forget his false accents and exaggerated emphasis. In 1749, in Leipzig, he made the acquaintance of the dramatist Christian Felix Weisse, who, from now on, always submitted his plays to Ekhof before printing them. Through Weisse he was later introduced to Lessing, and the acquaintance of actor and critic ripened in the 'fifties into genuine intimacy. For the seven years from 1749 onwards, Schonemann, with Ekhof as his chief supporter, oscillated between Hamburg and Mecklenburg, their repertory consisting mainly of works by Johann Elias Schlegel, Gellert and Lessing, together with Holberg's comedies and the established favourites of the French theatre. On October 25, 1754, Lillo's Merchant of London (Der Kaufmann von London) was successfully produced in Hamburg with Ekhof as the apprentice; on October 6, two years later, Miss Sara Sampson was played there for the first time with Ekhof in the part of Mellefont; and a few weeks later he added still another to his brilliant performances with Beverley in Moore's Gamester (Der Spieler). At no time had Ekhof's support of Schönemann been merely that of a prominent actor; and in the later years when Schonemann was growing old and was more interested in

horse-dealing and the tavern than in his theatre, Ekhof became virtually manager. In some respects the most important period of his career was in the early 'fifties, when the patronage of the Schwerin court gave Schonemann's company something of the dignity of a court company there; and it is perhaps not too much to claim that this patronage did more towards furthering the establishment of a German National Theatre than the experiment of more than ten years later in which we are immediately interested. To Ekhof was due in particular the idea of a dramatic academy at Schwerin, which, as we have seen, was also to have been a feature of the Hamburg undertaking.

In 1757 Ekhof left Schonemann to join the company of Franz Schuch, a popular harlequin-player, then in Danzig; but this connexion did not last long; before the end of the year he was back again in Hamburg with his old company, Schonemann having meanwhile withdrawn altogether from its management. Things did not go well with the company, however, and Gottfried Heinrich Koch next came to the rescue of the Hamburg theatre. Under Koch Ekhof played for the next five years, mainly in Hamburg and Lubeck. The two actors did not get on well together, Ekhof missing the freedom he had enjoyed under Schonemann, and when, in 1764, Koch again opened a season in Hamburg, Ekhof left him, going back to Ackermann who was then playing in Hanover. With Ackermann he returned to Hamburg and was the chief and indispensable prop of the company during the season which preceded the inauguration of the National Theatre. The Seyler régime no doubt suited Ekhof, for he had soon as free a hand as he could have desired. And, as we have seen, he remained faithful to Seyler after the latter's failure. Subsequently he enioved the patronage of the Duke of Gotha, who inaugurated under his directorship the first German Hoftheater, in the modern sense, in his capital. In Gotha Ekhof passed the remainder of his days. His death, hastened by an overdose of laudanum, occurred on June 16, 1778, his last rôle having been the Ghost in Hamlet on February 11 of that year.

Like our own Garrick, Ekhof suffered under physical disabilities; he had a short, unprepossessing figure. But, again like Garrick, once he was on the stage, he made his audience forget such disabilities. His principal asset seems to have been his magnificent voice. Although he had learned his art under Schonemann, he avoided the stiffness of Schönemann's school, introducing into his delivery of tragic rôles a

natural tone which did a great deal towards counteracting the rant into which, on German lips, the alexandrine so readily degenerated. In comedy he avoided still more the conventional tone of the French theatre; but here at times he went to extremes of coarseness and vulgarity which distressed his admirers.

From the Dramaturgie it might be inferred that Lessing regarded Ekhof as little short of the ideal actor; he has only praise for him 1 Obviously, however, one could hardly expect Lessing to be entirely honest here in the opinions he expresses about Ekhof. His first mention of him (II, QI) is to say that 'whatever rôle he plays, even if it be the smallest, one recognises in him the foremost actor, and can only regret that it is not possible to see him play all the others'. In St. III and IV he illustrates the art of delivering 'moral maxims' from Ekhof's part of Evander in Olint und Sophronia. Later, he singles out for particular praise his acting as the father in Julie (IX, 97), especially the 'way in which he brings down over his eyes the grey hair by which he conjures his daughter'. As Orosmann in Zayre (XVI, 147) Ekhof typifies 'everything that Sainte Albine will have us observe, in so perfect a way that one might think he alone had been the critic's model'. As Sidnei (xvn, 37) 'unquestionably one of his strongest rôles...what a wealth of expressive gestures!...what an impelling tone of conviction! Lessing praises his convincing playing of Dorimond in Cente (xx, 76): 'this mingling of gentleness and seriousness, of softheartedness and sternness, will actually exist in just such a man, or it will be found nowhere'; and he has particular praise for a gesture of Ekhof's at the close of the piece.<sup>2</sup> Again Ekhof's Graf Essex calls forth Lessing's

<sup>1</sup> The only passage where Lessing seems to reflect adversely on Ekhof is (XVII, 120) where he speaks of the farcical treatment of the rôle of Strabo in Regnard's *Democrit*; but this is mainly a translation from a French criticism of the rôle (see below, p. 194).

<sup>2</sup> F. L. W. Meyer (op. cit. i, p. 129) writes of a performance of Cenie in Hanover on May 9, 1764: 'Eckhof [spielte] den Mericourt in der Cenie, bei weitem nicht so gut als Kirchhof. Drei Jahr spater übernahm er den Dorimond, der ganz fur ihn gemacht war, und worin er sicherlich auf keiner Buhne der Welt übertroffen werden konnte, eben weil ihm der Korper nicht im Wege stand. Hier trafen Vortrag der Rede, Ausdruck des Gesichts und Bewegung der Hände meisterhaft zusammen; und Schroder war, so oft er dieser Rolle erwahnte, noch beredter in Eckhofs Lobe als Lessing selbst. Nur glaubte er, dass Lessing die Gebehrde, welche die grosse Welt bezeichnet, die Mericourts Vaterland ist, nicht so sehr herausstreichen, nicht sagen sollen wer sie dem Mann gelehrt: weil er dafür hielt, kein verstandiger Schauspieler werde die Stelle anders bezeichnen, jedem musse die Natur das Namliche eingeben.' For the Latin quotation at the end of this criticism (xx, 86) see below, p. 479, note 2.

admiration (xxv, 44). In Schroder's judgment Ekhof's Masuren in *Der poetische Dorfjunker* was a caricature, and Karoline Schulze described it as 'ekelhaft', but Lessing does not mention his acting of this part at all; his Patelin again, which was notorious for its vulgarity, Lessing describes (xiv, 108) as 'quite excellent'.<sup>1</sup>

Ekhof's weak side was a growing vanity which led him to show his versatility by playing rôles for which he was physically unsuited. We find him assuming youthful parts—Franz in Die Hausfranzosin,<sup>2</sup> Von Kaltenborn in Das Testament, Lycast, the younger brother in Romanus's Die Bruder, while the young actor Bock played the older brother—when he was not far short of fifty.<sup>3</sup> At the first performance of Minna von Barnhelm in Hamburg he played Tellheim, and Schröder commented on his performance: 'Unubertreffl[ich], wenn der Korper gepasst hatte.'<sup>4</sup>

Ekhof had also literary ambitions and talent. He translated La Chaussée's Die Mutterschule in 1753, and Collet's Die wuste Insel in 1762; he had a share in the version of Voltaire's Der verlorene Sohn, published in Hamburg in 1754 (in Schonemann's Neue Sammlung von Schauspielen, i) as well as in the verse translation of Der verheyrathete Philosoph by Destouches. A rendering, published in 1780, of the chapter on the theatre in J. F. von Bielfeld's Progrès des Allemands was by him, and he had the intention of translating an English book on The Art of Acting and of writing a history of the German theatre. His playbills and materials collected for this purpose, now preserved in the Herzogliche Bibliothek, Gotha, are of the greatest value for the theatrical history of his time. He is also said to have translated Diderot's Père de Famille, but to have withdrawn his translation when Lessing's version appeared.

Ekhof may be said to have combined a respect for the classical traditions of the art of acting in the eighteenth century with the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Cp. Meyer, op. cst i, pp. 128 f., 139; see also below, p. 69.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> But see below, p. 80.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Meyer, op. cit. 1, p. 151.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Ibid. 11, 2, p. 20. The Hamburgische Unterhaltungen, vi, 6, December, 1768, while praising his acting of the part, wished 'er [mochte 1hn] durchgehends mit mehr Wurde spielen'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Cp H. A. O. Reichard's Theater-Kalender, oder Taschenbuch fur die Schaubuhne, auf das Jahr 1777, Gotha, p. 177.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> See below, p. 62. Lessing's criticism of this translation is in St. xII (49).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> This may possibly have been An Essay on the Art of Acting, by Aaron Hill, London, 1746.

naturalism which had been introduced with the new 'tragedy of common life' and which was sponsored by Diderot; in other words his attitude was identical with that which Lessing maintained as a critic of the drama. This may well explain the wholehearted appreciation which Lessing expresses for Ekhof's art. In other respects Ekhof showed himself worthy of the sobriquet subsequently given him: 'der Vater der deutschen Schauspielkunst'. In an age when his profession was regarded as lying outside the pale of respectable citizenship, he won by his straight dealing, his upright life, his efforts to improve the moral and social standing of the actor, and his own intercourse with the intellectual élite of his time, general respect which redounded to the honour of the German theatre.

Beside Ekhof stood, as the two outstanding male players of the National Theatre, Bock and Borchers. Johann Michael Bock (1743-93), who had begun life as a barber, joined Ackermann's company in 1762 and came rapidly to the front. He seems to have been a serviceable actor with an unimposing figure and no very conspicuous genius; but he was a favourite with the public. An anecdote which Schroder tells of him has clung pertinaciously to his memory. On his return to Hamburg in 1768, Schröder asked him how he was getting on; his reply was to the effect that he had now learned the trick of winning applause—which was to let his voice drop before his exit and then suddenly burst out into loud tones.1 It is possible that Lessing had this affectation in mind when in St. v (119) he deprecated the appeal of certain actors to the gallery by a noisy exit. Bock was better in youthful comedy parts—Schröder mentions Theophan in Lessing's Freygeist as his best<sup>2</sup>—than in tragedy, where his declamation tended to degenerate into rant. He remained faithful to Ekhof and became his successor in Gotha, where he had the reputation of being a good Hamlet. Subsequently he went to Mannheim where he played leading rôles with Iffland. He was the first Karl Moor. His death occurred in 1793.

David Borchers (1744-96) was much more talented than Böck. He was a native of Hamburg, and the son of a clergyman. He early gave up his theological studies at Göttingen to become an actor; and Ackermann took him into his company as a beginner at eight marks a week. He had an unmistakable talent for the stage; even as a novice he played with extraordinary sureness, and his versatility was greater

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> F. L. W. Meyer, op. cit. i, p. 187. <sup>2</sup> Ibid. p. 147.

than that of any other German actor of his time. He seems to have been equally successful as a young lover and a comic 'charge'; and he even shared with Ekhof quite elderly parts. The only time that Lessing mentions him (xix, 120), it is to praise him for this versatility. Had it not been for a lamentable instability of character which was his ruin, he might have risen to be one of the great actors of his time.

The new direction retained—perhaps as a kind of peace-offering the services of Ackermann and his two daughters; but Ackermann, who had been through so much anxiety in the preceding year, was not very willing to co-operate: he declined at first to let himself be formally bound. He promised, however, to help the new company should difficulties arise. He would accept no payment for such services during the summer, which he spent mainly with relatives in Mecklenburg.1 His name appeared only once on the playbills in Lessing's time, in Der verheyrathete Philosoph by Destouches, and on that occasion (April 30, 1767; XII, 59) Lessing described him as 'unexceptionable' ('unverbesserlich'); but he also acted during the month of May in Das Caffeehaus, Miss Sara Sampson, Das Gespenst mit der Trommel (twice) and Die falschen Vertraulichkeiten; on July 3 and again on August 24 he played in Solimann der Zweyte; and in the performances of Minna von Barnhelm on September 302 and October 1 he was the Wachtmeister. From September on he accepted a regular engagement at eight talers a week, the same sum being paid for the services of his daughters.3 The situation was, no doubt, a difficult one—the actual owner of the theatre was a salaried actor of the company; and there may have been some ground for Lowen's complaint that it was one of the reasons for the ultimate disruption.

Of the other actors of the troupe little can or need be said. Lessing has a word of commendation for Johann Gottlieb Hensel, the husband of Madame Hensel; he and Merschy seem to have been capable interpreters of lackeys and servants—Lessing praises them for this (xvm, 69)—and such characters had a large share in the comedy of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> F. L. Schmidt, Geschichte des Hamburgischen Theaters (Fortsetzung) in Almanach fürs Theater, Hamburg, 1810, p. 5 (quoted also by Litzmann, op. cit. i, p. 345).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> This date is uncertain. The *Hamburgische Address-Comton Nachrichten* of September 28, 1767, announced the first performance for September 30, and a repetition for October 1. The playbill for September 30 is missing from Ekhof's collection; the printed word 'nochmals' on the playbill for October 1 has been crossed out in ink.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> F. L. Schmidt, loc. cit.

the time.¹ Christian Witthoft was engaged from Koch's company to take over Ackermann's rôles; he was a capable actor of comic old men and servants. Schmelz, Cludius, Garbrecht and Schulz are hardly more than names to us.

Of the women the most commanding personality was, needless to say, Sophie Friederike Hensel (née Sparmann). She was born in Dresden on May 23, 1738, and began her stage career in 1754, her début being in Voltaire's Zayre. A year later she married Hensel; but the bonds of matrimony did not lie heavily on either husband or wife. For the greater part of her stage career she had been associated with Ackermann; her reputation rapidly grew, and at the time of the National Theatre she was generally looked upon as one of the first actresses of her time. Lessing describes her (iv, 108) as 'unquestionably one of the best actresses the German theatre has ever had', and he gives us the impression that he regarded her as a worthy partner of Ekhof himself. But in the case of no member of the Hamburg staff was it more obviously necessary for him to express himself warily than in hers. She had an imposing stage presence and an impressive declamation. 'Sie weiss', he said, (iv, 110)

den verworrensten, holprichsten, dunkelsten Vers, mit einer Leichtigkeit, mit einer Pracision zu sagen, dass er durch ihre Stimme die deutlichste Erklärung, den vollstandigsten Commentar erhalt. Sie verbindet damit nicht selten ein Raffinement, welches entweder von einer sehr glucklichen Empfindung, oder von einer sehr richtigen Beurteilung zeuget.

And he proceeds to demonstrate these qualities by an analysis of her rendering of the love scene in Act iii, sc. 3 of Olint und Sophronia.<sup>2</sup> One cannot help thinking, however, that when Lessing reproves the poet for letting his Clorinde 'rave in the true tone of a drunken vivandière' (v, 39), he is also expressing disapproval of the interpreter of the rôle. He describes in detail and praises Madame Hensel's death scene in

<sup>2</sup> This is an imitation of a similar analysis by Sainte Albine of a scene in *Phèdre* (see below, pp. 478 f.).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Schroder's opinion of them (Meyer, op. at. 1, pp. 147 f.) was: 'Hensel war fleissig, und in dummen schlafrigen Bedienten, und einigen komischen Alten, sehr brav. Er ubertrieb nie. Zu ernsthaften Rollen konnte er nur aus Noth gebraucht werden. Merschy besass mehr Leben und eigentlich komische Kraft, aber es fehlte ihm an Kopf. Als Figurant war er brauchbar, und ein trefflicher Pierot.' Schroder is said to have taken Lessing to task for his praise of these two actors. Lessing's answer was: 'Wollen Sie auf eine Redensart Gewicht legen, die sich selbst widerspricht? Was kann man sich nicht besser wunschen?' (v. W. Cosack, Materialien zur Hamburgischen Dramaturgie, 2nd ed. Paderborn, 1891, p. 133.)

Miss Sara Sampson (XIII, 123): 'Nothing more can be demanded from art than what she achieves in the rôle of Sara.'1 But in writing of her Cenie on May 22 he tempered high praise with a word of censure. He said (xx, 70): 'I only know of one defect, but it is a very rare defect, a very enviable defect: the actress is too big for the rôle. I seem to see a giant exercising with the gun of a cadet. I should not like to do everything that I am able to do excellently.' Madame Hensel resented this criticism as a reflection on her personal appearance, with the unfortunate consequence that, after the twenty-fifth part of the Dramaturgie, Lessing decided that it would be advisable not to criticise the players at all. Another ground for Madame Hensel's umbrage may have been that she felt Lessing was not doing her justice in his journal. Down to the beginning of June, when his criticism of the actors was suspended, she had played twelve leading parts, and of these only the three just mentioned had been noticed by him. He ignored her playing of Semiramis, Zayre and Henriette (in Der poetische Dorfjunker)—in the two latter of which at least she was famous—while he had criticised Madame Lowen on five occasions.

In his criticism of the acting of *Graf Essex*, in which Madame Hensel did not appear, Lessing makes a generalisation which seems to throw some light on his judgment of her. In speaking of the difficulties of an actress in impersonating a character such as Queen Elizabeth, who is both tender and proud, he asks (xxv, 66):

Wie kann eine Aktrice nun weiter gehen, als die Natur? Ist sie von einem majestatischen Wuchse, tont ihre Stimme voller und mannlicher, ist ihre Blick dreist, ist ihre Bewegung schnell und herzhaft: so werden ihr die stolzen Stellen vortrefflich gelingen; aber wie steht es mit den zärtlichen?

¹ Karoline Schulze, Madame Hensel's predecessor in Hamburg, asserted in her Denkwurdigkeiten, published by H. Uhde in Riehl's Historisches Taschenbuch, 5te. Folge, iii, Leipzig, 1873, p. 398 (referred to by Petersen, Lessings Werke (Goldene Klassiker-Bibliothek), Berlin [1925-29], Anmerkungen zu Teil 1-7, p. 193) that her rival had borrowed her 'business' from her: 'An jungen Actricen, welche neben ihr emporzukommen trachteten, versundigte sie sich geradezu; so auch an mir. Und doch lauschte sie mir mehr als eine Nuance meines Spieles ab; so zum Beispiel copirte sie mich vollig als Sarah Sampson, und wenn ihr der grosse Lessing in seiner "Dramaturgie" wegen der Sterbescene ein so bedeutendes Compliment macht, so gilt dasselbe eigentlich mir, denn die Hensel ahmte mir sklavisch nach. Leider hatte ich ja Gelegenheit gehabt, die Schauer des Todes an mehr als einem Sterbebette zu studiren! Cp. for a fuller account Lebenserunerungen der Karoline Schulze-Kummerfeld, ed. E. Benezé (Schriften der Gesellschaft für Theatergeschichte, xxiii and xxiv), Berlin, 1915, i, pp. 222, 224 ff.

Ist ihre Figur hingegen weniger imponirend; herrscht in ihren Minen Sanftmuth, in ihren Augen ein bescheidnes Feuer, in ihrer Stimme mehr Wohlklang, als Nachdruck; ist in ihrer Bewegung mehr Anstand und Wurde, als Kraft und Geist: so wird sie den zartlichen Stellen die volligste Genuge leisten; aber auch den stolzen? Sie wird sie nicht verderben, ganz gewiss nicht...

It is tempting to see in this contrast that between Madame Hensel and Madame Lowen; the more so as in the next paragraph he replies to the former's unwillingness to be criticised. Possibly, too, the penultimate sentence of this section (145) is again a reflexion on Madame Hensel:

Eine ernsthafte Koniginn, mit gerunzelter Stirne, mit einem Blicke, der alles scheu und zitternd macht, mit einem Tone der Stimme, der allein ihr Gehorsam verschaffen konnte, wenn die zu verliebten Klagen gebracht wird, und nach den kleinen Bedurfnissen ihrer Leidenschaft seufzet, ist fast, fast lacherlich.

As far as we can now judge, Madame Hensel was at her best in pathetic and tragic parts, while her tragedy queens of a heroic mould were apt to degenerate into extravagance and rant. Schroder disliked her long drawn-out 'Zittertone' but found her excellent in quiet rôles; he was unable, however, to understand how Lessing could compare her with Ekhof. He commented once, too, on her dragoon-like stride, which suggests the criticism of Lessing's that gave offence. She was endowed to the full with the vanity of her calling, and we have already seen how her jealousy of Karoline Schulze had contributed to the dissolution of Ackermann's management in 1765. After the close of the National Theatre she remained in Hanover with Seyler; later she played again in Vienna, where, however, she never enjoyed much favour. She died in 1789.

Lowen's wife, Elisabeth Lucia Dorothea—a daughter of Schönemann, born in 1732 and married in 1757—shared the honours with Madame Hensel as far as it was permitted for anyone to share them. But whether she was entitled to this honour by her gifts, or merely by her husband's position in the theatre, it is a little difficult to say. As Demoiselle Schönemann she had been a favourite with the Hamburg

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Meyer, op. cit. i, pp. 96, 141 f.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Years later in a letter to Freiherr von Gebler (October 25, 1772; Schriften, xviii, p. 56) Lessing gave his personal opinion of Madame Hensel: 'Ich bin kein personlicher Freund von Madame Hanselm. Aber ich muss ihr die Gerechtigkeit widerfahren lassen, dass 1ch noch keine Actrice gefunden, die das, was sie zu sagen hat, mehr versteht, und es mehr empfinden lasst, dass sie es versteht.'

public, but she had retired from the stage on her marriage. When she joined the company now, she had not acted for nine years (VIII, 44); and when the Hamburg enterprise came to an end she did not act again. Here again, Lessing's flattering tribute to her powers obviously cannot be taken too literally. Criticising her Melanide, he said (VIII, 47):

Madame Lowen verbindet mit dem silbernen Tone der sonoresten lieblichsten Stimme, mit dem offensten, ruhigsten und gleichwohl ausdruckfahigsten Gesichte von der Welt, das feinste schnellste Gefuhl, die sicherste warmste Empfindung, die sich, zwar nicht immer so lebhaft, als es viele wunschen, doch allezeit mit Anstand und Wurde aussert.

In the part of Celiante (Der verheyrathete Philosoph, XII, 57) he said she was a 'Meisterinn'; in her performance as Orphise (Cente, xx, 63) he again praised her 'Wurde und Empfindung': 'Every mien speaks of the calm consciousness of her unrecognised worth; and gentle melancholy can only be successfully expressed by eyes and tone like hers.' He paid her a compliment when she appeared as Frau Praatgern in Die stumme Schönheit (XIII, 116), although he did not find the rôle suited to her talent. Finally, on one occasion only, he had the opportunity to which he had looked forward (vm, 99) of criticising her in a tragic rôle, that of Elisabeth in Graf Essex (xxv, 85). He commended her, and justified her for representing the queen rather as 'the tender woman' than 'the proud monarch'. Her talent was obviously of a quieter and more subdued kind than Madame Hensel's; more than once Lessing uses the expression 'Anstand und Wurde' in describing her acting.1 She showed to the best advantage in comedy; in tragedy, Meyer tells us, she had the stiff declamatory style of her father's school.2

It is sometimes claimed that the real genius among the actresses of the Hamburg theatre was not Madame Hensel, but Madame Susanna Mecour (née Preissler). Born in Frankfurt am Main in 1738, she made her début in 1754, and had a varied and chequered career before the Hamburg days. Shortly before she came to Hamburg she had been playing with the Viennese company of Bernardon (J. F.

 $<sup>^{1}\,</sup>$  vi, 9; vm, 51; (for a further use of the expression 'Anstand und Wurde' cp. Liv, 123).

Meyer, op. cit. i, p. 182: '[Madam Lowen erhielt] den grossen und verdienten Beifall,...der jeder ihrer Darstellungen gebuhrte. Nur im hohen Trauerspiel waren Sprache und Anstand etwas geziert, und erinnerten an den allgemeinen Fehler der Schonemannschen Schule, von dem nur Eckhof sich rein erhielt.'

Kurz), which visited the chief middle German towns in 1765 and 1766; and she was, no doubt, the best of Lowen's new recruits. She brought with her several of the plays, new to Hamburg, in which she had been appearing, among them Olint und Sophronia; but she does not seem to have made much impression as Sophronia. Nor, indeed. was she suited to tragic rôles. Her particular province was soubrette parts, her best being Franziska in Lessing's Minna von Barnhelm. Unfortunately, either from vanity or from an unwillingness to cross Madame Hensel, she made it a condition that Lessing should not mention her, either favourably or unfavourably, in his Dramaturgie. This refusal, if it has deprived her of immortality, has also woven a nimbus of mystery round her head and has perhaps tempted critics to make exaggerated claims for her genius. It is difficult to form any clear estimate of her success; but personal charm she certainly had, as Schröder was to find later. She acted subsequently under Ekhof in Gotha, and then again in Vienna, where she died in 1784. A portrait of her as Franziska is to be found in Reichard's Theater-Kalender auf das Jahr 1776.1

Sophie Bock (née Schulz), wife of the actor Bock, played as her speciality coquette rôles, and in particular those in which she had to don male attire: 'she handled the hat', said Schröder, 'more easily than the fan'. In parts in which she was not called upon to belie her sex she was stiff and affected, whereas in doublet and hose she possessed grace, self-assurance and freedom. Lessing commends her as Manley in Weisse's Amalia; she played the rôle, he says (xx, 92), 'with much charm and all the unforced ease without which we should find it rather improbable that a young woman should be so long unrecognised as such'. But her broad Hamburg accent seems to have been a serious disadvantage.

Ackermann's two daughters, Dorothea and Charlotte, were also, as we have seen, members of the company; but they did not take—or were not permitted to take—much active part in Lessing's time. But Dorothea was then only fifteen and her sister ten. They won warm praise from Meyer, but it is questionable whether they inherited much of their parents' talent. Dorothea retired from the stage when she married in 1778, and Charlotte died suddenly in 1775 at the age of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> On Susanna Mecour see particularly B. Litzmann, op. cit. ii, pp. 26 ff. and Reinecke, Biographien einiger deutschen Schauspielerinnen, i (Kopenhagen und Leipzig, 1787), pp. 177–90. For her performance of Sophronia in Hamburg see below, p. 55.

<sup>2</sup> Meyer, op. cit. i, p. 142.



MADAME MECOUR, HERR BOCK,
HERR BRANDES
as FRANCISCA, TELLHEIM and
WERNER IN
Muna von Barnhelm
(Act III, Sc 10)



MADAME BOCK and HERR ECKHOF
as LISE and JURGE in
Der Bauer mit der Erbschaft
(Sc. 1)

Reproduced from Theater-Kalender auf das Jahr 1776, Gotha

eighteen. Therese Schulz, who was engaged from Breslau on Lessing's recommendation, does not seem to have justified expectations. But Cornelie Felbrich, a young actress for whom Lessing has a word of encouragement (x, 78) was a genuine loss to the company when she left Hamburg in November, 1767, to join Karl Dobbelin in Berlin. The other women—the wives of the actors Schmelz, Merschy, Renouard, Garbrecht and Witthoft—played only unimportant minor rôles, and do not call for notice.

Regret has sometimes been expressed that one member of Ackermann's company, his afterwards famous stepson Friedrich Ludwig Schröder, should not have been retained and thus have been associated with the first 'National Theatre'. But in those early days it could hardly be realised that he was anything more than a capable dancer and a useful actor in minor (and particularly 'harlequin') rôles. Schröder himself was not disposed to submit himself to the stiffer discipline which was threatened, and to the critical eye of Ekhof. He preferred to try his fortune with the more easy-going Viennese company of Bernardon, which was then playing in Mannheim.

The management of the ballets, which would certainly have benefited by young Schroder's collaboration, was entrusted to an old balletmaster, Curioni, who had done useful service under Ackermann; but as Lessing did not share Lowen's approval of these, he never mentions them, and consequently they do not concern us here.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Cp. Meyer, op. cst. i, p. 183: 'Unter allen ersten Erwartungen machte Demoiselle Felbrich, am 30sten April, in der neuen Agnes, das meiste Gluck.'

# CHAPTER II

# THE REPERTORY OF THE THEATRE

The repertory of the 'National Theatre' of 1767-69—which the historian of the Hamburg stage described as 'unexceptionable'—shows, in point of fact, hardly a trace of that purpose which Lowen had emphasised in his *Vorlaufige Nachricht*, namely, to encourage and further the interests of German—or indeed, any other—dramatic literature. Not merely had the offer of prizes for new German plays to be eliminated from the scheme at an early stage, but also any pretence at all of serving literary ends. The plays which were performed were selected exclusively from the standpoint of theatrical expediency.

The new lessees had, it will be remembered, purchased, or undertaken to purchase, from Ackermann the properties and costumes which had accumulated under his management, and it was natural that they should wish to repeat the more elaborately and expensively staged productions of that management. This made the inclusion in the repertory of such pieces as Rodogune, Zelmire and Solimann II inevitable. But a much more cogent ground for choice lay in the interests and demands of the actors. Madame Hensel had to be provided, in the first instance, with an opportunity of appearing in the rôles with which she had won popularity, and especially those in which she believed she could demonstrate her superiority to the rival she displaced, Karoline Schulze. This was an immediate motive for the production of such works as Miss Sara Sampson, Rodogune, Zayre, Merope, Semiramis, Der verheyrathete Philosoph. The wife of the director, Madame Lowen, who was returning to the stage after a long absence, naturally desired to appear in parts with which she had won her earlier laurels: Elisabeth in Graf Essex, Melanide, Julie in Das unvermuthete Hinderniss, Frau Praatgern in Die stumme Schönheit, perhaps even Mlle La Fleche in Die Hausfranzosin. The principal newcomer, Madame Mecour, no doubt made it a condition of her engagement that she should appear in the rôles in which she had shone as a member of Kurz's troupe in the immediately preceding years. Hence the choice of Olint und Sophronia, Julie, and numerous other pieces in which soubrette parts were prominent—hence perhaps also the revival of Quinault's Die coquette Mutter.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> J. F. Schutze, op. cit. p. 342; cp. F. L. W. Meyer, op. cit. i, p. 184.

Of the men, Ekhof obviously had to be primarily considered—or at least, he so considered himself. But as the mainstay of the company he acted so frequently and had so many opportunities of displaying his talents that he could have no reason to complain. In any case, a season in which he did not show himself in Cenie, Miss Sara Sampson, Sidnei, Graf Essex, Democrit, Amalia, Der Advokat Patelin, Der Mann nach der Uhr, Das Caffeehaus, and, of course, Der Bauer mit der Erbschaft, was unthinkable. Richard der Dritte and Der Hausvater also gave him excellent opportunities. But there is more than a suspicion that his desire to show his versatility by appearing in absurdly juvenile parts was responsible for the revival of Die stumme Schonheit, Die Frauenschule, Die Bruder and Regnard's Der Spieler. The talents of the other members of the company had also to be shown to advantage; while for the frequent performances of Lowen's worthless after-pieces Die neue Agnese and Das Räthsel no explanation is necessary. Thus until the performance of Minna von Barnhelm some five months after the 'Enterprise' was inaugurated—and the delay, it must in fairness to the management be explained, was not due to neglect on their part, but to political scruples on the part of the official Prussian resident in Hamburg—it is difficult to point to a single play the choice of which was due to a desire to serve the ends of literature. And by the time Minna von Barnhelm was produced the theatre was in financial difficulties, and there could be less consideration than ever for literary interests. It is little wonder then that Lessing's execution of that part of his plan which was covered by the phrase 'critisches Register' was so often perfunctory. Nor is it surprising that students of the Hamburgische Dramaturgie have not given the repertory of the theatre the same critical attention which they have bestowed on the dramatic theory for which that repertory provided the materials.

The section of Hamburg theatre history which is covered by the new experiment extended, as we have seen, from April 22, 1767, to March 3, 1769. It falls into four periods: the first in Hamburg from April 22 to December 4, 1767; the second in Hanover from December 28, 1767 until May 6, 1768; the third again in Hamburg from May 13 to November 25, 1768; and a final season in Hanover from December 2, 1768 to March 3, 1769. The playbills for the entire period, with few exceptions, were kept by Ekhof, and are preserved in the Herzogliche Bibliothek at Gotha. Some of the few gaps can fortunately be filled by reference to the collection in the Hamburg Staats- und Universitatsbibliothek. There are two repertory lists among Lessing's

papers which supplement the playbills. The first of these, a record of the performances in Hamburg from July 1 to December 4, 1767, accompanied by a few notes and memoranda, must have been drafted by him, as Muncker has shown, about the middle of December 1767. The second is a list of the performances of the second Hamburg period, and was drawn up by Lowen at the end of November, 1768.

While only a small section of this repertory concerns the reader of the Hamburgische Dramaturgie, it will not be without interest to survey the activity of the Hamburg theatre as a whole; its 'unexceptionable' programme throws valuable light on the state of the German theatre and the conditions of German theatrical enterprise in the eighteenth century. It has also to be remembered that, although the latest performance to be recorded in Lessing's journal was that of July 28, 1767, he had by that date only written about one-third of the work, and while he was engaged on the remainder he witnessed, or had at least the opportunity of witnessing, the continued activity of the theatre far beyond July. This, as will be seen, is not entirely without bearing on his criticism.

In accordance with a common practice of the eighteenth-century theatre, the evening entertainment in Hamburg, which began at half-past five (occasionally at five o'clock), consisted normally of two items: a tragedy or comedy, for the most part of five acts, followed by lighter fare, a one-act comedy or farce ('Nachspiel'), the latter being varied by pantomimic representations given by the ballet of the company, by musical divertissements, or even, as the theatre degenerated, by less reputable attractions. The theatre was only open on five evenings of the week, there being no performances on Saturdays and Sundays. In the following summary of its activities I do not deal with the ballets—as has been seen, they were completely ignored by Lessing, but some of them seem to have been elaborate choreographic productions, and they were occasionally introduced by lengthy synopses in the programme of the evening. Such were, in Lessing's time, Admetus und Alceste, produced on June 2,3 and Armide, on July 14.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Schriften, xv, pp. 48 ff. <sup>2</sup> Ibid. p. 55.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The following is the description from the playbill (in Gotha) of this 'grosse Heroisch-Pantomimische Ballet': 'Der Schauplatz stellt einen Tempel vor, in dessen Mitte ein Altar ist. Alceste und Admetus stehen mit ihren Gefolge zur Seiten. Amor fuhrt den Admetus und Hymen die Alceste zum Altar, und die Liebesgotter zunden ihre Fackeln an. Admetus verlangt, dass Alceste ihren Schleyer abnehmen soll: sie weigert sich: Amor thut es an ihrer Statt, und sie wirft sich in die Arme ihres Gemahls. Der Tempel wird unter dem Getose des

And there were Turkish ballets, Chinese ballets, ballets of gipsies, huntsmen, gardeners, Flanders peasants and Strassburg market-folk. Harlequin pantomimes were re-instated by the balletmaster Barzanti, who joined the company in September, 1767. They were particularly popular, Harlekins Grabmal being played thirteen times, Die Geburt des Harlekins aus einem Ei five, Reise des Harlekin und Pierot five, and Arlekin ein Sklave in der Türkei four. Later on, four Italian Intermezzi were produced, La Serva padrona, Il Giocatore e la Bachettone, La Giardiniera Contessa and La Pace campestre.

Leaving aside then such ingredients of the repertory, I append a synopsis of all the dramatic works performed by the 'National Theatre' in Hamburg and Hanover during the entire period of its existence <sup>2</sup> Where the number of acts in the plays in my lists is not specified, it is five; in the case of the 'Nachspiele', one. I have added the original date of production of each play and the number of performances given.<sup>3</sup>

Donners durch ein finstres Gewolke bedeckt: Apollo erscheint in den Wolken, und wirft einen Dolch mitten unter das Volk, worauf der Altar auf einmal folgende feurige Schrift zeigt. Admetus. Peribit. Nisi. Ouis. Cadat. Pro. Ipso. Das Volk weicht vor Angst zuruck. Die Hoflinge verlassen den Admetus, und niemand will sich fur ihn zu sterben darbieten. Er empfindet hierauf die Wurkung dieser schrocklichen Verkundigung: er erblasset, und ohngeachtet aller Hulfe der Alceste, sinkt er todt bey den Stufen des Altars nieder. Alceste nimt den Dolch, durchstosst sich, und fallt den Herumstehenden in die Arme. Sobald der Stoss geschehen, wird Admetus wieder lebendig wie er aber Alcesten erblickt, fallt er seinen Leuten voll Raserev und sinnlos in die Arme. Das finstre Gewolke verschwindet; das Chor der Gotter erscheint in den Wolken, und lasst sich über dem Tempel nieder. Durch Hulfe des Amors werden Admetus und Alceste wieder lebendig. die Freude wird allgemein, und eine von Geniis getragene Blumenkrone komt aus den Wolken uber dieses Paar.' (See also R. Schlosser, Vom Hamburger Nationaltheater zur Gothaer Hofbuhne, 1767-1779 (Theatergeschichtliche Forschungen, xiii), Hamburg, 1895, p 98.) 1 Cp. the list of ballets performed under Ackermann and Schroder given by Meyer, op. cit. ii, 2, pp. 76 ff.

<sup>2</sup> The information in these lists is based mainly on Ekhof's collection of playbills preserved in the Herzogliche Bibliothek at Gotha; but I am also much indebted to the work of R. Schlosser quoted above. Schlosser's statistics contain, however, a number of inaccuracies.

<sup>3</sup> The statistics of performances are based on the information contained in Ekhof's collection of playbills. Where the evidence of these conflicts with that of the announcements in the *Hamburgische Address-Comtoir Nachrichten*, the playbills have been taken as authoritative, except in one instance (see below, p. 44 note 1); for the small number of performances for which the playbills are missing, the announcements in the *Hamburgische Address-Comtoir Nachrichten* have been used and figures including this evidence have been added in square brackets.

# TRAGEDIES

## GERMAN

I. E. Schlegel, Canut (1748), 1.

G. E. Lessing, Miss Sara Sampson (1755), 5

C. F. Weisse, Eduard III (1758), 2, Richard III (1759), 4; Rosemunde (1761), 3; Crispus (1764), 4; Romeo und Julie (1767), 9.

J. F. von Cronegk, Codrus (1760), 1; Olint und Sophronia (1760), 4.

H. P. Sturz, Julie und Belmont (1767), 4.

C. H. von Ayrenhoff, Hermann und Thusnelda (1768), 1.

# FRENCH

P. Corneille, Rodogune (1644, printed 1647), 8.

Th. Corneille, Der Graf von Essex (1678), 2.

F. de Voltaire, Zayre (1732), 4; Alzire (1736), 3 [4]; Mahomet (1742), 4 [5], Merope (1743), 4; Semiramis (1748), 7.

A. M. Lemierre, Hypermnester (1758), 5. P. L. Buyrette de Belloy, Zelmire (1762), 4.

I. G. Dubois Fontanelle, Ericia, oder die Vestalin (1768), 6.

## ENGLISH

G. Lillo, Georg Barnwell (1731), 3.

J. Thomson, Eduard und Eleonora (1739), 5.

E. Moore, Der Spieler (1753), 4.

# COMEDIES (INCLUDING 'SERIOUS' COMEDIES) OF MORE THAN ONE ACT

## GERMAN

L. A. Gottsched, Die Hausfranzösin (1744), 1.

J. E. Schlegel, Der Triumph der guten Frauen (1748), 5.1

J. C. Kriger, Die Candidaten (1748), 4.

G. E. Lessing, Der Misogyn (3 acts) (1755), 5; Der beschämte Freygeist (1755), 5 [6]; Minna von Barnhelm (1767), 15 [16].<sup>2</sup>

K. F. Romanus, Crispin als Vater (3 acts) (1756), 4; Die Brüder (1761), 4.

C. F. Weisse, Amalia (1765), 6; List uber List (1767), 6.

F. von Heufeld, Julie (3 acts) (1766), 7.

J. F. Lowen, Ich habe es beschlossen (3 acts) (1766), 3.

The number of performances of this play for which playbills are to be found in Ekhof's collection in Gotha is 6. But this figure includes a playbill for June 10, 1768; and the *Hamburgische Address-Comton Nachrichten* of June 11 states that actually *Sidnei* and *Der Advocat Patelin* were given on the previous evening, owing to the indisposition of Madame Hensel. This evidence has been accepted and the number of performances of these three plays calculated accordingly.

<sup>2</sup> See above, p. 33, note 2.

J. C. Brandes, Der Schein betrugt (1767), 7; Der Graf von Olsbach (1768), 2. J. L. Schlosser, Der Zweykampf (1767), 6.

# FRENCH

J. B. P. Molière, Die Mannerschule (3 acts) (1661), 1; Die Frauenschule (1662), 7; Der Geitzige (1667), 6; Der Tartuffe (1667), 1; Amphitryon (3 acts) (1668), 2; Der Kranke in der Einbildung (3 acts) (1673), 1 [2].

Ph Quinault, Die coquette Mutter (1665), 1.

- J. F. Regnard, Der Spieler (1696), 5; Der Zerstreute (1697), 5; Democrit (1700), 5.
- D. A. de Brueys and J. Palaprat, Der Stumme (1691), 6; [de Brueys], Der Advocat Patelin (1706), 81

A. R. Lesage, Turcaret (1709), 1.

J. de Lafont, Der Schiffbruch (3 acts) (1710), 4.

- Ph. N. Destouches, Das unvermuthete Hinderniss (1717), 4; Der Philosoph, der sich der Heyrath schamet (1727), 7; Der Ruhmredige (1732), 4; Der Poet vom Lande (1736, played 1759), 6; Das Gespenst mit der Trommel (1736, played 1762), 1.
- P. C. de Chamblain de Marivaux, Die Überraschung der Liebe (3 acts) (1722), 7, Das falsche Kammermadchen (3 acts) (1724), 4; Das Spiel der Liebe und des Zufalls (3 acts) (1730), 5; Die falschen Vertraulichkeiten (1737), 4.

L. F. de la Drevetière Delisle, Der Wilde (3 acts) (1721), 1.

T. S. Gueullette, Die Liebe als Lehrmeister (3 acts) (1726), 2.

F. de Voltaire, Der verlorene Sohn (1736), 2; Nanne (3 acts) (1749), 6; Die Frau, welche Recht hat (3 acts) (played 1748 in one-act version, and 1758 in three-act version, printed in three-act version, 1759), 3; Das Caffeehaus (1760), 4; Das Herrenrecht (1762), 4.

P. C. Nivelle de la Chaussée, Das Vorurtherl nach der Mode (1735), 2; Melanide (1741), 6; Die Mütterschule (1744), 6.

J. B. L. Gresset, Sidnei (3 acts) (1745), 6.2

G. F. Poullain de Saint-Foix, Die vollkommenen Verliebten (4 acts) (1748), 1 [2].

C. S. Favart, Solimann II (3 acts) (1761), 9.

F. d'Happoncourt de Grafigny, Cenie (1750), 6.

L. de Boissy, Der Mann nach der Welt (1740), 2.

D. Diderot, Der naturliche Sohn (1757), 1; Der Hausvater (1758), 12.

M. J. Sedaine, Der Philosoph, ohne es zu wissen (1765), 6.

P. A. Caron de Beaumarchais, Eugenie (1767), 10.

C. G. Fenouillot de Falbaire, Der Galeerensklave (L'honnête Criminel) (1767), 7 [8].

#### ITALIAN

C. Goldoni, Der Diener zweier Herren (1749), 2; Der Lugner (1748), 6; Die schlaue Wittwe (1748), 4; Der Vormund (1753), 1; Die verstellte Kranke (1750), 7. (All these comedies are in 3 acts.)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See above, p. 44, note 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See above, p. 44, note 1.

## ENGLISH

G. Colman, Die eifersuchtige Ehefrau (1761), 5.

## Dutch

P. Langendijk, Claus Lustig (Krelis Louwen, 3 acts) (1715), 1.

# NACHSPIELE

#### GERMAN

- K. C. Gartner, Die geprüfte Treue (1744), 1.
- J. E. Schlegel, Die stumme Schonheit (1748), 6.
- C. Mylius, Der Kuss (1748), 1.
- C. F. Gellert, Die kranke Frau (1747), 3.
- J. C. Kruger, Herzog Muchel (1750), 5.
- G. E. Lessing, *Der Schatz* (1750, printed 1755), 2.
- S. Gessner, *Erast* (1762), 2.
- G. K. Pfeffel, Der Schatz (1761), 6.
- F. A. Nuth, Die Gouvernante (1763, in revised form), 1.
- Th. G. von Hippel, Der Mann nach der Uhr (1760), 9.
- J. F. Lowen, Die neue Agnese (1767), 9; Das Rathsel (1767), 5.
- D. Schiebeler, Die Schule der Junglinge (1767), 4.

# FRENCH

- M. A. Le Grand, Die ausschweifende Familie (1709), 2; Der sehende Blinde (1716), 5; Der Sieg der vergangenen Zeit (1725), 2.
- Ph. N. Destouches, Die dreyfache Heyrath (1716), 1.
- P. C. de Chamblain de Marivaux, Der unvermuthete Ausgang (1724), 4; Der Bauer mit der Erbschaft (1725), 11.
- G. F. Poullain de Saint-Foix, Das Orakel (1740), 2; Deukalion und Pyrrha (1741), 5; Der Finanzpachter (1761), 2.
- Cérou, Der Liebhaber als Schriftsteller und Bedienter (1740), 4.
- Th. L'Affichard, Ist er von Familie? (1736), 5.
- F. A. de Chevrier, Die Frau als Magd (L'Épouse suivante) (1755), 2.
- P. Rousseau, Irrungen (Les Méprises) (1754), 4 [5].
- S. R. N. Chamfort, Die junge Indianerin (1764), 5.
- B J. Saurin, Die Sitten der Zeit (1760), 2 [3].
- [J. B. Collet de Messine], Die wuste Insel (imitated from Metastasio, L'isola disabitata) (1758), 2.
- Ph. Poisson, Die Heyrath durch Wechselbriefe (1735), 5.
- A 'Festspiel', Der Burger, was performed on two evenings.

This list may be conveniently summarised in respect of the country of origin of the plays. The figures in brackets are the number of performances.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> These totals include the figures given in square brackets for the individual plays.

	German	French	English	Italian	Dutch
Tragedies	11 (38)	10 (49)	3 (12)		
Comedies (of more than one act)	15 (82)	43 (194)	I (5)	5 (20)	1 (1)
Nachspiele (comedies in one act)	13 (54)	17 (65)			_
Festspiele	I (2)			*******	-
Totals	40 (176)	70 (308)	4 (17)	5 (20)	ı (ı)

The total number of dramatic works in the repertory was 120 and the number of performances 522. Thus there is an average of rather more than four for each piece.

As far as the German constituents of this repertory are concerned. the two authors who head the list are Lessing and Weisse. The former's Misogyn, Der Freygeist and Miss Sara Sambson have each performances in excess of the average, and Minna von Barnhelm with its sixteen<sup>1</sup> representations was much the most popular play in the entire repertory. In all, Lessing was played on thirty-four evenings. Weisse with seven plays—five tragedies and two comedies—has the same number of evenings to his credit, his 'burgerliches Trauerspiel' Romeo und Julie proving the most popular of his plays with nine representations. Of the older generation, Johann Elias Schlegel alone maintained his hold on the German public with the two comedies Der Triumph der guten Frauen and Die stumme Schönheit (in all eleven performances); but the day of his tragedies was past, Canut being only played once. I. C. Kruger reached nine performances with two comedies, and K. F. Romanus, also with two comedies, eight. Of immediate contemporaries of the theatre, J. F. Lowen, perhaps for obvious reasons, comes first with three pieces and seventeen representations: Heufeld's Julie reached seven; Schlosser's Der Zweykambf six, but Sturz's Julie und Belmont only four. J. C. Brandes, who joined the company as an actor in 1768, and whose plays were subsequently to bulk so largely in German repertories, occupied nine evenings with two comedies.

The oldest constituent of the repertory is naturally the French drama of the seventeenth century; but here, too, as in the German repertory, it is noticeable that the older classic tragedy in alexandrines,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This and the succeeding figure assume a performance of *Minna von Barnhelm* on September 30, 1767, in respect of which there is some uncertainty. See above, p. 33, note 2.

for the supremacy of which Gottsched had fought thirty years before, had ceased to attract. Racine is unrepresented in the repertory, and if Corneille's Rodogune reached the respectable number of eight performances, this was due partly to its being played in a new translation and partly to an elaborate mise-en-scène. Most significant of all for the change in taste is that Der Graf von Essex, by Thomas Corneille, the most popular historical tragedy of the earlier eighteenth century in Germany, could only be performed twice. Molière, however, remained in favour with six comedies and nineteen performances; but only Die Frauenschule and Der Geitzige had any real success. Regnard, a well-established favourite, could still register fifteen representations for three of his comedies.

But the dominating dramatist of the Hamburg theatre, as he is the dominating figure of the Hamburgische Dramaturgie, is Voltaire. Of no other dramatist were so many plays performed, namely ten; and the aggregate of the number of evenings devoted to him-fortythree—far exceeds that for the next most popular dramatists, Lessing and Weisse. But by 1767 Voltaire's day on the German stage was beginning to wane; he belonged to the old guard who had been the main props of the Schönemann period. Alzire and Zayre, in fact, go back to Caroline Neuber's time in Leipzig; and they stood at the height of their vogue in the early 'forties. Their revival now could not but have an antiquarian flavour. Next to Voltaire in popularity comes Marivaux with six comedies and thirty-five performances; thus he also is in advance of Lessing and Weisse. Then comes Destouches, with six plays and twenty-three performances. Nivelle de la Chaussée is represented by three plays performed fourteen times. De Brueys enjoyed considerable popularity with two comedies, one in collaboration with Palaprat (fourteen performances); but the most popular French comedy in the repertory, with the exception of Marivaux' Der Bauer mit der Erbschaft, was Favart's Solimann der Zweyte, with nine performances—a success, however, that was largely due to its being one of the show pieces not merely of this company, but of Ackermann's before it. As far as the work of quite contemporary French dramatists is concerned, it is interesting to note that Sedaine's Der Philosoph, ohne es zu wissen reached six performances, and Fontanelle's Ericia the same number; while the Zelmire of De Belloy, a writer who had won the high favour of the Paris public, only rose to four. The form of French play that appealed most to the new generation in Germany was the so-called 'drame'. Diderot had a success with his Hausvater

(twelve performances) which could, comparatively speaking, hardly be paralleled in France; Beaumarchaus' *Eugenie* was performed ten times, and Falbaire's *Galeerensklave* eight.

It is surprising that English drama should be so poorly represented in the repertory, for under Ackermann the English tragedy of common life was in favour; but even Edward Moore's *Der Spieler*, which had been one of Ackermann's favourites, was in these years only played four times. Italy is better represented by Goldoni, another of Ackermann's favourite authors, five of whose comedies were performed on twenty evenings.

Modern critics complain of the neglect of German plays in favour of those of French and other foreign origin; they are emphatic in their condemnation of the ballets and harlequinades with which the work of this 'National' Theatre was 'degraded'. But does the Hamburg theatre of 1767-69 compare in this respect so unfavourably with other theatrical undertakings of its time? There was not a theatre in Europe in the eighteenth century which did not eke out its serious entertainment and increase its attractiveness by the very means which the Hamburg theatre employed; our own Drury Lane, then and for many a year afterwards, thought nothing of softening the asperities of a Shakespearean tragedy by tacking on to it a roaring farce, or some other form of entertainment quite as repugnant to our modern sense of artistic decency as the kind of 'afterplay' provided in Hamburg. Native works, it is true, were neglected in favour of plays of foreign, and especially French, origin; as a matter of fact, the German plays of the Hamburg repertory do not exceed some thirty-three per cent. of the whole. But is this distressing feature to be laid at the door of the Hamburg management? Is it not simply a testimony to the poverty of German dramatic production? Moreover, it has to be noted in defence of the theatre that, as it progressed, the balance against the national literature was steadily redressed. After the first season in Hamburg, eleven new German plays were added to the repertory, as compared with thirteen French plays and one English play; and the number of performances of these novelties was not very unequal-namely, forty-five of the German productions, compared with sixty of the foreign.

Lessing himself gives us no reason to think that he was particularly dissatisfied with the repertory. While recognising (Ank. 72) that mediocre plays must form a part of it, he accepted the whole as quite reasonably satisfactory. Nor is there any hint, except in the fact that

he does not criticise them, that he regarded the ballets as a degradation of the theatre. In respect of the quality of the repertory, I doubt much whether Lessing saw in it the defects which strike us to-day. He may have had hard enough things to say about the literary qualities of the works which came under his notice, but recognising the practical exigencies of the theatre (Ank. 24 ff.) he does not cavil at its choice of plays, nor does he offer any suggestion as to how the quality of the repertory might be improved. In fact, his equanimity here is a little disappointing. There are some modern critics who imagine that Lessing would have welcomed it, had his Hamburg theatre elected to produce Shakespeare's plays instead of Voltaire's, which he takes a particular delight in condemning by pointing to the superiority of the English poet. But I question very much whether Lessing or any one else on the continent in his time would have countenanced such an experiment, before Ducis, in a series of adaptations between 1760 and 1702, had pointed out how Shakespeare could be rendered practicable for the eighteenth-century theatre. Even when his association with the theatre had long been severed and the unhappy 'Enterprise' had failed, it did not occur to Lessing, in the last section of the Dramaturgie, to ascribe that failure to the bad selection of plays for performance.

The fatal defect of the Hamburg venture, then, was inherent from its inception: it was an actor-controlled theatre. Lowen may have had the best intentions of creating a living theatre in touch with the best literary ideals, but he was powerless against this weight of interest: and probably the theatre would have failed still more disastrously had he carried out his policy. Some of the plays produced under the new management were old favourites—and pretty threadbare favourites that had come down from the days of Caroline Neuber. These had passed over into the repertory of her successor Schönemann; a few, not on Schonemann's list, had been played by other companies, notably Koch's. (The French pieces of this category, indeed, were still produced in the translations available in Gottsched's Schaubühne or in the eight volumes of Schonemann's.) This, the oldest constituent of the repertory of the National Theatre, includes about fifty-two pieces, that is to say, some forty-three per cent. of the whole. No less than thirty-eight other pieces were taken over by Lowen from Ackermann's repertory, that is, some thirty-two per cent. Of those which remain-most of which were anything but new plays-only a very few were performed under the new management for the first time. During the period when Lessing commented on the theatre, at least, not more than four of the plays—I exclude the ancient Coquette Mutter of Quinault, of which I have been able to find no trace in earlier theatrical records—were performed for the first time in Hamburg. These were Cronegk's Olint und Sophroma and Heufeld's Julie—both imported from Vienna—and the two quite inconsiderable trifles by the director of the theatre, Lowen—Die neue Agnese and Das Rathsel.

The Hamburgische Dramaturgie is only concerned with the activity of the Hamburg theatre during the first fourteen weeks of its existence, namely, from April 22 to July 28, 1767. In that period the theatre was open on fifty-two evenings, and its repertory was as follows:

# REPERTORY OF THE HAMBURG THEATRE

# APRIL 22-JULY 28, 1767

Wednesday, April 22 Cronegk, Olint und Sophronia.

Le Grand, Der Sieg der vergangenen Zeit.

Thursday, April 23 Cronegk, Olint und Sophroma.

Le Grand, Der Sieg der vergangenen Zeit.

Friday, April 24 La Chaussée, Melanide.

Ballet: Der Wirth auf dem Berge.

Monday, April 27 Heufeld, Julie. Lessing, Der Schatz.

Tuesday, April 28 Destouches, Das unvermuthete Hinderniss.

Lowen, Die neue Agnese.

Wednesday, April 29 Voltaire, Semiramis.

Friday, May 1

Ballet: Die verwandelten Zittern.

Thursday, April 30 Destouches, Der Philosoph, der sich der Heyrath schamet.

Lowen, Die neue Agnese. Voltaire, Das Caffeehaus.

Ballet: Die verwandelten Zittern.

Monday, May 4 Lowen, Die neue Agnese.

Nuth, Die Gouvernante (with a ballet).

Tuesday, May 5 Destouches, Der Poet vom Lande. Schlegel, Die stumme Schönheit.

Wednesday, May 6 Lessing, Miss Sara Sampson.
Ballet: Die Heu-Erndte.

Thursday, May 7 Regnard, Der Spieler.

Ballet: Der Wirth auf dem Berge.

Friday, May 8 Destouches, Der Philosoph, der sich der Heyrath schamet. Cerou, Der Liebhaber, als ein Schriftsteller und Bedienter.

Monday, May 11 Quinault, Die coquette Mutter.

De Brueys, Der Advocat Patelin.

Tuesday, May 12 Lessing, Der beschamte Freygeist.

Pfeffel, Der Schatz. Wednesday, May 13 Voltaire, Zayre.

Ballet: Ein serieuses Ballet.

Thursday, May 14 Gresset, Sidner.

L'Affichard, Ist er von Familie?

Friday, May 15 Destouches, Das Gespenst mit der Trommel.

Ballet: Das neue serreuse Ballet.

Monday, May 181 Destouches, Der Philosoph, der sich der Heyrath schamet.

Ballet. Die Zigeuner in ihrem Lager.

Tuesday, May 19 Regnard, Democrit.

Ballet: Die verwandelten Zittern.

Wednesday, May 20 Marivaux, Die falschen Vertraulichkeiten.

L'Affichard, Ist er von Familie?

Thursday, May 21

De Belloy, Zelmire.

Ballet: Ein serieuses Ballet.

Friday, May 22

Grafigny, Cente.

Ballet: Die Zigeuner in ihrem Lager.

Monday, May 25

Weisse, Amalia.

Saint-Foix, Der Finanzpachter.

Tuesday, May 262

Thursday, June 48

De Belloy, Zelmire.

Ballet: Der Wirth auf dem Berge.

Friday, May 29 La Chaussée, Die Mütter-Schule. Ballet: Ein neues Gartner-Ballet.

Monday, June 1 Voltaire, Namne.

Lowen, Die neue Agnese.

Ballet.

Tuesday, June 2 De Brueys, Der Advocat Patelin.

Gellert, Die kranke Frau.

Ballet: Admetus und Alceste. La Chaussée, Melanide.

Wednesday, June 3 La Chaussée, Melanide. Hippel, Der Mann nach der Uhr,

Corneille, Der Graf von Essex.

Ballet.

Wednesday, June 10<sup>4</sup> Mad. Gottsched, Die Hausfranzösin. Ballet: Die Zigeuner in ihrem Lager.

<sup>2</sup> The Feast of the Assumption of Our Lady fell on May 28; the theatre was closed on May 27 and 28.

8 Whit-Sunday fell on June 7; the theatre was closed on June 5, 8 and 9.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The programme of May 18 was given by request, in the presence of Princess Louise, sister of the King of Denmark, and her husband Prince Karl of Hesse (Hamburgische Address-Comtoir Nachrichten, May 21, 1767).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> For Wednesday, June 10 'nach den Feyertagen', the *Hamburgische Address-Comtor Nachrichten* of June 4 announced *Ruchard der Dritte*, but announced on June 11 that this play was not given 'weil die Direction für gut fand, einige Rollen anders zu besetzen'.

Thursday, June 11 Voltaire, Semiramis.

Ballet: Admetus und Alceste.

Friday, June 12

Voltaire, Nanine.

Ballet.

Marivaux, Der Bauer mit der Erbschaft.

Monday, June 291

Regnard, Der Zerstreute.

Wednesday, July 1

Lowen, Das Rathsel. Corneille, Rodogune.

Friday, July 32

Ballet: Armide. Favart, Solimann der Zweyte.

Ballet.

Saturday, July 43

Voltaire, Nanine.

De Brueys, Der Advocat Patelin.

Tuesday, July 7

Voltaire, Merope.

Wednesday, July 8

Ballet: Ein serieuses Ballet.
Destouches, Der Philosoph, der sich der Heyrath schämet.

Lowen, Die neue Agnese.

Thursday, July 9

Schlegel, Der Triumph der guten Frauen. Ballet: Die verwandelten Zittern.

Friday, July 10

Grafigny, Cenie.

Hippel, Der Mann nach der Uhr.

Monday, July 13

Molière, Die Frauen-Schule.

Ballet.

Tuesday, July 14

La Chaussée, Die Mutter-Schule.

Ballet: Armide.

Wednesday, July 15

Corneille, Der Graf von Essex.

Friday, July 174

Ballet: Die Zigeuner in ihrem Lager. Romanus, Die Bruder.

Monday, July 20

Saint-Foix, Das Orakel. Lessing, Miss Sara Sampson.

Ballet

Tuesday, July 21

Schlosser, Der Zweykampf.

[Collet de Messine], Die wüste Insel.5

<sup>1</sup> From June 13-28 the theatre was closed owing to mourning for the death of the Empress Maria Josepha, wife of Joseph II.

<sup>2</sup> There was no performance on July 2 owing to the Feast of the Visitation of Our Lady. The playbill for July 3 is missing from Ekhof's collection, but the *Hamburgische Address-Comtoir Nachrichten* confirm the programme stated above.

<sup>3</sup> This exceptional performance on a Saturday was due to the visit of the King of Denmark. There was none on Monday, July 6, as the theatre was used for a masked ball.

<sup>4</sup> On Thursday, July 16, the actors were apparently given a holiday. The *Hamburgische Address-Comtoir Nachrichten* for July 16 contains the notice 'Heute bleibt der Schauplatz geschlossen'.

<sup>5</sup> For the programme of July 21 Lessing substitutes that of July 28, possibly by an oversight. See p. 90, note 2. For July 28 Lessing inserts a performance of Romanus's *Bruder*.

54

Wednesday, July 22 Weisse, Richard der Dritte.

Kruger, Herzog Michel

Thursday, July 23 Voltaire, Die Frau, welche Recht hat.

L'Affichard, Ist er von Familie?

Friday, July 24 Molière, Die Frauen-Schule.1

Ballet: Die Jager.

Monday, July 27 Diderot, Der Hausvater.

Ballet

Tuesday, July 28 Voltaire, Nanine.

Ballet.

Marivaux, Der unvermuthete Ausgang.<sup>2</sup>

Beyond giving a synopsis of all these plays the older commentaries on Lessing's work are sparing in their information about them. Notably, little attempt has been made to identify the translations of the French items of the repertory; and in fact, it is usually stated that in the great majority of instances it is impossible to attain certainty in this matter. This, however, is not the case; and, as will be seen from the data which I have collected in the following notes, it would be possible—were the undertaking not an entirely futile one—to provide in print, with rare exceptions, the actual versions of all the plays performed during the period of Lessing's association with the theatre.

The notes in the present chapter are concerned exclusively with the theatrical history of the plays in question, Lessing's criticism of them being reserved for the second part of this volume. Only in the case of plays where some knowledge of the plot and contents is necessary for the understanding of what Lessing has to say about them, have I added a brief synopsis.

1. Olint und Sophronia, a tragedy in five acts and in alexandrines by Johann Friedrich von Cronegk. Wednesday, April 22, 1767. [St. 1-VII.] This play, in the unfinished form in which Cronegk left it, was published in his Schriften, Leipzig and Ansbach, 1760 (2nd ed. 1761-63, i, pp. 279 ff.). It was completed by Cassian Anton von Roschmann-Hörburg (1739-1806), and first performed in Vienna on January 14, 1764 (J. H. F. Müller, Genaue Nachrichten von beyden k. k.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For the programme of July 24 Lessing substitutes that of July 31 (Gresset, Sidner and Le Grand, Der sehende Blinde, followed by the ballet Die verwandelten Zittern).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See above, p. 53, note 5.

Schaubuhnen in Wien, Pressburg, 1772, p. 30). It was published as 'ein christliches Trauerspiel' in the fourth volume of the Neue Sammlung von Schauspielen (Vienna, 1764); and in the 'Vorbericht' to volume iii (p. 6) it is stated that the play 'auf hiesiger Schaubuhne ungemeinen Beyfall erhalten [hat]; und man wird ihm denselben—oder wir mussten sehr irren—auch an andern Orten nicht versagen'. There are reprints in Theater der Deutschen, v (1767), pp. 121 ff., and in Lessings Jugendfreunde, ed. by J. Minor (Deutsche Nationalliteratur, 1xxii [1883], pp. 121 ff.). (See K. F. Kummer, Cronegks Olint und Sophronia, fortgesetzt von Roschmann in Archiv fur Litteraturgeschichte (ed. F. Schnorr von Carolsfeld), Leipzig, ix (1880), pp. 64 ff. Cp. also Zeitschrift für die Österreichischen Gymnasien, xxx (1879), p. 119.) Bernardon (J. F. von Kurz) included it in his repertory when his company played in Munich (1765), Nurnberg (1766) and Frankfurt (1767); in these performances, as originally in Vienna and now, Susanna Mecour played the rôle of Sophronia (see F. Raab, J. J. F. von Kurz, Frankfurt, 1899, pp. 135, 149 f.). The fact that this play had been selected by Kurz to open the 'Comodien-Haus im Fechthaus' in Nurnberg on June 24, 1766, may have been one reason for its being used to open the new Hamburg undertaking. In Kurz's flamboyant Ankindigung it had been proclaimed a masterpiece: 'Wir machen damit den Anfang, da wir uns nun im Stande sehen, nach unseren Absichten, und der hegenden ehrfurchtsvollesten Achtung vor die hiesigen Kenner und Liebhaber einer reinen deutschen Schaubuhne etwas vollkommenes zu zeigen... (F. Raab, 7. 7. F. von Kurz, p. 149).

The cast of the play was; Aladin, Schmelz; Argant, Cludius; Ismenor, Borchers; Olint, Bock; Evander, Ekhof ('trefflich' was Schröder's comment, F. L. W. Meyer, op. cit. ii, 2, p. 19); Sophronia, Mad. Mecour; Serena, Mlle Ackermann; Clorinde, Mad. Hensel; Hernicie, Mad. Merschy The choruses (of Christian virgins) were omitted. Lessing restricts his criticism of the actors to Ekhof and Madame Hensel (St. II-v). From F. L. W. Meyer (op. cit. i, pp. 181f.) we learn, however, that 'die Sophronia der Madam Mecour [gefiel] nicht sehr, vielleicht weil sie nicht zu sehr gefallen sollte...Auch Schmelz gefiel nicht als Aladın.' Lessing mentions the 'aussere Pracht' of the representation (II, 79). Cp. J. F. Schutze, Hamburgische Theatergeschichte, p. 342: 'Die Dekorationen und Kleidungen waren schön und geschmackvoll. Man gieng nicht unbefriedigt aus der Vorstellung und kam mit Erwartung zur nachsten. Aber man fand oder glaubte nicht zu finden, was man erwartete.' F. L. W. Meyer (op. cit. i, p. 159)

p. 196: 'Diese Stucke sind von Hn. Dusch, dem ietzigen Freunde des Herrn Lessings. Man muss sie auswendig lernen. Es sind eine Menge Verse darinnen, die Sprichworter werden konnen, wie die von Boileau.') This view is followed by Schmid (Chronologie, ed. by P. Legband, Berlin, 1902, p. 164), K. H. Jordens (Lexikon deutscher Dichter, Leipzig, 1806-11, vi, p. 28) and others; and among modern critics by C. C. Redlich (Lessings Werke, Berlin [1868-77], xix, p. 659). Dusch would thus have been the 'deutsche(n) Dryden in der Nähe' (VII, 128). A difficulty in accepting this view is that in the preface to his Fabeln in 1759, Lessing had expressed himself about Dusch in very different terms; and in the Literaturbriefe (1759-60) he had written with particular acerbity: 'Herr Dusch hat nicht Witz und Erfindungskraft genug, ein Dichter zu seyn; und ein Philosoph zu seyn, nicht genug Scharfsinn und Grundlichkeit' (cp Schriften, vii, p. 417; viii, pp. 93 ff., 101 ff., 110). The critic of the Dramaturgie in Klotz's Deutsche Bibliothek der schonen Wissenschaften, iii (Halle, 1769), pp. 55 f. commented on Lessing's inconsistency, he, too, being of the opinion that Dusch was the author of prologue and epilogue:

Dass auch grosse Kunstrichter sich in ihren Urtheilen nicht gleich bleiben, erweise ich aus dem Urtheile uber Duschen. Hier heisst er ein Dichter, der es mehr als irgend ein andrer versteht, tießinnigen Verstand mit Witz aufzuheitern, und nachdenklichem Ernste die gefällige Mine des Scherzes zu geben, hier heisst er der Deutschen Dryden, der mehr als alle unsre Dichter so gut wie der Engellander, Moral und Kritik mit attischen Salze zu würzen versteht. Dies schreibt Duschens Recensent in den Litteraturbriefen, zu den sich Herr Lessing in der allgemeinen Bibliothek hat bekennen lassen, so sehr er es ehemals in der Vorrede zu den Fabeln leugnete.

Mendelssohn, however, had published a respectful review of Dusch's poetry in the Allgemeine deutsche Bibliothek, v (1767), pp. 3 ff.—there is also a somewhat less favourable one in the Bibliothek der schönen Wissenschaften, iv (1758), pp. 532 ff.—and it is conceivable that Lessing might have been influenced by his friend's opinion here, as he was in so much else. The view that Lowen wrote both prologue and epilogue seems to have been first put forward by Adolf Stahr (G. E. Lessings Leben, Berlin, 1859, i, p. 282), and it is maintained by Cosack (Materialien zu Lessings Hamburgischer Dramaturgie, Paderborn,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This edition, published by Gustav Hempel, is frequently referred to as the Hempel edition.

- 1891, pp. 43 f.), and by W. Oehlke (Lessing und seine Zeit, Munich, 1919, ii, p. 16). It would have been natural that he should be the author, especially as he had written the prologue with which the same theatre was opened by Ackermann in 1765. The question is dealt with at length by O. D. Potkoff (op. cit. pp. 114 ff.; cp. also p. 20) who decides in favour of Lowen's authorship. He mentions that as a student in Gottingen in 1748, Lowen had read a paper to the 'Deutsche Gesellschaft' on the theme: 'In einer wohleingerichteten Republik muss der Flor der Schaubuhne nothwendig erhalten werden,' in which views on the relation of state and stage similar to those in this prologue were expressed. In spite of the readiness of contemporary opinion to ascribe the poems to Dusch, I confess that it is difficult for me to think that Lowen would have let himself be deprived of the privilege of writing prologue and epilogue to the first performance.
- 2. Der Triumph der vergangenen Zeit, a comedy in one act by Marc Antoine Le Grand. Wednesday, April 22, 1767. [St. v, 136.] Le Tems passé was one of three one-act plays published under the general title Le Triomphe du tems (1725). The German version was produced as Der Sieg der vergangenen Zeit-the title which it also bears on the present playbill—by Schönemann on April 6, 1747; and it remained a popular after-piece. Gottsched in his Nothiger Vorrath (i. Leipzig. 1757, p. 325), under the year 1746, mentions a translation which appeared 'in einer Monathschrifft, ohne Titel und Ort, mit der Devise: Quantum est in rebus inane!' This journal was Das Buch ohne Titel, edited by the brothers Adolf and Elias Schlegel (E. Wolff, Vierteljahresschrift für deutsche Literaturgeschichte, iv (1891), p. 385). The cast on the present occasion was: Cleon, Garbrecht; Frau Roquentin, Mad. Garbrecht; Leander, Borchers; Isabelle, Mlle Ackermann; Drillot, Merschy; Dorinette, Mad. Schulz. F. L. W. Meyer (op. cit. i, p. 182) comments on the performance: 'Im Nachspiel, dem Siege der vergangenen Zeit, debutirte Madam Therese Schulz als Dorinette, und Garbrecht und seine Frau, die veraltet, und nur als Schutzbefohlne der Madam Hensel angenommen waren.' It was only repeated once, on the following evening.
- 3. Melanide, a comedy in five acts by Nivelle de la Chaussée. Friday, April 24, 1767. [St. vm, 3.] Mélanide, comédie en cinq actes et en vers (1741). The translation is in prose (vm, 27). Originally produced in Hamburg on October 4, 1742 (F. L. W. Meyer, op. cit.

ii, 2, p. 44), this play enjoyed a long popularity on the German stage. B. Litzmann (F. L. Schroder, i, Hamburg, 1890, p. 33) mentions B. H. Brockes as the author of the translation; but he does not give the source of his information. Gottsched again states (Nothiger Vorrath, i, p. 324) that a translation appeared in a now undiscoverable Sammlung einiger Schrifften zum Zeitvertreibe des Geschmacks, St. IV, Leipzig, 1746; and C. H. Schmid adds (Nekrolog, Berlin, 1785, p. 247) that this collection was edited by J. Elias Schlegel and N. D. Giseke. Actually, however, the editor seems to have been J. Adolf Schlegel (J. von Antoniewicz, 7. E. Schlegels ästhetische und dramaturgische Schriften, in Deutsche Litteraturdenkmale des 18. und 19. Jahrhunderts, 26, Heilbronn, 1887, p. cxxxiv). The translation played by Schonemann (and, no doubt, used now) was produced at Schwerin on August 6, 1753. It may have been new then. It is printed in Schönemann's Schaubühne,1 vii (Neue Sammlung von Schauspielen, i, 1754; see H. Devrient, J. F. Schönemann, Hamburg, 1895, pp. 219, 233). Cast: Dorisee, Mad. Schmelz; Rosalie, Mad. Mecour; Theodon, Borchers; D'Orvigny, Ekhof ('trefflich' was Schroder's comment, Meyer, op. cit. ii, 2, p. 19); Melanide, Mad. Lowen; von Arviane, Böck. It was repeated on June 3 (XXII, 60), August 13, October 16, 1767, January 21, 1768 (in Hanover), and July 4, 1768. It was followed on the present occasion by 'ein pantomimisches Ballet, Der Wirth auf dem Berge, von der Erfindung des Herrn Balletmeisters Curioni', which was repeated four times.

4. Julie, oder Wettstreit der Pflicht und Liebe, a comedy in three acts by Franz Heufeld. Monday, April 27, 1767. [St. viii, 101-ix, 101]. Goedeke (Grundriss zur Geschichte der deutschen Duchtung (3rd ed.), IV (1), p. 657), mentions two editions, one published at Vienna in 1766, and a 16mo edition without date. This 'neues deutsches Original' (viii, 101) was new to Hamburg, but had been produced in Vienna on December 6, 1766 (J. H. F. Müller, op. cit. p. 35). On the author (1731-95) see Goedeke, loc. cit., and also Schmid (Chronologie, ed. cit. pp. 159 f.) who criticises him adversely. Cast: Baron von Adelburg, Ekhof ('sehr gut', is Schröder's comment, Meyer, op. cit. ii, 2, p. 19);

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The first volume of Schonemann's Schaubuhne is entitled Sechs Schauspiele aus dem Franzosischen ubersetzt, Brunswick and Hamburg, 1748; volumes ii to vi, Schauspiele, welche auf der...Schonemannischen Schaubuhne aufgeführt werden: they appeared respectively in 1748, 1749, 1749, 1751, and 1752; volumes vii and viii form the two volumes of Neue Sammlung von Schauspielen, i, ii, Hamburg, 1754 and 1757.

Baronessin, Mad. Garbrecht; Julie, Mad. Hensel; Clarisse, Mad. Mecour; Mylord Eduard, Borchers; Wolmar, Schmelz; Siegmund, Bock. *Julie* was not repeated until August 14; then on September 1, November 17, 1767, April 6 (in Hanover), July 26, and August 25, 1768.

Siegmund is tutor in the house of the Baron von Adelburg, and falls in love with his pupil, the Baron's daughter, Julie He resolves, as his love is obviously hopeless, to leave the house; but at his parting from Julie he learns that she reciprocates his affection. Julie has, however, been promised to Wolmar, who has just returned from a business journey to England; he finds Julie and Siegmund together, and is suspicious; a duel is the consequence. The duel, however, is interrupted. Julie's father now learns of the affair with Siegmund, and reproaches his wife for having taken so low-born a fellow into the house. He beseeches his daughter on his knees not to disgrace the family She yields to him; and Siegmund nobly renounces her. Then Wolmar announces that he can never love Julie, and induces the Baron, who is impressed by Siegmund's manly bearing, to make the lovers happy.

- 5. Der Schatz, a comedy in one act by Lessing. Monday, April 27, 1767. [St. IX, 102.] 'Verfertiget im Jahre 1750', this play was first published in the fifth part of Lessing's Schriften (Berlin, 1755, pp. 189 ff.). The earliest representation in Hamburg seems to have taken place under Ackermann in 1757 (Meyer, op. cit. ii, 2, p. 53); but it is difficult to obtain reliable information concerning the theatrical history of Lessing's minor plays. Cast: Leander, Schmelz; Staleno, Garbrecht; Philto, Borchers; Anselmus, Ekhof; Lelio, Bock; Mascarill, Hensel; Raps, Merschy. Under the present management it was only played on one other occasion, on May 20, 1768.
- 6. Das unvermuthete Hinderniss, oder das Hinderniss ohne Hinderniss, a comedy in five acts by Ph. Néricault Destouches. Tuesday, April 28, 1767. [St. x, 1.] L'Obstacle imprévu, ou l'Obstacle sans obstacle, comédie en cinq actes en prose (1717). Although a favourite with the German public in the time of Caroline Neuber, who produced it in 1735 (Reden-Esbeck, op. cit. p. 108), this comedy did not appear in Schönemann's repertory until August 6, 1755 (H. Devrient, op. cit. p. 252). The translation, the author of which is unknown, was published in Schönemann's Neue Sammlung von Schauspielen, ii (Schwerin), in 1757. Cast: Lisimon, Garbrecht; Licander, Ekhof; Julie, Mad. Löwen; Grafin de la Pepiniere, Mad. Garbrecht; Angelique, Mad. Schulz;

Leander, Bock; Valer, Borchers; Nerine, Mad. Mecour; Crispin, Merschy; Pasquin, Hensel. It was played again on November 24, 1767, and on April 15 (in Hanover), and May 25, 1768.

- 7. Die neue Agnese, a comedy in one act by J. F. Lowen. Tuesday, April 28, 1767. [St. x, 40.] This 'Nachspiel' was first printed in the Hamburgische Unterhaltungen, vi, 5, November, 1768, pp. 364 ff. On the playbill it is described as a 'neue Comodie', without the author's name; this was first mentioned on the occasion of the third performance on May 4: 'Eine Comodie des Herrn Lowen in einem Aufzuge. Nach einer franzosischen Operette [by Favart]: Isabelle und Gerdrut.' Lessing had evidently the original manuscript before him (x, 74). Cast: Gerdrut, Mad. Schulz; Agnese, Mlle Felbrich; Mangold, Ekhof; Richard, Garbrecht; Valentin, Bock. The piece was repeated on April 30, May 4 (XIII, 5), June 1, July 8 (LI, 2), August 11, 1767, March 4, April 12 (In Hanover), and June 3, 1768.
- 8. Semiramis, a tragedy in five acts by Voltaire. Wednesday, April 29, 1767. [St. x, 86-xII, 44.] Sémiramis, Tragédie en cinq actes en vers (1748). The translation, in alexandrines, was by J. F. Lowen: 'Semiramis, ein Trauerspiel in Versen und funf Aufzügen, vom Herrn Sekretar Lowen, aus den Werken des Herrn von Voltaire übersetzt.'1 It was included in Schonemann's Neue Sammlung von Schauspielen, ii (1757), and first played by Schonemann's company, probably early in 1755 (cp. H. Devrient, op. cit. p. 276). There is also a reprint (dated 1763) in the Viennese Neue Sammlung von Schauspielen, iii (1764), and a separate reprint, of which there is a copy in the British Museum (xvi and 80 pp), appeared in Vienna in 1765. Lowen's preface is dated 'Rostock am 6. des Jan. 1755'. (See K. Groschl, Die deutschen Übersetzungen Voltaire'scher Tragodien (Prager deutsche Studien, xxi), Prague, 1912, pp. 58 ff.) 'Lowens Übersetzung', said J. von Sonnenfels (Briefe uber die Wiener Schaubuhne, Vienna, 1768, p. 309; reprint in Wiener Neudrucke, vii, Vienna, 1884, p. 128; cp. also pp. 208 ff.), 'erreicht naturlich den Schwung des Originals nicht; aber welche Ubersetzung kann den jemals erreichen? Fur eine Menge schieler. und hartlaufiger Verse halten uns eine Menge sehr wohlklingende

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Hamburgische Address-Comtoir Nachrichten of April 27 contained the information that '...Herr Director Lowen hat die ehemals wider seinen Willen gedruckte Übersetzung, aufs neue durchgesehen, sehr stark verandert und verbessert; und nach dieser Veranderung wird die Semiramis kunftig auf dem hiesigen Theater aufgeführt werden'.

und ausdrucksvolle schadlos.' In a letter to Klotz from Rostock on October 22, 1769, Lowen says: (Briefe deutscher Gelehrten an Klotz, herausg. von J. J. A. von Hagen, ii, Halle, 1773, p. 8, quoted in Lessing und Hamburg, Festgabe der Staats- und Universitätsbibliothek, Hamburg, 1929, p. 25):

Dem Herrn von Sonnenfels verdenke ich es, dass er der lahmen Übersetzung der Semiramis Erwehnung thut. Da er doch weiss, dass ich sie in meinen Junglingsjahren gemacht, dass sie ganz wider meinen Willen vor vielen Jahren von Schonemann, und noch dazu sehr fehlerhaft, gedruckt, dass sie nachher von mir ganz umgeschmolzen, und auch nur nach dieser Verbesserung auf dem Hamburgischen Theater gespielt ist. Allein ich habe sie nie mögen drucken lassen, weil ich immer noch nicht selbst damit zufrieden bin.

There is a note on the playbill: 'Das Mausolaum und die ubrigen Verzierungen in dieser Tragodie, die, selbst nach dem Gestandniss des Herrn von Voltaire, von einer ganz besondern Art ist, und wo das Schrecken und das Wunderbare auf das Hochste getrieben, sind von dem Herrn Rosenberg verfertiget' (cp. xII, 42). For this note another was substituted on the playbill at the second performance on June 11: 'Die Symphonie zu dieser Tragodie, wie auch die Musik zwischen jedem Aufzuge, ist von dem Herrn Agricola in Berlin componirt.' The cast was: Semiramis, Mad. Hensel; Arsatz, Bock; Azema, Mad. Mecour (she had played this rôle in Vienna): Assur, Borchers: Oroes. Ekhof ('meisterhaft,' said Schroder, Meyer, op. cit. ii, 2, p. 19); Otan, Hensel; Mitran, Schmelz; Cedar, Cludius. Repetitions took place on June 11 (XXVI, 16-XXVII), September 2, 1767, March 11, April 20, September 13, 1768, and February 2, 1769. At the first performance it was followed by 'ein pantomimisches Ballet: Die verwandelten Zittern. von der Erfindung des Herrn Balletmeisters Curioni', which was repeated seven times.

9. Der verheyrathete Philosoph—on the playbill Der Philosoph, der sich der Heyrath schamet—a comedy in five acts by Ph. Néricault Destouches. Thursday, April 30, 1767. [St. xII, 45.] Le Philosophe marié, ou le Mari honteux de l'être, comédie en cinq actes en vers (1727). The translation was in alexandrines, and was by J. C. Kruger with the help of Ekhof (see above, p. 31, and H. Devrient, op. cit. p. 145); it originally bore the title Der verehelichte Philosoph (Schönemann's Schaubühne, i, 1748), later that of Der verheyrathete Philosoph, oder der Ehemann der sich schämet, es zu seyn, and in the Viennese Neue Sammlung von Schauspielen, ix, 1767,

it was entitled Der verehlichte Philosoph, oder der Mann, der sich schamet, einer zu seyn (1765). Devrient (op. cit. p. 145), who gives no date for the incorporation of the play in Schonemann's repertory, quotes from a criticism in the Hamburgische Beytrage (1752): 'Mich deucht, wenn der verehelichte Philosoph unter die Hande eines bessern Übersetzers geraten ware, man wurde ihn lieber sehen, aber itzt sieht er uber die massen verunstaltet aus.' It reappears in Ackermann's repertory from 1754 on. Madame Hensel had played her present rôle in Vienna in 1765. The cast at the first performance now was: Arist, Ekhof; Damon, Bock: Marquis von Lauret, Borchers; Lisimon, Hensel; Geront, Ackermann (xII, 59); Melite, Mad. Hensel; Celiante, Mad. Löwen: Finette, Mad. Mecour. Repetitions took place on May 8 (xIV, 80), May 18 (XVII, 92), July 8 (LI, 2), August 31, December 29, 1767, and February 17, 1768. On this last occasion, the title on the playbill was Der verheyrathete Philosoph oder: Der Mann, der sich der Heyrath schamt. It was followed on April 30 by Lowen's Die neue Agnese.

10. Das Caffeehaus, oder die Schottländerinn, a comedy in five acts by Voltaire. Friday, May 1, 1767. [St. XII, 63.] L'Écossaise, comédie en cinq actes en prose, par M. Hume, traduite en François [par Jérôme Carré] (1760). 'Imprimée', says Léris (Dictionnaire portatif historique et littéraire des Théâtres, 2nd ed. Paris, 1763, p. 159), 'au commencement de l'année 1760, et donnée au Thésâtre Francais le 27 Juillet suivant, avec le plus grand concours.' The translation was by J. J. C. Bode. Das Caffeehaus, ein ruhrendes Lustspiel. Aus dem Franzosischen ubersetzt von B..., Hamburg, 1760. (A copy is in the Hamburg Staats- und Universitatsbibliothek.) It was also published as Das Caffee-Haus, oder die Schottlanderinn, Ein Lustspiel, Berlin, Stettin and Leipzig, 1760 (a copy is in the Preussische Staatsbibliothek); and under the same title, with the addition 'aufgefuhret in dem K. K. privilegirten Stadttheater', Vienna, 1761 (a copy of this is in the Hamburg Staats- und Universitatsbibliothek). This same translation appears also in the Viennese Neue Sammlung von Schauspielen, i, 1764. It was produced in Hamburg in 1760 (Meyer, op. cit. ii, 2, p. 54). In the Preface to Bode's translation (Hamburg, 1760) mention is made of a previous translation by a Madame Z..., already printed; the present one, it

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The date of representation is given as July 26, 1760, by J. M. Quérard, Bibliographie Voltairienne, Paris, 1842, p. 42, and by G. Bengesco, Voltaire. Bibliographie de ses œuvres, Paris, 1882–90, 1, p. 55.

is stated, was offered to Koch's company and accepted. Cast: Lindane, Mad. Hensel; Polly, Mlle Ackermann; Lady Alton, Mad. Schulz; Fabriz, Borchers; Monrose, Ekhof; Lord Murray, Bock; Frelon, Hensel; Friport, Ackermann (not mentioned on the playbill, but see Meyer, op. cit. i, p. 183; Ackermann had formerly played Murray). It was not repeated until April 8, 1768 in Hanover; then on September 23, 1768, and on January 25, 1769. It was followed now by the second performance of Die verwandelten Zittern.

The scene of the play is an inn in London. Lord Monrose, a Scottish nobleman, who as a result of the intrigues of a family called Murray has long been exiled and condemned to death, comes to seek the help of his friend Lord Fallbridge. At the same inn is living the scurrilous journalist Frelon, who hopes to make capital out of the misfortunes of Monrose, and of a poor young lady, Lindane, who is also resident at the inn. We learn that Lindane is the daughter of Monrose, and is in love with Lord Murray, a son of the house which has ruined her family. This Lord Murray, however, has had as mistress a Lady Alton, who is now resolved, with the help of Frelon, to avenge herself on him and on Lindane. Friport, the good genius of the play, saves Lindane from imprisonment on a charge of treason; Monrose recognises his daughter, and Lord Murray makes amends to him for all the wrongs he has suffered.

11. On the ninth evening, Monday, May 4, Madame de Grafigny's Cenie was to have been played, but, owing to an epidemic of influenza, the programme had to be altered (XIII, I), Cenie not being given until the 22nd (xx, 1). Die neue Agnese was repeated and followed by 'Die Gouvernante, eine comische Operette in einem Aufzuge. Nebst einem Ballet. In dieser Operette wird Madame Curioni eine Rolle spielen.' This, according to C. H. Schmid (Chronologie, ed. cit. p. 140), was an operetta by Franz Anton Nuth, who had been harlequin with Prehauser in Vienna in the later 'thirties. Nuth was still living in 1782 (Gallerie von Teutschen Schauspielern, ed. R. M. Werner, Schriften der Gesellschaft für Theatergeschichte, xiii, Berlin, 1910, pp. 107, 342). Die Gouvernante is mentioned by Schmid (l.c.) as having been performed in Leipzig at Easter, 1763, by a Dresden company formed by an Italian, Moretti; in the following year it appears in Ackermann's repertory (Meyer, op. cit. ii, 2, p. 54). Both Dorothea and Charlotte Ackermann are mentioned (ibid. ii, 2, pp. 123, 133) as playing at different times 'ein Kind'; and in 1765 Dorothea played 'Fiametta' (p. 124). Kurz seems to have made the operetta a diverting farce, he himself playing the 'governess'; in his hands it became Bernardon die Guvernante, or Die versoffene Guvernante, and was produced by him as a 'neues Singspiel'. The piece as played by Bernardon is described by Raab (op. cit. pp. 130, 166 ff.). The earliest performance mentioned by Raab was at Pressburg on July 12, 1764. The cast now was not stated on the playbill, and the operetta was not repeated. It was printed as Die Gouvernante, eine ganz neue Opera comique mit einem pantomimischen Ballet. Aufgeführet unter der Direction des Hrn. J. J. Br., Brunn, 1763. It is mentioned in Goethe's Wilhelm Meisters theatralische Sendung (Werke, Weimar ed. li (1911), p. 211).

- 12. Der poetische Dorfjunker (or, as on the playbill for this performance, Der Poet vom Lande, and on subsequent playbills, Der poetische Landjunker), a comedy in five acts by Ph. Néricault Destouches. Tuesday, May 5, 1767. [St. XIII, 6.] La fausse Agnès, ou le Poète campagnard, comédie en trois actes en prose (printed 1736, first played 1759). The translation was by Gottsched's wife, and was published in the Deutsche Schaubuhne, iii (1741), pp. 443 ff. Schonemann had intended to perform it in Hamburg in 1741, but Sophie Schroder was not satisfied with her rôle, and the first performance by his company was deferred until May 6, 1743, when it was given in Berlin; from that date on it occupied a prominent place in his repertory (H. Devrient, op. cit. p. 74). Meanwhile Sophie Schroder introduced the play to Hamburg on September 14, 1742, playing it eight times in this and the following year. Henriette was one of her best rôles (B. Litzmann, F. L. Schroder, i, p. 33). Cast: Baron von Altholz, Garbrecht; Baronessin, Mad. Schmelz; Henriette, Mad. Hensel; Charlotte, Mlle Ackermann; Treuendorf, Böck; Masuren, Ekhof (see above, p. 31); Michel, Hensel; Jagdhausen, Schulz; Frau von Jagdhausen, Mad. Curioni; Amtshauptmann, Borchers; Amtshauptmannin, Mad. Merschy. Repetitions took place on August 28, October 20, 1767, March 4 (in Hanover), September 6, 1768, and (in Hanover) January 24, 1769.
- 13. Die stumme Schönheit, a comedy in one act by J. Elias Schlegel (in alexandrines). Tuesday, May 5, 1767. [St. xm, 46.] Published in 1747 and 1752, and in Schlegel's Werke, ed. cit. ii (1762), pp. 469 ff.; also in the Viennese Neue Sammlung von Schauspielen, ii (1762) and in Theater der Deutschen, iv (1767), pp. 197 ff. The first performance was by Schonemann, on July 14, 1752 (H. Devrient, op. cit. p. 198). Cast: Richard, Borchers; Jungwitz, Ekhof; Jacob, Hensel; Laconius, Garbrecht; Frau Praatgern, Mad. Lowen; Charlotte, Mlle Felbrich; Leonore, Mad. Hensel; Cathrine, Mad. Schulz. Repetitions took

place on August 14 and October 29, 1767, and then not until January 2, January 5, and February 13, 1769, in Hanover.

Richard, a gentleman of means, who has entrusted the upbringing of his daughter Charlotte to Frau Praatgern, introduces a suitor to her, Jungwitz. Instead of the charming girl they expect, they find a stupid and affected young person who can only answer yes or no. Jungwitz much prefers Frau Praatgern's daughter Leonore. It turns out ultimately that Leonore is really Richard's daughter. A husband is, however, also found for Charlotte, in the person of the philosopher Laconius, who decides to marry her because she cannot converse.

14. Miss Sara Sampson, a tragedy in five acts by G. E. Lessing. Wednesday, May 6, 1767. [St. XIII, 121-XIV, 63.] First produced by Ackermann's company at Frankfurt a/d. Oder on July 10, 1755 (B. Litzmann, F. L. Schroder, ed. cit. i, p. 91); and in Hamburg by Schonemann on October 6, 1756, with great success (J. F. Schutze, op. cit. p. 293). Published in Lessing's Schrifften, vi (1755), pp. 1 ff. Cast: Sir Sampson, no name on the playbill, but Ackermann, who took over the rôle in 1764 (Meyer, op. cit. ii, 2, p. 115); Miss Sara, Mad. Hensel; Mellefont, Ekhof; Marwood, Mad. Schulz; Arabella, Mlle Ackermann; Waitwell, Borchers; Norton, Hensel; Betty, Mlle Felbrich; Hannah, Mad. Merschy. On Ekhof's Mellefont Meyer reports the comment (op. cit. i, p. 131): 'stellenweise vortrefflich, im Ganzen eine seiner schwachsten Rollen, weil ihn der Korper zu sehr im Stich liess'. The play was repeated on July 20, 1767 (LXXIII, 15), February 22 (in Hanover), September 12, 1768, and February 23, 1769 (in Hanover). On the present occasion it was followed by Die Heu-Erndte, 'ein pantomimisches Ballet von der Erfindung des Herrn Balletmeisters Curioni', which was repeated twice at the beginning of 1768.

15. Der Spieler, a comedy in five acts by J. F. Regnard. Thursday, May 7, 1767. [St. xiv, 64.] Le Joueur, comédie en cinq actes en vers (1696). This had been an extraordinarily popular play since the time of Caroline Neuber, for whom Lessing and Weisse translated it in 1748 (Weisse, Selbstbiographie, Leipzig, 1806, p. 13; J. Minor, C. F. Weisse und seine Beziehungen zur deutschen Literatur des 18. Jahrhunderts, Innsbruck, 1880, p. 8). Schonemann produced it in Hamburg on

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Mad. Hensel had played Sara with Schuch in Berlin in 1756. Cp. letter of Nicolai to Lessing, November 3, 1756 (Schriften, xix, p. 45; quoted by Petersen, ed. cst. p. 193).

April 11, 1747; the translation, which is in prose, was printed in Schonemann's Schaubuhne, i, 1748 (H. Devrient, op. cit. p. 126), the author being probably J. C. Kruger (cp. W. Wittekindt, J. C. Kruger, Berlin, 1898, pp. 101 ff.). It was reprinted in the Viennese Neue Sammlung von Schauspielen, xi, 1766. There was another translation in Part 1 of Des Herrn Regnards samtliche theatralische Werke, 2 vols, Berlin, 1757 (cp. Lessing's notice in the Bibliothek der schonen Wissenschaften, Schriften, vii, p. 76); but no doubt Schonemann's was used now. Cast: Geront, Garbrecht; Valer, Ekhof; Angelique, Mad. Lowen; Die Grafin, Mad. Schmelz; Der Marquis, Bock; Dorant, Borchers; Nerine, Mad. Schulz; Hector, Hensel. Of Ekhof Schroder said: 'Valer, der jung seyn soll, nicht gut' (Meyer, op. cit. ii, 2, p. 14). It was repeated on September 24, November 27, 1767, and on March 1 (in Hanover), and August 10, 1768. On the present occasion it was followed by the ballet, Der Wirth auf dem Berge.

16. Der Liebhaber, als ein Schriftsteller und Bedienter, a comedy in one act by Cérou. Friday, May 8, 1767. [St. xiv, 81.] L'Amant auteur et valet, jolie Com[édie] en un Acte en prose, par M. Cérou, lors étudiant en Droit, jouée aux Italiens le 8 Fév[rier] 1740, avec succès (Léris, op. cit. p. 21). The popularity of the play is vouched for by the large number of editions—in the Bibliothèque nationale there are nine between 1740 and 1801. There seems to have been some uncertainty about the author's name. The Mercure de France (1740, p. 765), which noticed the play at some length on its production (February, 1740, pp. 330 ff.), states that the author was not Cérou, but 'M. Seron, étudiant en droit'. The German translation and the playbills of May 8, September 1, 1767 and January 21, 1768, call him 'Ceron'; but the playbill of September 19, 1768, has 'Cerou'. The play was produced on July 11, 1752, as Der Liebhaber, ein Schriftsteller und ein Lackey, in a version by Bierling, the translator of Molière (H. Devrient, op. cst. p. 198), and published anonymously in 1755—there is a copy of this version in the British Museum—and it was also included in Schonemann's Schaubuhne, viii (Neue Sammlung von Schauspielen, ii, 1757). (Cp. also Lessing und Hamburg, ed. cit. p. 23.) There was another version by C. L. Martini, who was a member of Schonemann's company from 1750 to 1754; and this translation—Der Liebhaber, als ein Schriftsteller und Bedienter, Frankfurt and Leipzig, 1750 (also Altona and Leipzig, 1755), seems to have been subsequently substituted by the company for Bierling's. The title on the playbill suggests that it was

used now.<sup>1</sup> (A copy of the 1755 edition is in the Hamburg Staats- und Universitatsbibliothek.) Cast: Erast, Bock; Mangold, Garbrecht; Lucinde, Mad. Hensel; Frontin, Hensel; Lisette, Mad. Mecour. The play was repeated three times, on September 1, 1767, January 21 (in Hanover), and September 19, 1768.

- 17. Die coquette Mutter, oder: Die veruneinigten Verliebten, a comedy in five acts by Philippe Quinault. Monday, May 11, 1767. [St. xiv, 86.] Les Amans brouillés, ou la Mère coquette, comédie en cinq actes en vers (1665). This very old play was obviously no novelty; but I have been unable to find a German translation later than Die bulhaffinge Mutter, included in the Schau-Buhne englischer und frantzosischer Comodianten, Frankfurt, 1670, 1, pp. 431 ff.<sup>2</sup> Perhaps, as I have suggested, Susanna Mecour, who played the prominent rôle of Laurette, was responsible for its revival. Cast: Ismene, Mad. Lowen; Isabelle, Mile Ackermann; Laurette, Mad. Mecour; Acant, Bock; Cremant, Garbrecht; Der Baron, Borchers; Christian, Hensel. This was the only performance.
- 18. Der Advocat Patelin, a comedy in three acts by D. A. de Brueys. Monday, May 11, 1767. [St. xiv, 87.] L'Avocat Patelin, comédie en trois actes en prose (1706). According to Litzmann (F. L. Schroder, i, p. 32) this comedy had been performed by Sophie Schroder's company on four evenings in 1742 under the title Der betrogene Lackenhandler. In Schönemann's repertory it first appears as a 'Nachspiel' on December 1, 1755. Petersen states (ed. cit. p. 195) that it was translated by 'J.C.S.', Danzig, 1762. Heinsius, Allgemeines Bucherlexicon, i, Leipzig, 1793, p. 297, mentions besides this translation one published at Lubeck in the same year. Cast: Patelin, Ekhof; Frau Patelin, Mad. Merschy; Henriette, Mile Ackermann; Colette, Mad. Schulz; Wilhelm, Hensel; Valer, Borchers; Agnelet, Merschy. Meyer (op. cit. i,

<sup>2</sup> In Reichard's Theater-Kalender, oder Taschenbuch fur die Schaubühne auf das Jahr 1775, Gotha, p. 143, a translation published in 1771 is mentioned: 'Die verbuhlte Mutter oder die veruneinigten Liebhaber... Wezlar, 1771. Von Quinault 1665 übers. von H. Pfeufer, aber nicht nach der Verbess[erung] die H. Colle darinn für die

franzosische Buhne gemacht hat.'

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Hamburgische Address-Comtoir Nachrichten, however, announced the play on May 8 as Der Liebhaber ein Schriftsteller und Lakey, while the announcement of the performance on September 1,1767, printed the title as Der Liebhaber als ein Schriftsteller und Bedienter (by Ceron). On Monday, September 19, 1768, the earlier title appears in the announcement, and the author's name is given as Cerou; the playbill of September 19 has Der Liebhaber als ein Schriftsteller und Lakey, by Cerou.

- p. 139) says: 'Den Patelin gab Eckhof mit allen Zoten, die seine Gebehrden verstarkten, Hensel den Tuchhandler neben ihm gut und wahr.' Schroder's criticism of Ekhof was (*ibid*. ii, 2, pp. 16 f): 'Hier wetteiferte er mit dem zotenvollesten Hanswurst (Lessing ward nicht dadurch beleidigt).' (Cp. xiv, 107.) Repetitions took place on June 2 (xxii, 2), July 4 (xxxvi, 58), August 21, November 2, 1767, January 22 (in Hanover), June 10, 1768, and on February 7, 1769 (in Hanover).
- 10. Der Frevgeist-on the playbill Der beschamte Freygeist-a comedy in five acts by G. E. Lessing. Tuesday, May 12, 1767. [St. xiv, 109.] 'Verfertiget im Jahre 1749,' this play was first printed in Lessing's Schrifften, v (Berlin, 1755), pp. 1 ff; a second edition appeared in his Lustspiele, ii (Berlin, 1767), pp. 1 ff It had doubtless been played in Leipzig under Koch, and was given in Hamburg under Ackermann in 1757. 'Stl.' in the Deutsche Bibliothek (iii, 1769, p. 57) implies that the title Der beschämte Freygeist, to distinguish it from Brawe's tragedy of the same name<sup>2</sup> (XIV, 112), was given to Lessing's play in Hamburg. Cast: Adrast, Ekhof; Theophan, Bock; Lisidor, not named on the playbill, but no doubt Ackermann; Juliane, Mad. Hensel; Henriette, Mad. Schulz; Frau Philane, Mad. Merschy; Araspe, Borchers; Johann, Merschy; Martin, Hensel; Lisette, Mlle Ackermann. Schröder's comment (Meyer, op. cit. ii, 2, p. 16) was: 'Adrast, sehr gut, nur fehlte der Körper des Liebhabers,' and he said that Theophan was Bock's best rôle (ibid. i, p. 147). The play was repeated on October 27, 1767, February 23, June 27, August 16, and October 20, 1768.
- 20. Der Schatz, a pastoral comedy in one act by G. K. Pfeffel. Tuesday, May 12, 1767. [St. xiv, 126] This play is in alexandrines; it was published in Frankfurt in 1761. (A copy is in the Hamburg Staats- und Universitatsbibliothek. Cp. also E. Schmidt's account of it in his review of Cosack's Materialien in Anzeiger fur deutsches Altertum, v (1879), pp. 138 ff.) It had been produced in Hamburg by Ackermann in 1765. Cast: Chrysant, Ekhof; Hylas, Bock; Palamon, Borchers; Margaris, Mlle Ackermann; Myrtha, Mad. Schulz. There were five repetitions; on September 8, 1767, February 5 and April 14 (in Hanover), September 26, 1768, and (in Hanover) January 25, 1769.
- 21. Zayre, a tragedy in five acts by Voltaire. Wednesday, May 13, 1767. [St. xv, xvi.] Zayre, tragédie en cinq actes, 'donnée au Thé[âtre] Fran[çais] le 13 Août 1732' (Léris, op. cit. p. 457); it was

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See above, p. 44, note 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See below, p. 139.

published in 1733. The translation (in alexandrines) was that of J. J. Schwabe, published in Gottsched's Deutsche Schaubuhne, ii (1741), pp. 359 ff.; also in Deutsche Schauspiele, welche in Wien auf dem k. k. Hoftheater aufgeführet worden, x, Vienna, 1750 (see K. Groschl, op. cit. pp. 37 ff.). It had always been popular in Germany, from Frau Neuber's time onwards (1741), and it had an established place in Schonemann's repertory. Cast Orosmann, Ekhof (who in 1766 had played Lusignan); Lusignan, Borchers; Zayre, Mad. Hensel; Fatime, Mad. Curioni; Nerestan, Böck; Chatillon, Hensel; Corasmin, Cludius. Repetitions took place on September 7, 1767, January 27 (in Hanover), and November 3, 1768 It was followed on the present occasion by 'ein serieuses Ballet von der Erfindung des Herrn Balletmeisters Curioni', which was repeated under this title five times.

Orosmann, the Sultan of Jerusalem, loves his slave Zayre, who, although born of Christian parents, has been brought up in the Mohammedan faith. She loves him in return, and Orosmann makes preparations for their marriage. The former king of Jerusalem, Lusignan, with other Christian prisoners, is in the hands of Orosmann; and the young French knight Nerestan has been permitted to return to France to obtain a ransom for them. The ransom he brings is not sufficient, and Nerestan offers to remain himself a prisoner that the others may go free. This sacrifice Orosmann will not accept; he magnanimously refuses the ransom and sets them all free except Lusignan and Zayre. The latter, however, induces Orosmann in his love for her to liberate the aged Lusignan, who discovers before he dies that she is his daughter, and Nerestan his son. Horror-struck at the discovery that Zayre has been brought up as a Mohammedan, he exacts an oath from her that she will become a Christian, and adjures her to keep the secret of her parentage. Zayre is now involved in a conflict between her love for Orosmann and her promise to her father. Her sudden reluctance to enter on the marriage rouses the sultan's suspicions, and a letter from Nerestan, asking her to meet him secretly, awakens his jealousy. He waylays her as she is on her way to the meeting-place and stabs her; Nerestan then reveals himself as her brother. In his despair Orosmann kills himself, giving orders that all the Christians are to be set free.

22. Sidnei, oder: der Schwermuthige, a comedy in three acts, by J. B. L. Gresset. Thursday, May 14, 1767. [St. xvII, 1.] Sidnei, comédie en vers et en trois actes (1745). This was a popular play in Schönemann's repertory from 1750 on (H. Devrient, op. cit. p. 168). It was published in Schönemann's Schaubühne, v, 1751. The translator is unknown. Cast: Sidnei, Ekhof ('trefflich', said Schröder, Meyer, op. cit. ii, 2, p. 16); Rosalie, Mad. Löwen; Hamilton, Böck; Dumont, Hensel;

Katchen, Mlle Felbrich. Repetitions took place on July 31, October 29, 1767, February 4 (in Hanover), June 10, and October 14, 1768.

Sidnei, a rich young Englishman, has fallen a prey to melancholy and remorse, and has retired to the country, accompanied by his faithful servant Dumont. His friend Hamilton does all he can to cure him, but to no purpose; Sidnei is resolved to commit suicide. Hamilton arranges a meeting with Sidnei's old love Rosalie, who has been living in seclusion since Sidnei abandoned her four years previously But when she arrives, it is apparently too late: Sidnei has taken poison. Dumont, however, has saved his master by substituting another glass for that containing the poison, and a tragedy is avoided; Sidnei and Rosalie are re-united.

23. Ist er von Familie? a comedy in one act by T. L'Affichard. Thursday, May 14, 1767. [St. XVII, 46] La Famille, comédie en un acte et en prose (1736). A German translation, Die Familie, had been in Schönemann's repertory since its publication; it is included in Schönemann's Schaubuhne, iv, 1749 (H. Devrient, op. cit. pp. 157 f.). But to judge by the present playbill, where it is described as 'eine neue Comodie', and by the differing title, the version now presented may have been a new one. Cast: Der Graf, Borchers; Dorant, Ekhof; Die Grafin, Mad. Lowen; Melite, Mlle Ackermann; Licast, Böck; Röschen, Mad. Mecour; Hännschen, Merschy. Repetitions took place on May 20, July 23 (LXXXIII, 92), September 24, 1767, and March 8, 1768 (in Hanover).

24. Das Gespenst mit der Trommel, oder: Der wahrsagende Ehemann, 'eine Comodie aus dem Franzosischen des Destouches in fünf Aufzugen, nach einem englischen Stucke des Addison'. Friday, May 15, 1767. [St. XVII, 72.] Le Tambour nocturne, ou le Mari devin, comédie en cing actes et en prose (1736, but not played until 1762). The translation was by Frau Gottsched and was published in the Deutsche Schaubuhne, ii (1741), pp. 231 ff. (Das Gespenste mit der Trummel, oder der wahrsagende Ehemann. Ein Lustspiel des Herrn Addisons, nach dem Französischen des Herrn Destouches übersetzt); also in the Viennese Neue Sammlung von Schauspielen, v, 1765. The play appeared in Schönemann's repertory from 1741 onwards (H. Devrient, op. cit. p. 360). Cast: Der Baron, Ekhof (he had formerly played the youthful Windhausen, 'abscheulich', said Schroder, 'hernach den Baron, gut', Meyer, op. cit. ii, 2, p. 14); Die Baronessin, Mad. Hensel; Windhausen, Borchers; Liebhold, Bock; Salome, Mad. Schmelz; Schulwitz, not named, but Ackermann (Meyer, op. cit. i, p. 183, ii, 2, p. 113); <sup>1</sup> See above, p. 44, note 1.

Gotthard, Hensel; Peter, Garbrecht; Michel, Merschy. The present performance was the only one; it was followed by the 'serieuses Ballet' of May 13.

The performance of Der verheyrathete Philosoph by Destouches on Monday, May 18, 1767, was followed by 'ein neues pantomimisches Ballet von der Erfindung des Herrn Balletmeisters Curioni, Die Zigeuner in ihrem Lager'. The scene is described on the playbill: 'Das Theater stellet einen Wald vor, woselbst aus einem Baum, der in der Geschwindigkeit sich öfnet, eine Bande Zigeuner kommen; und Bauern, welche Holz fallen, bezaubern.'

25. Democrit, oder: Der lachende Philosoph, a comedy in five acts by Regnard. Tuesday, May 19, 1767. [St. xvII, 94] Démocrite amoureux, comédie en cinq actes en vers (1700). Like Regnard's other two popular plays, this was an old favourite in Germany; it was played in Leipzig in 1742 (Schmid, Chronologie, ed. cit. p. 66) and by Sophie Schroder on April 27, 1744, in Hamburg (where it was repeated three times). A translation of *Democrit* had appeared in Part II of Regnard's Theatralische Werke, Berlin, 1757. The translation used by Schonemann, and now, was in alexandrines and was by the actor H. G. Koch. It was published in Schönemann's Schaubuhne, iv, 1749, and reprinted in the Viennese Neue Sammlung von Schauspielen, i, 1764. Cast: Democrit, Hensel; Agelas, Bock; Agenor, Merschy; Ismene, Mlle Felbrich; Strabo, Ekhof; Cleanthis, Mad. Hensel; Criseis, Mad. Mecour; Thaler, Borchers. Schröder noted (Meyer, op. cit. ii, 2, pp. 15 f.) that Ekhof played Strabo as a 'Caricatur, und auch Lessing zu arg' (but see below, p. 194). Democrit was repeated on January 28 (in Hanover), August 15, October 25, 1768, and (in Hanover) on January 10, 1769. It was followed on the present occasion by the ballet Die verwandelten Zittern.

Democrit has retired with his servant Strabo to the solitude of a cave in the desert. Their only friend is a peasant, Thaler, with whose daughter Criseis Democrit, against all his principles, is in love. Agelas, king of Athens, comes upon them in the course of a hunting expedition and persuades them all to come to his court. Here we learn that the Princess Ismene is intended to be Agelas' wife and that to remove all obstacles a stepsister has been given as a child to be brought up as a peasant. Agelas, however, is charmed by Criseis, and Prince Agenor, being in love with Ismene, encourages the king's new passion. Criseis, of course, turns out to be the lost stepsister and marries Agelas; Ismene and Agenor are betrothed. Meanwhile Strabo has fallen in love with Ismene's waiting-woman Cleanthis, who is eventually discovered to be his own long forsaken wife.

26. Die falschen Vertraulichkeiten, a comedy in three acts by Mariyaux. Wednesday, May 20, 1767. [St. xvIII, 1] Les fausses confidences, comédie en trois actes en prose (1737) This piece probably belonged to an earlier period, but I have not been able to trace any mention of it in repertory lists before it was produced in Hamburg in 1761 (Meyer, op cit. 11, 2, p 54). Nor have I been able to find the German translation. Later a new version was made by Gotter under the title Die falschen Entdeckungen (cp. V. Golubew, Marivaux Lustspiele in deutschen Übersetzungen des 18 7ahrhunderts, Heidelberg, 1904, pp. 89 ff.). There is a copy (Die falschen Entdeckungen. Ein Lustspiel in drey Aufzugen. Nach Marivaux. Fur das Hoftheater zu Weimar. Gotha, 1774) in the Herzogliche Bibliothek, Gotha. A comedy bearing the title Das falsche Vertrauen, published at Augsburg in 1764, I have not seen (Kayser, Vollständiges Bücher-Lexikon, vi, Schauspiele, p. 105). Cast: Araminte, Mad. Hensel; Remy, unnamed. but played by Ackermann—it was a rôle in which, according to Schroder (Mever, op. cit. i, p. 145 and ii, 2, p. 114), he won, from 1761 onwards, 'ungetheilte[n] Beyfall'; Dorant, Bock; Argante, Mad. Schmelz; Dorimont, Borchers; Peter, Merschy; Pasquin ('ein anderer Bedienter', XVIII, 67), Hensel; Marton, Mad. Curioni. Repetitions took place in Hanover on March 10 and May 3, 1768, and again in Hamburg on July 21, 1768. It was followed on the present occasion by Ist er von Familie?, a fact which Lessing omits to mention.

27. Zelmire, a tragedy in five acts by P. L. Buyrette de Bellov. Thursday, May 21, 1767. [St. xvIII, 72-xIX.] Zelmire, tragédie en cing actes et en vers (1762). Lessing, following the playbill, wrongly calls the author 'Du Belloy'. The drama was played 'nach einer hier in Hamburg verfertigten Übersetzung' (Playbill); and Lessing notes that the version was in prose (xix, 76). The article in Lessing und Hamburg (ed. cit. p. 21) on translators of French plays mentioned in the Dramaturgie suggests that the author was Lessing's friend Johann Friedrich Schmidt, on the ground that an unprinted translation by him of Zelmire is mentioned by Reichard in his Theaterkalender auf das 7ahr 1778 (p. 118); but the translation used now seems to have been printed. Lowen might occur to one, but Lowen would probably have translated into verse. Schmid's Chronologie (ed. cit. p. 162) mentions another translation, in verse, printed in Pfeffel's Theatralische Belustigungen, ii (1766) and separately (Frankfurt and Leipzig, 1766). (Cp. also Kayser, Vollstandiges Bucher-Lexicon, vi (Leipzig, 1836), Schauspiele, p. 10.) The present version had been first produced in Hamburg as an inauguration of Ackermann's season on July 31, 1765 (Schutze, op. cit. pp. 325 f.). Cast Polidor, Ekhof (at the first performance he had played the youthful Ilus, Meyer, op cit ii, 2, p. 17); Zelmire, Mad. Hensel; Ilus, Bock; Antenor, Borchers; Rhamnes, Schmelz; Philaide, Mad Schmelz. The play was repeated on May 26 (xx, 146), September 14, 1767, and on June 30, 1768 It was followed now by a 'serieuses Ballet'.

Zelmire, the daughter of Polidore, late King of Lesbos, is supposed to have aided her brother Azor to compass the death of their father; Azor himself has since died at the hand of an unknown assassin. Zelmire reveals to Philaide that she has saved Polidore and that he is concealed in a yault near by Meanwhile Antenor, a prince of the blood, has assumed command of the Thracian army, but has declined the throne in favour of Zelmire's infant son, of whom he thus becomes the guardian; he entrusts Rhamnes with the command of the army, and discloses to him his plan of eventually destroying the young prince and thus securing undisputed sovereignty. A Thracian soldier has just revealed to Zelmire and Polidore that Antenor. while pretending to avenge the death of Azor, was himself the author of it. when Antenor appears; he informs Zelmire that the people have denounced her for the crime of parricide and have decreed that she is to be banished. and is to leave her son under his guardianship. At this moment Zelmire's husband Ilus arrives after a long absence. Antenor repeats the accusation of parricide, which Zelmire does not dare to deny, lest Polidore's presence should be revealed to Antenor. The latter subsequently finds Ilus alone and raises his dagger to murder him, but is prevented by the timely arrival of Zelmire; the dagger remains in her hands, but this enables Antenor to accuse her of intended crime, and to have her arrested by his soldiers. Ilus remains alone, horrified and uncertain; but is enlightened by Polidore, who emerges from the vault. Ilus decides to call his Trojan followers to fight the Thracian soldiers, and Polidore is determined to fight also, disguised as a Trojan. The battle goes against Ilus and his men; Zelmire, her father and husband are all taken prisoner, and Antenor condemns Zelmire and Polidore to death. But at the altar Rhamnes, turning on Antenor, strikes him down in place of Polidore. Azor's last written words, accusing Antenor of his death, have come into the hands of Rhamnes, who thus avenges Azor and saves Zelmire and her whole family.

The following 'Nachricht aus Frankreich', taken from the Bibliothek der schonen Wissenschaften, ix, (i), 1763, pp. 135 f., was printed, with slight alterations, on the playbill:

Wir erinnern uns kaum seit etlichen Jahren eines Stucks, das mit mehr Beyfall aufgenommen worden: es giebt wenig dramatische Werke unter uns, wo mehr Feuer in der Handlung so wohl als in dem Styl hervorleuchtet. Durch und durch herrschen die grossten tragischen Bewegungen: und das Interesse steiget bis zum hochsten Gipfel. Die Seele der Handlung beruhet auf der Zartlichkeit einer Tochter, die ihren Vater nicht nur mit ihrer eignen Brust ernahret, sondern ihm auch ihre Ehre auf die schimpflichste und schmerzhafteste Art aufopfert, indem sie sich fur die Morderinn desselben halten lasst, um ihn zu retten. Die Situationen sind so heftig, dass sie das ganze Herz erschuttern; die Charaktere contrastiren so wohl, dass einer den andern hebt. Man hat uns bisher nur Tyrannen vorgestellet, die ihre Verbrechen ungescheut und ungeschickt begehen. Hier ist aber einer, der seine Ranke auf das geschickteste und listigste ausführet, und seine Schandlichkeit entdecket sich erst in dem letzten Augenblick.

28. Cenie, oder: Die Grossmuth im Unglucke, a drama in five acts and in prose by Madame Françoise de Grafigny. Friday, May 22, 1767. [St. xx, 2.] Cénie, pièce en cinq actes et en prose (1750). The translation was by Gottsched's wife, and was published as Cenie, oder die Grossmuth im Unglucke, ein moralisches Stuck, Vienna, 1753; but possibly also in the previous year at Leipzig (cp. Gottsched, Nothiger Vorrath, ii, Leipzig, 1765, p. 280); also in Deutsche Schaubuhne zu Wienn, iv (1753). It had had a place in Schonemann's repertory since 1753 (H. Devrient, ob. cit. pp. 218, 224), and was included in volume vii of his Schaubühne (Neue Sammlung von Schauspielen, i, 1754). Cast: Dorimond, Ekhof (originally he played Mericourt, 'nicht so gut als Kirchhof, spater Dorimond, vortrefflich', Schröder said, Meyer, op. cit. ii, 2, p. 14); Mericourt, Schmelz; Clerval, Bock; Cenie, Mad. Hensel; Orphise, Mad. Lowen; Lisette, Mad. Mecour; Dorsainville, Borchers. Cenie was repeated on July 10 (LIII, 2), August 5, November 30, 1767, and on January 25 and February 24, 1768 in Hanover. It was followed on the present occasion by the ballet Die Zigeuner in threm Lager.

The drama turns round the intrigues of the dissolute Mericourt to win the hand and fortune of his uncle Dorimond's daughter Cenie. The latter, who loves Mericourt's brother Clerval, refuses to accept Mericourt. She has a staunch friend in her governess Orphise, who mourns a lost husband, Dorsainville. Mericourt proves by means of letters that Cenie is not Dorimond's daughter, but Orphise's. Clerval comes to the rescue of the women; he has aided Dorsainville to gain pardon for having engaged in an affair of honour, and brings him to the house, thus restoring to Orphise her lost husband and Cenie's real father.

29. Amalia, a comedy in five acts (1765) by C. F. Weisse. Monday, May 25, 1767. [St. xx, 88.] Published in Beytrag zum deutschen Theater, iv, Leipzig, 1766, pp. 113 ff.; reprinted in Theater der Deutschen, viii (1769), pp. 103 ff., and again separately at Vienna in 1783. It was first produced in Hamburg under Ackermannin 1766. Cast: Freemann,

Ekhof; Lady Freemann, Mad. Hensel; Manley, Mad. Bock (see above, p. 38); Hearty, Borchers; Triks, Hensel; Frau Triks, Mad. Schulz; Betty, Mlle Ackermann Schroder commented on Ekhof's playing (Meyer, op. cot. ii, 2, pp 18 f): 'zu grob'; and Meyer adds (vbid.). 'Diesen wiederholten Vorwurf verschuldete Eckhofs Ansicht. Er glaubte den Englander so bezeichnen zu mussen, und gab, ganz im Gegensatz von Ackermann und Schroder, auch im Leben, der Freimuthigkeit immer einen Anstrich von Harte.' Repetitions took place on August 4, September 8, December 1, and (in Hanover) December 31, 1767; then in Hanover on December 28, 1768.

Freemann, a wealthy young man, has abandoned Amalia some five years before the drama opens, and is living an extravagant life with Sophie in an inn at Bristol. They are ultimately reduced to penury, while Amalia has inherited great wealth. She hears of Freemann's plight, and disguised as a man (Manley), goes to Bristol to help him. Sophie falls in love with Manley; but loses her jewelry to him in play. Manley is resolved to test Sophie, and to help her and Freemann if she resists temptation; he therefore offers to return the jewels to her on dishonouring conditions. Sophie refuses to accept these, and her lover Freemann, who has been concealed in a cabinet during the final interview between Manley and Sophie, challenges Manley, whereupon the latter reveals herself as Amalia. Freemann is now anxious to marry Amalia, but she will have nothing to do with him and marries another.

- 30. Der Finanzpachter, a comedy in one act by Saint-Foix. Monday, May 25, 1767. [St. xx, 139.] Le Financier, comédie en un acte en prose (1761). The translation was Der Finanzbachter, ein Lustspiel in einem Aufzuge, von den franzosischen Comodianten zu Paris im Jahr 1761 zu verschiedenenmalen aufgefuhrt, und aus dem Französischen ins Deutsche übersetzt, Leipzig, 1762. (There is a copy in the British Museum.) It was produced in Hamburg by Ackermann in 1765. Des Herrn von Saint-Foix Theatralische Werke had appeared in Leipzig, 1750-68 Petersen (ed. cit p. 203) notes that C. A. Wichmann was reputed to be the author of the translation. A version by Weisker[n], entitled Die Wirkung der Rechtschaffenheit, was performed in Vienna on August 13, 1766 (cp. Repertoire des deutschen Schauspieles in Wien, MS. in the Stadtbibliothek, Vienna, JC 40428, p. 200). Cast: Alcimon, Bock; Der Marquis, Borchers; Chevalier, Schmelz; Geront, Ekhof; Henriette, Mlle Ackermann. It was only repeated once, on August 4, 1767.
- 31. Die Mutter-Schule, a comedy in five acts by Nivelle de la Chaussée. Friday, May 29, 1767. [St. xxi, 2.] L'École des mères,

comédie en vers et en cinq actes (1744). The German translation, probably by Ekhof (cp. H. Uhde, op. cst. p. 151), is printed in Schonemann's Schaubuhne, viii (Neue Sammlung von Schauspielen, ii, 1757). In the repertory lists there is some confusion with Marivaux' play of the same name (see below, pp. 228 f.); but La Chaussée's appears to have been added to Schonemann's repertory on February 5, 1756 (H. Devrient, op. cst. p. 253). Cast: Argant, Ekhof ('sehr gut', Schroder said, Meyer, op. cit. ii, 2, p. 14); Frau Argant, Mad. Lowen; Der Marquis, Mad. Bock; Mariane, Mile Ackermann; Doligni, Borchers; Valer, Bock; Rosette, Mad. Schulz; La Fleur, Hensel. Repetitions took place on July 14 (LIV, 2), August 20, 1767; on February 29 (in Hanover), June 29, 1768, and (in Hanover) on February 9, 1769. It was followed on the present occasion by 'ein neues Gartner-Ballet von der Erfindung des Herrn Balletmeisters Curioni', which seems to have been repeated twice.

32. Nanine, oder: Das besiegte Vorurtheil, a comedy in three acts by Voltaire. Monday, June 1, 1767. [St. xxi, 21.] Nanine, ou le Préjugé vaincu, comédie en trois actes, en vers de dix syllabes (1749). Schonemann opened the renovated 'Comodienhaus beym Dragonerstall' in Hamburg on June 5, 1754 (Schutze, op. cit. p. 280), with this comedy, in the verse translation of B. G. Straube, Leipzig, 1750; but possibly he had already produced it in the previous year. H. Devrient (op. cit. p. 221) mentions a version by a Herr Pistorius, which may have been the one used on the present occasion. Cast: Olban, Ekhof (Schroder commented on Ekhof's Graf Olban, that he was 'nicht vornehm genug', Meyer, op. cit. ii, 2, p. 20); Marquisin von Olban, Mad. Schmelz; Nanine, Mlle Felbrich; Baronessin von Orme, Mad. Bock; Bläse, Bock; Hombert, Borchers; Germon, Hensel; Marin, Merschy. Repetitions took place on June 12 (xxviii, 2),

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> E g. the Repertoure des deutschen Schauspieles in Wien (p. 127) records the performance on January 26, 1765, of Die Mutterschule aus dem Franzos[ischen] des Marivaux... ubersetzt von Conrad Eckhof (Die eingelegten Couplets von Hagedorn).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> C. H. Schmid (*Chronologie, ed. cit.* p. 97) mentions this translation in disparaging terms. There is a copy of it in the Hamburg Staats- und Universitatsbibliothek.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> I have not been able to trace this version. J. G. Meusel (Lexikon der vom Jahr 1750 bis 1800 verstorbenen Teutschen Schriftsteller, x (Leipzig, 1810), pp. 438 ff.) gives an account of Hermann Andreas Pistorius (1730–98) who was active in translating for Hamburg periodicals in 1753 and 1754 and contributed to the Allgemeine Deutsche Bibliothek, but there is no mention of a translation of Nanine by him. In the Repertoire des deutschen Schauspieles in Wien (p. 129) a performance of Nanine in a translation by [J. W.] Mayberg in 1754 is listed, also one 'in einer andern Übersetzung' on April 17, 1769.

July 4 (xxxvi, 58), July 28, 1767; in Hanover on April 18, 1768, and in Hamburg again on June 9, 1768. It was followed on the present occasion by *Die neue Agnese* and a ballet.

Count Olban, a widower, is involved in a long-standing legal process with his relative, the Baroness de l'Orme; and the latter suggests as a settlement that they should marry. The count, however, knowing the baroness's temper, reveals his preference for the girl Namne, whom she has brought up in her service; whereupon the baroness offers Nanine the choice of marrying her gardener Blaise or taking the veil. She chooses the latter, but the count finds means to prevent her departure. The baroness now succeeds in intercepting a letter of Nanine's to her father, who unknown to all is staying near by, and arouses the count's suspicions to such an extent that he dismisses Nanine from the house and agrees to marry the baroness herself. Ultimately, however, Nanine's innocence is proved, the baroness leaves in anger, and Nanine becomes the count's wife.

33. Die kranke Frau, a comedy in one act by C. F. Gellert. Tuesday, June 2, 1767. [St. xxii, 2] This comedy was published in Gellert's Lustspiele, Leipzig, 1748 (pp 399 ff.). It had been in Schonemann's repertory since 1749 (H. Devrient, op. cit. p. 168). Cast: Frau Stephan, Mad. Löwen; Stephan, Ekhof; Philippine, Mad. Bock; Henriette, Mlle Ackermann; Wahrmund, Hensel; Richard, Borchers. Repeated on August 21, 1767, and in Hanover on January 4, 1768. It was preceded on the present occasion by Der Advocat Patelin, and followed by 'ein neues grosses Heroisch-Pantomimisches Ballet, von der Erfindung des Herrn Balletmeisters Curioni', Admetus und Alceste, which was repeated on June 11 and August 21, 1767.

Die kranke Frau turns round the illness of Frau Stephan, which is induced by envy because her sister-in-law, Frau Richard, possesses an 'Adrienne'.2 Her husband consults a chiromantist who prophesies her a short life. Nothing avails to restore her to health but the possession of the coveted garment.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See above, pp. 42 f.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> An 'Adrienne' (XXII, 33), or more correctly, 'Andrienne', was a robe with a long skirt which the actress Mlle Dancourt had worn in Baron's comedy L'Andrienne, produced on November 16, 1703. 'Bey Gelegenheit dieses Lustspiels, erdachte die Schauspielerinn Mad. Dancourt die altere welche die Rolle der Andrierin spielte, eine neue Kleidertracht, welche hernach unter dem Namen der Andriennen bekannt genug geworden ist' ('Nachricht aus Paris' in Bibliothek der schonen Wissenschaften, iii (1758), p. 177). Cosack notes (op. cit. p. 165) the occurrence of the word in Schlegel's Die stumme Schonheit (Sc. xii, 'Andrienne'). The form 'Adrienne' seems to have been the normal one in Germany. It occurs in Mylius's first essay in Lessing's Beyträge zur Historie und Aufnahme des Theaters (Stuttgart, 1750, 1, i, p. 3).

34. Der Mann nach der Uhr, oder der ordentliche Mann, a comedy in one act by Th. G. von Hippel. Wednesday, June 3, 1767. [St. xxii, 60.] Published in Konigsberg, 1760, and in 1765; also in Theater der Deutschen, i (1768), and in Hippel's Sammtliche Werke, Berlin, 1827–38, x, pp. 371 ff. It was first produced in Hamburg by Ackermann in 1766, and was very popular. Cast. Orbil, Ekhof (Schutze, op. cit. p. 330, called his performance 'meisterhaft', Schroder (Meyer, op. cit. ii, 2, p. 18) 'vortrefflich'); Wilhelmine, Mlle Felbrich; Valer, Borchers; Magister, Hensel; Lisette, Mad. Schulz; Johann, Merschy. Repetitions took place on July 10 (LIII, 2), August 13, November 10, 1767; in Hanover on April 18, 1768, and again in Hamburg on May 17, August 22, September 20, 1768, and in Hanover on February 7, 1769.

35. Der Graf von Essex, a tragedy in five acts by Thomas Corneille. Thursday, June 4, 1767. [St. XXII, 77-XXV.] Le Comte d'Essex, tragédie en cinq actes en vers (1678). The translation (in alexandrines) was by Peter Stuven, licentiate in law in Hamburg (cp. F. Heitmuller, Hamburgische Dramatiker zur Zeit Gottscheds, Dresden, 1891, pp. 34 ff.). It was published in Hamburg in 1747 (a copy of this edition is in the Preussische Staatsbibliothek) and reprinted in the Deutsche Schaubuhne zu Wienn, i (1749), pp. 1 ff. It had already been a popular piece when Caroline Neuber's company played in Hamburg in 1735 (cp. F. J. von Reden-Esbeck, Caroline Neuber, Leipzig, 1881, pp. 107 ff.). Schönemann, who played the title rôle, revived it at Breslau in 1744 and Hamburg in 1747 (H. Devrient, op. cit. pp. 91, 360, cp. also Schutze, op. cit. p. 247). C. H. Schmid (Chronologie, ed. cit. p. 62) says: 'Auch fuhrte sie [die Neuberin] von dem Gottscheden so verhassten Herrn von Stuven eine Übersetzung von dem Essex des Thomas Corneille auf, welche sich unerachtet ihrer Mattigkeit fast bis auf den heutigen Tag [1775] auf den Buhnen erhalten hat'; and H. Devrient (op. cit. p. 91, note) states that it was 'eines der beliebtesten Stucke der Wanderbuhnen von der Neuber bis zur Hamb[urger] Entreprise'. Schonemann had in his time been particularly fond of the rôle of Essex, and refused to relinquish it to Ekhof (cp. H. Devrient, op. cit. p. 249, and Schutze, op. cit. pp. 247 f.); Ackermann had also played it, but, according to Schutze (op. cit. p. 317), had spoiled it. Cast: Elisabeth, Mad. Lowen; Henriette, Furstin von Irton, Mad. Mecour; Graf von Essex, Ekhof; Cecile, Borchers; Salsbury, Bock; Tilney, Mlle Felbrich; Crommer, Hensel. The tragedy was only

repeated once, on July 15 (LIV, 3 ff.). It was followed now by a ballet.

The Earl of Essex has forfeited the confidence of Queen Elisabeth by an armed advance upon the royal palace. The queen's advisers and Essex's enemies, Raleg [sic], Coban [sic] and Cecile [sic], persuade her that Essex is in collusion with the Irish rebels, whereas the real reason for Essex's attempt was to prevent the marriage of the Countess of Irton, with whom he is in love, and for whose sake he wishes to free himself from the now irksome passion which the queen herself still cherishes for him. Elisabeth offers Essex her pardon and her love if he will admit his guilt. This he refuses to do. Thereupon she has him arrested for high treason and condemned to death. Preparations are made for his execution. When the queen learns the true state of the case, she is at first still more incensed, but eventually makes efforts to force the earl to yield and ask for pardon. She endeavours to move him through the Countess of Irton, then through his friend Earl Salsbury. In vain. Essex maintains his innocence and refuses to countenance the charge by asking for grace. Essex's enemies, meanwhile. on the pretext of the queen's orders, hasten his execution, and prevent his friends from gaining access to Elisabeth. Too late she repents her own pride. and her only hope is to be freed from her grief by an early death.

- 36. Die Hausfranzosin, oder: Die Mammsell, a comedy in five acts by Luise V. A. Gottsched. Wednesday, June 10, 1767. [St. xxvi, 2.] This comedy was published in the Deutsche Schaubuhne, v (1744), pp. 67 ff., and was probably played by Schonemann's company in the same year; he brought it to Hamburg in 1747 (H. Devrient, op. cit. pp. 123, 349). Cast: Germann, Borchers; Wahrmund, Schulz; Luischen, Mlle Ackermann; Hannchen, Mlle Ackermann; Franz, Mad. Bock; Der junge Wahrmund, Bock; De Sotenville, Ekhof; Mlle La Fleche, Mad. Lowen; Erhard, Hensel; La Fleur, Merschy. Schroder is evidently in error when in his list of Ekhof's rôles (Meyer, op. cit. ii, 2, p. 19), he states that he played on this occasion 'Franz, den Gelbschnabel! der sieben und vierzigjahrige!' The comedy was followed by the ballet Die Zigeuner in ihrem Lager, and was not repeated.
- 37. Der Bauer mit der Erbschaft, a comedy in one act by Pierre Carlet de Chamblain de Marivaux. Friday, June 12, 1767. [St. xxvIII, 2.] L'héritier de village, comédie en un acte en prose (1725). The translation, by J. C. Krüger, was published in the Sammlung einiger Lustspiele aus

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The other plays in the volume (xxvi, 4) were *Panthea*, Trauerspiel; *Dido*, Trauerspiel von J. E. Schlegel; *Der Bock im Processe*, Lustspiel von Th. J. Quistorp; *Mahomed IV*, Trauerspiel von B. E. Kruger; *Elisie*, Schaferspiel von A. G. Uhlich.

dem Franzosischen des Herrn von Marivaux, Hanover, 1747-40. Der Bauer mit der Erbschaft had also appeared separately (without place or date: see Goedeke, Grundriss, iii (2nd ed.) p. 373). It had enjoyed great popularity in Hamburg since its production in 1747, and shared with Borkenstein's Der Bookesbeutel the reputation of being the most popular local play (cp. V. Golubew, ob cit. p. 32). Cast: Frau Damis, Mad. Merschy; Baron, Bock; Jurge, Ekhof; Lise, Mad. Bock: Hans. Borchers: Grete, Mlle Ackermann; Valentin, Hensel, Ekhof was particularly famous as 'Iurge'—'unubertrefflich in d[er] plattdeutschen Sprache', said Schröder (Meyer, ob. cit. ii, 2, p. 17). It is of this play that the story is told of the peasant in the audience who was so deceived by Ekhof's acting that he asked his neighbour: 'Wo to'm Duwel hewwt de Lude den Buern herrenohmen?' (H. Uhde, K. Ekhof. ed. cit. p. 133; V. Golubew, op. cit. p. 33). Reichard's Theater-Kalender for 1776 (Gotha) contains an engraving of Mad. Bock and Ekhof in this play which is reproduced in Golubew's dissertation and here.1 Der Bauer mit der Erbschaft was one of the most popular plays of the repertory and was repeated ten times: on August 25 and November 19, 1767, in Hanover on January 7, January 22, February 23, April 21, again in Hamburg on May 31, July 15, October 3, and in Hanover on December 28, 1768. It was preceded on this first occasion by Nanine and a ballet.

38. Der Zerstreute, a comedy in five acts by J. F. Regnard. Monday, June 29, 1767. [St. XXVIII, 69.] Le Distrait, comédie en cinq actes en vers (1697). This play was originally produced in Hamburg by Caroline Neuber in 1735 under the title, Der Zerstreute, oder der seine Gedanken nicht beysammen hat (Reden-Esbeck, op. cit. pp. 108, 304), the translation being probably by Lessing and Weisse. H. Devrient (ob. cit. p. 192) records a performance in Hamburg under Schönemann on August 9, 1751: 'ein aus dem Französischen des Herrn Renard in Schlesswig von einer vornehmen Standesperson ubersetztes Lustspiel, in funf Aufzugen, le Distrait, Der Unachtsinnende.' Der Zerstreuete, ein Lust-Spiel in funf Aufzügen; aus dem Franzosischen des Herrn Regnard ubersetzt, was published in Dresden in 1752 (cp. Gottsched, Nothiger Vorrath, ii, p. 278). (A copy of this edition is in the Hamburg Staatsund Universitatsbibliothek.) The translation now used may have been the Dresden one, or, perhaps, that in the first Part of Regnard's Sammtliche theatralische Werke, Berlin, 1757, where the play is also

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See plate facing p. 38.

entitled Der Zerstreute. The British Museum possesses a translation (in alexandrines), Der Zerstreute des Herrn Regnard, ubersetzt von C.L.R., Frankfurt and Leipzig, 1761; but its description of the 'Personen' does not tally with that on the present playbill. Cast: Leander, Ekhof; Clarice, Mad. Bock; Madame Grognac, Mad. Schmelz; Isabelle, Mlle Ackermann; Chevalier, Schmelz; Valer, Borchers; Lisette, Mad. Mecour; Carlin, Hensel. Ekhof played Leander (according to Schroder 'gut bis auf den Liebhaber', Meyer, op. cit. ii, 2, p. 17). Der Zerstreute was repeated four times, on August 18, 1767, on April 7 (in Hanover), July 12, 1768, and (in Hanover) on January 11, 1769.

39. Das Rathsel, oder: Was dem Frauenzimmer am meisten gefallt, a comedy in one act, with a divertissement, by J. F. Lowen. Monday. June 29, 1767. [St. XXIX, 22.] This after-piece was published in Lowen's Schriften, Hamburg, 1765-66, iv, pp. 339 ff. Goedeke (Grundriss (ard ed.), iv (1), p. 46) refers to criticisms in the Allgemeine deutsche Bibliothek, iii (1769), pp. 235 ff. and in the Hamburgische Correspondent, No. 191 (December 2, 1766). The present performance, in spite of the fact that the word 'neue' does not appear on the playbill (cp. those for April 27, April 28 and May 14) would appear to have been the first. For the 'Divertissement' which followed Hertel wrote the music. Cosack (op. cit. p. 192) quotes a critic in the Neue Bibliothek der schonen Wissenschaften, iv (1767), p. [290], to the effect that the 'Divertissement', which consisted of arias, duets and choruses, 'zu Ehren des Dichters wegfallen könnte'. Cast: Bertha, Mad. Böck; Robert, Bock; Die Fee Radiante, Mad. Mecour; Pedrillo, Hensel; eine Alte, Mlle Ackermann; Magotnie, Mad. Schulz. Repetitions took place on August 5, 1767, and in Hanover on February 16, February 24, and April 25, 1768.

40. Rodogine, a tragedy in five acts by Corneille. Wednesday, July 1, 1767. [St. XXIX, 53-XXXII.] Rodogine, Princesse des Parthes, tragédie en cinq actes en vers (1644, printed 1647). There had been an older translation by F. C. Bressand (Wolfenbuttel, 1691); this new translation, which was not published until 1769, was also in alexandrines and entitled: Rodogine, Prinzessin der Parther, ein Trauerspiel in funf Ackten des Herrn von Corneille (zum Behuf des Hamburgischen Theaters), Hamburg and Bremen, 1769. (A copy is in the Hamburg Staats- und Universitatsbibliothek.) According to Klotz's Deutsche Bibliothek, iv (1770), p. 724, the translator's name was Meyer; the writer of the

article in Lessing und Hamburg already quoted suggests (p. 30) the actor Wilhelm Christian Dieterich Meyer, adding 'who played the rôle of Oront'—which he did not. The following passage is from the preface to the translation:

Ich übersetzte Rodogune zu einer Zeit, da noch beynahe alle Welt mit P. Corneillen glaubte, dass es eines seiner besten Stucke, wo nicht gar das beste ware ...Die Hamburgische Dramaturgie hat es mich eben so wohl als andere Leute kennen gelehrt, und nun ist es leicht einzusehen, warum ich nicht nach der Zeit in die Versuchung gerathen bin, meine Übersetzung in Absicht auf die Reinigkeit der Verse und des Dialogs einer genauern Prufung und Verbesserung zu unterwerfen. Und überdem, warum sollte ich etwas zu verbessern suchen, das man vielleicht nicht mehr liest, und das man sich schon mude gesehn hat?

Rodogune had been one of the most spectacular productions under Ackermann's management (in 1766), and its choice on the present occasion was in honour of the visit of King Christian VII of Denmark. The cast was as follows: Cleopatra, Mad. Hensel; Seleucus, Bock; Antiochus, Ekhof ('junger Prinz und Liebhaber' Schröder notes, Meyer, op. cit. ii, 2, p. 18); Rodogune, Mad. Mecour; Timagen, Borchers; Oront, Hensel; Laonice, Mad. Schmelz. Repetitions took place on August 26, 1767, in Hanover on January 11, February 8, February 19, 1768, again in Hamburg on June 16, 1768, and in Hanover on January 26 and February 21, 1769.

At the opening of the drama, we learn the previous history of the chief characters. The king of Syria, Nicanor, has been taken prisoner by the Parthians, and he and his wife Cleopatra are threatened by a usurper Tryphon. It is reported that Nicanor has died in captivity, and Cleopatra, to save herself, marries Nicanor's brother Antiochus, who overthrows Tryphon, but himself dies in battle. Cleopatra's two sons, Antiochus and Seleucus, are meanwhile being brought up in Egypt by Timagen. The news comes that Nicanor is not dead, but is marching on Syria with the Parthian army, and that in order to avenge himself on his faithless wife, he intends to marry Rodogune, sister of the Parthian king. The Syrians however, defeat the invaders; Cleopatra kills her husband with her own hand, and makes Rodogune prisoner. In the first scene, Timagen, returning with the two young princes from Egypt, learns of the death of their father from his sister, the queen's confidente Laonice. He also hears that Cleopatra is about to reveal which of the two princes is the elder, in order that he may be crowned king and receive Rodogune as his bride. Rodogune is loved by both the young men, and she herself confesses that she loves one of them Cleopatra, on learning this, reveals her plan. She announces to her confidante and to her sons that she will bestow the throne on whichever

of the latter avenges her on Rodogune Neither will consent to do this. Rodogune, informed by Laonice of Cleopatra's hatred, also cherishes thoughts of vengeance, at the instigation of her brother, she resolves to give her hand to the prince who avenges on Cleopatia the murdered Nicanor and her own imprisonment Both princes are terror-stricken by this fresh complication. But Antiochus has the courage to act, on learning that it is he whom Rodogune loves. Rodogune, however, cannot maintain her resolve when she has confessed her love for Antiochus, and the latter endeavours to placate his mother, but in vain Cleopatra appears to yield to his entreaties; but after attempting unsuccessfully to win Seleucus to her side. resolves on a more terrible revenge. She murders Seleucus and proposes to poison Antiochus and Rodogune at their wedding ceremony. Before they drink the poisoned cup, however, Timagen arrives to announce the death of Seleucus; but he cannot say who is the murderer. Cleopatra, suspected by Antiochus, endeavours to throw suspicion on Rodogune: Antiochus completes the marriage ceremony, but when he is about to drink from the poisoned cup, Rodogune insists that Cleopatra herself should taste the wine. She does so, and is led away to die, pronouncing a final malediction on their union.

Rodogune was followed on this occasion by Armide, 'ein Heroisch-Pantomimisches Ballet, mit Auszierungen und Verwandlungen', which was repeated twice.

- 41. Solimann der Zweyte, oder: Die drey Sultaninnen, a comedy in three acts by C. S. Favart. Friday, July 3, 1767. [St. xxxiii, 2-xxxvi, 56.] Soliman II, ou les Sultanes, comédie en trois actes en vers (1761). According to Schmid (Chronologie, ed. cit. p. 162), the translation was by Rudolf Erich Raspe and Starke; it was published in 1765. There was another translation in the first volume of a Sammlung einiger franzosischen Lustspiele fur das deutsche Theater, published by the brothers Walz (2 vols. Bremen, 1766-68). A separate reprint of this: Die drey Sultanninnen, Bremen [N.D.] is in the British Museum. The choice
- ¹ A copy of Solimann der Zweyte oder die drey Sultaninnen. Aus dem Franzosischen des Herrn Favart übersetzt von St. [N.P.], 1765, is in the Hamburg Staats- und Universitätsbibliothek. The second translator may have been Johann Ludwig Starke (1723–69), an actor of considerable repute, who is stated to have made a number of translations for the stage (cp. Gallerie von Teutschen Schauspielern, ed. cit. pp. 140 f.). The playbill for a performance of this play in Vienna on July 26, 1778 (preserved in the Theatersammlung of the Nationalbibliothek, Vienna) states that the translation was by 'Herr Starke'.
- <sup>2</sup> There is a slight difference in the spelling of Solimann's name between the 1765 translation and the reprint of the one published at Bremen: the form of the 1765 translation corresponds to that on the playbill and in Lessing's criticisms. But the playbill (see below, p. 85, note 1) does not correspond to either translation in its spellings Delie and Roxelane.

of the play for performance on the occasion of the visit of the King of Denmark was again due to the fact that it was one of the show-pieces inherited from Ackermann. The latter had produced it on September 6, 1765, 'mit opermassige[m] Prunk' (Schutze, op. cot. p. 326); the ballets were arranged by Schröder. On that occasion Madame Hensel's rôle of Roxolane fell to Karoline Schulze, otherwise the cast was the same as now (ibid.; cp. also Meyer, op. cot. i, p. 141).¹ This was: Solimann, Ekhof; Osmin Kisslar Aga, name not given, but Ackermann; Elmire, Mad. Bock; Delie, Mlle Dorothea Ackermann; Roxolane, Mad. Hensel. On Ekhofas Solimann, Schröder commented: 'der Korper schadete dem Spiel' (Meyer, op. cot. ii, 2, p. 17). Repetitions of the play took place on August 24, November 11, 1767, in Hanover on January 8, January 19, February 25, in Hamburg on July 20, November 18, and in Hanover on December 12, 1768. On the playbill was the following statement:

'Nach Endigung des dritten Aufzuges wird bey der Kronung der Roxolane in frankischer Sprache gesungen:

Vivir, Vivir Sultana, Vivir, Vivir Roxolana.

Und in Turkischer: Eyuvallah, Eyuvallah, Salem alekin, Sultan Zillulah Solimann Padichaim. Eyuvallah, Eyuvallah. Verstand der turkischen Worte: Ruhm, Ruhm, Gluckseligkeit, Heil und Ehre, Unserm erhabenen Kaiser, Dem Solimann, dem Spiegel der Gottheit. Ruhm, Ruhm, Gluckseligkeit.<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> The playbill for July 3 is missing from Ekhof's collection in Gotha; but that for the next performance, on August 24, is available there, and has been used for the quotations and the cast.

<sup>2</sup> In the reprint published at Bremen, the passage is as follows (p. 95):

Es leb, es lebe die Sultane!
Es leb, es lebe Roxelane!
(und die Turken)
Heil, Ehre, Ruhm, Gluckseligkeit,
Sey dir Erhabener! geweyht.
Mit Wonne sey dem Herz erfullt,
O Soliman! der Gottheit Bild.\*

\* Im Originale werden die vier letzten Zeilen turkisch ausgedruckt:

Eyuvallah, Eyuvallah, Salem alekim, Sultan zilullah, Soliman Padichaim, Eyuvallah, Eyuvallah.

42. Merope, a tragedy in five acts by Voltaire. Tuesday, July 7, 1767. [St. XXXVI, 60-L.] Mérope, tragédie en cinq actes en vers (1749). A Merope was produced in Frankfurt as early as 1748 (E Mentzel. Geschichte der Schauspielkunst in Frankfurt, Frankfurt, 1882, p. 212); but this may have been Maffei's, which was early translated-according to Gottsched (Neuer Buchersaal der schonen Wissenschaften und freyen Kunste, i (1745), p. 329) in the 'thirties by 'Herr Prof. Stahelin' (cp. also C. Stahlin, Aus den Papieren Jacob von Stählins, Berlin, 1926, p. 26). Goedeke (Grundriss, iii (2nd ed.), p. 365) mentions two translations of Voltaire's tragedy, one by a 'Liebhaber der deutschen Dichtkunst' (in alexandrines), Dresden, 1754 (a copy of which is in the Hamburg Staats- und Universitatsbibliothek); the other by J. F. Gries, an advocate in Gluckstadt, Gluckstadt, 1754 (see K. Groschl, op. cit. pp. 50 f. and Gottsched, Nothiger Voriath, ii, p. 283). The playbill corresponds to the Dresden translation in respect of the 'Personen des Trauerspiels'. Merope seems to have been first performed in Hamburg in 1755 (Meyer, op. cit. ii, 2, p. 52); and Ackermann's company also played it in Frankfurt in 1757. Cast: Merope, Mad. Hensel; Egist, Bock; Polisfo nt, Ekhof; Narbas, Borchers; Eurikles, Schmelz; Erop [Erox], Hensel; Ismene, Mad. Bock. There were repetitions on August 3, 1767, on January 4 (in Hanover), and August 18, 1768. It was followed on this occasion by 'ein serieuses Ballet'.

Since the murder of Cresfont fifteen years before, no successor has been chosen for him in Messene. The queen, Merope, his widow, sees in her son Egist (whom she had saved from the blood bath by entrusting him to Narbas, an old servant, to bring up in Elis) the rightful heir to the throne. She now learns that Narbas and the youth have disappeared from Elis; but there is still hope that they may be on the way to Messene. The tyrant Polifont lays claim to the vacant throne, and demands that Merope shall become his wife. He has secretly given orders that Narbas and Egist are to be slain if they attempt to come to Messene A young man is now brought before the queen accused of murder, and he relates how he has slain one of his two assailants in self-defence. Merope is led to believe that the victim of this act is her son Egist, a proof being that he wears a coat of mail which had belonged to Cressont. Polifont presses for the execution of the stranger; but Merope desires to take her revenge by slaying him herself. Narbas arrives at Messene and bewails the loss of Egist and the tyranny of Polifont. At the critical moment when Merope raises the dagger to slay the stranger, Narbas reveals to her that he is her son. The only way she sees to save his

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 'Polisant' on the playbill for this performance; but the name appears correctly on the playbills for January 4 and August 18, 1768.

life is for her to agree to marry Polifont, but now she learns from Narbas that Polifont is the assassin of her husband and children Although Egist affirms to Polifont that he is the murderer of the heir to the throne, the tyrant is resolved to have him executed in any case. His suspicions are awakened by the sympathy which Merope shows for the young man; he orders his immediate execution, whereupon Merope declares him to be her son. The tyrant then offers her the alternative of marrying him or seeing her son die. The marriage is arranged with the approval of the people Egist is induced to bow to the inevitable and pay his homage to the tyrant, but at the marriage ceremony he slays Polifont and is proclaimed the rightful king of Messene.

43. Der Triumph der guten Frauen, a comedy in five acts by Johann Elias Schlegel. Thursday, July 9, 1767. [St. li, 1.] This play was published in Beytrage zum danischen Theater, Copenhagen, 1748, and in Schlegel's Werke, ed. cit. ii (1762), pp. 323 ff. It was produced as a novelty by Schonemann in Hamburg on August 3, 1751 (H. Devrient, op. cit. p. 191). Cast: Agenor, Bock; Juliane, Mad. Hensel; Nicander, Ekhof ('einen Liebhaber, einen Wüstling!', Schroder commented, Meyer, op. cit. ii, 2, p. 17); Hilarie, Mad. Böck; Agathe, Mad. Schmelz; Catharine, Mad. Mecour; Heinrich, Merschy. It was repeated on October 22, 1767, and on January 26 (in Hanover), on November 16, and (in Hanover) on December 7, 1768. It was followed now by the ballet, Die verwandelten Zittern.

Hilaria, disguised as a man and passing under the name of Philinte, is seeking her husband Nicander, who has deserted her ten years previously (soon after their marriage) and is now trying to make love to Juliane, the wife of his friend Agenor. Philinte enters the field as Nicander's rival for Juliane's favour. Agenor is induced by Nicander to tyrannise over Juliane and to deprive her of all society except Nicander's and his own. Hilaria, meanwhile, resuming her woman's dress and giving herself out to be Philinte's sister, gains Nicander's favour, upholding the idea of liberty in marriage; and Nicander now endeavours to pacify Agenor and to bring him and Juliane together again. In the guise of Philinte, Hilaria urges Juliane to place herself under her protection; Juliane's refusal is overheard by Agenor (according to a plan made by Hilaria and Catharine). Agenor is about to draw on the supposed lover of his wife, when Philinte discloses her identity; Nicander greets her revelation with delight, and Agenor is convinced of his injustice towards Juliane.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> There is also a playbill for June 10, 1768; but the *Hamburgusche Address-Comtoir Nachruchten* of June 11 states that owing to the indisposition of Madame Hensel, *Sidnei* and *Der Advokat Patelin* were actually performed on the previous evening. See above, p. 44, note 1.

44. Die Frauen-Schule, a comedy in five acts by Molière. Monday. July 13, 1767. [St. LIII, 71.] L'École des femmes, comédie en cinq actes en vers (1662). It is difficult to obtain reliable information concerning Molière's plays in the German repertories of the eighteenth century Die Frauen-Schule, or Weiberschule, had long been familiar; Schonemann had produced it, describing it as a novelty, in Hamburg on August 20, 1753 (H. Devrient, op. cit. p. 221). On the present playbill it is stated: 'Dieses Stuck wird heute zum erstenmale aufgeführt': but presumably this means under the present management (see also above, p. 41). A translation (Die Schule des Frauenzimmers) had appeared in 1752 at Berlin; that used by Schönemann and now was by F. J. Bierling, Des Herrn Molieres sammtliche Lustspiele, nach einer freyen und sorgfaltigen Übersetzung, 3 vols. Hamburg, 1752 (2nd edition, 4 vols. 1760). The Hamburg Staats- und Universitatsbibliothek possesses Ekhof's copy of his part, with Ekhof's note that it was taken from Bierling's translation. Cast: Arnolph, Ekhof ('Caricatur' Schroder comments, Meyer, op. cit. ii, 2, p. 20); Heinrich, Schmelz; Agnes, Mlle Felbrich; Chrisaldes, Borchers; Horaz, Bock; Orontes, Hensel; Claus, Merschy; Catharine, Mad. Schulz. Repetitions took place on July 24, December 2, 1767, and February 3 (in Hanover), July 15, October 17, and (in Hanover) December 30, 1768. It was followed on the present occasion by 'ein Ballet'.

45. Die Brüder, oder: Die Fruchte der Erziehung, a comedy in five acts by K. F. Romanus. Friday, July 17, 1767. [St. LXX, 101-LXXIII, 11, and XCVI, 2-C.] This play was first printed in Romanus's Komödien, Dresden and Warsaw, 1761; then reprinted in Theater der Deutschen, vi (1768), pp. 283 ff., and in the Viennese Neue Sammlung von Schauspielen, iv, 1763, as Die Brüder, oder die Schule der Väter. Michel Baron, or Boyron, had written an imitation of Terence, entitled L'École des Pères, not published until 1736, after Baron's death, but performed in 1705. Romanus's play was performed for the first time in Hamburg under Ackermann in 1766. Cast: Philidor, Borchers; Lisimon, Witthöft; Leander, Böck; Lycast, Ekhof; Lucinde, Mlle Felbrich; Cidalise, Mad. Böck; Nerine, Mad. Schulz; Frontin, Hensel. Schröder says (Meyer, op. cit. ii, 2, p. 18; cp. also i, p. 183) that Ekhof played 'den jüngeren ausgelassenen Bruder; Boek den älteren'. Repetitions took place on August 11, October 7, 1767, and in Hanover on

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Cp. B. E. Young, Michel Baron, Paris, 1905, pp. 284, 315.

January 5, 1768. It was followed on the present occasion by Saint-Foix' Orakel.

The brothers of Romanus's comedy are Philidor and Lysimon. Philidor lives in the town and, being of a gentle and kindly nature, has brought up his son Leander by gentle means; Lysimon, on the other hand, is violent and quarrelsome and has employed such severity in bringing up his son Lycast that the latter has fallen into extravagant and dissolute ways. Philidor plans a marriage between Leander and Lucinde, the ward of an old friend Orgon. Leander, out of kindness to his cousin and with the object of keeping him from worse courses, allows him to arrange a party in his father's house. At this party Cidalise, Lycast's mistress, is expected but does not at first appear; they hear that she has transferred her favours to a baron. Lycast, in spite of Leander's protests, goes to seek her and throws the baron out of her house. Lucinde meets Cidalise in Leander's house, and is led to believe that Leander is faithless to her and in love with Cidalise. To shield his cousin from his father's anger, Leander does not contradict the accusation. Frontin, Leander's valet, takes advantage of the misunderstanding between Leander and Lucinde, and confirms it to Lucinde's maid; he then assures Lysimon that Lycast is a model of propriety, while Leander is really dissolute; the valet leads Lysimon to do various things which will discredit Leander in the eyes of his father, such as paying Leander's alleged debts, the debts being actually those of his own son. Finally when Lysimon reveals to his brother Leander's supposed misdemeanours, Philidor, who has investigated the facts of the case, turns upon him and convinces him of the bad results of his way of bringing up Lycast. With some difficulty Lysimon is persuaded to forgive his son, and promises to give Philidor's methods a trial.

46. Das Orakel, a comedy in one act by Saint-Foix. July 17, 1767. [St. LXX, 101 and LXXIII, 12.] 'L'Oracle, com[édie] en un Ac[te] en pro[se] par M. de Saint-Foix, donnée pour la premiere fois sur le Théatre Fran[çais] le 22 Mars 1740, avec beaucoup de succès, et souvent revue depuis avec plaisir' (Léris, op. cit. p. 325). Produced on May 17, 1742 in Hamburg by Sophie Schroder, it was played fifteen times in that year, the translation being probably published at Hamburg in 1745 (cp. Gottsched, Nothiger Vorrath, i, p. 322). There is also a version in Des Herrn von Saint-Foix Theatralische Werke, i, Leipzig, 1750, which Lessing mentions with commendation in his Theatralische Bibliothek (Schriften, vi, p. 345). This translation is ascribed to Johann Elias Schlegel by Schmid (Chronologie, ed. cit. p. 97)¹ but was probably by C. A. Wichmann (cp. Petersen, ed. cit. p. 203). Das Orakel appears for the first time in Schonemann's repertory on April 17, 1747, and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Cp. also the review in Klotz's Deutsche Bibliothek, ii (Halle, 1768), p. 350.

is published in his Schaubuhne, v1, 1752 (cp. also H Devrient, op cit. p. 128). J. C. Kruger was the author of another version in the manner of a 'Singspiel', produced on October 28, 1751 (H. Devrient, op cit. p. 194; cp. W. Wittekindt, op. cit. p. 13); Gellert also wrote an operetta Das Orakel, performed in Vienna in 1755, and there was an adaptation of Saint-Foix' play by Lowen (1756) Lastly, the British Museum possesses an undated translation (according to Gottsched, Nothiger Vorrath, i, p. 335, there was one belonging to the year 1750), wie solches auf der Schuchischen Schaubuhne vorgestellet wird'; and there is a reprint in Deutsche Schauspiele, Vienna, 1750 (Goedeke, Grundriss, iii (2nd ed.), p. 370). The version used now was probably Schonemann's. Cast: [Die Fee] Souveraine, Mad. Lowen; Alcindor, Bock; Lucinde, Mlle Felbrich. There was only one repetition, on August 27.

47. Der unvermuthete Ausgang, a comedy in one act by Mariyaux. Tuesday, July 21,2 1767. [St LXXIII, 16.] Le Dénouement imbrévu. comsédie] en un Acste] en prose, 'qui n'eut pas grand succès au Thésatre Français où elle parut pour la premiere fois le 2 Décembre 1724' (Léris, op. cit. p. 139). On the playbill is stated: 'Dies Stuck wird heute zum erstenmal aufgeführt'; but this can only refer to the present and Ackermann's management, for it had been a favourite 'Nachspiel' under Schonemann since 1749 (H. Devrient, ob. cit. pp. 168, 352, 353, 355). The translation was by J. C. Kruger (Sammlung einiger Lustspiele aus dem Französischen des Herrn von Marivaux, 2 vols. Hanover, 1747-40). Cast: Argant, Witthoft; Jungfer Argante, Mad. Lowen; Dorant, Borchers; Erast, Bock; Peter, Hensel; Lisette, Mad. Mecour; Crispin, Merschy. Schroder notes (Meyer, op. cit. ii, 2, p. 20) that Ekhof played the rôle of Argant, but this does not agree with the playbill. Meyer states (op. cit. i, pp. 182 f.) that ['Madam Lowens] Liebhaberin in Marivaux unvermuthetem Ausgange...Schrodern unvergesslich [blieb]'. Repetitions took place on August 10, 1767, March 1 (in Hanover), and June 15, 1768.

<sup>1</sup> Repertoire des deutschen Schauspieles in Wien, MS. Stadtbibliothek, Vienna, p. 136
<sup>2</sup> The programme of July 21 consisted actually of Schlosser's Der Zweykampf and Die wuste Insel, a one-act comedy translated (by Ekhof) from the French of J. B. Collet de Messine. Nanine and Der unvermuthete Ausgang were not performed until a week later, July 28, a date on which Lessing sets the repetition of Romanus's Die Bruder. That play was actually not repeated until August 11. The first error may have been no more than an oversight, but the insertion of a performance of Die Bruder was made, no doubt, in order to give an opportunity of continuing his discussion of that play.

48. Richard der Dritte, a tragedy in five acts by C. F. Weisse. Wednesday, July 22, 1767. [St. LXXIII, 67-LXXXIII, 75.] It was published in Beytrag zum deutschen Theater, i, Leipzig, 1759, and in this form was played by Koch and received with great favour in Hamburg in 1761 (cp. Ekhof's letter of July 6, 1761, to Weisse, published by J. Minor, Briefe aus Weisses Nachlass in Schnorr's Archiv für Litteraturgeschichte, ix, 1880, p. 475). Ekhof, however, was not satisfied with the last act and Weisse remodelled it in 1761. Cp. the preface to the second edition (Leipzig, 1765): 'Richard den Dritten hat er [der Verfasser] in den leztern Akten ganzlich umgeschmolzen: der Vorwurf war gegrundet, dass sich die Haupthandlung im dritten Akte schloss; da sie nach der neuern Veränderung bis ans Ende fortlauft.' The new form appeared in the second edition of the Beytrag, i, Leipzig, 1765; also in Theater der Deutschen, iii, 1766, pp. 193 ff.; it is reprinted by D. Jacoby and A. Sauer in Deutsche Literaturdenkmale des 18. und 19. Fahrhunderts, No. 130, Berlin, 1904. The revised version was produced in Leipzig in 1767, and in Hamburg on the present occasion. Cast: Richard, Ekhof; Eduard, Mad. Bock; Herzog von York, Mlle Ackermann; Richmond, Schmelz; Stanley, Borchers; Catesby, Hensel; Konigin Elisabeth, Mad. Lowen; Prinzessin Elisabeth, Mad. Hensel: Tyrel, Böck. On the performance Schröder commented (Meyer, op. cit. ii, 2, p. 20): '[Ekhof spielte] Richard, gut, bis auf die korperliche Kraft'; and the Hamburgische Unterhaltungen was eloquent in praise of his rendering of 'O hatt' es nur Ein Haupt!' (H. Uhde, op. cit. p. 169). There were repetitions on August 6, October 6, 1767, and (in Hanover) on January 3, 1769.

49. Herzog Michel, a comedy in one act by J. C. Krüger. Wednesday, July 22, 1767. [St. LXXXIII, 76.] In Krüger's works this play was described as 'ein Lustspiel von einer Handlung, nach dem ausgerechneten Glucke in den neuen Beytragen zum Vergnügen des Verstandes und Witzes, im ersten Stucke des vierten Bandes. Zum ersten Male den 19. Januar 1750 in Leipzig aufgeführet' (J. C. Krügers poetische und theatralische Schriften, herausg. von J. F. Lowen, Leipzig, 1763, p. 447). Das ausgerechnete Glück was by J. Adolf Schlegel and is based on Lafontaine's La laitière et le pot au lait (Fables, vii, 10). The first performance of Krüger's play in Hamburg took place under Schonemann on July 24, 1750 (H. Devrient, op. cit. p. 177) and it is included in the latter's Schaubühne, v, 1751. On its long popularity—it was the most popular 'Nachspiel' of its time—see Wittekindt, op.

cit. pp. 81 ff, 123 ff. It will be remembered that Goethe played in it as a student in Leipzig (Dichtung und Wahrheit, VII, Werke (Weimar ed.), xxvii (1889), pp. 110 f). Cast: Andreas, Borchers; Hannchen, Mad. Löwen; Michel, Ekhof. Of Ekhof Schroder said (Meyer, op. cit ii, 2, p. 14) 'Michel, nicht so gut als Ackermann.' Repetitions were given on January 1 (in Hanover) and October 5, 1768, and (in Hanover) on January 17 and February 17, 1769.

- 50. Die Frau, welche Recht hat, a comedy in three acts by Voltaire. Thursday, July 23, 1767. [St. LXXXIII, 91.] La Femme qui a raison. comédie en trois actes en vers (1758). The comedy was originally written in one act, and was played in 1748; the three-act version was performed in 1758, and printed in 1759 (G. Bengesco, Voltaire. Bibliographie de ses œuvres, Paris, 1882-90, i, pp. 47 f.). It was produced by Ackermann in Hamburg in 1764; it had been printed at Berlin in 1762. It is in three acts; the name of the translator is not known. There is a copy of this edition in the Hamburg Staats- und Universitatsbibliothek, and a copy of the second impression (Berlin, 1764) in the Preussische Staatsbibliothek. Petersen (ed. cit. p. 254) mentions another anonymous translation which appeared in Berlin in 1756; this must have been of the one-act version. Cast: Hart, Ekhof; Frau Hart, Mad. Bóck; Berg, Borchers; Damis, Bock; Erise, Mad. Schulz; Raspe, Hensel; Marthe, Mad. Mecour. Repetitions took place on October 2, 1767, and (in Hanover) January 29, 1768. It was followed now by 'ein Tanz' and Ist er von Familie?
- 51. Der sehende Blinde, a comedy in one act by Le Grand. Friday, July 24, 1767. [St. LXXXIII, 110.] L'Aveugle clair-voyant, comédie en un acte et en vers (1716). The translator was 'Hr. Secretair Carl August Suabe' (Gottsched, Nothiger Vorrath, ii, p. 279)2; the translation (which is in alexandrines) was published at Dresden in 1752 and at Hamburg in 1756. A copy of each edition is in the Hamburg Staats-und Universitatsbibliothek. In Schönemann's repertory the play appears on June 10, 1756 (H. Devrient, op. cit. p. 265), but it was possibly performed two years earlier (Meyer, ii, 2, p. 51). There is a later version in prose (Dresden, 1777), of which a copy is also in the Hamburg Staats- und Universitätsbibliothek. Cast: Leonore, Mad.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For the actual programme of July 24 Lessing substitutes that of July 31. See above, p. 54, note 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The Hamburgische Address-Comtoir Nachrichten of August 3, however, states that it was 'übersetzt von Herrn Krüger'.

Mecour; Leonore, ihre Tante, Mad. Merschy; Damon, Borchers; Leander, Bock; Doctor Saft, Merschy; Lisette, Mlle Ackermann; Caspar, Witthoft; Notarius, Hensel. It was repeated on January 28 (in Hanover), August 19, October 12, 1768, and (in Hanover) on January 20, 1769 (on the last three occasions with the title Der hellsehende Blinde). It was preceded on the present occasion by Sidnei.

52. Der Hausvater, a comedy in five acts by Diderot. Monday. July 27, 1767. [St. LXXXIV-XCI] Le Père de famille, comédie en cinq actes en prose (1758, first played 1761). This play was translated by Lessing (Das Theater des Herrn Diderot, Berlin, 1760, ii, pp. 1 ff.). According to Meyer (op. cit. ii, 2, p. 53) it was first played in Hamburg in 1750; if so, presumably in another translation than Lessing's. A version also appeared in 1761 at Nurnberg: Der Hausvater, Ein Lustspiel, in funf Aufzugen, und in ungebundener Rede. Aus dem Franzosischen ubersetzet. Nurnberg, 1761. A copy of this version is in the Hamburg Staats- und Universitatsbibliothek. (The Preface to this translation mentions a criticism in the Gottinger gelehrte Nachrichten, St. 18 and 89 (1759); and the anonymous translator alleges that he received encouragement from Herr Ludewig Jakob Heyde in Nurnberg.) Assézat (Œuvres Complètes de Diderot, Paris, 1875-7, vii, p. 178) also mentions a later translation by Anton von Riegger, Vienna, 1777. Cast: D'Orbesson, Ekhof; D'Aulnoi, Witthoft; Saint Albin, Bock; Caecilia, Mad. Lowen; Sophia, Mlle Felbrich; Germeuil, Borchers; Le Bon, Schmelz; Clairet, Mlle Ackermann; Hebert. Mad. Schmelz; La Brie, Hensel; Philipp, Merschy; Frau Papillon, Mad. Merschy. Ekhof now played the rôle—formerly Ackermann's of D'Orbesson. Schröder (who himself played D'Orbesson for the first time in 1779) commented on Ekhof's performance (Meyer, ob. cit. ii, 2, p. 20): 'Vollkommen. Ich hatte mich nicht mit ihm messen mogen' while the Hamburgische Unterhaltungen, vii, i (Jan. 1769), p. 89, said that 'Herr Eckhof spielte den Hausvater mit einer Einsicht und mit einem Gefuhl, das Kenner und Nichtkenner bewundern'. Repetitions took place on July 30, October 19, November 13, 1767, in Hanover on February 11, February 18, and May 5, in Hamburg on June 2, August 9, August 24, October 26, 1768, and in Hanover on January 27, 1769.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This translation, however, is mentioned in a letter from J. G. von Laudes to A. von Riegger printed at the end of the latter's adaptation *Pamela als Mutter* (Wien, 1771, pp. [84–5]). The letter is dated May 6, 1764 and refers to von Riegger's translation of *Der Hausvater* 'schon vor einigen Jahren' (p. [84]).

Deutsche Schaubuhne zu Wienn, published in 1749;¹ while the extracts given from the French journal La Bigarure—the 'recht angenehmes Blatt' which was also drawn upon in the Beytrage—deal with Crébillon and Voltaire.² Perhaps the most interesting thing about these early reviews is to see how the young writer who had made his début under the ægis of Gottsched, was gradually—with the encouragement, no doubt, of the attacks on the latter made by Bodmer and Georg Friedrich Meier—sharpening his weapons against his master.

In the Beytrage,<sup>3</sup> however, Lessing plainly indicates that his object is to prepare the way for Gottsched's promised 'Historie des Theaters'; he desired to supplement the materials which Gottsched had been accumulating in his Deutsche Schaubuhne.<sup>4</sup> That critic's periodicals, the Beytrage zur critischen Historie der deutschen Sprache, Poesie und Beredsamkeit (1732-44), and more particularly its successor, Neuer Buchersaal der schonen Wissenschaften und freyen Kunste (1745-50)<sup>5</sup>—both in large measure modelled on the Journal des Sçavans—defined more or less the form of Lessing's journal. It is true, Lessing reproached his

<sup>1</sup> Ibid. pp. 37 f. Muncker considers Lessing's authorship to be doubtful.

<sup>2</sup> Ibid. pp. 39 f., 42 f. Cp. Th. W. Danzel and G. E. Guhrauer, G. E. Lessing. Sein Leben und seine Werke, 2nd ed. Berlin, 1880-81, i, pp. 509, 513 f.; Muncker

regards Lessing's authorship of the reviews as doubtful.

See my 'Notes on Lessing's Bertrage zur Historie und Aufnahme des Theaters' in the Modern Language Review, viii, 1913, pp. 511 ff. and ix, 1914, pp. 213 ff. The present section is a revised summary of the results there arrived at. The title of Lessing's journal was perhaps suggested by Bodmer's anti-Gottschedian Critische Betrachtungen und freye Untersuchungen zum Aufnehmen und zur Verbesserung der deutschen Schau-Buhne, Bern, 1743 (Goedeke, Grundriss, iv (1) (3rd ed.), p. 11).

<sup>4</sup> Schriftn, iv, pp. 54 ff. In the preface to the last (sixth) volume of the Schaubuhne (1745) Gottsched had said: 'Kunftig erwarte der geneigte Leser von mir eine Historie der Schaubuhne überhaupt, und unsrer deutschen insbesondre. Mein Vorrath deutscher Schauspiele von allen Gattungen, ist nun schon über anderthalbtausend Stucke angewachsen: und ich wurde auch hier eine ziemliche Nachlese zu dem Verzeichnisse derselben mittheilen konnen, wenn es der Raum der Bogen zuliesse. Sie sollen aber kunftig in ihrer Stelle erscheinen: nur ersuche ich die Liebhaber und Besitzer theatralischer Stucke hiermit nochmals, mir mit denjenigen Nachrichten an die Hand zu gehen, die mir etwa noch fehlen mochten'. Cp. also Neuer Buchersaal, 1 (iii), Sept. 1745, p. 287.

<sup>5</sup> The date 1754, given by Goedeke (*Grundriss*, iii (2nd ed.), p 359) and others, would appear to be an error for 1750. The note "Ende des ganzen Werks" appears at the end of the third 'Register' of vol. x (1750) Moreover, the books of Messrs Breitkopf und Hartel do not show any payments to Gottsched for the Neuer Buchersaal after 1750, while payments for the new periodical, Das Neueste aus der annuthigen Gelehrsamkeit, begin in 1751. This information was obtained through the kindness

of Dr W. Schmieder, of Leipzig, who examined the ledgers of the firm.

predecessors for having reduced the German theatre to monotony by drawing their materials too exclusively from France; and he complained that the existing 'Monatsschriften' were inclined to neglect the drama <sup>1</sup> This was certainly noticeable in the case of the *Neuer Buchersaal*, which had at first devoted much space to the drama, but ultimately ignored it almost entirely

A comparison of the 'Vorrede' of Gottsched's second journal with that of Lessing's corroborates what I have said of the relations between them. Gottsched opens with an apology for adding one more to the many existing 'deutschen Monatschriften', but justifies himself on the ground of the increase of specialisation. 'Die schonen Wissenschaften und freven Kunste sind bisher noch mit keinem besondern Tagebuche versehen gewesen; und diesem augenscheinlichen Mangel bin ich willens hierdurch einigermassen abzuhelfen' (Neuer Buchersaal. i, p. 7). Lessing feels a similar need of justifying his periodical; and he specifies his field as 'nur den dramatischen Theil'. Neither journal proposes to restrict itself to Germany. 'Nicht nur deutsche', says Gottsched, 'sondern auch englische, franzosische und italienische Sachen werden hier ihren Platz finden' (i, p. 8), and Lessing desires that his Bertrage shall be a contribution to the universal history of the theatre; he will not only deal with the ancient drama, but with French, Italian, English, Spanish and Dutch drama, besides, of course, that in his own tongue. Here he had clearly in view that first 'comparative' history of the theatre, Luigi Riccoboni's Réflexions historiques et critiques sur les différens Théâtres de l'Europe, Paris, 1738. At the same time, Greek and Latin drama was to have the chief share of attention; and the plan which Lessing lays down in this respect may have been suggested by Brumoy's Théâtre des Grecs (Paris, 1730).2 Of the modern drama he goes on to say: 'Wir werden besonders unser Augenmerk auf das englische und spanische Theater richten.'3 At this time he had no particular knowledge of, or even interest in, either of these literatures; he knew, indeed, little more than was to be gleaned from Voltaire's Lettres Philosophiques, where the English theatre is compared with that of Spain.4 From Voltaire he obviously drew his list of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Schriften, iv, p. 50.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Cp. Danzel and Guhrauer, op. cst. 1, p. 179; J. Kont, Lessing et l'Antiquité, Paris, 1894-9, i, pp. 63 f.

Schriften, iv, p. 52.
'Die Englander hatten, sowohl wie

<sup>4 &#</sup>x27;Die Englander hatten, sowohl wie die Spanier, schon ihre Schaubuhne, als die Franzosen noch auf Brettern spielten. Shakespear, der der Englander Corneille war, bluhte mit dem Lopez de Vega beynahe zu einer Zeit.' Des Herrn von Voltare

English dramatists, omitting only Addison; and his knowledge of Spanish drama was even hazier. With regard to Italian and Dutch drama he makes a particular reservation: 'Von den Italianern und Hollandern aber werden wir nur das, was sie regelmassiges und eigenthumliches haben, aufsuchen' (Beytrage, Vorrede). And the general conclusion to which this translating, criticising and comparing lead is one towards which Johann Elias Schlegel had been moving when he compared Gryphius with Shakespeare, and which Lessing himself was ultimately so brilliantly to establish: 'Das ist gewiss, wollte der Deutsche in der dramatischen Poesie seinem eignen Naturelle folgen, so wurde unsre Schaubuhne mehr der englischen als franzosischen gleichen' (Beytrage, Vorrede).

Lessing's partner in the editorship of the *Beytrage*, although his name is not mentioned, was his friend Christlob Mylius; but there is still uncertainty concerning the authorship of a number of items in the journal. In 1754, when Lessing replaced the *Beytrage* by the *Theatralische Bibliothek*, he wrote:

Von mir nehmlich schrieb sich nicht nur der gantze Plan jener periodischen Schrift her, so wie er in der Vorrede entworfen wird; sondern auch der grösste Theil der darinn enthaltenen Aufsatze ist aus meiner Feder geflossen.<sup>2</sup>

Of the contents, the Abhandlung on Plautus and the translation of Die Gefangnen are by Lessing. This has never been questioned. The editorial notes to the Critik uber die Gefangnen des Plautus and the criticism of that Critik in the third and fourth parts are obviously by the translator of the Gefangnen; and in a letter to his father Lessing claimed the authorship of the review of Gregorius's translation of Werenfels.<sup>3</sup> On the other hand, we have to attribute to Mylius the translation of Machiavelli's Clitia and the Untersuchung, ob man in Lustspielen die Charaktere übertreiben solle; and the opening article, Versuch eines Beweises, dass die Schauspielkunst eine freye Kunst sey was also doubtless by him.<sup>4</sup> Gedanken über die Trauer- und Lustspiele der Englander, translated from Voltaire's Lettres sur les Anglois in the Beytrage zur Historie und Aufnahme des Theaters, Stuttgart, 1750, 1, p. 96.

<sup>1</sup> Lessing mentions Shakespeare, Dryden, Wycherley, Vanbrugh, Cibber and Congreve (*Beytrage*, Vorrede). Cp. Voltaire's *Lettres sur les Anglois*, Nos. xviii and xix (where the same authors, together with Otway and Addison, are discussed).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Schriften, vi, p. 3.

November 2, 1750 (Schriften, xvii, p. 23).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Cp. preface to the *Theatralische Bibliothek* and note to the first article in that journal (*Schriften*, vi, pp. 3, 47), also preface to Mylius's *Vermischte Schriften* by Lessing (*ibid.* p. 405). Jordens, *Lexikon deutscher Dichter und Prosaisten*, iii (Leipzig, 1808), p. 774, claims for Mylius 'unter andern' the three items mentioned.

This is the most that can be said with complete certainty The present opinion with regard to Lessing's share in the periodical depends on a categorical statement by his brother Karl Lessing in the preface to Part xxii of the first collected edition of Lessing's works (Berlin, 1794; p. iii):

Das meiste darin sind Ubersetzungen, Theils aus dem Franzosischen, Theils aus dem Italianischen. Diese konnten aber, und wenn es auch wirklich ausgemacht ware, dass sie von Lessing herruhrten, keinen Platz in der gegenwartigen Sammlung finden. Von eignen Aufsatzen hat Lessing in den Beytragen etc. gewiss weiter nichts, als was hier daraus abgedruckt worden ist, obgleich in den so genannten Analekten etc. auch noch die Abhandlung Versuch eines Beweises, dass die Schauspielkunst eine freye Kunst sey, ihm zugeschrieben wird.

And the works which Karl Lessing reprints are: Das Leben des Plautus, Die Gefangnen, Critik über die Gefangnen, and Werenfels' Rede. This canon has been accepted by subsequent editors; but the second Lachmann edition added the 'Vorrede',¹ and the Hempel edition the translation of François Riccoboni's Art du Théâtre, an ascription which Muncker has also accepted.²

Lessing's most substantial contribution to his first periodical is thus concerned with Plautus. He had, as we have seen, a predilection for Roman comedy in his school days; and his continued interest is to be seen not merely in his contributions to the Beytrage, but also in his adaptation from Plautus, Der Schatz (1750). Further, the sketch of a drama preserved in his 'Nachlass'—Weiber sind Weiber—is built on motives from Stichus: it borrows the name 'Labrax' from the Rudens, and, like Die alte Jungfer, it is provided with a motto from Plautus. Another play, Justin, was planned as a version of Pseudolus, a drama which probably also influenced in part Der junge Gelehrte.4

Das Leben des Plautus is not a very original compilation, although it shows extensive reading. Lessing discusses the Latin poet in much the same way as he had been discussed in J. A. Fabricius's Bibliotheca Latina (Hamburg, 1697), or in G. E. Muller's Historisch-critische Einleitung zu nöthiger Kenntniss und nutzlichem Gebrauche der alten lateinischen Schriftsteller (Dresden, 1747 ff.). That is to say, an account of the poet's life is followed by brief notes on the individual plays, and a list of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> On the advocacy of Danzel; cp. Danzel and Guhrauer, op. cst. i, pp. 177 f.
<sup>2</sup> Schriften, iv, pp. viii, 180.

<sup>3</sup> Cp. Schriften, v, p. 268.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Schriften, iv, pp. viii, 180. <sup>3</sup> Cp. Schriften, v, p. 268. <sup>4</sup> Cp. Danzel and Guhrauer, op. cit. i, p. 143; E. Schmidt, Lessing, 4th ed. (Berlin, 1923), i, p. 137.

editions of Plautus. The sources of Lessing's information are the editions of Plautus of F. Taubmann (Wittenberg, 1605), of J. Gruter (Wittenberg, 1621) and of J. Operarius, 'in usum Delphini' (Paris, 1679). His main indebtedness is, however, to the 'Dissertation préliminaire sur la vie et les œuvres de Plaute' which is prefixed to H. P. de Limiers' Les Œuvres de Plaute en Latin et en François, 10 vols. Amsterdam, 1719. After giving a list of editions of Plautus, Lessing passes on to discuss the modern translations of this 'Vater aller Comodienschreiber', notably Madame Dacier's (included in Limiers' collection), that of Gueudeville (also 1719, Leyden), and Coste's translation of Les Captifs (Amsterdam, 1716).

The Abhandlung is followed by a translation of the Captivn which apparently belongs to an earlier date. Judged by modern standards, it has no great merit; it is often awkward and crude, and inaccuracies are frequent. It is, however, more literal than the French translation by Coste, and is not disfigured by those perversions of the original in the interests of polite French taste of which Coste is guilty; and it is much more literal than Gueudeville's. Lessing's notes on the text, for the most part suggested by those to Coste's translation and by Taubmann's commentary, are meagre; but he apologises for them and promises a more detailed discussion in 'einer besondren Abhandlung'. Some of this, no doubt, passed into the reply to the Critik.

It has hitherto been accepted, as we have seen, that the Critik über die Gefangnen des Plautus is not what it professes to be, the contribution of an outsider, but that it was written by Lessing himself. Lessing. it is urged, adopted a device familiar in the journalism of the time from the Spectator onwards, in order to give variety to the contents of his journal; instead of publishing the promised criticism of Plautus's comedy, he introduced an element of piquancy by throwing his ideas into a form of criticism ostensibly hostile to himself. But a careful scrutiny of the Critik shows that it could not be from Lessing's pen. Even external characteristics of language and style point against Lessing's authorship. Danzel, who recognised the difference of style,1 admired the skill with which Lessing converted himself for the occasion into a good Gottschedian; although, as a matter of fact, the Lessing of the Beytrage was more orthodox in this respect than his co-editor Mylius. Danzel quotes a single phrase that reminds him of Gottsched; but there are many expressions and stylistic turns that are foreign to Lessing at this time. Further, the whole criticism is put together so

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Cp. Danzel and Guhrauer, op. cst. i, p. 181.

clumsily and with so little of that logical method which was characteristic of Lessing, even in his beginnings, that this alone speaks against his authorship. The same writer could not have written both the *Critik* and the reply to it. Apparently Karl Lessing, seeing that his brother had promised a 'besondre Abhandlung', hastily assumed that the *Critik* was the fulfilment of that promise.

The author of the Cntik—and I am unable to offer any conjecture as to his identity—makes no pretence to classical scholarship, and does not venture to criticise Lessing on this ground; but he had a wide knowledge of French literature on the theatre—wider, no doubt, than Lessing as yet possessed—and his mode of attack is to confront Lessing with arguments mainly drawn from French sources. He assumes that Lessing only knew Coste's translation by repute, being clearly unaware that the second edition (which he regrets Coste has never published) had actually appeared in Limiers' work.<sup>2</sup> The critic was evidently not acquainted with the latter.

The reply which Lessing makes to the Critik³ is distinctly the best piece of critical writing in the volume; here Lessing begins already to emerge as a master of his craft. He sums up his opponent's attack on Plautus as being concerned with three things: 'Kunst, Witz und Moral'; and he considers each of these in turn. Beginning with the last, he takes his stand on the opinion maintained by Brumoy,⁴ that an author's morality must be judged by the standard of his own time and contemporaries. Turning to the second point, Lessing states that he shares the abhorrence of the classical critics for the 'Wortspiel'—condemned by Boileau, for instance, and by Gottsched in his Critische Dichtkunst—and he exonerates Plautus, as Gottsched had exonerated Virgil for a pun in his Eclogues: 'Allein der Poet kann leicht damit entschuldiget werden, dass er sein Rathsel in den Mund eines einfaltigen Schafers leget, der auf dem Dorfe leicht etwas fur schön halten

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Iti's perhaps worth noting that C. H. Schmid in his life of Plautus in Biographie der Dichter, i (Leipzig, 1769), pp. 220 f., has no suspicion that the Critik might be by Lessing; he says the volume of the Beytrage contains a criticism, 'die sehr\* viele Kleinigkeiten tadelt, das beleidigte Costume, und die verschwiegne Ursache von Stalagmus Ankunft ausgenommen, und eine Widerlegung dieser Kritik, die desto leichter fiel, je seichter die Kritik selbst war'.

<sup>\* (</sup>selbst die schleunige Zuruckkunft des Philokrates rechne ich dahin).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Schriften, iv, pp. 138 f.; Beytrage, ed. cit. III, pp. 379 f.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Schriften, iv, pp. 171 ff., 180 ff.; Beyträge, III, pp. 429 ff., 573 ff.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Discours sur la Comédie grecque in Brumoy, Théâtre des Grecs, Paris, 1730, iii, pp. xxxvi ff.

konnte, was doch Virgil selbst fur was schlechtes hielte.' Lessing takes the opportunity of making an appeal for realism in the theatre, which was coming into favour with the importation of English tragedies of common life; and he appears still more as an advocate of this type of drama when he claims it as a merit (Schriften, iv, p. 191) that the 'empfindliche Seele' will say with Hegio: 'Was fur grossmuthige Seelen! Sie pressen mir Thranen aus.'

Lessing deals also with the arguments concerning the 'mechanische Einrichtung' (ibid. pp. 185 ff.)—arguments which had been so damaging to his claim for the superlative excellence of the Captivi. He calls in Houdart de la Motte's 'unité de l'intérêt',2 to meet the criticism that Plautus had unnecessarily complicated the action by making Tyndarus a son of Hegio; and to mitigate the offence against the unity of time, he falls back on Coste's own defence<sup>3</sup> that the two places in Aetolia and Elis might be thought of as lying not far from the boundary between the two provinces. Further, he maintains that a certain latitude must be allowed to a poet in the matter of the timeunity, especially when he is skilful enough to arrange his plot so that the audience do not easily perceive it.4 From all of this it may be inferred that Lessing had not yet progressed beyond the classical attitude to the unity of time and that he was in agreement with Corneille. After defending the use of asides on the ground of the spaciousness of the Roman stage—an argument set forth and dealt with by Ménardière<sup>5</sup>—Lessing concludes by once more asserting 'dass dieses Stuck das schonste sey, welches jemals auf das Theater gekommen ist', on the ground that it conforms most closely to the purpose of comedy (*ibid.* p. 191). As a subsidiary virtue he claims one which Voltaire—and also Luigi Riccoboni and Calepio—had extolled in tragedy, namely, that Plautus 'die gereinigte Moral, welche durch das ganze Stuck herrscht, nicht durch den allzuzärtlichen Affect der Liebe geschwächt hat' (ibid. p. 192).

The translations from the French and Italian in the Beytrage may be

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Critische Dichtkunst, i, chap. vii, ed. of 1737, p. 238.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Premier Discours sur la Tragédie (see Houdart de la Motte, Oeuvres, Paris, 1754, iv, p. 37).

<sup>3</sup> In Limiers' edition, vol. ii (Amsterdam, 1719), p. 204 (Dissertation sur la Durée de l'action des *Captifs*).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Cp. D'Aubignac, La Pratique du Théâtre, Amsterdam, 1715, ii, chap. v11, 1, pp. 113 f.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> La Poetique de Jules de la Mesnardiere (Pilet de la Mesnardiere), Paris, 1640, chap. ix, pp. 269 ff.

summarily disposed of. That of two of Voltaire's Lettres philosophiques is almost certainly by Mylius. That of Corneille's Trois Discours (which had also been previously translated into German<sup>2</sup>) I have, in an article already cited,3 claimed for Lessing-or if not the entire translation, at least some share in it. For there is a noticeable improvement in quality between the translation of the first Discours and that of the other two; and this difference is further emphasised by the fact that the first was evidently translated from the 1663 [1664] Paris edition of the Théâtre de Corneille (or a Dutch reprint of this). The translator reproduces here the phrase of the earlier editions (1660 and 1663): 'Je hasarderai quelque chose sur trente ans de travail pour la scène'; whereas a later edition (1668) has 'quarante', and the final editions have 'cinquante'. For the last Discours, as will be seen from a comparison of the textual variants, a later edition was used.5 If Lessing is not responsible for the whole translation, may not Mylius and he have shared it? Perhaps the best argument in favour of Lessing's authorship is the fact that, seventeen years later, when he had occasion to discuss Corneille in the Hamburgische Dramaturgie, he turned to this old translation and quoted it often quite literally, or at most with very little alteration or improvement.6

Boxberger's plea for Lessing's authorship of the translation of

<sup>1</sup> It was not the first translation. Cp. the criticism of Lessing's periodical in the Berlinische Bibliothek, iv, i, 1750, p. 138: 'Man hat zwar schon eine Übersetzung dieser Gedanken in der Samlung verschiedener Briefe des H. von Voltair, die Engelländer und andere Sachen betreffend. Aus dem franzosischen übersetzt und mit einigen Anmerkungen begleitet von N\*\*. Jena, 1747. 8. S. 273-300. Allein jene hat den Vorzug vor dieser und ist mit einer Critick begleitet.'

<sup>2</sup> Herrn P. Corneilles Gedancken von den Schauspielen, Hamburg, without date. The translator was Friedrich Georg Behrmann, a native of Hamburg, and author of Timoleon and Die Horatier, which were performed in Hamburg by Caroline Neuber's company. (See F. Heitmuller, Hamburgische Dramatiker zur Zeit Gottscheds, ed. cit. p. 34, and Schutze, Hamburgische Theatergeschichte, ed. cit. pp. 221 ff., 225 ff.)

<sup>3</sup> Modern Language Review, ix, pp. 214 ff.

<sup>4</sup> See Euvres de Corneille, ed. Marty-Laveaux (in Régnier, Les Grands Écrivains de la France), Paris, 1862, i, p. 16. (But cp. also Hamburgische Dramaturgie, LXXV, 101 'funfzig Jahre'; see below, p. 364, note 1.) Other variants exclude the edition of 1660: Beyträge, p. 59: 'befurchten', translating 'craindre' (1660: 'prendre garde' Euvres, ed. cit. i, p. 18); Beyträge, p. 64: 'ersetzen', translating 'suppléer' (1660: 'réparer', Euvres, i, p. 23).

<sup>5</sup> Cp. Beyträge, p. 551: 'Ammon' (in the earlier eds. of Corneille, including that of 1668, 'Timante', Eurres, ed. cit. i, p. 103). Cp. also Beytrage, p. 556 and Eurres,

i, p. 107.

<sup>6</sup> Cp particularly the Corneille quotations in St. LXXV, LXXXII and LXXXIII of the *Dramaturgie* with the *Beytrage*, pp. 221 f., 215, 74. See also below, p. 377.

François Riccoboni's L'Art du Théâtre, Paris, 1750,<sup>1</sup> is convincing. The version is quite on a level with that of the last Discours of Corneille. Lessing wrote to his father on November 2, 1750 (Schriften, xvii, p. 20):

Ich habe...das ganze vierte Stuck der theatr. Beytrage besorgen mussen, was eigentlich schon diese Messe hatte sollen fertig werden, und diese Arbeit hat mich bis an vergangnen Sonnabend nicht über eine Stunde Herr seyn lassen.

The translation of Machiavelli's *Chtia* in St. III is by Mylius. In the 'Vorbericht des Übersetzers' he apologised for offering this translation (*Beytrage*, ed. cit. III, p. 298):

Fragt man mich, warum ich nicht lieber ein gutes, als ein mittelmässiges Stuck, gewahlet habe? so bitte ich, mir erst ein gutes Stuck von dem italienischen Theater zu nennen. Ich weis die Antwort hierauf, ohne sie zu horen. Man wird sagen: so hatte ich ja das Übersetzen aus dem italienischen Theater gar können bleiben lassen.

Mylius was here merely expressing an opinion that was generally held by the French critics—an echo, no doubt, of the anti-Italian trend in the 'Querelle des anciens et des modernes'. D'Aubignac, for instance, had said:

Il ne faut pas dire non plus que la Comédie des Italiens ait pris la place de celles de Plaute et de Terence, car ils n'en ont gardé ni la matiere ni la forme....Et je m'étonne comment il est arrivé que les enfans des Latins soient si peu sçavans en l'Art de leurs Peres.<sup>2</sup>

Lessing, however, was indignant at this depreciation of the Italians, with the result that their partnership was dissolved. But at this time he knew little more about Italian comedy than Mylius, and had been moved to anger by the fact that Luigi Riccoboni wrote his *Histoire du Théâtre italien* (Paris, 1728–31) to refute just such opinions. In that work Riccoboni says (ed. cit. i, pp. 149 f.):

La Clitia est prise de la Casina de Plaute, mais la Mandragola est toute de l'invention de l'Auteur: c'est une des bonnes Comédies que nous aions, mais je ne voudrois pas dire qu'elle fut la meilleure. Parmi un nombre de

<sup>1</sup> See the Hempel edition of Lessing's Werke, xi (1), pp xv f.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Pratique du Théâtre, 11, chap. x, ed. at. 1, p. 132; or, for that matter, Mylius may be only re-echoing Gottsched's views, Critische Duchtkunst, 11, chap. xi, ed. of 1737, p. 695: 'Und in der That hat man aus der Erfahrung gesehen, dass das italienische Theater seit etlichen Jahrhunderten gar nichts kluges hervorgebracht hat. Ihre beste Comodien enthalten nichts, als Romanstreiche, Betrugereyen der Diener, und unendlich viel abgeschmackte Narrenpossen.'

très-bonnes Comédies qui sont dans mon Catalogue, il y en a plusieurs qui pourroient lui disputer cet avantage et même l'emporter. Un Auteur François s'est hazardé de dire dans un Livre imprimé depuis quelques années, que les Italiens n'ont d'autre Comédies que la Mandragola il seroit à souhaiter qu'il eût lû les bonnes Pièces de ce Catalogue, il n'auroit pas dit que la Mandragola est la seule Pièce ni même la meilleure Pièce que nous aions.

Danzel hazarded the opinion that the reports on the theatre in Paris might have been sent in by Melchior Grimm, with whom Mylius had come into personal contact in Leipzig.1 Footnotes to the first two articles explain that they are 'nicht von uns'—that is to say, not by Lessing or Mylius.<sup>2</sup> As a matter of fact, they are merely translations from a new journal published by Pierre Gosse at the Hague, entitled: La Bigarure, ou Meslange curieux, instructif et amusant de Nouvelles. de Critique, de Morale, de Poèsies, et autres matières de Littérature, d'Evénements singuliers et extraordinaires, d'Avantures galantes, d'Histoires secrettes, et de plusieurs autres Nouveautés amusantes, avec des Réflexions Critiques sur chaque Sujet, La Haye, 1749. The extracts in the Beytrage are from 'Lettres d'une Dame de Paris, à une Dame de ses Amies', that in the first number being from La Bigarure, i, pp. 8-16 and iii, pp. 26 f. and 29 ff. The corresponding article in the second part comes mainly from Nos. vi and ix of the French journal.3 The fact that Lessing was familiar with the Bigarure gives some ground for inferring that these articles were translated by him. In a review of Gottsched's new journal, Das Neueste aus der anmuthigen Gelehrsamkeit,4 in the Critische Nachrichten aus dem Reiche der Gelehrsamkeit, Lessing-and I think there is no reason to doubt his authorship of this review-mentions the French journal; and as we have seen, two reviews of the Bigarure in the Berlinische privilegirte Zeitung in 1749 may have also been written by him.<sup>5</sup> The second of these, containing the story of the quarrel

<sup>1</sup> Danzel and Guhrauer, op. at. i, p. 177, note 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> 'Wir haben diese Nachrichten von guter Hand. Die darinne gefallten Urtheile kommen nicht von uns, sondern selbst aus Paris' (Beytrage, I, p. 110); 'Wir erinnern nochmals, dass die unter dieser Aufschrift befindlichen Urtheile nicht von uns herruhren, sondern aus Paris kommen' (ibid. II, p. 287).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The critic of the *Berlinische Bibliothek* remarked ironically (iv, i, p. 138). 'Theatralische Neuigkeiten aus Paris; von welchen die H. Verf. versichern, dass sie solche von guter Hand haben; vielleicht haben sie solche hernach auch dem Verleger der Bigarrure mitgetheilet?'

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Schriften, iv, p. 219. Cp. also B. A. Wagner, Lessing-Forschungen, Berlin, 1881, p. 157.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> See above, p. 95.

between admirers of Voltaire and Crébillon, passed over into the Beytrage. As will be seen, the Bigarure was still put under contribution in the Hamburgische Dramaturgie.<sup>1</sup>

The third article on the Paris theatres, which does not profess to come from Paris, consists almost exclusively of statistics.<sup>2</sup> Muncker thinks that the notices on 'Das Theater in Berlin' may have been sent in, if not contributed, by Mylius, but were probably retouched or added to by Lessing; and he quotes a number of passages in support of this view.<sup>3</sup> The reports of the theatres in Dresden are similar and of equally uncertain authorship. Lastly, the final item in the Beytrage, 'Nachricht von einem in Freyberg aufgefuhrten Schulschauspiel', was, according to Karl Lessing's conjecture,<sup>4</sup> inserted by Mylius without Lessing's knowledge; but this seems improbable. In 1754, Lessing stated that he had borne increasing responsibility for the last part of the journal.<sup>5</sup>

#### THEATRALISCHE BIBLIOTHEK

Lessing's second dramaturgic journal is more liberally represented in modern editions of his complete works than the first one. The first editor, Karl Lessing, was sparing in his selection of items for reproduction. He said:

Man hat hier alles abdrucken lassen, was darin von Lessing selbst ist, auch das kurze Leben Jakob Thomsons, und die fluchtig hingeworfene Geschichte der englischen Schaubuhne nicht ausgenommen, weil er selbst des ersteren bei anderer Gelegenheit erwahnt, und weil die letztere fur den blossen Liebhaber der Litteratur noch immer brauchbar ist.<sup>6</sup>

He omitted everything that appeared to him to be translation—the essays by Chassiron and Gellert, and the analysis of Montiano's

- <sup>1</sup> See below, pp. 467 f.
- <sup>2</sup> I have not been able to discover a source, but the information about the 'Théâtre italien' probably came directly or indirectly from L. Riccobom's *Nouveau Théâtre italien*, Paris, 1733 ff
  - <sup>3</sup> Schriften, 1v, p. 82.
  - 4 G. E. Lessings Leben, Berlin, 1793-5, i, p. 107.
- <sup>5</sup> Cp. the passage already quoted in part (see above, p. 97) from the *Theatralische Bibliothek* (i, Berlin 1754, Vorrede): 'Von mir nehmlich schrieb sich nicht nur der gantze Plan jener periodischen Schrift [Beytrage] her, so wie er in der Vorrede entworfen wird, sondern auch der grosste Theil der darinn enthaltenen Aufsatze ist aus meiner Feder geflossen. Ja ich kann sagen, dass die fernere Fortsetzung nur dadurch wegfiel, weil ich langer keinen Theil daran nehmen wollte.'
  - 6 Sammtliche Schriften (1771-94), xxii (Berlin, 1794), pp. iv f.

Virginia (but he printed the introductions to both these items); Sainte Albine's Comédien was excluded, as well as the translations from Riccoboni-in the case of the latter he retained only the 'Nachricht vom Verfasser'. Of the remainder of the journal he only published the 'Geschichte der englischen Schaubuhne'. Lachmann omitted the Virginia analysis, and the translations from Riccoboni, Du Bos and Dryden. Maltzahn in his new edition of Lachmann (1854) was more liberal, restoring the Virginia, as well as the analyses of the three Italian plays from Riccoboni; but he omitted the article on the English stage. The third Lachmann edition by Muncker (vol. vi. 1890) reprints the Bibliothek in full to the end of the article on Seneca. then omits the Riccoboni and Du Bos translations, and the English article. The Hempel edition (vol. xi, i [1875]) had omitted nothing. and Boxberger in Kurschner's Deutsche Nationalliteratur (vol. lxii [1885]) only the translation of Du Bos. These variations in selection are largely due to the uncertainty that has hitherto prevailed as to how much in the journal may be claimed as Lessing's original composition. In point of fact, if everything is to be omitted that is merely translation, very little will be left at all.

Lessing was sole editor of the new undertaking. His difficulties with his co-editor Mylius had made the continuance of the Beytrage impossible; but on March 6, 1754, Mylius died in London<sup>1</sup>—he was on his way to America on a scientific expedition—and Lessing felt himself free to continue in his own way. The continuation of the Beytrage was entitled Theatralische Bibliothek, and its publication was transferred to the firm of C. F. Voss in Berlin—a firm with which Lessing's journalistic activity had brought him into contact.

The 'Vorrede' of eleven widely printed pages makes it clear that the new venture is a continuation of the old, but with certain modifications of the earlier programme. The plan of the Beytrage had been too ambitious; and Lessing is now less liberal in his promises. In his notice of the journal in the Berlinische privilegurte Zeitung of October 17, 1754, he wrote:

Man wird sich der Beytrage zur Historie und Aufnahme des Theaters erinnern, von welchen vor einigen Jahren vier Stück an das Licht traten. Gegenwärtige Bibliothek ist eine Fortsetzung jener Beytrage, nach einem in etwas veränderten und eingeschränkten Plane. Sie soll nehmlich kein

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Lessing's notice in the *Berlinische privilegirte Zeitung*, March 26, 1754 (Schriften, v, pp. 395 f.). Cp. also E. Thyssen, Christlob Mylius: sein Leben und Wirken, Diss. Marburg, 1912.

Werk ohn Ende und kein blosser theatralischer Mischmasch werden, sondern wirklich eine kritische Geschichte des Theaters zu allen Zeiten und bey allen Volkern enthalten, obgleich ohne Ordnung weder nach den einen, noch nach den andern.<sup>1</sup>

He also proposes to give the continuation a more scholarly flavour by eliminating matter of merely ephemeral or contemporary interest; he will avoid drawing upon himself the ill-will of his colleagues either by expressing adverse opinions concerning their work, or by discriminating in his praise. Similarly, he will have nothing to say about living actors or the state of the theatre, fearing to raise jealousies and hostility—a policy he may have remembered in after years, when he was obliged to modify his third dramaturgic journal in this very respect.

Although he was chary of promises in his preface, it was not for lack of material. He had a good deal of matter in hand which had been collected or prepared for the Beytrage; and he repeatedly held out the promise of continuations of articles. He proposed, for instance, to come back to Thomson's dramas; to give some account of Montiano's 'Abhandlung von der spanischen Tragodie'; to discuss in detail the works of Destouches; to follow up the Seneca articles with similar articles on the Roman poet's other tragedies; to publish the lists of Italian plays in Riccoboni's Histoire du Théâtre italien, and discuss other works by that writer; to continue the article on Dryden.<sup>2</sup> In addition, we hear of his intention to print in Part IV extracts from the comedies of Goldoni, and possibly also translations of tragedies on the theme of Medea.<sup>3</sup>

The first number of the *Theatralische Bibliothek*, which was adorned with a portrait of James Thomson as frontispiece, appeared at the Michaelmas fair of 1754; the second number, completing Volume i, at Easter, 1755 (with the date 1754). Part III, to which a portrait of Destouches, who had been discussed in the first part, served as frontispiece, appeared at Michaelmas, 1755: but the fourth and last part not until the Easter fair of 1759 (with the date 1758).

The statement has been made, on the strength of the first article in the journal, that the *Theatralische Bibliothek* forms the theoretical background to *Miss Sara Sampson*.<sup>4</sup> But the *Abhandlung von dem weinerlichen* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Schriften, v, p. 437. <sup>2</sup> Schriften, vi, pp. 70, 72, 153, 167, 245, 294.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Cp. letter to Mendelssohn of December 8, 1755 and letter to K. W. Ramler of December 11, 1755 (Schriften, xvii, pp. 46, 49).

<sup>4</sup> Cp. W. Oehlke, Lessing und seine Zeit, ed. cit. i, p. 226.

und ruhrenden Lustspiele stands merely on the threshold of Lessing's interest in the new type of drama; it is rather his introduction to it than a critical judgment of it. In fact, in the summer of 1756, two years after the publication of this article, and a year after Miss Sara had been played, Lessing was only just coming to clearness on the theoretical aspects of the 'burgerliches Trauerspiel'.1

Lessing's attention was probably drawn to Chassiron's tract by Voltaire's preface to Nanine<sup>2</sup>; that by Gellert is a translation of his Leipzig inaugural address, Pro Comoedia commovente commentatio oration aditials a C. F. Gellerto, Lipsiae, 1751 3 Apart from the material which Lessing draws from Chassiron and Gellert, his views are hardly in advance of those expressed by Voltaire in his prefaces to Nanine and L'Enfant prodigue.

The Leben des Herrn Jacob Thomson is Lessing's first published translation from English.<sup>4</sup> The original he found in Theophilus Cibber's Lives of the Poets of Great Britain and Ireland, London, 1753, v, pp. 190-218.<sup>5</sup> As a translation, this is much freer than Lessing's renderings from the French; it is often, if not exactly inaccurate, at least loose, and disfigured by Anglicisms. He shows a tendency to shirk difficulties; he also abbreviates by omitting the leisurely generalisations of the original, as well as several pages describing and criticising The Seasons and The Castle of Indolence. When, in discussing Thomson's dramas, Lessing arrives at Agamemnon, he takes the opportunity of citing the

<sup>1</sup> See below, p 397.

<sup>2</sup> To Voltaire as to Lessing the author is only 'M D.C.', but the name had already been revealed in the *Mercure de France*, May, 1749 (p. 125), and in Fréron's Lettres sur quelques écrits de ce tems, iv, London [Paris], 1752 (Lettie i, p. 5).

<sup>3</sup> Gellert himself published a translation in the first edition of his Sammlung vermischter Schriften, Leipzig, 1756. It is interesting to see how Lessing translates the Greek phrases in Gellert's oration: ' $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$   $\tau\hat{\eta}$   $\dot{\epsilon}m\nu\tau\dot{a}\sigma\epsilon\iota$  fabulae', 'wann sich die Fabel am meisten verwirret', and ' $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$   $\tau\hat{\eta}$   $\kappa a\tau a\sigma\tau\rho o\phi\hat{\eta}$ , inueniri solet', 'wann sie sich entwickelt'.

<sup>4</sup> Lessing's translation of An Impartial Foreigner's Remarks upon the Present Dispute between England and Prussia (1753) was, as R. Koser has shown (Preussische Staatsschriften aus der Regierungszeit Friedrichs II, ii, Berlin, 1885, pp. 474 ff.), translated at least with the aid of a French text. The only portions of the Leben Jacob Thomsons which are not translation are Schriften vi, p. 53, l. 29 to p. 54, l. 15, p. 65, l. 27 to p. 67, l. 4 and p. 70, ll. 6-9.

<sup>5</sup> A Life of Thomson appeared in the Brittische Bibliothek, vi, 3 (Leipzig, with the date 1767), pp. 268 ff. J. F. von Palthen, who had prefaced his prose translation of The Seasons with Lessing's translation of Thomson's Life, combined with this in his second edition of 1766 the Life published in the Brittische Bibliothek (cp.

Jakob Thomsons Jahreszeiten, 2te Auflage, Rostock, 1766, Vorrede).

passage from that play quoted in Cibber's essay, in the words of the anonymous German translation of 1750; he also offers some criticism of the quality of this translation. But it is in fact a poor piece of work, and Lessing's encomium of it as 'treu, fliessend und stark' may be taken as further evidence of the meagreness of his knowledge of our language. The remainder of the article comes direct from Cibber with frequent abbreviations. The portrait which forms the frontispiece of the volume is taken from the first volume of the edition of Thomson's Works published at London in 1752. Prior to this article Lessing, it might be noted, had himself begun translations of Agamemnon and Tancred and Sigismunda; and in 1756 he provided a preface for Des Herrn Jacob Thomsons Samtliche Trauerspiele, Leipzig, 1756, an indifferent translation published by a learned society, 'einer gelehrten Gesellschaft zu Stralsund...die unter dem Namen der englischen noch [1780] daselbst existirt'.

The Auszug aus dem Trauerspiele Virginia des Don Augustino de Montiano y Luyando is a translation from D'Hermilly's Dissertation sur les Tragédies espagnoles.<sup>4</sup> It is characteristic of Lessing's small interest at this time in dramatic theory that he leaves the Dissertation to be translated in a subsequent number of his journal and presents his readers first with the main contents of D'Hermilly's second volume, the Exposition de Virginie, Tragédie avec la Traduction de plusieurs endroits de cette Pièce. He implies (Schriften, vi, p. 72) that he has drawn his biographical materials from the 'historisches Register' appended by D'Hermilly to his volumes; but, as a matter of fact, the biography of Montiano (vbid. pp. 70 ff.) is a direct translation from D'Hermilly's preface (pp. xxv-xxxii).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Agamemnon, em Trauer-Spiel, aus dem Englischen ubersetzt, Gottingen, 1750. (A copy of this translation, which was probably by J. D. Michaelis (see Holzmann und Bohatta, Deutsches Anonymen-Lexikon 1501–1850, i, Weimar, 1902, p. 33, No. 999) is in the Hamburg Staats- und Universitätsbibliothek.) In his Laokoon, chap. iv (Schriften, 1x, p. 26) Lessing cites in English a few lines from the same speech which he quotes in the Leben des Herrn Jacob Thomson.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Occasional errors in the transcription of English names have been perpetuated by Lessing's editors without comment. 'Wilk' (Schriften vi, p. 62, l. 28) should be 'Wilks'; 'Herrn Weffington' (p. 68, l. 23) 'Mrs Woffington'. Lessing corrects Cibber's 'Masinessa' (p. 62, l. 26) to 'Masinissa', but not 'Seffredi' (p. 67, l. 14) which ought to be 'Siffredi'. He writes most frequently the French form 'Sophonisbe', also in translating Riccoboni and in the preface to the Samtliche Trauerspiele of Thomson (Schriften, vii, p. 69).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Theater-Kalender auf das Jahr 1780, Gotha, pp. 131 f. (referred to by Muncker, Schriften, vn, p. 66).

<sup>4</sup> Paris, 1754, 11, pp. 1 ff. See below, pp. 293 f.

In a note to the Critik uber die Gefangnen des Plautus in the Beytrage, the author had referred to 'das ganz neue Werk, le comédien', by Sainte Albine, as one of the best books on the actor's art; here Lessing says: 'Ich habe lange Zeit vorgehabt, dieses Werk des Herrn von Sainte Albine zu übersetzen.' His attention was probably drawn to the book by a review in Gottsched's Neuer Buchersaal (v1 (1v), pp. 330 ff.), in April, 1748 This article begins:

Dieser Schriftsteller, welcher der Welt bereits aus einem Memoire composé sur le Laminage bekannt ist, liefert allhier ein Werk, welches allen Schauspielern, die theils schon gegenwartig der Buhne dienen, theils sich noch kunftig derselben widmen wollen, überaus nutzlich seyn wird. Es ist zu verwundern, dass uns Frankreich nicht bereits lange dergleichen Werk geliefert; und dass in einem Lande, wo man von den Schauspielern fast mehrere Vollkommenheiten fordert, als in irgend einem andern, sich noch kein Schriftsteller gefunden, der ihnen die Mittel angezeiget hatte, zu denselben zu gelangen. Wir reden hier von franzosischen Schriftstellern; denn des Herrn Riccoboni Tractat dell' arte rappresentativa ist der Welt schon langst bekannt. Unser gegenwartiger Schriftsteller hat diesem Mangel glucklich abgeholfen, und wir wollen sein Werk naher betrachten.

Then follows a summary of the contents of the work similar to that which Lessing offers here, but briefer and without direct quotation. The reviewer concludes (pp. 349 f.)

Herr von St. Albine hat der Welt ein schones Werk, und den Schauspielern ein Buch geschrieben, welches ihnen kunftig unentbehrlich seyn wird. Wir freuen uns, dass ein geschicktes Mitglied der rom. kaiserl. deutschen Schaubühne in Wien, die Übersetzung desselben ubernehmen, und es mit noch andern wichtigen Zusatzen, seinen deutschen Mitgehulfen zu gute bekannt machen wird.

Although the Leben des Herrn Nericault Destouches, which forms the fifth article of the Theatralische Bibliothek, cannot be described as merely a translation, like the life of Thomson, it is in point of fact little more. It is an ingenious compilation from the prefaces and other matter to be found in the edition of the works of Destouches which was published in four volumes at the Hague in 1752; and from this edition comes the portrait which adorns as frontispiece the second volume of the periodical. Dates of first performances of the plays Lessing found, no doubt, in a work such as Léris' Dictionnaire des Théâtres.

As in the Beyträge the weightiest contribution—Lessing's reply to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Schriften, iv, p. 135, note.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Schriften, vi, p. 120.

the criticism of the Captivi—had dealt with a classical author, so in the present periodical the most original article—one might say the only really original article—is that Von den laternischen Trauerspielen, welche unter dem Namen des Seneca bekannt sind. It is to be regarded as a polemic against Brumoy's depreciation of Latin drama as compared with Greek. Lessing's method of treating his theme is accordingly similar to that of the Théâtre des Grees: summaries of the plays, interspersed with translations of striking passages, are followed by 'Beurtheilungen' ('Examens') and discussions of versions of the same theme by other poets. Lessing regards Seneca as a great tragic poet in spite of his excesses and exaggerations:

Er ist mit den poetischen Farben allzuverschwenderisch gewesen; er ist oft in seiner Zeichnung zu kuhn; er treibt die Grosse hier und da bis zur Schwulst; und die Natur scheinet bey ihm allzuviel von der Kunst zu haben. Lauter Fehler, in die ein schlechtes Genie niemals fallen wird!

He shows that Seneca is superior in certain aspects to the Greeks. He repeats the opinion which he had already expressed in discussing Plautus, that a poet must always be judged by the conditions of his own and not of a later time. Finally, he commends Seneca for his faithful observance of the unities.

It was Lessing's intention to discuss all ten plays in their accepted order, and he begins in the present article with *Hercules furens* and *Thyestes*. Setting out from Brumoy's summary of the former play, he gives a fuller and more sympathetic account of it. He does not hesitate to say of the scene in which Cerberus appears in the third act—a scene which Brumoy had brushed aside as 'rodomontades':

Die gantze deutsche Sprache—wenigstens so wie ich derselben machtig bin,—ist zu schwach und zu arm, die meisterhaften Zuge des Römers mit eben der kuhnen und glucklichen Kurze auszudrucken.<sup>2</sup>

Apart from this, however, Lessing's critical comments are sparing. His comparison of Seneca with Euripides shows no knowledge of Euripides other than he could obtain from Brumoy; but in the section 'Unbilliges Urtheil des Pater Brumoy' he defends Seneca, with not a little of the skill of maturer years, against the French Jesuit. The section 'Von neuern Trauerspielen auf den rasenden Herkules' is

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Theatralische Bibliothek, ii, p 39; Schriften, vi, p. 188.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Theatralische Bibliothek, 1i, p. 24; Schriften, vi, p. 179.

based on notices which Lessing found in Léris' dictionary and on Riccoboni's list of Italian plays. More curious than valuable is his 'Vorschlag fur einen heutigen Dichter' of the manner in which an opera might be constructed out of Seneca's play. But it bears witness to the fresh outlook of the practical dramatist, who was not content merely to see the literature of antiquity through the eyes of the scholar. More important is the section which follows on 'Die Moral des rasenden Herkules'. Here he discusses not merely the moral which lies in the play but also that which can be read into it.

The part of Lessing's article dealing with Thyestes is largely taken up with a discussion of the unity of time in the spirit of the Ménage-D'Aubignac controversy concerning Terence's alleged shortcomings. The authorship of Seneca's tragedies is discussed on the basis of Brumoy, and modern versions of the Thyestes theme with the aid of the sources already mentioned A last section treats of 'dem Atreus und Thyestes des altern Hrn von Crebillon'. Lessing's general remarks on Crébillon point partly to Léris as a source of information, partly to the introduction to the works of Crébillon. From the latter comes the characterisation of Corneille, Racine, Voltaire and Crébillon ('der Schreckliche', Schriften, vi, p. 233) to which Lessing comes back in the Dramaturgie (Lexiv, 44). His chief criticism of Crébillon's play is that it is 'too new-fashioned':

...dass er die Haupthandlung mit einer unnothigen Episode, und zwar mit einer verliebten Episode, geschwacht, und das Ganze durch die Einfuhrung so vieler Vertrauten, welches immer nichts anders als sehr frostige Personen sind, die bloss die Monologen mussen vermeiden helfen, matt gemacht habe.<sup>1</sup>

The article concludes with a translation of a couple of pages from Crébillon's own preface.

The eighth article of the Theatralische Bibliothek—probably a heritage from the Beytrage—is a virtually complete translation of volume 1 of Luigi Riccoboni's Histoire du Théâtre italien depuis la décadence de la Comédie latine, Paris, 1728. Lessing prefaces his translation with a 'Nachricht vom Verfasser' which is compiled partly from information obtained from the book itself and partly from Léris' article on Riccoboni. The extracts from the three Italian dramas which follow are translated—with the abbreviation of occasional sentences—from the second volume of Riccoboni's Histoire, Paris, 1731. The entire third

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Theatralische Bibliothek, ii, p. 129; Schriften, vi, p. 240.

part of the Bibliothek is occupied with a translation of the seventeen chapters which constitute the third volume of Du Bos' Réflexions critiques sur la Poésie et sur la Peinture.

The opening article of the fourth part, which, however, did not appear until 1758, is a Geschichte der englischen Schaubuhne. It is not now-a-days disputed that this contribution was written by Nicolai. The last attempt to claim it, or part of it, for Lessing was made in the Hempel edition, but on quite inadequate grounds; and although Boxberger reprinted it in his edition in Kurschner's Deutsche National-literatur, he did not dispute Nicolai's authorship. In point of fact, Nicolai himself inserted the following note in a letter written by himself to Lessing on August 31, 1756 (Lessings Sammtliche Schriften, xxvii (Berlin, 1794), p. 415):

Dieser mein Entwurf blieb ungedruckt. Lessing ruckte ihn nachher in seine theatralische Bibliothek (Th. iv, S. 3) ein, woraus er in Lessings sammtlichen Schriften (Th. xxiu, S. 269) [als ein Aufsatz von Lessing—these five words do not appear in the 1794 edition, but are inserted in the 2nd edition, xxvii (Berlin, 1809), p. 99] wieder abgedruckt worden ist.

It must not be forgotten, however, that the conditions of journalistic collaboration in the eighteenth century, especially where unsigned articles were concerned, were such that it would be rash to deny Lessing all share in putting Nicolai's article into shape. It is possible that Lessing made some additions to the article out of his own knowledge—in particular, information (probably gleaned from the Monthly Revnew) about the latest period. For the rest, Nicolai's essay is virtually a translation from Dodsley's Select Collection of Old Plays, 12 vols, London, 1744, and Theophilus Cibber's Lives of the Poets of Great Britain and Ireland, 5 vols, London, 1753.

The second item in the fourth part also concerns the English drama; it is the first instalment of what was apparently intended to be a series of studies Von Johann Dryden und dessen dramatischen Werken. In 1753 Gottsched had made an appeal for a translation of Dryden's Essay of Dramatic Poesy.<sup>2</sup> On December 18, 1756, we find Lessing writing to

Lessing's translation was reprinted in the Historisch-kritische Beytrage zur Aufnahme der Musik, edited by F. W. Marpurg, vol. II (Berlin, 1756), v, vi; III (1757), i, ni, iv, v; IV (1758), ii, iv, vi; v (1760), i, nii, iv. A German translation of Du Bos' entire work (of which this third volume was added in the second edition, 1733) appeared at Copenhagen in 1760-61. The translator was G. Funcke.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Cp. Das Neueste aus der anmuthigen Gelehrsamkeit, Leipzig, 1753, ii, pp. 134 f.; also 111, pp. 212 ff. (Drydens Gedanken von der englischen und franzosischen Schaubuhne,

Mendelssohn: 'Bitten Sie doch den Hrn. Nicolai in meinem Nahmen. mir mit ehestem denjenigen Theil von Cibbers Lebensbeschreibung der englischen Dichter zu schieken, in welchem Drydens Leben steht. Ich brauche ihn.'1 For all that Lessing seems to have drawn from Cibber, however—the sparse biographical items in his opening paragraph—he might never have consulted the book. He passes at once to the essay, of which he used the edition: 'Of Dramatick Poesse. an Essav. By John Dryden. London, 1693.' In his translation Lessing abbreviates considerably the earlier pages of the essay, but when he reaches his discussion of the drama, his text becomes an almost unbroken reproduction of the original. Occasional sentences and references are omitted which deal too specially with English poets (Ben Jonson, Cleveland, etc.), or discuss in detail English plays. Dryden's 'Examen of the Silent Woman' (ed. of 1693, pp. 33 ff.) is in great part omitted or reserved for discussion on another occasion (Schriften, vi, p. 201), only a few paragraphs dealing with humour and the use of rhyme being retained. The translation is accurate and shows an advance over that of Thomson's life; only occasionally do slips occur where Lessing has not understood literary references. The importance of this translation, as marking a stage in the development of Lessing's understanding for the English drama and its greater freedom in comparison with that of France, cannot be over-emphasised. Dryden, in fact, may have provided the immediate inspiration for the bold plea for Shakespeare in the seventeenth Litteraturbrief.

The last hundred and seventy pages of the Theatralische Bibliothek are occupied with a translation of Entwurfe ungedruckter Lustspiele des italianischen Theaters from the Dictionnaire des Théâtres de Paris, by the brothers Claude and Frédéric Parfaict (7 vols, Paris, 1756).

This examination of Lessing's first two dramaturgic journals has led to more negative conclusions than have hitherto been accepted, in respect of Lessing's interest in dramatic theory. The Beytrage and the Theatralische Bibliothek show that he was mainly interested in the outward history of the drama, in Plautus and Seneca—and as has been seen, his criticism of these two authors outweighs in original value all the other contributions contained in either part—in the

aus einer Vorrede). A 'Leben Johann Drydens' formed part of the contents of the New Erweiterungen der Erkenntnuss und des Vergnugens, which Lessing noticed in the Berlinische privilegirte Zeitung of May 26, 1753 (Schriften, v, p. 169), and there is a 'Vie de Dryden' in the Journal étranger of August, 1755, pp. 112 ff.

1 Schriften, xvii, p. 86.

history of the Italian theatre, in the dramatists Thomson, Destouches, Montiano. His critical interests, such as they were, were directed rather to the art of acting, as elucidated by François Riccoboni and Sainte Albine, or the theatrical representations of the ancients (as treated in Du Bos' third volume). Dramatic theory thus occupies a very subordinate place. His interest in the new form of tragedy which he himself with Miss Sara Sampson had established on the German stage is particularly meagre. Aristotle's name does not occur except in the Critik uber die Gefangnen des Plautus—of which Lessing was not the author—and in translations in the second periodical—notably, of course, in that of Dryden's Essay.

Nor do Lessing's writings, other than those contained in the two journals, materially supplement these. Of the many notices and reviews of books which he contributed to the Berlinische privilegirte Zeitung and other journals down to the year 1756, a negligible proportion—not more than three per cent.—deals with literary aesthetics, the drama, or the theatre. He had occasion to review briefly Voltaire's Amélie, translations of Crébillon's Idomenée and Mme de Grafigny's Cénie, Marivaux' Théâtre, and a few indifferent German plays; in 1753 he noticed briefly a translation of Le Bossu's Sur le poème épique and Curtius's version of Aristotle's Poetics; 2 and in 1756, as we have seen, he provided an introduction to Thomson's tragedies.3 Of greater interest for his subsequent development is his discussion of Batteux' Beaux Arts réduits à un même principe in German translation and Diderot's Lettre sur les sourds et muets.4 The significance of these two critics for Lessing's dramatic theories I shall have occasion to discuss in my third part.

```
<sup>1</sup> Schriften, v, pp. 14 f., 17 f., 168 f., 403 f
```

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Ibid. pp. 193 ff. <sup>3</sup> Schriften, vii, pp. 66 ff.

### CHAPTER IV

# LESSING'S ASSOCIATION WITH THE NATIONAL THEATRE

Essential to the scheme of the Hamburg organisers was an official 'theatre poet', a man of letters who could be depended upon to supply the theatre with new pieces, provide translations or adaptations of desirable foreign works, lend a helping hand with prologues and epilogues on formal occasions and generally advise on the choice of the repertory. The German theatre did not forget the advantage it had gained from the co-operation of Gottsched in Leipzig; and the 'Principals' of the intervening period had usually had some man of literary pretensions attached to their companies. Thus with Schonemann was associated Johann Christian Kruger; while Lowen himself, and, after his dismissal, Ast, had filled this post for Ackermann in the period immediately preceding the National Theatre.

That the Consortium with its ambitious plans should have thought of securing Lessing's co-operation is not difficult to understand; his name had wide resonance in the literary world; and his reputation as a dramatist, even before Minna von Barnhelm, was high. Of his contemporaries, Weisse would have been a more satisfactory theatre poet; he was much more prolific. But Lessing had the advantage that in his two earlier journals he had shown himself eager to further the interests of the theatre in other ways besides writing plays. It was resolved then that he should be invited. Wessely, a Jewish merchant in Hamburg, was apparently commissioned in November to sound him in the matter in Berlin. Lessing was in a mood to be approached. He had been relieved of his secretaryship in Breslau and had returned to Berlin in January, 1765, by way of Kamenz and Leipzig; and in Berlin he had resolved to settle until he had completed his Laokoon and Minna von Barnhelm. He then seems to have harboured some vague plans of travel; he thought of Vienna, Italy, perhaps even Greece, for whose art the Laokoon had awakened in him a warm interest. These plans were not realised, however, although in the autumn he did accompany a young nobleman, Leopold von Brenkenhof, to Pyrmont, returning by way of Göttingen, Kassel and Halberstadt. Meanwhile, two prospects of future employment came to nought. He was invited to a chair in the University of Konigsberg, but declined it, as he would have been obliged every year to deliver a eulogy on the king of Prussia; and a librarianship in the Royal Library of Berlin-a post that would have been very much to his taste—was refused him in 1766 by Frederick the Great, who naturally preferred a Frenchman and had possibly not forgotten Lessing's undiplomatic quarrel with Voltaire fifteen years before. This rejection was a bitter disappointment to Lessing, and made him particularly ready to consider an invitation that came from outside Berlin. His interest in the practical side of the theatre, it is true, had been temporarily eclipsed by the aesthetic studies to which Laokoon had led him; but now, when he had a new play practically complete, this interest was not difficult to reawaken. Indeed, the prospect of close association with a stage of such serious intention as that of Hamburg must have been alluring. 'Ich stand eben am Markte und war mussig; niemand wollte mich dingen: ohne Zweifel, weil mich niemand zu brauchen wusste; bis gerade auf diese Freunde!' (ci-civ, 28.)

The negotiations progressed favourably, and early in December Lessing went for a few weeks to Hamburg to investigate the matter on the spot. He had been there once before, in May, 1756, when he visited Klopstock and made the acquaintance of Ekhof. This acquaintance was, no doubt, an additional inducement to accept the engagement now. To his brother Karl he wrote on December 22:

Ich kann Dir nur erst so viel melden, dass die bewusste Sache, derentwegen ich hauptsachlich hier bin, einen sehr guten Gang nimmt, und dass es nur auf mich ankommt, sie mit den vortheilhaftesten Bedingungen zu schliessen. Allein Du kennst mich, dass der klingende Vortheil bey mir eben nicht der vornehmste ist; und solchemnach aussern sich andre Bedenklichkeiten, derentwegen ich erst beruhigt seyn muss, ehe ich mich vollig bestimme.<sup>2</sup>

The main difficulty which made Lessing hesitate to accept the invitation was one for which he felt it necessary to apologise at some length at the close of his journal. He could not bind himself to turn out plays regularly: 'no one in the world', he said (ci-civ, 68), 'is less suited than I to be a workman who has to supply a theatre with novelties.' The Lessing who could not be a Prussian professor because he felt unable to eulogise officially the king of Prussia, was nothing if not conscientious. But the Consortium does not seem to have laid

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> To Gleim he wrote on February 1, 1767, of his 'fast erloschene Liebe zum Theater' (Schriften, xvii, p. 228).

<sup>2</sup> Schriften, xvii, p. 226.

weight on this objection, they rightly decided that his name would lend prestige to their undertaking. And so, without apparently any very clear formulation of his duties. Lessing was engaged at a salary of 800 talers (about £180) To Gleim he wrote, in the letter which has just been quoted, that the Hamburg theatre had made a kind of agreement with him 'welches mir auf einige Jahre ein ruhiges und angenehmes Leben verspricht....Ich will meine theatralischen Werke. welche langst auf die letzte Hand gewartet haben, daselbst vollenden. und auffuhren lassen.' Thus, without having it definitely in his contract, he did hope to satisfy his employers' wishes and contribute to their repertory. Minna von Barnhelm was immediately available. although its performance, through no fault of the theatre, was delayed for five months, the official Prussian resident in Hamburg having raised political difficulties. He resolved to finish Der Schlaftrunk, which had been sketched in the preceding year, and he set to work in Hamburg on a new drama, Die Matrone von Ephesus.1

What then were Lessing's official functions in Hamburg to be? If he were not under contract to produce plays, how could he be effectively employed? There could be no question of his taking a hand in the management of the theatre, for that was Lowen's province. At most, perhaps, he could help in engaging actors and advise on the choice of plays, for he was given a seat on the managing committee. But this was vague, and hardly sufficient to justify the outlay of 800 talers a year. 'Endlich,' he tells us, 'fiel man darauf, selbst das, was mich zu einem so langsamen, oder, wie es meinen rüstigern Freunden scheinet, so faulen Arbeiter macht, selbst das, an mir nutzen zu wollen: die Critik. Und so entsprang die Idee zu diesem Blatte.' (CI-CIV, 94.) The suggestion of this way out of the difficulty was, no doubt, Lowen's. He himself in 1752 had written 'Bemerkungen', which were not, however, published, on the performances of Schonemann's company in Hamburg;2 and since then he had been largely concerned as an active journalist with theatre criticism. It says a good deal for the sincerity of purpose with which Lowen undertook his directorship that he courted criticism, and had no fear that difficulties might arise, such as had led to his own quarrel with Ackermann. The employment of a critic to conduct a journal published at the expense of the theatre he was to criticise was indeed a curious innovation. 'Theatre poets' were already familiar both in

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Schriften, iii, pp 414 ff., 439 ff.; cp. Dramaturgie, xxxvi, 15. <sup>2</sup> Cp. O D. Potkoff, op. cit. pp. 34 ff.

Germany and elsewhere; Lessing himself refers (CI-CIV, 70) to Goldoni's work in this capacity in Italy.1 But no theatre in Europe had yet ventured to appoint an official whose function lay in criticising itself. Criticism did not, however, necessarily mean discouraging and unfriendly condemnation, and the Consortium probably found Lessing willing to agree to be helpful. Seyler may have been dubious about Lowen's idea, yet he could not but see the advertising value of such a periodical, if properly conducted; it would help to attract the public to the theatre The advantages of theatrical criticism, as it had been developed in the French and English press, and (in imitation) in the German periodicals, were beginning to be realised—in Hamburg itself the Hamburgische Unterhaltungen, since its inception in 1766, had devoted much space to the doings of the theatre. Moreover, there was room in the proposed journal for helpful information apart from criticism of the performances—information about the dramas themselves and their authors. Since Gottsched's Critische Dichtkunst, too, there had been a growing interest in Germany in the theory of the drama: Lessing himself had contributed to it, and the important writings of Johann Elias Schlegel on the organisation of the theatre had not long been published. Schlegel was firmly convinced that the progress ('Aufnahme') of the theatre was dependent on a thorough study of the aesthetic foundations of dramatic art. There were also precedents for a running commentary on the activity of a particular theatre, in such publications as the Schildereven der Gottfried H. Kochschen Buhne, or the Kritische Nachricht von der Schuchischen Schauspielergesellschaft which appeared at Danzig in 1758.

The nature and scope of the journal which the National Theatre proposed to publish seem to have been left largely to Lessing himself. It could not, of course, be planned on the lines of his own earlier periodicals, for these had no relation to the daily routine of a theatre. Indeed, he seems to have started without any very definite plan; but it was evident that it went as much against the grain in him to bind himself down to a regular and punctual chronicle of the performances, as it had to undertake to provide the theatre with new plays at prescribed intervals. His own somewhat wayward intellectual temperament required freedom.

The first purpose of the publication was obviously to provide a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See below, p. 280. The quotation from *Tristram Shandy* with which Lessing supports his statement here that first thoughts are not always best (ci-civ, 80) is from vol. v, ch. xvi of Sterne's novel (2nd ed. London, 1760-67, v, p. 74).

'critisches Register' (Ank 67) of the plays performed by the company, a running commentary on the work of the theatre. Lessing tells us that this suggested to him the 'Didaskalien' of the Greeks, that is, the short notes which Aristotle made on the plays of the Greek theatre (CI-CIV, 98). Dacier had spoken of these in his commentary on the last words of the sixteenth chapter of the Poetics:

Il parle sans doute des Traitez qu'il avoit faits sur les sujets des Poemes dramatiques, et qu'il avoit intitulez Didascalies. Aristote y avoit expliqué non seulement les sujets des pieces: mais en quel temps, comment, pour quelle occasion, et avec quel succez ces pieces avoient été jouées, de sorte que cet ouvrage étoit, et une Histoire exacte des Poetes anciens, et une méthode seure pour bien démêler les difficultez des temps dans l'Histoire Grecque. Les Didascalies qui sont encore aujourd'huy à la tête des Comedies de Terence, peuvent en donner une legere idée, c'est un fort grand dommage que ces Traitez soient perdus.<sup>1</sup>

But the word 'Didaskalie', Lessing goes on to say, has a strange sound (113); moreover, he adds, modern scholars are agreed about the narrow scope of such a catalogue. So in preference to 'Didaskalien', he chose 'Dramaturgie' as the title of his journal.

In many respects, however, the word 'Dramaturgie' had quite as unusual a sound as 'Didaskalien', and as far as its use and definition were concerned, it also was originally restricted to a mere catalogue of plays.<sup>2</sup> In his next sentence Lessing refers to the first use of the word in a modern tongue: in 1666 Leone Allacci (1586–1669) published his catalogue of plays under the title La Drammaturgia; brought

<sup>1</sup> La Poétique d'Aristote...traduite en François, avec des Remarques critiques sur tout l'ouvrage, Paris, 1692, pp. 270 f. The passage quoted in Lessing's note (CI-CIV, 122) is from Isaac Casaubonus, Animadversiones in Dipnosophistas libri xv, Lyons, 1600, bk. vi, cap. vii, pp. 260 f. In English the passage is 'Διδασκαλία is used for that writing in which is explained where, when, how and with what success a certain piece has been played .. How much the critics with their diligence assisted the old chroniclers, they alone will be able to estimate who know how weak and meagre are the aids of those who first felt themselves impelled to fix the fleeting time. I do not doubt that Aristotle had primarily this in view when he composed his  $\Delta \iota \delta a \sigma \kappa \alpha \lambda i a$ . The further reference to Casaubonus (119) describing the 'didaskalia' of Terence as 'breviter et eleganter scriptæ' is from the same chapter of this work (p. 261). Lessing gives evidence of his familiarity with the writings of Casaubonus in his Leben Sophocles (cp. Schriften, viii, pp. 309, 344, 350). The Olympiads (109) were periods of five years in the Greek reckoning of time; the Archon was the chief magistrate of Athens.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The word seems to have owed its currency, in the first instance, to a passage in Lucian's De Saltatione, where δραματουργία is employed in the sense of 'dramatic composition'.

up-to-date, this work appeared in a second edition at Venice in 1755. Lessing probably had this edition; but in any case the character and scope of the work were familiar to him from Riccoboni's *Histoire du théâtre italien*.

Lessing, then, had probably in view, when planning his journal, brief items of information—not perhaps quite so catalogue-like and unreadable as those in Allacci's work, or the lists of a similar kind which Gottsched appended to his Deutsche Schaubühne and afterwards collected in his Nothiger Vorrath zur Geschichte der deutschen dramatischen Dichtkunst (Leipzig, 1757–65). He may possibly have known the French Almanach historique et chronologique de tous les spectacles de Paris of 1752, or its German imitations, or even the English theatrical journal The Prompter (1734 ff.); in any case we know that he possessed Léris' Dictionnaire portatif des Théâtres and the Histoire du Théâtre françois (Paris, 1745–49) and Dictionnaire des Théâtres de Paris (Paris, 1756) by the brothers Parfaict. For that matter, he had already printed notes on the theatre, of a similar kind, in his Beyträge zur Historie und Aufnahme des Theaters.

The Hamburgische Dramaturgie is, however, much more than a 'critisches Register'. From the first, as one might expect from his earlier experiments in theatrical journalism, Lessing had something in view which was not covered either by the word 'Didaskalien' or by the word 'Drammaturgia' in Allacci's usage. In fact, he expressly declined to be bound by Allacci's precedent (CI-CIV, 114 ff.):

Was ich in eine Dramaturgie bringen oder nicht bringen wollte, das stand bey mir: wenigstens hatte mir Lione Allacci desfalls nichts vorzuschreiben.

His journal was not merely to record the performances of the Hamburg playhouse; it was also to discuss the nature of the drama; and it was to be of practical aid to poet and actor, and help to educate the taste of the public by stimulating an appreciation for what was good—thus contributing to the ultimate aim of the Hamburg undertaking, the creation of a national theatre. In other words, what Lessing wished to produce was a German 'pratique du théâtre'. And just here he had a predecessor, in a countryman of his own, Johann Elias Schlegel, who prepared the way for such a national theatre—for Denmark, it is true, not for Germany—with his Schreiben von Errichtung eines

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See below, p. 164. Lessing was obviously thinking of such works in St. ci-civ (132): 'In welchem Jahre Ludewigs des Vierzehnten...dieses oder jenes franzosische Meisterstuck zuerst aufgeführet worden...' etc.

Theaters in Kopenhagen and Gedanken zur Aufnahme des danischen Theaters. These had only been published three years before Lessing inaugurated his Dramaturgie.

Such plans obviously went very far beyond the aims of Allacci in his Drammaturgia. It has not been observed, however, that the word had been used before Lessing's time in a much less restricted sense than that of a mere catalogue of plays. Lessing had in his possession in Hamburg another Italian work, the Biblioteca teatrale italiana, scelta e disposta da Ottaviano Diodati, patrizio lucchese (12 vols. Lucca, 1762-65). This is a collection of Italian plays; but in each of the volumes there is a chapter in verse 'correlativo alle cose teatrali. per servire di tratto completo di Drammaturgia'. The subjects of these chapters are: i. Introduzione alla Drammaturgia sopra l'utilità della presente Raccolta; ii. Sopra l'utilità delle rappresentazioni teatrali: iii. Da che dipenda l'esito delle rappresentazioni teatrali: iv. Della declamazione e del recitare in generale; v. Delle diverse maniere del declamare e del recitare; vi, vii. Del ben recitar; viii. ix. Del gesto; x. Sopra la scena muta; xi, xii. Sopra l'opportuna scelta. Here then, in these discussions of dramatic representation, declamation, recitation and gesture, Lessing found, if it was necessary for him to find, a precedent for his own extension of the use of the word to dramatic theory and practice in general.1

The form of the word, 'Dramaturgie', suggests also the possibility of a French precedent. According to the Dictionnaire général of Hatzfeld and Darmesteter, the word first makes its entry into the French language as a translation by Chapelain [in 1668] of Allacci's title. Before the appearance of Lessing's work, both 'dramaturgie' and 'dramaturge'—the latter in the still accepted sense of a dramatic writer—had been in use by French writers, notably by those of anticlassical views. Diderot, it is true, does not seem to have used either; but Marmontel, a critic who is quoted by Lessing, writes 'dramaturge'. This, too, may have carried weight with Lessing.<sup>3</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Lessing's attention may have been drawn to Diodati's work by the notices in the *Bibliothek der schonen Wissenschaften*, ix (1763), pp. 123, 277; xii (1765), pp. 167 f. It is not unlikely, however, that these were contributed by Lessing himself

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See Bescherelle, *Nouveau Dictionnaire National* (II, 1278, s. 'dramaturge') where Marmontel and Desroches are cited; Littré, *Dictionnaire de la langue française* (I, ii, 1239) where Mercier is cited. For allusions to Marmontel in Lessing's work see below, pp. 355 f., 398.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> After the publication of Lessing's journal, the word was extensively used, and always in his sense, in Germany; cp. Theater-Kalender für das Jahr 1776, Gotha,

After being distributed gratis on the day of the opening of the theatre, April 22, 1767, the Ankundigung of the Hamburgische Dramaturgie was re-issued with St. 1-111 on May 8. Each number was priced at a schilling (about a penny); but the journal could be subscribed for at five Hamburg marks (about 6s. 3d.) a year. The printing was undertaken by the printing-house of Johann Joachim Christoph Bode, with whom Lessing had become associated as a partner. The publication proceeded regularly for several weeks, a number appearing every Tuesday and Friday. But then trouble began, owing to the lack of copyright protection in the German states. A piratical reprint was issued in Leipzig by printers who masqueraded under an English name as 'Dodsley und Compagnie' (ci-civ, 381)—Dodsley being taken from the well-known London publishing house established in 1735. The German firm consisted of a widowed Frau Dyk and her assistant Engelhard Benjamin Schwickert.<sup>1</sup> They existed by pirating and publishing all kinds of worthless literature. In refusing to have his Dramaturgie put upon the market at the Leipzig fairs—a procedure which would have provided some kind of protection—Lessing laid himself open to piracy.2

Lessing was apparently informed of Dodsley and Co.'s activities by Nicolai. He wrote to the latter on August 14, 1767, in reply to a letter now lost (Schriften, xvii, p. 236):

Ich danke Ihnen fur die Nachricht von dem Nachdrucke der Dramaturgie, und fur Ihren guten Rath dem Nachtheile desselben, so viel noch moglich, abzuhelffen. Ich kann eigentlich freylich nichts dabey verlieren; ich bin aber sonst nur in der Verfassung, dass es mir ausserst unangenehm seyn wurde, wenn andere dabey verloren. Seyn Sie doch so gutig und schicken mir ein Exemplar von dem Nachdrucke, und zwar mit eben der Gelegenheit, mit welcher Sie diesen Brief erhalten.

What Nicolai's good advice had been we do not know. Possibly to abandon periodical publication and issue the work in volumes at the fairs, or perhaps to arrange for publication by private subscription, p 232, where a Wienerische Dramaturgie, Vienna, 1775, is mentioned; also O. H. v. Gemmingen, Mannheimer Dramaturgie (1779); J. F. Schink, Dramaturgische Fragmente (1781-4); A. v. Knigge, Dramaturgische Blatter (1788-9); H. C. Albrecht, Neue Hamburgische Dramaturgie (1791). An anonymous volume, published in Leipzig in 1796, combined the two titles which Lessing had considered: Didaskalien, oder Streifereyen im Gebiete der Dramaturgie.

<sup>1</sup> Cp. G. Wustmann, Aus Lespzigs Vergangenheit, Lespzig, 1885, pp. 236 ff.; also E. Schmidt, Lessing, ed. cit. i, pp. 640 f.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Cp. E. Kundt, Lessing und der Buchhandel, Heidelberg, 1907, pp. 50 ff. (Cp. also Petersen, ed. cit. p. 268.)

although it is not clear how the latter plan would have counteracted the evil. Years later Lessing wrote an article of which a fragment has been preserved in his 'Nachlass', Leben und leben lassen, ein Projekt fur Schriftsteller und Buchhandler, in which private subscription is recommended as a remedy for piracy; and in the last words of the Dramaturgie (CI-CIV, 475) he refers to the project which Leibniz had set forth in 1668 of a 'Societas eruditorum Germaniæ' (De vera ratione reformandi rem literariam) <sup>2</sup>

However that may be, the course which was now decided upon was to abandon publication in serial numbers. The following notice was inserted in the Kaiserlich-privilegirte Hamburgische Neue Zeitung, St. 131, on August 21, 1767.

#### NACHRICHT AN DAS PUBLIKUM

Da man der Hamburgischen Dramaturgie, von welcher heute das zwey und dreyssigste Stuck erscheinen sollte, auswarts die unverlangte Ehre erweiset, sie nachzudrucken; so sieht sich der Verfasser, um dem fur den hiesigen Verlag daraus erwachsenden Nachtheile einigermassen auszuweichen, gedrungen, die Ausgabe derselben in einzelnen Blattern einzustellen; und die Interessenten werden sich gefallen lassen, das Rückstandige des ersten Bandes, von dem 32sten. Stuck an, auf instehende Michaelismesse, zusammen zu erhalten.

In spite of this promise that the first volume should be issued at Michaelmas, nothing more happened until December 8. On the previous day there appeared another notice in the same Hamburg newspaper (St. 192):

## NACHRICHT WEGEN DER HAMBURGISCHEN DRAMATURGIE

Da man zu Fortsetzung der Hamburgischen Dramaturgie (welche vor einiger Zeit durch einen auswartigen Nachdruck unterbrochen ward, und durch einen zweyten, der selbst hiesigen Orts dazukam,<sup>3</sup> noch mehr

- <sup>1</sup> Lessing offered the essay, which could not have been written earlier than 1772, to Lichtenberg for his Gottingisches Magazin der Wissenschaft und Litteratur in a letter of January 23, 1780 (Schriften, xvi, pp. 464 ff.). The fragment was published in 1800 by G. G Fulleborn in his journal Nebenstunden, Breslau, pp. 37 ff. (ibid. and cp. also Redlich, Lessing's Werke (Hempel ed.), xix, p. 732). Lessing published his Nathan der Weise by subscription.
- <sup>2</sup> Cp. A. Harnack, Geschichte der Koniglich Preussischen Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Berlin, Berlin, 1900, i, pp. 27 ff, ii, p. 7.
- <sup>3</sup> For the Hamburg reprint a certain Bock was responsible. See J. W. Braun, Lessing im Urtheile seiner Zeitgenossen, Berlin, 1884-97, i, p. 190; F. von Biedermann, Lessings Gespräche, Berlin, 1924, p 123.

beeintrachtigt zu werden Gefahr lief, so dass die versprochne gesamte Ausgabe des ersten Bandes unterbleiben musste) nunmehr die erforderliche Vorkehr, in Ansehung der Privilegien und andrer Umstande getroffen zu haben glaubet: so macht man dem Publico hiermit bekannt, dass von Morgen an, mit der einzelnen Austheilung derselben wiederum der Anfang gemacht werden soll; und zwar sollen wochentlich vier Stucke davon erscheinen, bis die versaumte Zeit eingebracht worden. Die auswartigen Leser, welche die Fortsetzung dieser Schrift wunschen, ersucht man ergebenst, sie auch dadurch befordern zu helfen, dass sie sich keine andre als die Original-Ausgabe anschaffen. Sie konnen sie dreist von den Buchhandlern ihres Orts verlangen, indem sie allen mit den billigsten Bedingungen angebothen werden. Man kann zwar weder diesen, noch ihnen, verbiethen, dem Nachdruck zu favorisiren; aber man giebt ihnen zu überlegen, dass sie sich nothwendig dadurch um das Werk selbst bringen mussen. Denn wenn die Anzahl von Exemplaren, welche zur Bestreitung der Unkosten erforderlich ist, nicht abgesetzt werden kann, so bleibt es unfehlbar liegen.

St. XXXII-XXXV appeared on December 8; St. XXXVI-XXXIX followed on the 15th; St. XL-XLIII on the 22nd; St. XLIV-LI at the end of December and in the beginning of January, 1768. From this time on the publication was again continued in single numbers, two or three a week, to St. LXXXII. Again a suspension was decided upon and the Newe Zeitung contained in its issue of April 25 (St. 66) the following notice:

## NACHRICHT WEGEN DER HAMBURGISCHEN DRAMATURGIE

Eine nothige Vorsicht, wegen des noch fortdauernden Nachdrucks der Hamburgischen Dramaturgie, erfordert, die Ausgabe derselben in einzelnen Blattern nochmals abzubrechen. Es soll aber gegen die Mitte des kunftigen Monats, als um welche Zeit vorigen Jahres das Werk seinen Anfang genommen, der Rest des zweiten Bandes, nemlich die Stucke 83 bis 104, nebst den Titeln zu beiden Banden, mit eins geliefert werden.

Some six weeks later, on June 9, 1768, Lessing wrote to his brother Karl:

Hier habe ich alle Hande voll zu thun, und vornehmlich beschaftigt mich noch die Dramaturgie. Sie ist nicht weiter heraus, als bis Nro. 82. Der Rest des zweyten Bandes wird in einigen Wochen zusammen erscheinen. Wenn ich das Werk noch weiter fortsetze, so soll es Bandweise, und nicht Bogenweise geschehen. Du sollst ein complettes Exemplar haben, sobald eins fertig ist.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Schriften, xvii, pp. 253 f.

St. LXXXIII-CIV did not appear until Easter, 1769; and at the same time the entire work was published in two volumes by the firm of J. H. Cramer in Bremen.

The Ankundigung—of the separate publication of which on April 22 no copy appears to be extant1—fulfils a twofold purpose The first part, in which the public is invited to give its best support to the new undertaking, takes the place of that later announcement which Lowen had promised in his Vorlaufige Nachricht; the latter half is an introduction to the journal itself. Lessing makes clear his independence of the management of the theatre: and by way of warning his readers that they will not find in his pages untempered praise, anticipates the mediocrity of the repertory which the theatre will offer; the choice of good plays is very limited, and even with the best will, a practical theatre cannot always choose only the best; it must cater for the tastes of its public and provide its actors with parts in which they can display their talents. Nor must the public cherish too high hopes of the acting: a theatre in which even the 'Lichtputzer' is a Garrick (II, 89) is an impossible ideal. Before the undertaking had proceeded very far he saw that he was on dangerous ground here; as we have seen, the actors resented being criticised, and after the twenty-fifth number the Dramaturgie as a 'critisches Register' was shorn of one of its important features: Lessing ceased to comment on their performances at all.<sup>2</sup>

But changes of plan set in from the beginning. In the first place, Lessing did not keep pace with the performances; he began a week late, and when he had completed what he had to say about the first evening, the theatre had been open for twenty-two evenings. In St. xxxII, which is dated August 18, he was still only discussing the performance of July 1. The suspension of serial publication with St. xxxII robbed the *Dramaturgie* of all pretension to be a journalistic commentary on the work of the theatre; and Lessing himself felt still

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Cp. C. C. Redlich, Lessing-Bibliothek (Lessings Werke, Hempel ed., xix, p. 701), also F. Muncker (Schriften, ix, p. vi): 'Die paar Blatter, wahrscheinlich ein Unikum, befanden sich einst im Besitze Dr F. A. Cropps in Hamburg, nach dessen Tode sie in die Hamburger Stadtbibliothek gelangten. Hier aber waren sie augenblicklich nicht aufzufinden. Indes scheint die Texteskritik dadurch nichts verloren zu haben. Wenigstens kann Redlich...sich keiner textlichen Varianten dieses Einzeldrucks erinnern; nur der aussere Satz des Druckes war von dem im ersten Bande der "Dramaturgie" verschieden.'

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Mad. Hensel insinuated that Lessing paid insufficient attention to the performances of the actors; that he walked restlessly about, or stayed at the buffet while whole acts were being played, only looking now and then through the open door of the parterre. (Cp. W. Oehlke, Lessing und seine Zeit, ed. cit. ii, p. 20.)

less under compulsion to maintain touch with it. Accordingly St. XXXIII to XXXV are devoted to Favart's Solimann II, and St. XXXVI to L to discussions prompted by Voltaire's Mérope. When he had finished with the latter play, nominally on October 20, the theatre had been playing nearly six months

The first season of the company came to a close on December 4, and they betook themselves to Hanover, where they played for four and a half months in the small Schlosstheater. Lessing was under no obligation to accompany them, the more so as they were only to repeat their Hamburg repertory; in any case, his interest in Bode's printing business made his presence in Hamburg desirable. It fell to him in these months to keep the *Dramaturgue* going until the company returned. But as at their departure he was only in the midst of discussing—nominally, at least—the forty-fourth evening, July 15, he had obviously plenty of material to go on with. By this time, however, his breach with the activity of the theatre was complete, and all pretension of offering a 'critisches Register' was abandoned; and after St. LXII, which is dated December 4, he only discusses—and hardly even discusses—seven plays, the last being that given at the performance of July 28, 1767.

All this will be made clear by the following table:

	Nominal date	Actual date of publication	n discussed
No.	1767	1767	1767
Ank.	Aprıl 22	April 22	
I	May 1)		}
II	5	May 8	
III	8)		
IV	12)		} 1 (April 22)
v	15		
VI	19		
VII	22		)
VIII	26		11-1V (April 23-27)
IX	29		rv (April 27)
x	June 2		v–vi (April 28–29)
XI	5		vı (April 29)
XII	9		vı-vııı (April 29-May 1)
XIII	12		ıx-xı (May 4-6)
XIV	16	As dated	xı–xv (May 6–12)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Lessing's letter to Nicolai of February 2, 1768 (Schriften, xvii, p. 243): 'Ich muss um mich greifen, um die Materie zu meiner Dramaturgie so lange zu dehnen, bis die Gesellschaft wieder nach Hamburg kommt.'

128	The Hamburg 'Nationaltheater', 1767-1769					
	Nominal	Actual date	Date of performance			
~-	date	of publication	discussed			
No.	1767	1767	1767			
xv	June 19	· As dated )	xvi (May 13)			
XVI	23	j	` ' ',			
XVII	26		xvII–xx (May 14–19)			
xvIII	30		xxi–xxii (May 20–21)			
XIX	July 3		xxII (May 21)			
XX	7		xxiii–xxv (May 22–26)			
XXI	10		xxvı–xxvıı (May 29–June 1)			
XXII	14		xxvm-xxx (June 2-4)			
XXIII	17	)				
XXIV	21	}	xxx (June 4)			
XXV	24	}				
XXVI	28		xxxı–xxxıı (June 10–11)			
xxvII	31		xxxII (June 11)			
xxvIII	August 4		xxxiii–xxxiv (June 12–29)			
XXIX	7		xxxiv-xxxv (June 29-July 1)			
xxx	11	)				
XXXI	14	}	xxxv (July 1)			
XXXII	181	J	,,			
XXXIII	21	أ مسلس أ				
xxxiv	25	December 8	xxxvi (July 3)			
xxxv	28		ν.σ. γ. σ.,			
xxxvi	September 1		xxxvi–xxxviii (July 3–7)			
xxxvII	4	D	(5 ) (7)			
xxxvIII	8	December 15				
XXXIX	11					
XL	15					
XLI	ıš	D				
XLII	22	December 22				
XLIII	25	]				
XLIV	. 29		xxxviii (July 7)			
XLV	October 2	Documbon as				
XLVI	6	December 29				
XLVII	9.					
XLVIII	13	ĺ				
XLIX	16	1768				
L	20	January 5				
LI	23	] -	xxxix (July 8)			
LII	27	ĺ	xl (July 9)			
LIII			хы-хип (July 10–13)			
LIV	November 3 6		xlni–xliv (July 14–15)			
LV	10	,	1			
LVI	13					
LVII	17	1				
	•		1			

	Nominal	Actual date	Date of performance
	date	of publication	discussed
No	1767	1768	1767
LVIII	November 20	Serial publi-	
LIX	24	cation con-	
LX	27	tinued, but	
LXI	December 1	ırregularly,	
LXII	4	one or two	xliv (July 15)
LXIII	8	parts each	
LXIV	11	week, until	
LXV	15	June 9	
LXVI	18		
LXVII	22		
LXVIII	25		
LXIX	29	j	
	1768		/T 1
LXX	January 1	1	xliv–xlv (July 15–17)
LXXI	5 8	}	xlv (July 17)
LXXII	12	}	xlv-xlvIII (July 17–22)
LXXIV	1	,	xrv-xrviii (July 17–22)
LXXV	15		
LXXVI	19) 22		
LXXVII	26		
LXXVIII	29	}	xLVIII (July 22)
LXXIX	February 2		(3 ) /
LXXX	5		
LXXXI	9		
LXXXII	12	)	
LXXXIII	16		xLvm-L (July 22–24)
LXXXIV	19		LI (July 27)
LXXXV	23	)	
LXXXVI	26	1	
LXXXVII-	March 4		
LXXXVII			
LXXXIX	8	ļ	LI (July 27)
XC	II	4	(3 / - //
XCI	15		
XCII	181	1769	
XCIV	22 25	Easter	
XCV	29 29	,	
XCVI	April I	1	
XCVII			
XCVIII	5 8	· ·	ьн (July 28)
XCIX	12	ſ	(300) 40)
a	15		
C-CIV	19)	J	
RL	0,	•	9
			•

Lessing himself was fully aware of the shortcomings of his journal and of its failure to meet the expectations of the public. In St. L (9 ff.), after devoting fourteen numbers to a single play, he apologises to his readers for not giving them the 'theatralische Zeitung' they had hoped for, full of 'kurzweiliger, auch wohl ein wenig skandaloser Anekdoten von Schauspielern und besonders Schauspielerinnen', but only 'lange, ernsthafte, trockne Kritiken uber alte bekannte Stucke; schwerfallige Untersuchungen uber das, was in einer Tragodie seyn sollte und nicht sevn sollte...wie gesagt, ich betauere sie: sie sind gewaltig angefuhrt!-Doch im Vertrauen; besser, dass sie es sind. als ich.' And in St. xcv, after a tedious discussion of characters in drama, he says (138): 'Ich erinnere hier meine Leser, dass diese Blatter nichts weniger als ein dramatisches System enthalten sollen. Ich bin also nicht verpflichtet, alle die Schwierigkeiten aufzulosen, die ich mache. Meine Gedanken mögen immer sich weniger zu verbinden, ja wohl gar sich zu widersprechen scheinen: wenn es denn nur Gedanken sind, bey welchen sie Stoff finden, selbst zu denken. Hier will ich nichts als Fermenta cognitionis ausstreuen.'1

In the closing section of the *Dramaturgie*, he reviews the disastrous course of the undertaking and voices his bitter disappointment at its failure. The hopeful tone in which he had welcomed it in his *Ankundigung* (22: 'So glucklich sey Hamburg in allem, woran seinem Wohlstande und seiner Freyheit gelegen: denn es verdienet, so glucklich zu seyn') gives place to the melancholy plaint at the close (CI-CIV, 362):

Der susse Traum, ein Nationaltheater hier in Hamburg zu grunden, ist schon wieder verschwunden: und so viel ich diesen Ort nun habe kennen lernen, durfte er auch wohl gerade der seyn, wo ein solcher Traum am spatesten in Erfullung gehen wird.<sup>2</sup>

This epilogue, or 'Nachspiel' as he calls it, to the Hamburgische

<sup>1</sup> In his Collectanea (Schriften, xv, p. 422) Lessing had extracted, with a view to utilising it in his Laokoon, the following passage from Solinus's prefatory chapter to his Collectanea rerum memorabilium (Praefatio, cap. 1.): 'Cui si animum propius intenderis, velut fermentum cognitionis magis ei inesse, quam bracteas eloquentiæ deprehendes.' (Cp. Schroter and Thiele, Lessings Hamburgische Dramaturgie, Halle, 1878, p. 561.) He used the work of Solinus in his Antiquarische Briefe (xxiv, Schriften, x, p. 305).

To Klotz Lowen wrote on December 29, 1768 (Brufe Deutscher Gelehrten an Klotz, herausg. von J J. A. von Hagen, ii (Halle, 1773), p. 5, quoted by Petersen, ed. cit. p. 265), that he had resigned his directorship, 'da, aller Versuche ohngeachtet, Deutschland nie die Hoffnung zu einem National-Theater wird erfullt sehen. Auch Hr. Lessing hat sich von allen Theatralischen Verbindlichkeiten losgemacht

und geht im Monat Marz nach Italien'.

Dramaturgie will remain always memorable as containing one of the few personal confessions which Lessing, a man at no time given to wearing his heart on his sleeve, has left us.

Denn warum [he asks (ci-civ, 20)] sollte nicht auch ein Nachspiel einen Prolog haben durfen, der sich mit einem Poeta, cum primum animum ad scribendum appulit, anfinge?<sup>1</sup>

Als, vor Jahr und Tag, [he continues] einige gute Leute hier den Einfall bekamen, einen Versuch zu machen, ob nicht für das deutsche Theater sich etwas mehr thun lasse, als unter der Verwaltung eines sogenannten Principals geschehen konne: so weiss ich nicht, wie man auf mich dabey fiel, und sich traumen liess, dass ich bey diesem Unternehmen wohl nutzlich seyn konnte?—Ich stand eben am Markte und war mussig; niemand wollte mich dingen: ohne Zweifel, weil mich niemand zu brauchen wusste; bis gerade auf diese Freunde!. .Ich bin weder Schauspieler, noch Dichter. Man erweiset mir zwar manchmal die Ehre, mich fur den letztern zu erkennen. Aber nur, weil man mich verkennt. Aus einigen dramatischen Versuchen, die 1ch gewagt habe, sollte man nicht so freygebig folgern. Nicht jeder, der den Pinsel in die Hand nimt, und Farben verquistet, ist ein Mahler. Die altesten von jenen Versuchen sind in den Jahren hingeschrieben, in welchen man Lust und Leichtigkeit so gern für Genie halt. Was in den neuerern ertragliches ist, davon bin ich mir sehr bewusst, dass 1ch es einzig und allein der Critik zu verdanken habe. Ich fuhle die lebendige Quelle nicht in mir, die durch eigene Kraft sich empor arbeitet, durch eigene Kraft in so reichen, so frischen, so reinen Strahlen aufschiesst: ich muss alles durch Druckwerk und Rohren aus mir herauf pressen. Ich wurde so arm, so kalt, so kurzsichtig seyn, wenn ich nicht einigermaassen gelernt hatte, fremde Schatze bescheiden zu borgen, an fremdem Feuer mich zu warmen, und durch die Glaser der Kunst mein Auge zu starken. Ich bin daher immer beschamt oder verdrusslich geworden, wenn ich zum Nachtheil der Critik etwas las oder horte. Sie soll das Genie ersticken: und ich schmeichelte mir, etwas von ihr zu erhalten, was dem Genie sehr nahe kommt. Ich bin ein Lahmer, den eine Schmahschrift auf die Krucke unmoglich erbauen kann.2

<sup>1</sup> This quotation from Terence is from the opening of the prologue to the Andria.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Cp. Lessing's letter to Ramler of April 21, 1772, after the appearance of Emilia Galotti (Schriften, xviii, pp. 32 f.): 'Kritik, will ich Ihnen nur vertrauen, ist das einzige Mittel, mich zu mehrerem aufzufrischen, oder vielmehr aufzuhetzen. Denn da ich die Kritik nicht zu dem kritisirten Stucke anzuwenden im Stande bin; da ich zum Verbessern überhaupt ganz verdorben bin, und das Verbessern eines dramatischen Stucks insbesondere fast für unmöglich halte, wenn es einmal zu einem gewissen Grade der Vollendung gebracht ist, und die Verbesserung mehr als Kleinigkeiten betreffen soll: so nutze ich die Kritik zuverlassig zu etwas Neuem.—Also, liebster Freund, wenn auch Sie es wollen, dass ich wieder einmal etwas Neues in dieser Art machen soll, so sehen Sie, worauf es dabey mit ankommt:—mich durch Tadel zu reitzen, nicht dieses Nehmliche besser zu machen, sondern

# Part II

## LESSING'S CRITICISM OF THE DRAMA

#### CHAPTER V

## THE GERMAN PLAYS OF THE REPERTORY

Lessing was, as we have seen, under no illusions as to the poverty of the repertory in plays of German origin. In 1760, reviewing a volume of Weisse's dramas, he had frankly admitted 'dass es mit dem deutschen Drama noch so gar elend aussiehet';¹ and in the Dramaturgie (Lxxx, 30) he endorses Lowen's plaint: 'Wir Deutsche bekennen es treuherzig genug, dass wir noch kein Theater haben.' He welcomed the undertaking in Hamburg as the possible beginning of a better state of things (Ank. 37); but he had grave doubts about the quality of the dramas which were available; the choice was small, and 'wenn nicht immer Meisterstucke aufgeführet werden sollten, so sieht man wohl, woran die Schuld liegt' (Ank. 71). Lessing understood the situation too well to reproach Hamburg—as some of his modern critics would like to see him do—with the fact that the plays of French origin performed in its National Theatre so seriously outnumbered the native productions.

In the present chapter I bring together what Lessing has to say in his journal concerning German dramas and German dramatists. I discuss in particular the sources of his knowledge and the grounds on which his own judgments are based. It will be convenient to deal with the dramas here, not in the order in which they are reviewed in the *Dramaturgie*, but under their authors, arranged in an approximately chronological sequence.

## J. C. GOTTSCHED

When the 'Enterprise' opened in 1767, Gottsched had only been a little over four months dead; but he had long ceased to be a vital or even a respected power in the literary world over which he had once

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Litteraturbriefe, No. lxxxi (February 7, 1760); Schriften, viii, p. 218.

ruled dictatorially. Der sterbende Cato, that production of 'scissors and paste', 1 had not been a playable tragedy since about 1750. In a certain sense, however, the Gottschedian era was not yet a thing of the past; of the plays in the Hamburg repertory no less than five came from Gottsched's Deutsche Schaubuhne: Das Gespenst mit der Trommel. Zayre, Alzire, Der poetische Dorfjunker and Die Hausfranzosinn; and of these, all but Alzire were performed during the period of Lessing's criticism. He had the six volumes of Gottsched's collection at hand. and he turned, as will be seen, to Gottsched's introductions when the plays from it were performed. The affair of the banishment of the Harlequin by Gottsched and Caroline Neuber is recalled (xvIII, 22);2 and even echoes of the Critische Dichtkunst are not lacking.3 In St. LXXXI (24) Lessing pronounces his final word on the old dictator of German taste, as one of whose disciples he no doubt regarded himself when he began his literary career in Leipzig. In his youth, he says, Gottsched had been hailed as a poet, because in those days people did not know how to distinguish between the poetaster and the poet; he clung in his vanity to the belief that he was a poet, whereas he was merely a verse-maker. 'Er konnte unmoglich erlangen, was er schon zu besitzen glaubte: und je alter er ward, desto hartnackiger und unverschamter ward er, sich in diesem traumerischen Besitze zu behaupten.'

### FRAU L. A. V. GOTTSCHED

In the Dramaturgie the old antagonism to Gottsched is transferred to his spouse, 'seine gelehrte Freundinn' (xm, 11). Against her, indeed, is directed the most negative and bitter criticism which falls to any German writer. The repertory included in Lessing's time, besides Frau Gottsched's translations of Destouches' Das Gespenst mit der Trommel and Der poetische Dorfjunker, and Madame de Grafigny's Cénie, one original play by her, Die Hausfranzösin (xxvi, 1). Lessing

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Litteraturbriefe, No. xvii (February 16, 1759); Schriften, viii, p. 42.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See below, pp. 424 ff.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See below, pp. 458 f. Cp. also p. 441.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Gottsched alludes to his wife in the prefaces to the *Deutsche Schaubuhne* as 'die geschickte Übersetzerinn' (i, p. 16), 'meine fleissige Freundinn' (ii, p. 37), and 'meiner fleissigen Gehulfinn' (iii, p. vi).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> He also mentions Das Testament (xxvi, 9); it is in vol. vi of the Deutsche Schaubuhne (1745).

found in Gottsched's recommendation of this piece the following (Deutsche Schaubuhne, v, 1744, p. 11):

Mehr glaube ich zu ihrem Ruhme nicht sagen zu dorfen: da jene [Die Hausfranzosinn] schon sehr vielfaltig, sowohl hier, als in Berlin, und auf verschiedenen Schulen, und zwar mit grossem Beyfalle, auf die Schaubuhne gebracht worden.

But the play finds no mercy at Lessing's hands; it is 'nicht allein niedrig, und platt, und kalt, sondern noch oben darein schmutzig, eckel, und im hochsten Grade beleidigend'. How could a lady write such stuff! Little can be said now-a-days in Frau Gottsched's defence, but it is difficult to see that her play is so much worse than other comedies which Lessing treats with indulgence. His old feud with Gottsched was not yet dead.

#### C. F. GELLERT

Lessing has occasion to speak of two representatives of the early 'Saxon comedy', Gellert and Johann Elias Schlegel. Only Gellert's longest-lived piece, *Die kranke Frau* (a one-act 'Nachspiel'), was in the repertory; and it calls forth some warmth of appreciation (XXII, 4). Lessing regards Gellert as the comedy-writer whose plays are most intimately German; they are true pictures of domestic life—'Familiengemahlde'. Löwen had said of them (Geschichte des deutschen Theaters, ed. cit. p. 47):

Hier erblickt man lauter deutsche Familiengemahlde, wovon die Anlagen und Auszeichnungen vortrefflich gerathen sind.... Fur das Theater ist ohnstreitig die kranke Frau sein schonstes Stuck; ohne die unerheblichen Critiken zu gedenken, die man vielleicht bey dem alten Wahrmund machen konnte.

These plays, Lessing adds, hold the mirror up to the follies of everyday life; but the author's excessive realism leads to a monotonous flatness which leaves us cold. His fools, in particular, need some polishing and heightening of their colours to make them acceptable. *Die kranke Frau*, moreover, had aged by 1767; and the Hamburg audience must have found it difficult to interest itself in so old-fashioned an article of dress as the 'Adrienne' (XXII, 33)<sup>1</sup> on which the plot turns.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See above, p. 78, note 2.

## J. E. SCHLEGEL

Of Johann Elias Schlegel's two comedies, Die stumme Schonheit and Der Triumph der guten Frauen, Lessing speaks with great appreciation: the former is in his view (xm, 51) 'unstreitig unser bestes komisches Original, das in Versen geschrieben ist'; the latter (lm, 3) 'unstreitig eines der besten deutschen Originale'; indeed, it is not merely Schlegel's best work, but 'die beste deutsche Komodie' (lm, 105).¹ Lessing possessed the edition of Schlegel's Werke edited by his brother J. H. Schlegel (vols. i-iv, Copenhagen and Leipzig, 1761-66 [vol. v, 1770]). His information concerning Die stumme Schonheit (xm, 48) is drawn from the 'Vorbericht' prefixed by the editor (ii, p. 471):

Die stumme Schonheit ward fur das neuerrichtete Kopenhagensche Theater geschrieben, um auf demselben in einer danischen Uebersetzung aufgefuhrt zu werden. Die Uebersetzung ist meines Wissens nie zu Stande gekommen, und daher ist auch die erste Bestimmung dieses kleinen Lustspiels weggefallen. Es ist 1747 gedruckt, und in eine Sammlung mit dem Triumphe der guten Frauen und der Langenweile gebracht worden.

Schlegel's brother also makes the suggestion (Werke, ed. cit. v, p. xxxxiv), which it is impossible now-a-days—and was impossible even in Lessing's time<sup>2</sup>—to verify, that the manners of the play are specifically Danish rather than German (cp. xiii, 50); but here the editor may be only echoing Lessing. The criticism of the play<sup>3</sup> is mainly concerned with the acting of the parts of Frau Praatgern and Charlotte; with this, as well as with the controversy concerning the use of verse or prose, I deal elsewhere.<sup>4</sup>

In discussing Der Triumph der guten Frauen in St. LII, Lessing turned to Mendelssohn's article in the Litteraturbriefe of January 31, 1765.<sup>5</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In the *Litteraturbriefe*, No. xvi (February 8, 1759; *Schriften*, viii, p 40) Lessing had described Schlegel as the writer 'der doch bis itzt dem deutschen Theater die meiste Ehre gemacht hat'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> 'Stl.' in Klotz's *Deutsche Bibliothek*, iii (ix), 1769, p. 49, commented: 'Warum die Sitten in der Stummen Schonheit mehr danisch, als deutsch, seyn sollen, sehe ich in der That nicht ein.'

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The passages quoted are from scenes v and viii (*Werke, ed. cit.* ii, pp. 485, 492); for 'dass sie nichts spricht, kommt daher, weil sie nichts denkt' (xm, 77), see the last line but two of the play.

<sup>4</sup> See pp. 37, 461 ff.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Litteraturbriefe, No. cccxii (ed. cit. xx1, pp. 129 ff.); Mendelssohns Gesammelte Schriften, Leipzig, 1843-5, iv, 2, pp. 455 ff.

There he read: 'Dieses Lustspiel wird, wie ich hore, auf alle deutsche Buhnen mit Beyfall aufgefuhrt, und auch vom Lesen zu urtheilen, mochte ich wenig deutsche Lustspiele ihm gleich schatzen.' Lessing then proceeds (50) to quote his friend's article on the essentially French characteristics of the persons in the play.<sup>1</sup>

But Lessing has more to say of Schlegel's comedies. In the first paragraph of St. LII he speaks of two earlier pieces, *Der geschaftige Müssigganger* and *Der Geheimnissvolle*. The former play, which was first published in the *Deutsche Schaubuhne* (iv, 1743, pp. 263 ff.), with a most laudatory recommendation by Gottsched,<sup>2</sup> is described by J. H. Schlegel as his 'erste Arbeit fürs komische Theater, wenn man einige kleinere Versuche ausnimmt, die er selbst unterdruckt oder doch zuruck gehalten hat'.<sup>3</sup> Lessing's criticism of Schlegel's wit (8) is suggested by the following remarks of J. H. Schlegel:

Niemand wird es so leicht eines Mangels am Witze beschuldigen, aber ein entgegengesetzter Vorwurf wird schwerlich abzulehnen seyn; dass es namlich bisweilen zu viel Witz hat, einen solchen, der zu lange bey einem Einfalle stehen bleibt, der den Situationen, in denen man ihn anbringt, nicht angemessen ist, und also der Natur, die er nachahmen will, ungetreu wird....Es konnte seyn...dass diese Malerey der Sitten sich zu oft und zu lange auf Kleinigkeiten erstreckte, die immer das meiste im taglichen Umgange ausmachen, und dass daher in gewissen Scenen, zumal einzeln betrachtet, das Stuck matt und langweilig scheinen mochte. Aber wie könnte wohl ein geschafftiger Mussigganger anders gezeigt werden, als mit Kleinigkeiten umgeben <sup>94</sup>

With regard to *Der Geheimnssvolle*<sup>5</sup> (written in 1746, published in 1747) Johann Elias Schlegel had himself suggested the comparison with Molière (14):

Dieses Lustspiel stellt einen von denenjenigen Charakteren vor, die Moliere denen zuruckgelassen hat, die in seine Fusstapfen zu treten

<sup>1</sup> The quoted passages will be found in the *Litteraturbriefe*, ed. cit. xxi, pp. 133 ff.; Gesammelte Schriften, ed. cit. 1v, 2, pp. 456 f.

- <sup>2</sup> Vorrede, p. 12. 'Wie sich Scudery, und beyde Corneillen in Frankreich, Schakespear in England, und Andreas Gryphius im Deutschen, sowohl in comischen als tragischen Stucken versuchet haben: so hat sich auch dieser geschickte Poet an beyde Arten der theatralischen Dichtkunst wagen, und von der Welt den Ausspruch erwarten wollen ob er zu der ernsthaften oder lustigen Buhne geschickter sey.'
  - <sup>3</sup> J. E. Schlegels Werke, ed. cit. ii, p. 47.

4 Ibid. pp. 48 f., also referred to by Cosack, op. at. p. 283.

<sup>5</sup> Schonemann selected Schlegel's *Der Gehenmissvolle* to open his season in Rostock on May 11, 1751; and it was performed subsequently, in the same year, in Hamburg (H. Devrient, *op. cst.* pp. 188, 351).

suchen wollen. Er macht in seinem Menschenfeinde, im vierten Auf[t]ritte des zweyten Aufzugs, folgenden Entwurf davon... [here follow the
lines quoted in Lessing's note].. Ich hatte vorher zu verschiedenen malen
versucht, diesen Charakter aufs Theater zu bringen; aber dasjenige, was
ich ausgearbeitet hatte, allemal so beschaffen gefunden, dass ich fur das
Beste hielt, es wieder zu unterdrucken. Die grosste Schwierigkeit hierbey
war diese, dass ich den Charakter des Geheimnissvollen dadurch umgestossen haben wurde, wenn ich ihm einen Vertrauten geben wollen, dem
er sich vollig eroffnet hatte; und dass es mir gleichwohl anfangs schien, als
wurde ohne dieses Mittel die ganze Handlung vieler Dunkelheit unterworfen seyn.¹

The comparison with Cronegk's Der Misstrauische (20) is suggested by J. H. Schlegel:

Der Charakter eines Geheimnissvollen kann nichts anders zum Grunde haben, als ein Mistrauen, beydes gegen sich selbst und gegen andre. Man darf sich also über die Verwandtschaft nicht wundern, die sich zwischen ihm und dem Charakter eines Mistrauischen zeigt, der nach der Zeit gleichfalls durch den seligen Baron von Cronegk auf die deutsche Buhne gebracht worden.<sup>2</sup>

And Mendelssohn had criticised Cronegk's comedy as follows:

Sein Lustspiel, der Misstrauische, ist zwar meines Erachtens kaum mehr als mittelmässig. Vielleicht ist der Hauptcharakter keiner glucklichern Ausführung fahig. Wer Argwohn, Mistrauen und Menschenfurcht so weit treibet, erreget Widerwillen, und beynahe Mitleiden, denn man ist geneigt, seine Schwachheit für einen Fehler des Gehirns zu halten.<sup>3</sup>

# J. C. KRÜGER

The very popular 'Nachspiel' Herzog Muchel, by Johann Christian Kruger, was inevitably in the Hamburg repertory. Lessing, however, has little to say of it (LXXXIII, 76), except to comment on its popularity. He also mentions Kruger's comedies, Die Candidaten, which, although it was not played within the period covered by the Dramaturgie, he

<sup>1</sup> Werke, ed. cit. ii, pp. 185 f.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> *Ibid.* p. 188.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Litteraturbriefe, No. cxci (October 15, 1761), ed. cit. xi, p. 187; Gesammelte Schriften, ed. cit. iv, 2, pp. 310 f.

<sup>4</sup> In St. XXVIII, 21 Lessing spells his name 'Krieger', as he wrote 'betriegen'; in LXXXIII, 78, 82, 83, 88, however, 'Kruger'. Lowen said of this writer (Geschichte des deutschen Theaters, ed. cit. p. 49): 'Er hatte alle Anlagen, ein deutscher Moliere zu werden; aber er starb zu frühe, und sein Verlust war für die deutsche Buhne gross.' He was born in 1723 and died in 1750.

may have seen in November, 1767, and Die Gestlichen auf dem Lande.¹ Kruger was, in addition, represented in the repertory by translations of several French plays: Destouches' Der verheyrathete Philosoph, probably Regnard's Der Spieler, and two by Marivaux, Der unvermuthete Ausgang and Der Bauer mit der Erbschaft.

#### G. E. LESSING

Of his own plays it was obviously impossible for Lessing to say much. When *Der Schatz*, his adaptation of Plautus's *Trinummus*, was performed, he referred (IX, 102) to its concentration in one act, and to the difficulty of the absence of female interest (113). C. H. Schmid commented in his *Chronologie des deutschen Theaters* (ed. cit. p. 96):

So sehr es der Leser auch von der Seite bewundert, dass es ohne alle weibliche Rollen ausgearbeitet ist, so hat es doch eben deswegen auf den niedersachsischen Buhnen keinen grossen Beyfall finden wollen.

I refer later to the French and Italian versions of Plautus's comedy mentioned by Lessing.<sup>2</sup>

Der Freygeist gives occasion (XIV, III) for a protest against the alteration of its title<sup>3</sup> to Der beschämte Freygeist in Hamburg,<sup>4</sup> since the 'Freygeisterey' of the play, Lessing argues, is not confined to Adrast. In discussing his Miss Sara Sampson—the oldest German tragedy in the repertory except Canut—Lessing admits (XIII, 125) that it is too

- <sup>1</sup> Cp. Lessing's 'Vorrede' to the Vermischte Schriften of Ch. Mylius (Schriften, vi, p. 402): 'Kurz vorher waren die Geistlich en auf dem Lande zum Vorschein gekommen. Sie kennen dieses Stuck; es hatte einen jungen Menschen zum Verfasser, der hier in Berlin noch auf Schulen war, der aber nach der Zeit bessere Anspruche auf den Ruhm eines guten komischen Dichters der Welt vorlegte, und selbst aus Liebe zur Buhne ein Schauspieler ward, namlich den verstorbenen Hrn. Krieger.' W. Wittekindt (J. C. Kruger, Berlin, 1898, p. 33) questions the statement that Die Geistlichen auf dem Lande was written while Kruger was in the Graue Kloster.
  - <sup>2</sup> See below, pp 197 and 278. On the Plautine original see pp. 330 f.

<sup>3</sup> The titles of plays were a matter in which Lessing was particularly interested. See below, pp. 467 f

<sup>4</sup> The critic in the Deutsche Bibliothek (iii (ix), 1769, p. 57) asserts that the title Der beschamte Freygeist was given to Lessing's comedy in Hamburg in order to distinguish it from Brawe's play of the same name (published as a supplement to the Bibliothek der schonen Wissenschaften, 1758). The earliest representations of the latter noted by A. Sauer (J. W von Brawe, Strassburg, 1878, pp. 49 f.), were in Berlin in 1767; in Hamburg not until 1772. But Kurz (Bernardon) had played it in Nurnberg in 1766 (cp. F. Raab, J. J. F. von Kurz, ed. cit. p. 154).

long; but he does not approve of the Hamburg method of curtailing it. He turns then (xIV, I) to the review of his play in the Journal etranger of December 1761 (pp. 5 ff.),2 which consists, for the most part, of a detailed analysis with extracts from a French translation.3

<sup>1</sup> Cp. Lowen, Geschichte des deutschen Theaters, ed cit p. 49 'Die Comodianten beschweren sich, dass die letzten Scenen im letzten Aufzuge zu lang sind, und sie haben vielleicht nicht ganz unrecht. Inzwischen sind sie doch nicht langweilig und ermudend.' The first performance, at Frankfurt a/d Oder, had taken from three and a half to four hours. Litzmann (F. L. Schroder, ed. cit. 1, p. 93) gives a note of the usual curtailments in the theatres of this time, and Weisse seems to have been responsible for those made in Leipzig in 1756. (Cp. Danzel and Guhrauer, G. E. Lessing, ed. cit. 1, p. 324.) On the playbill for the performance of Miss Sara Sampson in Hanover on February 23, 1769, a note was added, explaining the absence of a ballet or after-piece: 'Wegen Lange des Stucks wird zum Beschluss Mlle Ackermann eine italienische Arie singen.'

<sup>2</sup> Lessing's attention was drawn to the article by the Litteraturbriefe, No. cclvi (January 27, 1763), ed. cit xvi, p. 51: 'Im December wird aus Herrn Lessings Miss Sara Sampson ein ausführlicher Auszug geliefert und die schonsten Auftritte ganz ubersetzt Es werden auch verschiedene Einwurfe wider einzelne Scenen gemacht, die wohl einer naheren Beleuchtung werth waren. Da man aber so zu sagen immer das Buch bev der Hand haben muste, so wurde mich dieses hier alzuweit fuhren Im ganzen lassen die Verfasser diesem Stuke alle Gerechtigkeit wiederfahren Ich weiss von guter Hand, dass dieses Trauerspiel vielleicht noch diesen Winter auf dem franzosischen Theater zu Paris wird aufgefuhret werden; Eine Ehre, die vielleicht noch keinem Stuke, das nicht ursprunglich franzosisch ist, wiederfahren seyn mag. Herr Diderot wird auch nachstens die Uebersetzung dieses Trauerspiels und des englandischen Spielers mit vielen Anmerkungen herausgeben ' (Petersen, ed. etc. p. 194, assigns this letter to Resewitz.) On May 6, 1764, Lessing wrote to Weisse: 'Er [Professor Straube] hat von mir den Auftrag, mir die Theile von dem Journal etranger zu kauffen, in welchen, nach den Briefen uber die neueste Litteratur, einige von meinen Geburthen recensıret sind. Haben Sie die Gutigkeit, ihm solche nachzuweisen' (Schriften, xvii, p. 207). Much of the review is reprinted by Danzel (Danzel and Guhrauer, op. cit. i, pp. 467 ff.), who, on the strength of what was said in the Litteraturbriefe, attributes it to Diderot himself. This too may have suggested Lessing's own reference (immediately following) to Diderot (xiv, 42).

<sup>8</sup> The French translation of Muss Sara Sampson appeared in Bielfeld's Progrès des Allemands (3rd ed. Leyden, 1767, ii, pp. 343 ff.). The French critic in the Journal étranger attributed the translation to a man 'qui donne à la culture des Sciences, des Lettres et des Arts (car il sacrifie au chœur entier des Muses) tous les intervalles que lui laissent ses importantes occupations' (p. 41). A translation of the play had been made by Trudaine de Montigny, and was played in the private theatre of the Duc d'Ayen at St Germain-en-Laye, producing so deep an impression that it was repeated several times. According to Grimm, Correspondance littéraire (December 1, 1764) this translation was not printed. Cp. Hans Kinkel, Lessings Dramen in Frankreich (Diss. Heidelberg), Darmstadt, 1908, p. 4; cp. also T. Supfle: Geschichte

des deutschen Kultureinflusses auf Frankreich, Gotha, 1886-8, ii (i), pp. 6 f., 184.

Lessing's quotation, however, concerns rather the 'burgerliches Trauerspiel' as a form of drama¹ than *Miss Sara Sampson* itself. The French critic had much praise for the play, but he had objected (xiv, 55) to several scenes and situations as being exaggerated or inconsistent; he had said (pp. 39 f.):

Du reste on ne peut disputer à M. Lessing le vrai génie de la Poésie dramatique, c'est à dire, le don de se pénétrer des sentimens les plus intimes de la nature, et de les exprimer avec beaucoup de chaleur, d'énergie et de vérité. Nous ne desirons dans sa Piece que plus de précision et de rapidité dans le dialogue, des scenes moins alongées et par conséquent plus vives, en un mot un tissu plus serré dans l'intrigue et dans l'action, mais surtout moins de négligence dans la maniere de préparer et d'amener les événemens.

To this Lessing replies (xIV, 59) by quoting Voltaire's retort to the critics of his *Enfant produgue*:

Je recevrai avec résignation toutes les critiques de Mr. d'Argental; mais on ne peut pas toujours exécuter ce que nos amis nous conseillent. Il y a d'ailleurs des défauts nécessaires. Vous ne pouvés guérir un bossu de sa bosse, qu'en lui ôtant la vie. Mon enfant est bossu; mais il se porte bien.<sup>2</sup>

Amongst Lessing's papers is the following which may have been intended for use when *Miss Sara Sampson* was repeated on July 20 (LXXIII, 15):

Den-ward Miss Sara Sampson wiederhohlt.

Auch der H. Baron von Bielefeld hat in der neuen Ausgabe seines Progrès des Allemands etc. [à Leide, 1767. 8. T. II. p. 343] dieses Stuck durch einen umstandlichen Auszug den Auslandern bekannt machen wollen. Der Verfasser muss ihm für diese Ehre verbunden seyn; aber sollte er nicht eines und das andre gegen das Urtheil des H. Barons einzuwenden haben?

'Sara Sampson,' sagt H. v. Bielefeld, 'ist zwar ein ursprunglich deutsches Stuck; gleichwohl scheint der Stoff aus englischen Romanen genommen

<sup>1</sup> See below, pp. 397 ff.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The passage comes from a letter to Berger of October 24, 1736; cp. C. B. Boxberger (Einzelheiten uber Voltaire bei Lessing, Dresden, 1879, p. 17), who refers the anecdote to Alzire, and comments that he does not know how Lessing came to be aware of this letter. Lessing found it in the Lettres secrettes de Mr. de Voltaire, publiées par Mr. L. B. [J. B. R. Robinet], Geneva, 1765, Lettre xix, p. 71. This collection of letters was included in the Recueil de nouvelles pièces, supplementary to the Geneva edition of Voltaire's works, viii (1765), reprinted at 'Francfort et Leipzig' in the same year There was also a German translation (cp. G. Bengesco, Bibliographie de Voltaire, Paris, 1882–90, 11i, p. 81) which may have been Lessing's immediate source.

oder nachgeahmt zu seyn, und der Geist, so wie der Geschmak dieser Nation, darinn zu herrschen.'

Was soll dieses eigentlich sagen? Der Stoff scheint aus englischen Romanen genommen zu seyn? Einem die Erfindung von etwas abzustreiten, ist dazu ein 'es scheint' genug? Welches ist der englische Roman?<sup>1</sup>

The first performance of Minna von Barnhelm on the Hamburg stage did not take place until September 30, 1767,2 too late to be recorded by Lessing himself. But it is just possible that it has left some trace on the Dramaturgie. May not the words at the close of St. xxxvi (142), in which Lessing refers to his own refusal ('mein Beyspiel') to appear on the stage in answer to a call, relate to the first performance of Minna? Although St. xxxvi bears the date September 1, it was not published until December 15.3 Apart from Minna von Barnhelm the plays by Lessing which had hitherto been performed were Der Schatz (April 27), Miss Sara Sampson (May 6) and Der Freygeist (May 12).

## J. C. CRONEGK

In the Litteraturbriefe (No. lxxxi, February 7, 1760) Lessing had bracketed together the two rivals for the prize of the Bibliothek der schonen Wissenschaften, J. F. von Cronegk and J. W. von Brawe, 'von welchen beyden ohne Zweifel der letztere das grössere tragische Genie war'. But the Hamburg theatre gave him no opportunity of discussing Brawe; his name is only once mentioned in the Dramaturgie (xIV, 112).4

To Cronegk fell the honour of opening the 'Enterprise'. In criticising Olint und Sophronia Lessing probably had before him the edition of Cronegk's Schriften, published in two volumes by J. P. Uz

<sup>1</sup> Schriften, xv, pp. 38 f.

<sup>2</sup> This date is not certain; the first performance may possibly have been on October 1. See above, p. 33, note 2.

<sup>8</sup> For evidence of addition subsequent to the nominal date see above, p. 90, note 2, and below, p. 172, note 1. Lessing's mode of addressing himself in the third person is illustrated in his letter to Mendelssohn of October 22, 1757 (Schriften, xvii, p. 126). 'Es arbeitet hier noch ein junger Mensch an einem Trauerspiele' and in his letter to Nicolai of January 21, 1758 (ibid. p. 133): 'mein junger Tragikus'.

Lessing's spelling here, 'Brave', may be merely a misprint; but cp. also Litteraturbriefe, No. lexxi (February 7, 1760; ed. cit. v, p. 83). For Lessing's opinion of him, see especially his letters to Nicolai of February 19, 1757, and to Mendelssohn of February 18, 1758 (Schriften, xvii, pp. 94, 138).

at Leipzig in 1760-61 (or possibly the 'neue rechtmasige und verbesserte Auflage' of 1765-66); but Roschmann's conclusion of the play he probably only heard from the stage, although it had been printed in Vienna in 1764. The modern reader is hardly likely to cavil at Lessing's estimate of Olint und Sophronia; but it is not wholly fair to the poet. Lessing was under some compulsion, when the theatre opened, to pay tribute to its two leading actors, Ekhof and Madame Hensel; thus he singled out their rôles for particular consideration. Now that of Evander, which Ekhof played, is an exceedingly small one, consisting only of some hundred and eighty lines in all; although Olint's father, he is little more than his confidant (11, 90). Indeed, after letting him appear in the two opening scenes and in three brief scenes in Act II, Cronegk seems to have lost sight of him altogether. Roschmann, however, finds a place for him in his fifth act. Olint is naturally much the more important personage. For a similar reason Lessing discusses Clorinde, played by Madame Hensel, and not Sophronia, the central female figure of the play, whose part is about twice the length of Clorinde's; but Sophronia was played by Madame Mecour, and Lessing, it will be remembered, was debarred from mentioning her. Unfortunately these considerations have distorted the literary criticism of the play, for most of the small modicum of poetry it contains is to be found in the lines that fall—especially in Act rv—to Sophronia. Her rôle—in Tasso that of 'einer lieben, ruhigen, ganz geistigen Schwarmerinn' (1, 81)1—was, at the best, not much to Lessing's taste; but it was at least free from the extravagant inconsistencies which he condemns a little too emphatically in Clorinde (v, 14): 'ein sehr abgeschmacktes, widerwartiges, hassliches Ding', he calls her, who rants 'in dem wahren Tone einer besoffenen Marquetenderinn' (39). Nor is Lessing's proof of the absurdity of her 'so zittre' (28) convincing; he was always a little intolerant of a poet's right to overstep the limits of the conventional. When he says with Mendelssohn (see below, p. 146) that Cronegk's characters are 'ausser aller Natur' (v, 19) it is surely too sweeping a condemnation; Mendelssohn failed to see that once or twice, and precisely in the part of Sophronia, Cronegk does rise above the dull rant of the German alexandrine—as he also does occasionally in his choruses.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Mendelssohn, in a review of Cronegk's works in the *Litteraturbriefe* (see below, pp. 145 ff.), describes Sophronia as 'eine liebenswurdige Schwarmerinn' (*Litteraturbriefe*, No. exc., ed. cit. xi, p. 179; Gesammelte Schriften, ed. cit. iv, 2, p. 305). Cp. my edition of *Nathan der Weise*, Cambridge, 1912, p. xxvi.

Cronegk is arraigned for his ignorance of Mohammedanism, and in particular for representing it as a polytheistic belief (1, 53): a Mohammedan priest—in Tasso, Lessing notes, it is a magician—could never have counselled the transference of the image of the Virgin—which Cronegk converts into a crucifix—from the temple to the mosque (50). In making Olint steal the crucifix and thus jeopardise his people for so trivial a cause, Cronegk, Lessing points out (67), gives the character of the hero a very contemptible side. In Tasso, moreover, it is his love for Sophronia alone which leads him to accuse himself. When the king has the two lovers bound to the same stake, Olindo says (canto ii, strophes xxxiii—xxxv—I quote from the translation of J F. Koppe, Leipzig, 1744 (pp. 44 f.) which had, no doubt, been used by Cronegk):

Ist dieses nun das Band, womit die Zartlichkeit,
Uns zu vereinigen, bisher mir prophezeiht?
Soll das das Feuer seyn, das, wie ich hoffen wollte,
Dereinst mit gleicher Brunst uns noch entzunden sollte? ...
Mein Trost ist, dass das Gluck, das mir dein Bette raubt,
Mir deinen Holzstoss noch zum wenigsten erlaubt!
Wir sterben; doch nur dich, nur dich beklag' ich heute,
Mein Schicksal aber nicht! ich sterb' an deiner Seite!
Und o wie wurde mir doch Tod und Schmerz und Pein
So suss, so angenehm, so hochsterfreulich seyn,
Erlangt' ich nur das Gluck, in meinen letzten Zügen,
Mit dir noch Brust an Brust und Mund an Mund zu fugen!
Damit alsdenn mein Geist aus meinen Lippen flog,
Und aus den deinigen die letzten Seufzer zog!

Lessing resents the allocation of all the virtues to the Christians, and all the vices to the heathens (vii, 25 ff.); he also criticises—strangely, in view of what he himself was to do some years later—Cronegk's

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The passages which Lessing quotes (55 ff.) are from Act 1, sc. i and 11i.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> In Mercier's Olinde et Sophronie, Paris, 1771, which was based on a French translation of Cronegk's play, the author makes the priest, Ismen, tear a manuscript of the Koran instead, the image of the Virgin or the crucifix being, in Mercier's opinion, too sacred to bring on to the stage (cp. W. Gensel, J. F. von Cronegk, Leipzig, 1894, p. 101). In the English play by A. Portal, Olindo and Sophronia, London, 1758 (see below, p. 150), the image of the Virgin is retained.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Similarly Mercier had said in the Preface to his own play: 'ce qui, selon moi, détruit toute la noblesse du caractère de son Héros.' But might not Mercier have read the *Dramaturgue*? Cp. Lessing's defence of Mohammedanism in his 'Rettung' of Cardanus (*Schriften*, v, pp. 315 ff.).

choice of Jerusalem at the time of the Crusades as the scene of a drama preaching tolerance. His condemnation of the play is largely based on the fact that he sees in it, with the Journal encyclopédique (see below, p. 149), a 'Christian' tragedy after the manner of Corneille's Polyeucte (1, 105); whereas in Tasso's treatment of the story (1, 35) the erotic theme is given more prominence than the religious one. Cronegk might have been allowed more credit, however, for his efforts to weld a recalcitrant epic story into a play.

The general character of Cronegk's adaptation will be seen from a comparison of its plot (see above, p. 56) with the following account of the episode as it appears in Tasso's epic:

Aladın, king of Jerusalem, is persuaded by Ismeno, a renegade Christian who has not entirely forgotten his old faith, to place an image of the Vırgin, taken from a Christian church, in the mosque; he believes that by this means Jerusalem will be rendered impregnable against the crusaders. In the night it mysteriously disappears and the king, convinced that it has been stolen by some Christian, resolves to kill every Christian in the city, if the thief does not give himself up. Hereupon the beautiful Christian maiden, Sofronia, to save her people, takes the responsibility for the theft on her own shoulders. Then Olindo, who has long loved her, claims to have done the deed in order to save her. As both maintain their guilt, both are condemned to die at the stake. Clorinda, a Persian queen, saves their lives by inducing the king to exercise clemency. They marry, but are obliged to leave Jerusalem.

Lessing rightly criticises (II, 2) the suddenness of Clorinde's conversion to Christianity in Cronegk's play, and its lack of adequate motive in her character; Sophronia's words to her (17) in Act IV (Lessing by a slip writes 'des dritten Akts'), sc. iv, are not of a kind to effect such a conversion. Tasso manages this much better by reserving the conversion for Clorinde's last hour; and Lessing also adduces the parallel of Zamore in Voltaire's Alzire.

On the whole, the influence of Mendelssohn's review of Gronegk's works, which appeared in the *Litteraturbriefe* of October 8 and 15, 1761, is plainly to be seen in Lessing's criticism here. Mendelssohn was of opinion that *Olint und Sophronia* was superior to *Codrus*, of which

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Nos. cxc, cxci, ed. cst. xi, pp. 167 ff.; Gesammelte Schriften, ed. cst. iv, 2, pp. 299 ff. See also above, p. 138, for Mendelssohn's criticism of Cronegk's comedy Der Mustrausche.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> No cxc1, ed. cit xi, pp. 175 f.; Gesammelte Schriften, ed. cit. iv, 2, pp 303 f.

he had said: 'Es gehoret freylich zu den besten deutschen Trauerspielen; aber leider! die besten deutschen Trauerspiele wurden in Frankreich und England kaum gute Trauerspiele seyn.' Mendelssohn also compares—as Lessing does (1, 16 ff.)—Cronegk's treatment of the subject with Tasso's, to the advantage of the latter. And although he does not deal with the excessive emphasis on martyrdom in the play, his criticism of Cronegk's Codrus was clearly present, as Cosack has shown (op. cit. p. 24), to Lessing's mind. For his 'beide haben nichts als das Marterthum im Kopfe', etc. (1,84) the following passage from Mendelssohn may be compared:

Die vollkommenen Charaktere mussen dem Dichter ungemein gefallen haben. Alle seine Charaktere überschreiten die Natur. Codrus, Medon. Elisinde4 und Philaide sind hochst tugendhaft, und Artander hochst lasterhaft. Jene sind vollkommene Engel, dieser ein vollkommener Teufel. Es herrscht daher eine unertragliche Einformigkeit in den Gesinnungen der handelnden Personen....Aus dieser Haufung der vollkommenen Charaktere ist noch eine andere Unbequemlichkeit entsprungen. Der Hauptvorwurf des Trauerspiels ist der Tod des Codrus furs Vaterland. Codrus pro patria non timidus mori. Die Bereitwilligkeit sich dem Wohl des Vaterlandes aufzuopfern, sollte also in dem Charakter des Codrus hervorleuchten, und ihn von allen ubrigen handelnden Personen unterscheiden. Allein Medon, Elisinde und Philaide sind alle Augenblick bereit, fur Athen, fur den Konig und einer fur den andern zu sterben. Wenn unter diesen grossmuthigen Seelen irgend eine Uneinigkeit entstehet; so ist es immer um den Vorzug zu sterben; so sehr entfernt sind sie, von der feigen Liebe zum Leben, und also auch von der Befremdung, mit welcher gemeine Seelen einen willigen Tod betrachten. Der Zuschauer, den diese Heroische Gesinnungen beständig vor den Ohren gehen [gellen], muss zuletzt das Betragen des Codrus eben nicht ausserordentlich finden. Er wird sich vielmehr verwundern, dass der grosse Codrus den Tod furs Vaterland nicht so entschlossen, nicht so freudig stirbt, als jede andere von den handelnden Personen thun wurde. In der That, der Entschluss fur Athen zu sterben, macht den Konig bekummert, unruhig und niedergeschlagen, indessen dass die ubrigen handelnden Personen nichts sehnlicher wunschen, als fur Athen, oder auch einer fur den andern zu sterben. Der

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Litteraturbriefe, No. cxc, ed. cit. xi, p. 167; Gesammelte Schriften, ed. cit. iv, 2, pp. 299 f.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The suggestion (1, 29) that Tasso modelled his episode on Virgil's Nisus and Euryalus (Aeneid, v, 294–361; ix, 176–439) had not escaped the notice of the older commentators of Tasso, e.g. G. Guastavini (Il Goffredo, ovvero Gerusalemme liberata da Torquato, annotated by S. Gentili and G. Guastavini, Venice, 1760–1, i, p. 323).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Litteraturbriefe, No. CKC, ed. cit. xi, pp. 169 ff.; Gesammelte Schriften, ed. cit. iv, 2, p 301.

<sup>4</sup> Lessing's 'Elesinde' (97) is obviously a misprint.

Dichter hatte sich die hohen heroischen Gesinnungen ausspahren [aufsparen] sollen, damit sie in dem Charakter des Helden desto starker in die Augen leuchten mogen <sup>1</sup>

In asserting that the 'scattered moral sentiments' ('die eingestreuten Moralen') form the best side of Cronegk's work (II, 100) Lessing was again repeating an opinion of Mendelssohn's. Of *Codrus* the latter had said (p. 170 [301]):

Es ist wahr, die Sittenspruche des Herrn von C. sind vortreflich; es ist wahr, er schildert die Tugend erhaben, und das Laster kriechend und abscheulich; Allein je mehr dieses die Absicht des tragischen Dichters ist, desto sorgfaltiger muss er sie verbergen. Wenn er gerade zu moralisiret; so wird er frostig.<sup>2</sup>

And it would almost seem as if Lessing had been prepared for the parterre's approval of such sentiments (II, III) by Mendelssohn's anticipation that it would applaud (pp. 167 f. [300]):

...Der Beyfall des deutschen Parterre ist noch weit unzuverlassiger [than the praise of the critics] ...Eine gluckliche Tirade, einige spitzfindige Sittenspruche bringen ihre Hande in Bewegung; sie klatschen, das Volk gahnet, und die wahren Kenner schweigen. Wie wenig kann sich ein Dichter auf einen solchen Beyfall zu Gute thun!

Exception can hardly be taken to Lessing's statement at the outset of his criticism (1, 9) that Cronegk had died too early, and that his fame rested more 'auf das, was er, nach dem Urtheile seiner Freunde,<sup>4</sup>

¹ 'Der Codrus', wrote Lessing to Mendelssohn on October 22, 1757 (Schriften, xvii, p. 126; cp. also pp. 138 f.), 'hat nichts weniger, als meinen Beyfall. Doch wunschte ich, dass Herr Nicolai dem Verfasser nicht alle Wahrheiten sagte, die man ihm sagen konnte.' As has been seen, Nicolai had offered in 1756 a prize for the best German tragedy submitted to the Bibliothek der schonen Wissenschaften, and Codrus, not Brawe's Freygeist—surely a much better play—was awarded the prize. 'Dass die Verf. der Bibl[10thek] der schonen Wissenschaften', said Mendelssohn in his review just quoted (p. 168 [300]), 'dem Codrus den Preis zu erkant, wird Ihnen wohl der geringste Beweis für seine Vortreflichkeit seyn'. Cp. Dramaturgie, vii, 54.

<sup>2</sup> Quoted also by L. Goldstein, Moses Mendelssohn und die deutsche Asthetik, Konigsberg, 1904, p. 211. Cp. Lowen's opinion of Codrus (Geschichte des deutschen Theaters, ed. cit. pp. 49 f.): 'Dem Codrus hat man schon lange vorgeworfen, dass er zu Sentenzenreich sey. Es ist wahr, Cronegk sagt diese Sentenzen allemal schon; aber nur Schade, am unrechten Ort. Diese Art zu schreiben ist verführerisch, und blendet sehr'

3 Cp. also Goldstein, op. cit. p. 211.

<sup>4</sup> In the 'Vorrede' to Cronegk's Schriften. 'Was konnte man sich nicht von einem Genie versprechen, das schon so viel geleistet, und noch weit mehr versprach! Sein früher Tod ist ein wahrer Verlust für ganz Deutschland' This passage is also quoted in the review in the Bibliothek der schonen Wissenschaften, vi (i), 1760,

für [die Buhne] noch hatte leisten konne, als was er wirklich geleistet hat'. But contemporary opinion held that Lessing had been unduly harsh to this play. He was surprised by this disapproval and a little apologetic (vii, 43); and he intended to return on a later occasion to 'dem vermeinten Unrechte, welches ich dem H v. C. als dramatischen Dichter erwiesen haben soll'. But his opinion of Olint und Sophroma was essentially in agreement with Mendelssohn's.

If Lessing is severe on Cronegk, he is still more so on Roschmann, the completer of the play ( $\pi$ , 62), who is described in the preface to the Vienna edition as 'en junger Dichter aus Tyrol, der viel tragisches Genie blicken lasst'. Lessing was indeed inclined to be severe on

p. 107. When Cronegk died, at the age of twenty-six, on December 31, 1757, Lessing wrote to Nicolai (Schriften, xvii, p. 132): 'Er war ein Genie, dem bloss das fehlte, wozu er nun ewig nicht gelangen wird. die Reise.' Cp. vii, 53.

The critic of the Leipzig Neue Zeitungen von gelehrten Sachen had said (September 24, 1767): 'Man vermisst [die Strenge] gewiss auch nicht bey...Olint und Sophronia'. Cp. also J. W. Braun, op cit 1, p 190' bey unseren Originalstucken, unter welchen Olint und Sophronia hier den Anfang macht...'; and W. Gensel, op. cit p. 103, refers to a letter from Weisse to Uz of October 16, 1767 (published in the Morgenblatt of November 26, 1840, No. 283)' Die Art, die man ietzt zu kritisiren einfuhret, gefällt mir gar nicht und ich sehe nicht, was man für Beruf hat, sich lacherlich machen zu lassen. Ich verehre Lessingen, als meinen Freund und einen scharfsinnigen Kunstrichter; aber die Art, wie er mit unserm ewig geliebten Cronegk umgeht, missfällt mir, er spricht ihm alle Fahigkeit, alles Genie ab, und chicaniret bisweilen über Kleinigkeiten, die hochstens beweisen, dass er ein Mensch und noch nicht das war, was er noch gewiss werden konnte.' On the other hand, Herder wrote on September 15, 1767 to Scheffner: 'Wir haben noch keine so grundliche Beurtheilung eines deutschen Trauerspiels, als er über Olint und Sophronia liefert' (cp. also Petersen, ed. cit. p. 178).

<sup>2</sup> Entwurfe zu Besprechungen (Schriften, xv, p. 42).

<sup>3</sup> Cp. the article by K. F. Kummer already cited (p. 55) for a detailed account of Roschmann's conclusion. Sonnenfels in his Briefe uber die Wienerische Schaubuhne under the date January 20, 1760, defended Roschmann against Lessing (ed. A. Sauer, Wiener Neudrucke, vii, Vienna, 1884, p. 322): 'Roschmannen kennen Sie als den Erganzer von Olynth und Sophronia, dem Lessing in seiner Drammaturgie, wenn jemals einem Dichter, zu hart gethan, und wenn ich sagen darf, mit einem so hamischen Tone beurtheilt hat. Sein funfter Aufzug hat nicht wenige, ich mochte sagen voltarische Verse; der Kuhnheit; dem Wohlklange nach, Croneghs nicht unwurdig. Vielleicht hat Lessing recht, dass die Handlung ein wenig lau ist: aber setze sich der Kunstrichter hin an des Dichters Stelle, und erganze er an einem Plane, dazu, wie die Verfasser der Briefe u. d. N. Liter. sprachen, der Stoff schon ganz verschnitten ist! und er wird die Schwierigkeiten kennen lernen, die dem Erganzer aufstossen...ich will die roschmannsche Erganzung nicht mangelfrey finden; aber ertraglich, mehr als erträglich.' Cp. W Gensel, op. at. p. 100. F. W. Gotter also supplied Cronegk's drama with a continuation in 1768 (cp. R. Schlösser, F. W. Gotter, Hamburg, 1894, p. 194) but this was not published.

completers, adapters and imitators.¹ In the present case the condemnation is not undeserved: the fifth act is definitely inferior to Cronegk's work.² Lessing appears to object particularly to Roschmann's tragic conclusion, contrasting it in this respect with the episode in Tasso (64); but Cronegk called his unfinished play a 'Trauerspiel', and the whole action points to such an end.³

Had the next performance of the play fallen within the period covered by the *Dramaturgie*, Lessing would, no doubt, have defended his standpoint in respect of both Cronegk and Roschmann. For his subsequent purpose he made some notes:<sup>4</sup>

Warum wollen wir mit Schatzen gegen Auslander prahlen, die wir nicht haben? So sagt z. E. das Journal Encycl. [September 1, 1761], dass sein Misstrauischer, auf unserm Theater Beyfall gehabt, und allezeit gern gesehen wurde. Nichts weniger als das. Es ist ein unausstehliches Stuck, und der Dialog desselben ausserst platt.

And he then translated the following passage from the article concerning the present play in the *Journal encyclopédique* of September, 1761 (pp. 91 f.):

Encouragé par ce succès [Codrus], l'Auteur entreprit une autre Tragédie, où il avoit dessein d'introduire des chœurs, selon l'usage des Grecs. Il vouloit essayer si ce que Racine a fait en France avec succès dans Athahe, pourroit réussir en Allemagne; mais après avoir surmonté les plus grands obstacles, et son travail étant très avancé, il y renonça tout à coup, croyant que son projet ne pouvoit réussir, attendu la nature de la Musique allemande. Il crut appercevoir qu'elle n'étoit nullement propre à rendre la beauté des sentimens et la noblesse des pensées qu'il vouloit exprimer; mais il nous semble qu'il auroit pu se passer de musique, comme M. de Voltaire dans la Tragédie de Brutus, où il a mis des chœurs. Quoiqu'il en soit, il abandonna sa pièce; les fragmens qui en restent, où l'on trouve de grandes beautés, font regretter qu'il n'ait pas mis la derniere main à son projet. L'Allemagne pourroit se vanter d'avoir une Tragédie Chrétienne qui feroit honneur à son Théâtre. Le sujet est tiré de la Jérusalem délivrée....

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Cp. the criticisms referred to below, pp. 154 f., 157 f , 327 ff.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> I cannot throw any light on the origin or occasion of Lessing's anecdote (π, 71) of the heroine of a still worse tragedy, who was said to have died of the fifth act.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> It might be noted that neither the English dramatist Portal nor the French writer Mercier, who based his play on a French translation of Cronegk's, ends his piece tragically. Mendelssohn was of opinion (*loc cit.* p. 176 [304]) that Cronegk had difficulty in finishing his play: 'Wo ich nicht irre, so hat der Ausgang den Dichter zu fruh übereilt; der Stof war in den ersten vier Aufzugen verschnitten, und der Rest wollte zum funften nicht hinreichen. Doch dieses sind Muthmassungen!'

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Entwurfe zu Besprechungen (Schriften, xv, pp. 42 f.).

Lessing's comment is:

Wie abgeschmakt ist das! Die deutsche Musik! Wenn man noch gesagt hatte die deutsche Poesie war zur Musik ungeschikt! Und die ganze Sache ist nicht wahr Cronegk hat seine Arbeit nicht aufgegeben, sondern er ist druber gestorben. Was der Journalist am Ende dazu setzt, ist allem Ansehen nach auch eine Lugen. Un Ecrivain Anglois qui a senti le merite de cette Tragedie, se l'est appropriée. Sa piece a paru sous ce titre: Olindo and Sophroma a Tragedy taken from Tasso, by Abraham Portal, Esq London. 1758. Da wird der gute Portal zum Plagiario, der vielleicht den Namen Cronegk, nie gehort hat A[nn]o 1758 war Cronegks Olint noch nicht gedruckt.<sup>1</sup>

Further indication of what Lessing proposed to discuss when he returned to the play is to be found in his list of the repertory (Schriften, xv, p. 50):

Von Portlands [Portals] Sophronia. Month. Review, Vol. xix, p. 94. Von der WiederEinfuhrung des Chors Hord [Hurd] p. 116. N. 190. Von Mason's Choren. Month. R. Vol. xx p. 507. Von Stirlings Tragodien mit Choren. s. Cibb. Lif. Vol. i. p. 315. Auch Daniels seine ibid. p. 147.

#### C. F. WEISSE

Lessing's attitude to the friend of his youth, Christian Felix Weisse, whom, for some inexplicable reason, he persists in calling 'Weiss', is still more lacking in warmth and charity, even in reasonable fairness. It has been suggested that Lessing was jealous of Weisse's popular success as a dramatist, so much greater than his own; but it is perhaps enough explanation to say that Lessing, judging Weisse's popularity out of proportion to his literary merit, felt that words should not be spared. Weisse, on his part, accepted meekly, even generously, his friend's trouncings. He did not allow them to interfere with the old friendship.<sup>3</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> See Journal encyclopédique, September, 1761, p. 96. Mendelssohn also mentions the English play in his review already quoted (Bibliothek der schonen Wissenschaften, vi (1), 1760, p. 123). 'Da wir das englische Trauerspiel nicht gesehen haben, so können wir nicht entscheiden, welches den Vorzug verdient.'
- <sup>2</sup> XXXIX, 127, LXXIII, 67, 75. In the *Litteraturbriefe* (No. lxxxi, ed. cit. v, pp. 82, 88; Schriften, viii, pp. 215, 218) he wrote 'Weise'. 'Stl.' in the *Deutsche Bibliothek*, iv (xv), 1769, p. 498, said: 'Ich kann nicht sagen, warum Lessing immer Weiss zu schreiben affectirt.'
- <sup>3</sup> See Weisse, Selbsthiographie, Leipzig, 1806, pp. 137 ff. for Weisse's subsequent account of his later relations with Lessing.

Lessing had occasion to criticise two of his plays, Amalia and Richard der Dritte.1 The former, he says (xx, 89), has been regarded by the critics as Weisse's best comedy; 2 a view which he appears to endorse. He does not altogether disapprove of the 'romanenhafte' element—the fact that Manley's sex is not recognised (97)—but suggests the modification of 'some all too bold strokes' in the last act.3 He proposes another and more natural way of bringing about the dénouement: why should not Manley (Amalia) take advantage of her knowledge that Freemann is not really the husband of Sophie, and make serious love to Sophie with a view to bringing about the breach between her and Freemann? It is, however, difficult to see how the plot, unnatural at best, would be much improved by this. Lessing evidently did not like the frivolous tone of the whole, a feeling with which the modern reader will be apt to agree.4 That the criticism was generally resented —if not by Weisse himself—is to be seen from C. H. Schmid's Zusätze zur Theorie der Poesie nach den neuesten Grundsatzen und Nachrichten von den besten Dichtern, i, Leipzig, 1767, pp. 44 f. (a book to which Lessing refers in St. LXXIII, 147):

Die liebenswurdige Weissische Amalia wird auf Unkosten seiner ubrigen Lustspiele gelobt. "Sie hat", heisst es, "mehr Interesse, ausgeführtere Charactere, einen lebhaftern gedankenreichern Dialog als seine ubrigen komischen Stucke." Besonders wird die funfte Scene des letzten Acts getadelt. Ich weiss es zwar auch nicht, ob man wirklich mit dem Frauenzimmer in diesem zudringlichen Tone spricht, aber das weiss ich, dass in den französischen Lustspielen die Marquis ziemlich zudringlich sprechen, und wie spricht Tartuff? Marivaux in der fausse suivante und Steele im tender Husband haben in ahnlichen Fallen das Frauenzimmer eben so unbescheiden seyn lassen. Amalia kennt die Freemann als sie ihren Plan entwirft, nur als ein eitles Frauenzimmer, sie konnte also nicht hoffen, dass ein ernsthafter Antrag bey ihr gute Wirkung habe. Auf den Argwohn

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In the Litteraturbriefe (ed. cit. v, pp. 88 ff.) he had discussed Eduard der Dritte; and in XXXIX, 127 he refers to Weisse's iambic tragedy Atreus und Thyest, which was published in the Beytrag zum deutschen Theater, iv, Leipzig, 1766, and produced at Leipzig on January 28, 1767. (Cp. J. Minor, C. F. Weisse und seine Beziehungen zur deutschen Literatur des 18. Jahrhunderts, Innsbruck, 1880, p. 230; also C H Schmid, Chronologie des deutschen Theaters, ed. cit. pp. 161 f.)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> There is an echo of this opinion in the *Deutsche Bibliothek*, iii (xii), 1769, p. 622: 'bis jetzo das beste ruhrende Lustspiel in unsrer Sprache.'

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Weisse followed Lessing's advice in revising the play (Act v, sc. 5) for the edition of his *Lustspiele*, Leipzig, 1783 (vol. ii). Cp. J. Minor, op. cit. p. 110.

<sup>4 &#</sup>x27;Stl' asked bluntly (*Deutsche Bibliothek*, iii (ix), 1769, p. 53): 'So ist Amalia gar schlupfrig?'

von der Eitelkeit der Freemann grundet sich das ganze Stuck; und wenn die Voraussetzung des Dichters interessante Scenen erzeigt, warum wollen wir die Voraussetzung missbilligen?

The production of Weisse's Richard der Dritte on July 22 gave Lessing an opportunity for a comparison of that play with Shakespeare's, and for an important section of his Aristotelian criticism. Thus almost all the eleven parts of the Dramaturgie nominally dealing with Richard der Dritte (LXXIII-LXXXIII) fall to be discussed elsewhere in the present volume. Lessing has, in fact, little actual criticism to offer of Weisse's play. He regards it (LXXIII, 69) as 'ohnstreitig eines von unsern betrachtlichsten Originalen; reich an grossen Schönheiten, die genugsam zeigen, dass die Fehler, mit welchen sie verwebt sind, zu vermeiden, im geringsten nicht uber die Krafte des Dichters gewesen ware, wenn er sich diese Krafte nur selbst hatte zutrauen wollen'.

The comparison with Shakespeare (74) had been initiated by Weisse's own prefatory note:

Shakespear, der grosste englische Dichter nach dem allgemeinen Gestandnisse seiner eigenen Nation, hat auch aus dem Leben Richard des dritten ein historisches Trauerspiel verfertiget. Der Verfasser des gegenwartigen würde es niemals gewagt haben, diesem grossen Meister nachzuarbeiten, und den schrecklichen Zug aus dieses Königs Geschichte zum Innhalte eines neuen Trauerspiels zu machen, wenn er sich nicht zu spät daran erinnert hatte. Sollte er aber ja bey der Vergleichung zu viel verliehren, so wird man wenigstens finden, dass er keinen Plagiat begangen, indem das Seinige fertig war, ehe er das Englische gelesen; aber vielleicht ware es ein Verdienst gewesen, beym Shäkspear einen Plagiat zu begehen!

Lessing's comment on this is (81) that Shakespeare cannot be plagiarised: there is not a line in him that does not bear the stamp of his genius and proclaim to the whole world that it is Shakespeare's; there is not a scene or speech in *Richard the Third* which Weisse could have used as it stands; Shakespeare must be studied, not plundered. It is a pity, he continues (113), that the German poet had not known Shakespeare earlier, and used him as a corrective to his own work.<sup>3</sup>

<sup>2</sup> Bestrag zum deutschen Theater 1, 2nd (revised) ed. Leipzig, 1765, p. 123.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See below, pp. 249 f., 353, 415 f.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> I deal more fully with this in my chapter on the English drama (see below, pp. 249 f.). On the genesis of Weisse's play see W. Huttemann, C. F. Weisse und seine Zeit in threm Verhaltnis zu Shakespeare (Diss. Bonn), Duisburg, 1912, pp. 64 ff. It seems incredible that Weisse should have been in ignorance of Shakespeare when he first wrote his Richard der Dritte. (This statement is made by R. Dohse, Colley

Lessing's condemnation of Weisse's tragedy rests mainly on the fact that, contrary to Aristotle's warning, he chooses an unmitigated villain as his hero. His Richard awakens as little terror as pity; in fact, he awakens neither. He is (LXXIV, 17) 'das grosste, abscheulichste Ungeheuer, das jemals die Buhne getragen', a devil incarnate (LXXIX, 11), and we could only feel the deepest satisfaction in seeing him handed over to the tortures of hell. And what does happen? He is allowed to die fighting, to die on the field of honour! Such a villain cannot awaken pity or terror (or rather fear, as Lessing now corrects himself) of the right kind. It is only an evasion, too, to say that pity is awakened for the queen and the princes. Pity, yes! but not the kind of pity that has anything to do with tragedy. But Lessing admits that the play interests the public (LXXIX, 89). It has 'Poesie des Ausdrucks; Bilder; Tiraden; kuhne Gesinnungen; einen feurigen hinreissenden Dialog; gluckliche Veranlassungen fur den Akteur, den ganzen Umfang seiner Stimme mit den mannichfaltigsten Abwechslungen zu durchlaufen'; it may have many of the attractive qualities of a 'dramatic poem', but it has not the true beauties of tragedy. Lessing reproaches Weisse for not having learned from Shakespeare; but in point of fact, much of his criticism here is equally applicable to Shakespeare's Richard III.2 The questions which are raised, however, concern not so much Weisse's tragedy as the definition of tragedy itself.8

When Lessing enlarges (LXXIII, 132) on the duty of a poet to pay more attention to the fault-finding of his critics than to their praise, this is less a reproach to Weisse than an underlining of the latter's own assurance in his preface to *Richard der Dritte*: 'Er [der Verfasser] hat also den Tadel uber dieselben [Versuche in der dramatischen

Cibbers Buhnenbearbeitung von Shakespeares Richard III, Bonner Beitrage zur Anglistik, ed. M. Trautmann, 11, p 6.) It has been suggested that his denial of knowledge rested on a quibble, namely, that he knew only Colley Cibber's adaptation of Shakespeare's tragedy, published in 1700 (cp. W. Oehlke, Lessing und seine Zeit, ed. cit. ii, p. 106, F. W. Meisnest, Die Quellen zu Christian Felix Weisses Richard III, in Euphorion, xvii (1910), pp. 538 ff. (especially pp 542 ff.))

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See below, p. 353.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> 'Stl.' the critic of the *Dramaturgie* in Klotz's *Deutsche Bibliothek*, commented thus (iv (xv), 1769, p. 500): 'Weisse hatte, nach Lessings Meinung, den Richard von Shakspear als einen Spiegel nutzen sollen, um seinem Werke alle Flecken abzuwischen. Erscheint denn Richard beym Shakspear weniger als Tyrann?' Cp. G. Witkowski, *Aristoteles und Shakespeare in Lessings Hamburgischer Dramaturgie*, in *Euphorion*, ii (1895), pp. 517 ff.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See below, pp. 353 ff., 357.

Dichtkunst] sorgfaltiger, als die Lobspruche gesammelt.' Among the eulogists was C. H. Schmid, to whose Zusatze zur Theorie der Poesie Lessing refers, as we have just seen, at the close of St. LXXIII. In his Theorie der Poesie, Leipzig, 1767, p. 495, Schmid had written extravagantly of Weisse:

Warum hat sich noch kein theatralischer Schriftsteller unter uns der Empfindung der Nation bemeistert? fragen irgendwo die Litteraturbriefe. Will sich anders die Nation bemeistern lassen: so ist die Frage durch Weissen vernichtet! Patriotischer nimmt sich niemand des deutschen Theaters an. O Nation! danke ihn mit patriotischem Enthusiasmus!....

#### K. F. ROMANUS

The comedy of Die Brüder, oder eine Schule der Vater by Karl Franz Romanus was discussed by Lessing (LXX, 101-LXXIII, 11) at a time when the Hamburg Enterprise was a thing of the past; and he was more interested in the playwright's ultimate source, Terence, than in the play itself. Of the latter he had, at first, nothing to say at all; but he promised some criticism when the play was repeated (LXXIII, 10); and by substituting one playbill for another, he fulfilled in some small measure that promise (XCVI-XCIX).

Romanus, he tells us (xcvi, 9), published his plays anonymously, and it was these that recommended his name to the public, not his name his plays. Lessing's criticism, however, still turns on Terence, that is, on the alterations which Romanus believed necessary to make the Latin play palatable to a modern audience. He first raises the question as to whether Greek or Roman customs cannot be reproduced just as well in comedy as in tragedy. He is not prepared to recognise any real distinction in this respect, but suggests that native customs are in fact more appropriate to both. But although on this principle Romanus would appear to be justified, Lessing states that he cannot approve of the liberties which he has actually taken with his original. He does not see (xcvi, 69) why the German playwright should have destroyed the double meaning of Terence's title by making the two

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See above, p. 90, note 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Nicolai, in reviewing Romanus's Komodien in the Litteraturbriefe, No. cccxxix (June 20, 1765), ed. cit. xxii, p. 51, had said 'Ich habe hier eben einen Schriftsteller vor mir, der eine sehr gute Anlage zu einem komischen Dichter zu haben scheint. Er verdienet wohl, dass man ihn unter der Menge elender dramatischen Schriftsteller womit Deutschland überschwemmet ist, hervorziehe, und etwas naher betrachte.'

young men, Leander and Lycast (Aeschinus and Ktesipho in Terence) cousins instead of brothers. The piece may be a 'Schule der Vater' —its second title; but without the link by which Terence connects Aeschinus and Ktesipho, and both with their father Demea, the whole plot is loosened. If Aeschinus were the actual son of Micio, why should Demea be so indignant? There is no adequate reason for Lysimon's excessive indignation with Philidor, in the German play, over the misdeeds of his own son. Again (XCVIII, 1), solicitous interest for the reform of a brother may be justified; but when he is only a cousin, the cogent reason for Leander's action disappears. The German Ktesipho (Lycast) is unnaturally depraved (xcviii, 77); he is 'ein abgefeumter Bube, dem Lugen und Betrug sehr gelauffig sind' (125). This compels the German author to involve his Lycast in an exposure at the close (XCIX, 29), which is unnecessary in Terence, and difficult to make convincing to a modern audience. His ending is the conventional reconciliation of the comedy of the day; while Terence's is not to be thus foreseen, but is naturally developed from his characters. The whole criticism is a defence of Terence; and may be dealt with better in connexion with the Latin author. Meanwhile, it is but fair to note that some of the strictures which Lessing makes on Romanus really apply to the French École des pères by Michel Baron, or Boyron, of which Romanus's play is a free adaptation. In Baron's play the two cousins are brought up together, one having been adopted by the father of the other.

### G. K. PFEFFEL

In Der Schatz, by Gottlieb Konrad Pfeffel, Lessing finds more interest than is usually to be met with in 'Schaferspiele' (xIV, 129); but the style is 'öfters ein wenig zu gesucht und kostbar'. He drew his information concerning the author's Der Eremit (135) from the Schreiben an einen Freund, appended to the edition of Pfeffel's play published at Frankfurt in 1761:

Das Nachspiel, Der Einsiedler, das nach der Auffuhrung ernster Dramen nicht plotzlich die sussen Thranen durch Komik verjagen soll, wie die bisherigen lustigen Nachspiele, sondern das Gemuthe in seiner melancholischen Wollust erhalten.

The author expresses the hope that his Einsteller 'einem Herzen,

<sup>1</sup> See below, pp. 318 ff.

welches noch um den Polyeuckt trauret, keine so widerwartige Empfindungen aufbringet, als der Herzog Michel, oder die Liebe durch Wechselbriefe'.<sup>1</sup>

## T. G. VON HIPPEL

Theodor Gottlieb von Hippel's popular satire on pedantic people who regulate their lives by the clock, Der Mann nach der Uhr, seems to have irritated Lessing by its provincialism (xxII, 65). The allusion here to 'gruner Kohl' concerns Act I, sc ii of the piece, where the reason which the 'Mann nach der Uhr' gives for not allowing his daughter to marry her lover Valer is that the latter does not eat 'braunen Kohl' on Sundays: 'Ich glaube, dass mancher Sonntag vorbeigeht, ohne dass er braunen Kohl isst'. Lessing has nothing more to say about the piece. His statement that Hippel is in Danzig would appear to be an error; he is probably confusing Danzig with Königsberg, where Hippel had a legal appointment and ultimately rose to be Burgermeister. He was born in 1741 and died in 1796.<sup>2</sup>

### F. VON HEUFELD

From the Preface to Heufeld's Julie (Vienna, 1766) Lessing obtained the information that Heufeld was the author of other pieces (VIII, 104): 'Ich kann für den Beyfall, womit das hiesige Publikum meine zwey ersten Stucke zu gütig beehret, meine Erkenntlichkeit nicht besser an Tag legen, als wenn ich meine müssigen Stunden zu seinem Vergnugen zu verwenden fortfahre.' From this Preface (p. [4]) he also draws the passage to which he refers at the beginning of St. IX (12): 'Einem andern Einwurfe: dass Siegmund nicht in genugsamer Handlung erscheine, glaube ich dadurch vorzubeugen, wenn ich zu erwagen gebe: dass ein Mensch seines gleichen...nicht wie ein Konig...grosse Handlungen verrichten kann.'

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See E. Schmidt's review of Cosack's Materialien zur Hamburgischen Dramaturgie in Anzeiger für deutsches Alterium, v (1879), pp. 138 ff.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Cp. F. J. Schneider, T. G. von Hippel, Prague, 1911, p. 147. In a review of his own play (*ibid*. Anhang, p. 19) Hippel admitted the justice of Lessing's criticism that the title of the play betrays too much.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The two pieces were *Die Haushaltung nach der Mode* and *Die Liebhaber nach der Mode*, both comedies in three acts, reprinted in the Viennese *Neue Sammlung von Schauspielen*, vi and x (1765, 1767). See Goedeke, *Grundruss* (3rd ed.) iv (1), p. 657. Schmid in his *Chronologie (ed. cit.* pp. 159 ff.) criticises Heufeld adversely. Heufeld was born at Meinau in 1731 and died in 1795.

The theme of Julie is from Rousseau's La nouvelle Héloise (1761); and this affords Lessing an opportunity of returning to the discussion of the relation between drama and narrative which he had touched upon in St. 1 (16). Somewhat apologetically Heufeld had written (161.):

Diejenigen, welche dafur halten: es ware etwas Leichtes einen ziemlich zugeschnittenen Stoff zu bearbeiten, lade ich ein, selbst einen Versuch dieser Art zu wagen. Es ist wahr ich habe mir die Auftritte, die sich in meinen Plan schickten, zu Nutzen gemacht. Allein bey allem dem muss ich bekennen, dass es mir bey so vielen einzeln schonen Stellen, womit Juliens Geschichte ausgefullet ist, schwerer fiel, wegzulassen, als herauszunehmen. Ich habe es erfahren, und meine Freunde—denen ich für ihren kritischen Beystand mit Vergnugen offentlich danke—haben es auch erkennet. zu geschweigen, dass durch diesen Vortheil die Arbeit auf einer andern Seite erschweret wird, wenn man namlich einem so starken Urbilde, als der Verfasser dieser Geschichte ist, nacharbeiten, und seinen Ton zu erreichen sich bestreben muss. Ich werde sehr zufrieden seyn, wenn ich nicht zu tief unter ihm stehen geblieben bin.

It has been pointed out by the commentators that Lessing's memory of the novel was not vivid enough to prevent him from making some slips in his comparison. The harshness of Julie's father towards her is far from being hardly touched upon in the novel (1x, 52); it is fully described in letter 63 of Part 1, from Julie to her friend Claire. From this letter also comes what Lessing describes (IX, 68) as the best scene in the piece. 'Das Burschchen will sich schlagen und erstechen' (IX, 8) is also true of Rousseau's hero (cp. Part 1, letter 56, and III, 21).2 Lessing's criticism of the weak points of the German plot are, however, entirely reasonable—the conversion of Rousseau's tragedy into a comedy with a happy ending (VIII, 121), the 'uninteresting' character of Julie (126), the inferiority of Heufeld's 'Siegmund's to St Preux (157); indeed Siegmund, Lessing continues, is nothing but a petty conceited 'Pedant' (IX, 4), whose good qualities we learn from hearsay (31). The scene—the best in the play—where Julie's father beseeches her on bended knee, may be justified in the novel, Lessing says; but not here (69), where it outrages our better feelings. He quotes largely

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See below, pp. 460 f.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See Cosack, op. cit p. 57, also Petersen, ed. cit. p 187.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> I am unable to substantiate Lessing's objection to the name Siegmund (VIII, 141), as being a name for domestics. It is the name of Lottchen's lover in Gellert's Die zartlichen Schwestern (1747). Heufeld altered the name and made other changes in deference to Lessing's criticism (cp. L. Geiger in Vierteljahrschrift für Litteraturgeschichte, 111 (3), 1890, pp. 502 f; also Petersen, ed. cit. p. 186).

from Mendelssohn's article on Rousseau's novel in the *Litteraturbriefe* of June 4–18, 1761. In addition the following passage from the same article might be adduced as illustrative of Lessing's words 'Der Werth der Neuen Heloise ist, von der Seite der Erfindung, sehr gering....Die Situationen sind alltaglich oder unnaturlich' (VIII, 114 ff.):

Was er von der guten Absicht eines Romanenschreibers, und von der Lauterkeit der Moral sagt, die in seinen Briefen gepredigt wird; das lasse ich dahingestellt seyn. Aber die magern Erfindungen und der unnaturliche Vortrag lassen sich durch keine gute Absichten entschuldigen.... Was die Erfindung betrift? Urtheilen Sie selbst, ob folgender Plan eine sonderliche Fruchtbarkeit verrath!.. Sie sehen, dass in dieser Anlage keine ausserordentliche Situationen Platz finden, und Rousseau selbst macht keinen Anspruch auf Situationen. Nehmen Sie diesen geringen Vorrath von Begebenheiten, dehnen Sie ihn aus, so weit Sie konnen, und fullen Sie die Lucken mit langen moralischen Predigten, und verliebten Spitzfindigkeiten aus; so haben Sie ohngefahr die Geschichte der neuen Heloise....<sup>2</sup>

Lessing subsequently read Heufeld's *Der Geburtstag*, and proposed to discuss it when *Julie* was repeated (*Entwurfe zu Besprechungen*, *Schriften*, xv, pp. 43 f.):

Den funfundsechzigsten Abend (Freytags den 14tn August) ward die Julie des H. Heufeld, und Schlegels stumme Schonheit wiederhohlt.

Die zwey Stucke, mit welchen sich H. Heufeld, vor seiner Julie, in Wien bekannt gemacht hatte, heissen die Haushaltung, und der Liebhaber nach der Mode. Ich kenne sie noch nicht weiter, als ihren Titeln nach. Aber sein viertes Stuck, welches er auf die Julie folgen lassen, habe ich gelesen.

Es heisst der Geburtstag, und ist in drey Aufzugen. Es gehort, seiner Einrichtung nach, unter die Pieces à tiroir, wie sie die Franzosen nennen; und seinem Haupttone nach, ist es ein Possenspiel, ob schon die Personen desselben bey weitem nicht aus der niedrigsten Klasse der Menschen sind. Er schildert verschiedne lacherliche Charaktere, die bey Gelegenheit eines Geburtstags auftreten, der in einer adlichen Familie auf die zu Wien gewohnliche Art, gefeyert wird. Der erste Akt enthalt eine Reihe von Morgenvisiten, die bey der Frau von Ehrenwerth, in der Absicht ihr zu diesem ihrem Feste Gluck zu wunschen, gemacht werden. Der dritte Akt zeiget eine Abendbewirthung ungefehr der nehmlichen Personen, bey welcher gespielt wird. Der mittelste Akt besteht aus einem kleinen Lustspiele, genannt die Schwester des Bruder Philipps.<sup>3</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Litteraturbriefe, Nos. clxvi-clxx, ed. cit x, pp. 255 ff., Gesammelte Schriften, ed. cit. iv, 2, pp. 260 ff.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Litteraturbriefe, x, pp. 259, 260, 266; Gesammelte Schriften, iv, 2, pp. 262, 264 f. <sup>3</sup> According to Goedeke (3rd ed., iv (1), p. 657), Der Geburtstag, Lustspiel von drei Akten, appeared at Vienna in 1767, and Die Tochter des Bruders Philipps, Lustspiel in einem Akt, at Frankfurt and Leipzig in 1771: 'mit Zusatzen nachgedruckt' in Theater der Deutschen, Frankfurt, 1775.

## J. F. LOWEN

Finally, Lessing had occasion to notice two small plays by Johann Friedrich Lowen, the director of the theatre. Obviously there could be no question of serious criticism. They were inconsiderable afterpieces in one act, both based on verse tales by Voltaire, the first, Die neue Agnese<sup>1</sup> (x, 41) through the intermediary of Favart. It was played from manuscript, which Lessing evidently had the opportunity of reading (73); it was first printed in the Hamburgische Unterhaltungen, vi, 5, November, 1768, pp. 364-393. A review of Favart's operetta, Isabelle et Gertrude, ou les Sylphes supposés (1765), in the Journal encyclopédique of September, 1765 (pp. 106 f.) had made Favart's indebtedness to Voltaire (x, 60) clear: 'Il n'y a personne en France qui ne connoisse l'Education des filles, Conte charmant, par M. de Voltaire, publié l'année dernière et inséré dans l'ingénieux recueil des Contes de Guillaume Vadé.'2 In scene x of the French operetta, to which Lessing particularly refers (42 ff.), Madame Gertrude tries to make her daughter believe that the voice she has heard 'est celle de Monsieur Dupré, sans être la sienne'.

Isabelle. Je ne comprens pas.

Mad. Gertrude. N'avez vous pas lû le livre que je vous ai donné?

Isabelle. Ah! oui; le Comte de Gabalis qui dit qu'il y a des Sylphes, des Esprits Aeriens, des intelligences, cela m'a amusée; mais est-ce que tout cela est vrai?

Lessing's Bernard and Hilar (Lowen's Mangold and Richard) appear to correspond to Voltaire's André and Denis, and Favart's Dupré and Dorlis.

The second comedy by Lowen, Das Rathsel, oder, Was den Damen am meisten gefallt (XXIX, 22), had been printed in volume iv of Lowen's Schriften (Hamburg, 1766, pp. 339 ff.). In his Preface Lowen describes the origin of the piece as follows:

Das letzte Lustspiel · Das Räthsel, oder: Was den Damen am meisten gefallt <sup>?</sup> ward eigentlich auf Ersuchen des Herrn Ackermanns von mir

- $^{1}$  It is hardly necessary to recall that Agnès 1s the 'naıve' of Molière's École des femmes
  - <sup>2</sup> L'éducation d'une fille, in Contes de Guillaume Vadé [Geneva], 1764, pp. 28-32.
- <sup>3</sup> In 1670 the Abbé Montfaucon Villars published Le Comte de Gabalis, ou Entretiens sur les sciences secrètes, in which the supernatural is treated with rationalistic irony. Here he speaks of 'Sylphes...qui sont une espèce de Substances Aeriennes, qui viennent quelquefois consulter les Sages sur les livres d'Averroes, qu'elles n'entendent pas trop bien' (Amsterdam ed. of 1715, p. 13).

verfertiget. Der Stoff ist aus der, auch vom Voltaire nachgeahmten Erzahlung: Ce qui plait aux Dames genommen Man hatte dem Herrn Ackermann ein kleines Nachspiel von wenigen Auftritten über diese Materie gegeben. Es war aber zu kurz, und hatte nicht die komische, muntre, und zugleich satyrische Miene, die man so gern in dergleichen kleinen Stucken sieht. Ich ward um die Aenderung dieses Stucks ersucht; fand aber, dass es leichter sey, ein ganz neues Stuck zu verfertigen, als in die Arbeit eines andern mehr Lebhaftigkeit zu bringen; und auf die Art entstand diese kleine Plaisanterie, die vermuthlich auf dem Theater gefallen wird, wenn Herr Ackermann, wie er willens ist, die Rolle des Pedrillo spielt: denn die komischen Rollen gerathen ihm allemal vortrefflich.<sup>1</sup>

Löwen's comedy is thus based on Voltaire's tale in verse, Ce qui plaît aux dames, but he may possibly also have been influenced by Favart's La Fée Urgèle, ou ce qui plaît aux dames (1765), an operetta based also on Voltaire's tale.<sup>2</sup> The resemblances to Favart's piece are not, however, very conspicuous. Lowen's comedy was unfavourably reviewed in the Neue Bibliothek der schonen Wissenschaften (iv (1767), pp. 289 f.).

It was inevitable that through Lessing's criticism of the drama of his own land there should run a regretful sense of broken achievement. But, as we have seen, he had set out on his task as a critic of the Hamburg theatre with no illusions in respect of the value of the fare likely to be offered. Many masterpieces were not to be hoped for; but a critical standard could be maintained: 'Indess ist es gut, wenn das Mittelmässige für nichts mehr ausgegeben wird, als es ist' (Ank. 73). Nevertheless one could sometimes wish that he had been a little less harsh and acid in proclaiming that mediocrity, more especially in respect of his own contemporaries; that he had been readier to see those glimpses of hope and promise which were surely not absent. He complains bitterly, for example (xvIII, 85), that Germany has no De Belloy-after all, no genius of a high order; that the Germans are not, like the French, jealous of their national fame: 'ein Volk, auf das die grossen Thaten seiner Vorfahren den Eindruck nicht verloren haben'. But Lessing might here have had a word for the Hermanns-Schlacht of Klopstock, even if that drama were as unsuitable for the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Schriften, Hamburg, 1765-66, 1v, pp. [xix f.]. The title of Lowen's piece in this passage (and in the *Dramaturgie* (XXIX, 22)), varies from that in the volume (p. 339), where it appears as Was dem Frauenzummer am meisten gefallt.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Ce qui plaît aux Dames in Contes de Guillaume Vadé, ed. cit. pp. 1–18. Petersen (ed. cit. p. 210) notes that Schiebeler's operetta Lisuart und Dariolette (1766) was an adaptation of Favart's La Fée Urgèle. (Schmid, Chronologie, ed. cit. p. 161, notes the resemblance of Lisuart und Dariolette to Ce qui plaît aux Dames.)

theatre as no doubt, in his view, Shakespeare's plays were. Klopstock had visited Hamburg in June, 1767, and had allowed Lessing to read his play in manuscript; and the latter wrote to Nicolai on August 4, 1767:

Klopstock ist hier gewesen, und ich hatte manche angenehme Stunde mit ihm haben konnen, wenn ich sie zu geniessen gewusst. Ich fand, dass er mir besser gefallen musste, als jemals. Er ist sehr fleissig gewesen. Er hat eine neue Tragodie gemacht, Hermanns Schlacht; ein Stuk vollig in dem alten deutschen Costume, hauffig mit Bardengesangen untermengt. Es ist ein vortreffliches Werk, wenn es auch schon etwa keine Tragodie seyn sollte... Ich betaure nur, dass weder durch diese [Gerstenberg's tragedy Ugolino], noch durch Klopstocks Tragodie, das deutsche Theater im geringsten reicher geworden. Denn beide konnen schwerlich, oder gar nicht aufgeführt werden.<sup>1</sup>

Thus Lessing, so far from overlooking Klopstock, had, in writing of De Belloy's play, the *Hermanns Schlacht* quite definitely in his mind.<sup>2</sup> It is strange, too, that he should have seen no significance in *Ugolino* for the development of the German drama <sup>3</sup>

What Lessing finds to praise in his own literature—and the comedies of Schlegel are almost alone in receiving this distinction—lies fairly far back in time; no German play subsequent to 1750 finds real favour. in his eyes. It is a pity that he had not an opportunity to speak of Brawe's work, although I doubt whether he would have shown the warmth with which he had greeted Brawe ten years before. The judgment meted out to Cronegk and Weisse may not be wrong, viewed sub specie aternitatis—and it was the good right of the author of Minna von Barnhelm to look down on such mediocre rivals—but Lessing was inclined to be unduly hard on the writers of his own generation. To his admirers it was a proof of his critical integrity. But with less severity—one might even say with less intellectual arrogance, with a more charitable appreciation of such merits as his contemporaries undoubtedly possessed, Lessing might have been more helpful to the progress of his own literature. In the case of Weisse there was no doubt, mingled with Lessing's judgment, a sense of personal grievance

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Schriften, xvii, pp. 234 f.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Cp. R. Hamel's edition of Klopstock's works, iv, in Kurschner's *Deutsche Nationalliteratur*, xlviii [1884], pp. 6 f.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Cp., however, Lessing's letter to Gerstenberg of February 25, 1768 (Schriften, xvii, pp 244 ff.), for a more detailed explanation of his views on Ugolino, cp. also his letter to J. A. Ebert of October 18, 1768 (Schriften, xvii, p. 264).

# The French Plays of the Repertory

authorship of the play (LIII, 3 ff.). This had been denied in Chevrier's Observateur des Spectacles, in a passage which Lessing quotes from vol. i, p. 211. The original is as follows:

Cenie annoncé sous le nom de Madame de Graffigni est de l'Abbé de V\*\*\*\*, elle fut d'abord faitte en vers, mais comme Madame de Graffigny qui ne s'avisa qu'a 54 ans¹ de vouloir jouer l'Auteur, n'avoit jamais fait un vers, on mit Cenie en Prose mais l'Auteur y a laissé 81 vers qui y existent dans leur entier.

Again, the statement 'Chevrier hat mehr solche verkleinerliche geheime Nachrichten', etc. (LIII, 51) is based on the following passage:

Avant de donner les Couplets que nous annonçons, il est a propos de remarquer que la Demoiselle Favart<sup>2</sup> qui sait a peine lire, a la manie de vouloir tenir un rang parmi nos femmes Auteurs, l'Abbé de Voisenon digne prétre qui jouit d'une abbaye considerable, se dispense de dire la messe et fait de jolis vers, s'est offert pour être le teinturier de la Demoiselle Favart et même de son sombre mari sur les pièces duquel il jette la polissoire, l'Opera Comique d'Annette et Lubin³ partant de la même manufacture, un plaisant a fait les cinq couplets suivans qui courent manuscrits dans tout Paris.⁴

What characteristics has Cénie that would tempt us to regard it as not the work of a woman? Here Lessing quotes from two notes to Rousseau's Lettre à M. d'Alembert (Amsterdam, 1758, pp. 193 and 78 of the first edition). The first of these is:

Les femmes, en général, n'aiment aucun art, ne se connoissent à aucun, et n'ont aucun génie. Elles peuvent réussir aux petits ouvrages qui ne demandent que de la légereté d'esprit, du goût, et de la grace, quelquefois même de la philosophie et du raisonnement. Elles peuvent acquérir de la science, de l'érudition, des talens, et tout ce qui s'acquiert à force de travail. Mais ce feu céleste qui échauffe et embrase l'ame, ce génie qui consume

- <sup>1</sup> Mad. de Grafigny, born in 1695, published *Cénie* in 1750 (cp. G. Noël, *Madame de Grafigny* (1695–1758), Paris, 1913, pp. 7, 243 ff.); Lessing repeats Chevrier's statement.
  - <sup>2</sup> Marie Justine Benoîte La Favart, the wife of the dramatist (1727-72).
- <sup>8</sup> Annette et Lubin was produced on February 15, 1762 (Léris, op. cit. p. 43). Chevrier discusses this work at the beginning of the second volume, criticising its style as being too elegant and mentioning the Abbé de Voisenon as its author (ii, p. 8).
- <sup>4</sup> Vol. ii, p. 91. The couplets are entitled *Chanson sur les Auteur* [siv] d'ANNETTE ET LUBIN (pp. 92 f.). Lessing's reference to 'die Gassenhauer in der französischen Geschichte' (LIII, 56) may be compared to the statement in the 'Rettung' of Cochlæus: '...er sagte, die Reformation sey...in dem liederreichen Frankreich das Werk eines Gassenhauers gewesen' (Schriften, v, p. 366).

Les règles qui sont un secours pour les esprits médiocres, sont quelquefois un obstacle pour les génies supérieurs. Nous devons les règles aux premiers ouvrages, et ceux-ci au génie seul. Nous devons les seconds ouvrages, en partie, aux premiers, en partie aux règles, mais en plus grande partie encore au génie. Un homme ordinaire fait un ouvrage conforme aux règles connues. Un grand homme en fait un qui donne lieu à de nouvelles règles.

The critic whose words are quoted (xcvi, 99 ff.), is not one of these modern pioneers, but the redoubtable 'Stl.' of Klotz's *Deutsche Bibliothek*. The passage is from his review of the first volume of the *Dramaturgie*, and is in full:

So viel auch die Theorie des Dramas verloren hatte, so wunschte ich doch fast lieber, man hatte Lessingen nicht die Kritik, sondern die Direction der Hamburger Buhne ubertragen. Unser Theater, glaube 1ch, 1st noch ın einem viel zu zarten Alter, als dass es den monarchischen Scepter der Lessingischen Kritik ertragen konnte. Ist es nicht jetzt fast noch nothiger, die Mittel zu zeigen, wie das Ideal erreicht werden kann, als darzuthun, wie weit wir noch von dem Ideal entfernt sind? Muss ein periodisches Blatt, wie die Dramaturgie ist, nicht auch einen periodischen Nutzen haben?. .Nun wir eine Dramaturgie haben, nun werden wir doch eine Buhne bekommen? Eine Originalbuhne? Ich zweisle sehr. Wir lernen daraus, was uns fehlt, aber durch sie konnen wir den Mangel nicht ersetzen. Die Buhne muss durch Beyspiele, nicht durch Regeln reformirt werden. Den Aesthetikern wird die Dramaturgie eine reiche Quelle seyn: unsere Dichter wird sie eher niederschlagen als ermuntern. Es wird Mode werden, ein Trauerspiel nicht nach der Empfindung, nicht nach den Thranen die es dem Zuschauer kostet, sondern nach asthetischen Kunstwortern zu beurtheilen. Die wenige Empfindung, die in unserm Publico zu erwachen angefangen hat, wird von philosophischer Kalte erstickt werden. Nichts schmeichelt unserm Stolz mehr, als jedem unsrer Raisonnemens einen philosophischen Anstrich zu geben, und raisonniren ist leichter als selbst erfinden.2

<sup>1</sup> Deutsche Bibliothek, in (ix), 1769, pp. 42 f.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Also quoted by Petersen, ed. cit. p. 261. The metaphor of the 'Grillen am Wege' with which Lessing winds up his attack (121) is, as C. B. Boxberger has pointed out (Euzelheiten uber Voltaire bei Lessing, Dresden, 1879, pp. 31 f.), a memory of Voltaire's Discours préliminaire to Alzire (Œuxies [Geneva], 1756-7, viii, pp. 131 f). 'Souvenons nous de la fable du Boccalini. "Un voyageur", dit-il, "était importuné dans son chemin du bruit des cigales; il s'arrêta pour les tuer, il n'en vint pas à bout, et ne fit que s'écarter de sa route. Il n'avait qu'à continuer paisiblement son voyage; les cigales seraient mortes d'elles-mêmes au bout de huit jours."'

#### CHAPTER VI

## THE FRENCH PLAYS OF THE REPERTORY

Lessing's knowledge of the drama of France and its history was wide and intimate; from his early days he had been familiar with it, and it profoundly influenced his own dramatic work. This knowledge, coupled with the fact that dramas of French origin dominated the Hamburg repertory, makes the present section of this volume bulkier than all the others together which are concerned with the *Hamburgische Dramaturgie* as a critical 'Register'.

Lessing usually opens his discussion of a French play with some information about the original; its date, its sources, or facts about its author. For these things he drew, for the most part, on what he called the 'Annales des franzosichen Theaters' (x, 4)—two compendia which he had also at hand in editing his Beytrage zur Historie und Aufnahme des Theaters and Theatralische Bibliothek: the Dictionnaire portatif historique et littéraire des Théâtres by A. de Léris, Paris, 1754 (2nd ed., which he may now have possessed, 1763) and the Histoire du Théâtre françois by the brothers Claude and Frédéric Parfaict, 15 vols. Paris, 1745-49.1 He also found a good deal of information about French plays in the great French reviews. Sets of the Journal des Scavans and the Mercure de France we know him to have possessed;<sup>2</sup> but these had been left in Berlin to be sold with his library there; and I find no indication of his having had access to the current numbers of either of these periodicals in Hamburg. Nor does he seem to have read the Année littéraire. On the other hand, he made considerable use of two more recently established reviews, the Journal étranger and the Journal encyclopédique. He had also a copy of the short-lived Observateur

<sup>2</sup> Cp. letter to Gleim, February 1, 1767 (Schriften, xvii, p. 229; also pp. 238, 251, 253, 268, 311). Lessing refers repeatedly to the Mercure in his Collectanea; also in Laokoon, iv (Schriften, ix, p. 28).

<sup>8</sup> See below, pp. 173, 235 ff., 240 f., 277 f.

¹ There is a reference in the Beytrage to vol. i of this work in the original Amsterdam edition of 1735 (Schriften, iv, p. 132). Another work by the brothers, the Dictionnaire des Théâtres de Paris, 7 vols. Paris, 1756, from which Lessing had translated large sections for his Theatralische Bibliothek, he does not appear to have had with him in Hamburg I have summarised briefly Lessing's use of sources in his criticism of the French drama in a contribution to Mélanges d'histoire littéraire générale et comparée offerts à F. Baldensperger, Paris, 1930, ii, pp. 200 ff.

des Spectacles, ou Anecdotes théatrales, ouvrage périodique par M. de Chevrier, La Haye, 1762-63. These were what might be called Lessing's general sources. More particular and specific sources of information and criticism will be dealt with as they come into question.

#### PIERRE CORNEILLE

Of the pre-Cornelian drama in France Lessing had little occasion to speak in the *Dramaturgie*; at most we find an occasional glance back into earlier history, and that usually in quotations. The most definite of these is a note on Garnier and tragi-comedy in St. Lv (131) which he drew from D'Aubignac and Parfaict.<sup>2</sup> But even the French classical drama of the seventeenth century receives no kind of systematic consideration. The repertory of the Hamburg theatre did not, of course, give him much opportunity for such. No play of Racine was performed; and Lessing does little more than occasionally mention his name,<sup>3</sup> usually in conjunction with that of Corneille. Corneille alone comes in for detailed discussion, and that owing to the fact that *Rodogune* was played in Hamburg (in a new translation). Lessing's criticism of *Rodogune*, which occupies St. xxix to xxxii, gives him the opportunity for his most trenchant attack on the *tragédie classique*. It is thus of paramount importance.

Lessing begins (xxix, 63) by discussing the sources of Corneille's drama. Corneille himself supplies the information in his *Examen*; but Lessing took the trouble to compare the 'Examen' with the earlier 'Argument',<sup>4</sup> which differs in some details, a fact which raises a point

- <sup>1</sup> See below, pp. 198, 231. This periodical was published 'aux depens de l'auteur' (title-page) from January to June, 1762, semi-monthly (vols. 1 and i1), and from January to March, 1763, in weekly numbers, which were published as vol. 11 (Suite de l'Observateur des Spectacles) in 1764.
  - <sup>2</sup> For Lessing's views on Tragi-comedy see below, pp. 392 ff.

<sup>3</sup> Cp [xxiv, 96], xxvi, 27, [55, 63]; xLviii, 141; [Lix, 84, 85]; [Lxxiv, 118], Lxxxi, 41, 44, 52, 138, [Lxxxii, 136]; ci—civ, 243. (References in square brackets occur in quotations.)

'Argument' is the term used by Voltaire in his edition of Corneille's plays (Théâtre de Pierre Corneille, avec des Commentaires, Paris, 1764, 1v, p. 289); in the original edition of Rodogune (Paris, 1647) the summary bears no heading except 'Appian Alexandrin. Au Livre des Guerres de Syrie, sur la fin'. (The modern edition by Marty-Laveaux (Paris, 1862) uses the term 'Avertissement' to distinguish the earlier introduction from the later 'Examen'.) For the passages quoted from the 'Argument' in the following pages I use the original edition of Rodogune, for those from the 'Examen' the Théâtre de Corneille, Paris, 1660. The 'Examen' to Rodogune is quoted in part by Parfaict, Histoire du Théâtre françois, ed. cit. vi, pp. 312 ff.

of some slight bibliographical interest. The first edition of Corneille which printed both the 'Arguments' and the 'Examens' substituted for them by the poet in 1660 was that of Voltaire, Théâtre de Pierre Corneille, avec des Commentaires, 12 vols. Paris, 1764. As Lessing makes extensive use of these commentaries, especially when he deals with Thomas Corneille's Le Comte d'Essex (xxiii, 1 ff),¹ and, of course, with Rodogune, it is possible that he possessed this costly edition; but he may only have had a Dutch reprint of the Commentaires without Corneille's text, such as that in three volumes mentioned in the Bibliothek der schonen Wissenschaften (xii (i), 1765, p. 183). In any case, he does not quote Corneille from Voltaire's text, with its characteristically Voltairean spelling, but from an older edition.

From the 'Examen' comes Lessing's statement (xxix, 55 ff.): 'Corneille bekannte...ein wachsendes Interesse', and Corneille's summary (63 ff.) of the historical facts from Appianus Alexandrinus (*Théâtre*, Paris, 1660, iii, pp. xl ff.):

On m'a souuent fait une question dans la Cour, quel estoit celuy de mes Poemes que j'estimois le plus, et j'ay trouué tous ceux qui me l'ont faite si préuenus en faueur de Cinna, ou du Cid, que je n'ay jamais osé déclarer toute la tendresse que j'ay tousjours eué pour celuy-cy....Ie veux bien laisser chacun en liberté de ses sentimens, mais certainement on peut dire que mes autres Pieces ont peu d'auantages, qui ne se rencontrent en celle-cy. Elle a tout ensemble la beauté du Sujet, la nouueauté des fictions, la force des Vers, la facilité de l'expression, la solidité du raisonnement, la chaleur des passions, les tendresses de l'amour et de l'amitié, et cet heureux assemblage est ménagé de sorte, qu'elle s'éleue d'Acte en Acte....

Corneille states at the outset the facts on which his drama is based:

Le Sujet de cette Tragedie est tiré d'Appian Alexandrin, dont voicy les paroles sur la fin du Liure qu'il a fait des guerres de Syrie. 'Demetrius surnommé Nicanor,² entreprit la guerre contre les Parthes, et vescut quelque temps prisonnier dans la Cour de leur Roy Phraates, dont il épousa la sœur nommée Rodogune. Cependant Diodotus, Domestique des Rois precedens, s'empara du Trosne de Syrie, et y fit asseoir un Alexandre encor enfant, fils d'Alexandre le bastard, et d'une fille de Ptolomée Ayant gouuerné quelque temps comme Tuteur sous le nom de ce Pupille, il s'en deffit, et prit luy-mesme la Couronne, sous un nouueau nom de Tryphon qu'il se donna Antiochus frere du Roy prisonnier ayant appris sa captiuité à Rhodes, et les troubles qui l'auoient suiuie, reuint dans la Syrie,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See below, pp. 183 ff.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Petersen (ed. cit. p. 211) points out that this should be Nikator; Lessing quotes the form used by Corneille.

où ayant deffait Tryphon, il le fit mourir. De là il porta ses armes contre Phraates, et vaincu dans une bataille, il se tua luy-mesme. Demetrius retournant en son Royaume fut tué par sa femme Cleopatre, qui luy dressa des embusches sur le chemin, en haine de cette Rodogune qu'il auoit épousée, dont elle auoit conceu une telle indignation, qu'elle auoit épousé ce mesme Antiochus frere de son mary. Elle auoit deux fils de Demetrius, dont elle tua Seleucus l'aisné d'un coup de fléche, si-tost qu'il eust pris le Diadéme aprés la mort de son pere, soit qu'elle craignist qu'il ne la voulust vanger sur elle, soit que la mesme fureur l'emportast à ce nouueau parricide Antiochus son frere luy succeda, et contraignit cette mere dénaturée de prendre le poison qu'elle luy auoit préparé (161d. pp. xxxviii ff.).

But Lessing—noticing the slight variations in the versions given by Corneille in his 'Argument' and in his 'Examen'—also turned to the text of Appianus—no doubt in the edition of Stephanus.¹ Here he found for 'Alexandre le Bastard', the Latin translation 'Alexander Nothus'; he added to 'uberwand den Tryphon', 'mit vieler Muhe' (σὺν πόνω πολλῷ; Argument: 'avec beaucoup de peine'), and expanded Corneille's 'De là il porta ses armes contre Phraates (Argument: 'luy redemandant son frere') et vaincu dans une bataille...' into 'Hierauf wandte er seine Waffen gegen den Phraates, und foderte die Befreyung seines Bruders. Phraates, der sich des Schlimmsten besorgte, gab den Demetrius auch wirklich los; aber nichts desto weniger kam es zwischen ihm und dem Antiochus zum Treffen.' The Greek text is: 'ὁ μὲν δὴ Φραάτης αὐτὸν ἔδεισε, καὶ τὸν Δημήτριον ἐξέπεμψεν· ὁ δ' 'Αντίοχος καὶ ὧς συνέβαλέ τε τοῖς Παρθυαίοις, καὶ ἡσσώμενος, ἑαυτὸν ἔκτεινεν.'2

This story, Lessing points out (xxx, 94), contains material for more than one tragedy; but Corneille was chiefly attracted by the problem of the avenging wife. This being so, however, the piece should have been called 'Cléopâtre'. Corneille had said in his 'Argument' (Rodogune, ed. cit. pp. [x f.]):

Pour le premier, ie confesse ingenuement que ce Poeme deuoit plustost porter le nom de Cleopatre, que de Rodogune: mais ce qui m'a fait en user ainsi, a esté la peur que i'ay eue qu'à ce nom le peuple ne se laissast preoccuper des idées de cette fameuse et derniere Reyne d'Egypte, et ne confondist cette Reyne de Syrie auec elle, s'il l'entendoit prononcer. C'est pour cette mesme raison que i'ay euité de le mesler dans mes Vers, n'ayant

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> H Stephanus, 'Αππιάνου 'Αλεξανδρέως 'Ρωμαικά Appiani Alexandrini Rom. historiarum Punica... Annibalica, Celticæ et Illyricæ fragmenta quædam Item, de bellis civilibus libri V, [Geneva], 1592.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Stephanus, op. cit. De Bellis Syriacis, p. 132.

iamais fait parler de cette seconde Medée que sous celuy de la Reyne; et ie me suis enhardy à cette licence dautant plus librement que i'ay remarqué parmi nos anciens Maistres, qu'ils se sont fort peu mis en peine de donner à leurs Poemes le nom des Heros qu'ils y faisoient paroistre, et leur ont souuent fait porter celuy des Chœurs, qui ont encore bien moins de part dans l'action que les personnages Episodiques comme Rodogune, tesmoin les Trachiniennes de Sophocle, que nous n'aurions iamais voulu nommer autrement que la Mort d'Hercule.

Lessing is in agreement with these views; but he also endorses Voltaire's suggestion that the name of Cléopâtre should have been mentioned (Commentaires, ed. cit. iv, pp. 303 f.):

Le spectateur a besoin qu'on lui débrouille cette histoire. Cléopatre n'est pas nommée une seule fois dans la piéce. Corneille en donne pour raison, qu'on aurait pû la confondre avec la Cléopatre de César; mais il n'y a guère d'aparence que les spectateurs instruits, qui instruisent bientôt les autres, eussent pris cette reine de Syrie pour la maîtresse de César.

As Lessing notes (130), the translation remedied this defect, Cleopatra's name being mentioned twice in the opening speech of Laonice in the German play.

In St. xxx, after recapitulating the historical facts concerning Cléopâtre, Lessing proceeds (35) to show, in what are perhaps the most brilliant and incisive pages of literary criticism in the *Dramaturgie*, that Corneille had dealt with them not as a genius, but merely as a 'witziger Kopf'. Instead of adhering to the natural course of events—instead of preferring simplicity—the dramatist invents artificial complications.<sup>2</sup> It is not enough for him that Cléopâtre should slay her husband from jealousy; he introduces the motive of ambition. It is not the loss of her husband that stirs her, but the fact that Rodogune occupies her throne. As in his discussion of *Der Graf von Essex* (xxv, 112 ff.), so here (xxx, 77) Lessing regards pride as more unnatural in a woman than in a man. A woman's sphere is love and tenderness; and a heroine such as Corneille's Cléopâtre is an unnatural exception, compared with whom Medea is virtuous and amiable. All the art of the poet cannot make a woman interesting who commits crimes

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> He returns repeatedly in the *Dramaturgie* to the matter of titles of plays; see below, pp. 467 f.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Cp. Voltaire, Commentaires, ed cit. iv, p. 469: 'On trouvera peut-être que j'ai examiné cette piéce avec des yeux trop sévères. Mais ma réponse sera toujours que je n'ai entrepris ce commentaire que pour être utile...Admirons le génie mâle et fécond de Comeille; mais pour la perfection de l'art connaissons ses fautes ainsi que ses beautés.'

from cold pride and ambition. Cléopâtre is only a monster (xxx, 92). Voltaire, too, had described her as such (Commentaires, ed. cit. iv, p. 443):

J'avoue que son atrocité me révolte, et quelque méchant que soit le genre humain, je ne crois pas qu'une telle résolution soit dans la nature. Si ses deux enfans avaient comploté de la faire enfermer, comme ils le devaient, peut-être la fureur pouvait rendre *Cléopatre* un peu excusable; mais une femme, qui de sang froid se résout à assassiner un de ses fils, et à empoisonner l'autre, n'est pour moi qu'un monstre qui me dégoute.

Even the greatest villain (117) endeavours to exculpate himself by arguing that his crime is not so great as it seems; but Cléopâtre revels in tirades glorifying her viciousness. One should not speak of 'le grand Corneille', but the 'monstrous', the 'gigantic' Corneille. What Lessing says is more than an echo of Voltaire; it expresses a view of his own on the limitations of women as tragic figures, which must have made it difficult for him to accept, if not Medea, at least Lady Macbeth.<sup>1</sup>

In history (xxxi, 1) Cléopâtre avenges herself on her husband, not on Rodogune: in the play the murder of Demetrius is only narrated; the action is concerned with Rodogune. Vengeance upon a rival would be natural in a jealous woman; but Cléopâtre is not jealous, only ambitious. Jealousy here might have been a great tragic passion: ambition reduces Cléopâtre to a merely abominable criminal, who deserves to be put in a madhouse. But even this is not enough for Corneille: he invents still further complications. The marriage with Rodogune had not been consummated when Demetrius was killed; his two sons are in love with her; the mother has not revealed which of the two is the rightful possessor of the throne, and she makes it a condition that he in whose favour she decides must kill Rodogune. Voltaire had condemned this theatrical complication in his note to 'Si vous voulez régner, le trône est à ce prix' (Act II, sc. iii, 122; cp. Commentaires, ed. cit. iv, pp. 361 f.):

La proposition de donner le trône à qui assassinera Rodogune est-elle raisonnable? Tout doit être vraisemblable dans une tragédie. Est-il

Lessing's 'die mit nichts als mit machiavellischen Maximen um sich wirft' (xxx, 91) is also an echo of Voltaire's 'toutes ces sentences dans le goût de Machiavel, ne préparent point aux tendresses de l'amour et à ce caractère d'innocence timide que Rodogune prendra bientôt' (Commentaires, ed. cit. iv, p. 333). Cp. also 'Medea ist gegen ihr tugendhaft und liebenswurdig' (92); Corneille had called her 'cette seconde Medée' (Commentaires, ed. cit. iv, p. 292).

que nous conseruassions les effets de l'Histoire, toutes les circonstances, ou comme ie viens de les nommer, les acheminements, estoient en nostre pouuoir, au moins ie ne pense point auoir veu de regle qui restreigne cette liberté que i'ay prise. Ie m'en suis assez bien trouué en cette Tragedie, mais comme 1e l'ay poussée encore plus loin dans Heraclius que 1e viens de mettre sur le Theatre, ce sera en le donnant au public que je tascheray de la justifier si ie voy que les sçavants s'en offencent, ou que le peuple en murmure. Cependant ceux qui en auront quelque scrupule m'obligeront de considerer les deux Electres de Sophocle et d'Euripide, qui conseruant le mesme effet, y paruiennent par des voyes si differentes, qu'il faut necessairement conclurre que l'une des deux est tout à fait de l'inuention de son Autheur. Ils pourront encore jetter l'œil sur l'Iphigenie in Tauris,1 que nostre Aristote nous donne pour exemple d'une parfaite Tragedie, et qui a bien la mine d'estre toute de mesme nature, veu qu'elle n'est fondée que sur cette feinte que Diane enleua Iphigenie du sacrifice dans une nuée, et supposa une Biche en sa place Enfin ils pourront prendre garde à l'Helene d'Euripide, où la principale action et les Episodes, le nœud et le desnouement sont entierement inuentez sous des noms veritables.

And as previously in connexion with *Der Graf von Essex*,<sup>2</sup> Lessing accuses Voltaire (xxxi, 138) of futility in calculating that Corneille had made his Rodogune too young (*Commentaires*, ed. cit. iv, pp. 337f.):

Cependant Rodogune n'est point jeune; elle épousa Nicanor lorsque les deux frères étaient en bas âge; ils ont au moins vingt ans. Cette rougeur, cette timidité, cette innocence semblent donc un peu outrées pour son âge; elles s'acordent peu avec tant de maximes de politique; elles conviennent encor moins à une femme qui bientôt demandera la tête de sa belle-mère aux enfans même de cette belle-mère.

How far had this brilliant condemnation of a play which, for more than a hundred years, was regarded as 'the greatest masterpiece of the greatest dramatist of France and Europe' (xxxII, 99), been fore-shadowed or prepared by previous critics? Dacier, to whom Lessing was indebted in his treatment of *Polyeucte*, has little to say of *Rodogune*; but at the close of St. xxxII Lessing mentions three predecessors. The first of these, 'an honest Huron in the Bastille', is the hero of the story L'Ingénu, which was by Voltaire, although Lessing was obviously not

The few references are unfavourable (cp. Poétique d'Aristote, ed. cit. pp. 229, 234, 235, 254).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In writing 'Iphigenia in Taurika' (XXXI, 127), Lessing would appear to be following Dacier, *Poétique d'Aristote*, *ed. cit* pp. 154, 237, 283, 300, etc.: 'Iphigenie Taurique' (Curtius, 'taurische Iphigenia', *Aristoteles Dichtkunst*, Hanover, 1753, pp. 273, 276).

<sup>2</sup> See below, p. 183.

aware of his authorship at the time of writing. Brought up among the Hurons of North America, 'l'Ingénu' comes to Europe and is thrown into prison. Here, in chapter xii, Gordon, a Jansenist, attempts to mould his taste in French literature:

Lisez Rodogune, lui dit Gordon, on dit que c'est le chef-d'œuvre du théatre; les autres piéces qui vous ont fait tant de plaisir sont peu de chose en comparison [sw]...Après avoir lu très attentivement la piéce, sans autre dessein que celui d'avoir du plaisir, il regardait son ami avec des yeux secs et étonnés, et ne savait que dire. Enfin, pressé de rendre compte de ce qu'il avait senti, voici ce qu'il répondit: Je n'ai guères entendu le commencement, j'ai été revolté du milieu; la dernière scène m'a beaucoup ému, quoiqu'elle me paraisse peu vraisemblable; je ne me suis intéressé pour personne, et je n'ai pas retenu vingt vers, moi qui les retiens tous quand ils me plaisent.

Cette piéce passe pourtant pour la meilleure que nous ayons.2

The second predecessor is 'ein Pedant' in Italy. Former commentators have referred this to Scipione Maffei (1675–1755), but the reference is to the anonymous author (Pietro di Calepio) of the Paragone della Poesia tragica d'Italia con quella di Francia, published by Bodmer at Zurich in 1732 <sup>3</sup> In the fourth article of chapter ii (ed. cit. pp. 39 f.), Calepio discusses the shortcomings of Rodogune; but, as far as I can see, Lessing owed nothing definite to his criticism. Lastly, there was Voltaire's criticism of the play in his edition of Corneille.<sup>4</sup>

Had Rodogune been repeated within Lessing's period—as a matter of fact, the next performance took place on August 26, a few days after the nominal date of St. xxxII—he proposed to say more of his predecessors (xxxII, 132). With a view to this, he made notes<sup>5</sup> from

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Le Huron, ou l'Ingénu, histoire véritable, tirée des manuscrits du P. Quesnel was published without Voltaire's name in September, 1767, and was confiscated eight or ten days after publication; consequently it could not have been known to Lessing on the nominal date of this part, August 18; but St. xxxii-xxxv were not published until December. An edition was published at Dresden in 1767; the edition from which I quote above was published with Voltaire's name [at London] in 1767. Cp. Œuvres Complètes, Paris, 1877-85, vol. l, p. 526.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> L'Ingénu, histoire véritable, Tirée de Manuscrits du Père Quesnel. Par M. de Voltaire. Genève [London], 1767, pp. 76 f

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> See below, pp. 291 f.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> In connexion with the statement 'denn es ist doch gemeiniglich ein Franzose, der den Ausländern über die Fehler eines Franzosen die Augen eroffnet' (XXXII, 125), Petersen (ed. cit. p. 212) refers to a similar statement in Lessing's preface to Das Theater des Herrn Diderot (Schriften, viii, pp. 286 f.).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Entwurfe zu Besprechungen, Schriften, xv, p. 46.

an article in the Journal encyclopédique of October, 1761,¹ where an account is given of Voltaire's generous efforts on behalf of Corneille's granddaughter. He writes in the Entwurfe zu Besprechungen:

Die Komodianten waren die ersten, welche sich des Enkels des grossen Corneille offentlich annahmen. Sie spielten zu seinem Besten die Rodogune, und man lief mit Hauffen hinzu den Schopfer des Franzosischen Theaters in seinen Nachkommen zu belohnen. Dem H. v. Voltaire ward die Mademoiselle Corneille von le Brun empfohlen; er liess sie zu sich kommen, übernahm ihre Erziehung, und verschafte ihr durch die Ausgabe der Werke ihres Urvaters eine Art von Aussteuer. Man hat die That des H. von Voltaire ganz ausserordentlich gefunden; man hat sie in Prosa und in Versen erhoben; man hat die ganze Geschichte in einen besondern griechischen Roman verkleidet (La Petite Niece d'Eschyle 1761):

Sie ist auch wirklich ruhmlich; aber sie wird dadurch nichts ruhmlicher, weil es die Enkelin des Corneille war, an der sie Voltaire ausubte. Vielmehr war die Ehre, von der er voraussehen konnte, dass sie ihm nothwendig daraus zuwachsen musste, eine Art von Belohnung; und der Schimpf der dadurch gewissermaassen auf Fontenelle zuruckfiel, war vielleicht für Voltairen auch eine kleine Reitzung.

Auch das Unternehmen, den Corneille zu commentiren, schrieb man dem H. von Voltaire als eine ausserordentlich uneigennutzige und grossmuthige That an. (Journal encycl. Oct. 1761.) L'exemple qu'il donne, est unique; il abandonne pour ainsi dire son propre fonds, pour travailler au champ de son voisin et lui donner plus de valeur. Que ceux qui calomnient son cœur, admirent au moins la noblesse d'un procedé si rare. Il est ordinaire que les grands hommes s'etudient, mais ils n'ont pas coutume de se commenter. Dans le nombre presque infini des Editeurs, des Commentateurs, des Compilateurs, on peut en citer beaucoup qui ont marqué de l'erudition; quelques-uns ont eu de l'esprit; tres peu du gout. voici le premier qui a du genie, et plus de gout, d'esprit et même d'erudition. qu'aucun d'eux. Nous admirerons d'avantage l'Auteur de Rodogune, de Polieucte, de Cinna, quand nous verrons toutes ses pieces enrichies des Commentaires que prepare l'Auteur de Mahomet, d'Alzire et de Merope; ils vont fortifier l'idee que nous nous formons de Corneille et le rendre, s'il est possible, encore plus grand à nos yeux; ils feront lire [Journal encycl. relire] le texte avec plus de plaisir et plus d'utilité.

Wie viel ist von dieser schmeichlerischen Prophezeyung abgegangen. Wie sehr ist dieser Commentar anders ausgefallen! Wie leicht wäre es zu glauben, dass Voltaire auch hierbey sehr eigennutzige Absichten gehabt hatte.<sup>2</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Journal encyclopédique, vii, 1, October 1, 1761, pp. 113 ff. A letter from Voltaire concerning the enterprise of preparing the Commentaires sur Corneille is printed here, with a preliminary account from which Lessing quotes. See note 2 below.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Schriften, xv, pp. 45 ff. The passage quoted here from the Journal encyclopédique is in the first of the two October numbers of 1761, pp. 114 f. Voltaire's edition of

On the translation used at the Hamburg production Lessing comments favourably. It was not the old one by Bressand (Wolfenbuttel, 1691) but a new one completed in Hamburg and not yet printed.

Corneille's name naturally appears with considerable frequency in the Hamburgische Dramaturgie, in those sections which are concerned with dramatic theory.2 Many of his plays are mentioned; but no other work receives the special consideration accorded to Rodogune. that being the only one of his tragedies which was performed in Hamburg. Polyeucte is considered (II, 35, LXXV, 116) as the chief example of a kind of play which meets with no favour at Lessing's hands, the 'Christian tragedy'; he arraigns it for the lack of naturalness in its character-drawing and for its dependence on the untragic motive of martyrdom. Le Cid, again, is mentioned as an example of tragi-comedy (LV, 120); Lessing deals, however, mainly with a specific matter (LV, 94), the famous box on the ear in Act 1, scene 3, which creates the tragic situation in that drama by bringing about a quarrel between Don Diègue, father of Rodrigue and the Comte de Gormas, father of Chimène, who administers the 'soufflet'. Voltaire had insisted on its impropriety; he had said (Commentaires, ed. cit. i, p. 190):

On ne donnerait pas aujourd'hui un soufiet sur la joue d'un héros. Les acteurs mêmes sont très-embarrassés à donner ce soufiet, ils font le semblant. Cela n'est plus même soufert dans la comédie; et c'est le seul exemple qu'on en ait sur le théatre tragique. Il est à croire que c'est une des raisons qui firent intituler le *Cid* tragi-comédie.

Corneille brought in, says Beuchot in his preface to the Commentaires, a profit of 100,000 francs which was shared between the publisher and Mademoiselle Corneille (Œures de Voltaire, ed. M. Beuchot, xxxv (Commentaires 1), Paris (1829), p. v). That Voltaire was not unaware of Lessing's strictures is apparent from a letter published in Die Gegenwart (No. 42), October 19, 1878, xiv, p. 251 (J. Duboc, Ein Beitrag zur Voltaire-Literatur). Voltaire, writing to W. Grossmann, theatre director in Hanover, on August 14, 1767, refers to Lessing's writings in Hamburg, and adds 'Mon grand age et ma mauvaise santé ne me permettent guères de revenir sur l'édition de Pierre et de Thomas Corneille. J'ai chez moi leur nièce, qui me console dans la décrépitude, où je suis tombé. Les gens de Lettres éclairés augmentent encore cette consolation...

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Cp. R. Raab, Pierre Corneille in deutschen Übersetzungen und auf der deutschen Buhne bis Lessing. Diss. Heidelberg, 1910, pp. 150 ff., 157 ff. See also above, pp. 82 f.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See below, esp. pp. 355, 364 f., 377 f., 382 f., 384, 415 ff., 421 ff., 467.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Cp. xv, 12; LXXXII, 67; see below, pp. 421 ff.; and cp. also Dacier's criticism quoted on p. 423. *Polyeucte* was the most popular of Corneille's plays in Germany (cp. R. Raab, op. cst. pp. 103 ff.).

<sup>4</sup> See below, p. 303.

'Die Schauspieler, sagt der Herr von Voltaire, wissen nicht, wie sie sich dabey anstellen sollen' (LVI, 14). Voltaire does not however mean, as is here assumed, that the actor is embarrassed by having to receive the insult, but rather because he has to give it. More reasonable is Lessing's objection to the argument that the audience is pained by the introduction of a farce-situation into tragedy. With some justice Lessing contends that, on the contrary, he would see the box on the ear banished from comedy rather than from tragedy. He defends the tragic effect—and with this defence the modern reader will be in sympathy—of the situation in Le Cid. What other form of insult could be substituted for it in Corneille's drama (87)? It is the only one where the 'Pundonor' cannot be satisfied by excuses or apologies.<sup>1</sup>

Lessing's commentary on the situation in Le Cid (LVI, 87 ff.) is based on information obtained from Parfaict (op. cit. v, p. 259): 'Le Cid reçut encore quelques altérations. Il parut après l'Edit contre les duels. Dans la Tragédie D. Arias pressoit le Comte de Gormas de la part du Roy de faire des réparations à Dom Diegue: le Comte répondoit:...[here follow the four lines which Lessing quotes]. On trouva ces maximes dangereuses, et peu convenables aux circonstances du tems. M. Corneille fut obligé de réformer ces quatres vers.' Parfaict gives as his authority the 'Avertissement' of the edition of Corneille by Jolly (Paris, 1738). In his Commentaires (ed. cit. i, pp. 204 f.) Voltaire gives another version of these lines:

Les satisfactions n'apaisent point une ame; Qui les reçoit a tort, qui les fait se difame; Et de pareils acords, l'effet le plus comun Est de deshonorer deux hommes au lieu d'un

and adds: 'Ces vers parurent trop dangereux dans un tems où l'on punissait les duels qu'on ne pouvait arêter, et Corneille les supprima.'

# J. B. P. MOLIÈRE

It is regrettable that in the *Dramaturgie* Lessing has so little opinion of his own to express about Molière. I doubt, however, whether he had at any time a very warm personal interest in the master of French

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> On the pundonor of Spanish drama cp. D. Antonio Rubió y Lluch, El Sentimento del honor en el Teatro de Calderón, Barcelona, 1882; cp. also E. Martinenche, La Comedia espagnole en France de Hardy à Racine, Paris, 1900, pp. 91 ff., 99 ff., 130 f.

comedy.¹ There is little more to be done here than to put on record the sources which he used.

Molière's Die Frauenschule is discussed in St. Lin. The information contained in the two paragraphs beginning: 'Moliere hatte bereits...' (72) and 'Wenn indess, nach der Meinung...' (100), is based on two criticisms quoted by Parfaict (ix (1746), pp. 170 ff.). The first of these is from a 'Mémoire sur la Vie et les Ouvrages de Molière':

..La ressemblance que l'on pourroit trouver entre l'École des Mans et l'École des Femmes, sur ce qu'Arnolphe et Sganarelle sont tous deux trompés par les mesures qu'ils prennent pour assurer leur tranquillité, ne peut tourner qu'à la gloire de Moliere, qui a trouvé le secret de varier ce qui paroît uniforme. Les traits nais d'Agnès ingénue et spirituelle, qui ne pêche contre les bienséances, que parce qu'Arnolphe les lui a laissé ignorer, ne sont pas les mêmes que ceux d'Isabelle fine et déliée, qui n'ont d'autre principe que la contrainte où la tient son Tuteur.

## The second is from De Visé, Nouvelles nouvelles, Troisième Partie:2

...Cette Piéce a cinq Actes: tous ceux qui l'ont vûe sont demeurez d'accord qu'elle est mal nommée, et que c'est plûtôt l'École des Maris, que l'École des Femmes: mais comme il y en a déjà [de Visé: il en a déja fait] une sous ce titre, il n'a pû lui donner le même nom. Elles ont beaucoup de raport ensemble; et dans la premiere, il garde une femme, dont il veut faire son épouse, qui, bien qu'il la croye ignorante, en sçait plus qu'il ne croit, ainsi que l'Agnès de la derniere, qui joue, aussi-bien que lui, le même personnage, et dans l'École des Maris, et dans l'École des Femmes: et toute la différence que l'on y trouve, c'est que l'Agnès de l'Ecole des Femmes, est un peu plus sotte, et plus ignorante que l'Isabelle de l'Ecole des Maris. Le sujet des [de Visé: de ces] deux Piéces n'est point de son invention, il est tiré de divers endroits, à sçavoir, de Boccace, des Contes d'Ouville [de Visé: de Douuille], de la Précaution inutile de Scarron, et ce qu'il y a de plus beau dans la derniere, est tiré d'un livre intitulé: Les Nuits facétieuses du Seigneur Straparolle, dans une Histoire duquel, un Rival vient tous les jours faire confidence à son ami, sans sçavoir qu'il est son Rival, des faveurs qu'il obtient de sa Maîtresse, ce qui fait tout le sujet et la beauté de l'Ecole des Femmes 3

<sup>1</sup> See, however, A. Ehrhard, Les Comédies de Molière en Allemagne, Paris, 1888, pp. 202 ff. (and especially pp. 234 ff.).

<sup>2</sup> The original passage is to be found (with slight orthographical and verbal differences) in *Nouvelles nouvelles* par Monsieur de .... [Jean Donneau de Visé], Paris, 1663, Troisesme Partie, p. 231.

<sup>3</sup> La Précaution inutile is the first of the Nouvelles tragi-comques (Paris, 1655) of Paul Scarron (1610-60). Le piacevoli Notti of Giovanni Francesco Straparola appeared in 2 vols. at Venice, 1550-55, and was frequently reprinted. A French translation appeared in 1585. The story of this collection to which Molière is here probably indebted is that of Night iv, No. 4.

The passage translated from Trublet (LIII, 88 ff) is in his essay 'De la Tragédie et de la Comédie' in Essais sur divers sujets de littérature et de morale, Paris, 1754-60, iv, p. 372.

Les deux sujets les plus heureux de Tragédie et de Comédie, c'est le Cid et l'Ecole des femmes. Mais l'un et l'autre ont été traités par Corneille et par Moliere, lorsque ces Auteurs n'étoient pas encore dans toute leur force. Je tiens cette observation de M. de Fontenelle.

In Lessing's Entwurfe zu Besprechungen (Schriften, xv, p. 40) is also the following extract translated from 'Trublet', which was probably intended for use in commenting on the next performance of l'École des femmes:

Man nimt es mit den Komodien weit genauer, als mit den Tragodien. Man kann einen verstandigen Mann weit leichter ruhren, weit leichter so gar weinen machen, als belustigen, und zum lachen bringen. Das Herz lasst sich immer zu den Regungen willig finden, die man in ihm erwecken will. der Witz hingegen verweigert sich gewissermassen dem Scherzhaften. Es scheint, dass es unsere Eitelkeit weit mehr kranken wurde, am unrechten Orte gelacht, als ohne Ursache geweint zu haben. Das erste zeiget von Dummheit, und das andre nur von Schwachheit, und diese Schwachheit selbst setzt eine Art von Gute voraus.

This is a translation of the following passage (Essais, ed. cit. iv, pp. 363 f.):

On est plus dificile sur les Comédies que sur les Tragédies. Il est plus aisé de toucher un homme d'esprit, et même de le faire pleurer, que de le réjouir et de le faire rire. Le cœur se prête volontiers aux mouvemens qu'on veut exciter en lui; mais l'esprit résiste en quelque sorte à la plaisanterie. Il semble que notre vanité seroit plus blessée d'avoir ri mal à propos, que d'avoir pleuré sans sujet. Le premier marque de la sotise. Le second ne marque que de la foiblesse, et cette foiblesse même suppose une sorte de bonté.

Lessing also quotes (LIII, 110) from Voltaire's account of l'École des femmes, in his Vie de Molière, avec de petits Sommaires de ses Pièces;¹ to this might be added a longer excerpt, which Lessing proposed to use in criticising the second performance of the play on July 24 (which did not, however, take place):

Moliere sahe in der letzten Helfte des Jahres 1661, und das ganze Jahr 62, sein Theater ziemlich verlassen. Denn die ganze Stadt lief zu den Italienern, um den Scaramouche zu sehen, der wieder nach Paris gekommen

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Cp. Contes de Guillaume Vadé, [Geneva], 1764, pp. 340 f.

war. Wollte Moliere nicht den leeren Logen spielen: so musste er das Publikum durch etwas Neues zu locken suchen, so ungefehr von dem Schlage der welschen Schnurren. Er gab also seine Frauenschule: aber das nehmliche Publicum, welches dort die abgeschmaktesten Possen, die eckelsten Zoten, in einem Gemengsel von Sprache ausgeschuttet, auf das unbandigste belachte und beklatschte, erwies sich gegen ihn so streng, als ob es nichts als die lauterste Moral, die allerfeinsten Scherze mit anzuhoren gewohnt sey. Indess zog er es doch wieder an sich; und er liess sich gern kritisiren, wenn man ihn nur fleissig besuchte.

Die meisten von diesen Kritiken zu Schanden zu machen, hatte er ohnedem alle Augenblicke in seiner Gewalt, die er denn auch endlich auf eine ganz neue Art ubte. Er sammelte nehmlich die abgeschmaktesten, legte sie verschiedenen lacherlichen Originalen in den Mund, mengte unter diese ein paar Leute von gesundem Geschmake, und machte aus ihren Gesprachen für und wider sein Stuck, eine Art von kleinem Stucke, das er die Critik des erstern nannte (La Critique de l'Ecole des Femmes) und nach demselben aufführte. Diese Erfindung ist ihm in den folgenden Zeiten von mehr als einem Dichter nachgebraucht worden, aber nie mit besondrem Erfolge. Denn ein mittelmassiges Stuck kann durch eine solche apologetische Leibwache, das Ansehen eines guten doch nicht erlangen; und ein gutes wandelt auch ohne sie, durch alle hamische Anfechtungen, auf dem Wege zur billigern Nachwelt sicher und getrost fort.<sup>1</sup>

One might compare with this a passage from Voltaire's Vie de Molière (ed. cit. p. 340):

Le théâtre de Moliére, qui avait donné naissance à la bonne comédie, fut abandonné la moitié de l'année 1661, et toute l'année 1662, pour certaines farces moitié Italiennes, moitié Françaises, qui furent alors accréditées par le retour d'un fameux Pantomime Italien, connu sous le nom de Scaramouche. Les mêmes spectateurs qui applaudissaient sans réserve à ces farces monstrueuses, se rendirent difficiles pour l'Ecole des femmes, piéce d'un genre tout nouveau, laquelle, quoique toute en récits, est ménagée avec tant d'art, que tout paraît être en action. Elle fut très suivie et très critiquée, comme le dit la gazette de Loret:

Pièce qu'en plusieurs lieux on fronde, Mais où pourtant va tant de monde, Que jamais sujet important Pour le voir n'en attira tant.

In connexion with the references to Molière's play in Lessing's criticism of it, the following notes may be appended. 'Die Ehestandsregeln' (LIII, 85) are the 'maximes du mariage ou les devoirs de la femme mariée, avec son exercice journalier' which Agnès has to read in Act

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Entwurfe zu Besprechungen, Schriften, xv, pp. 41 f.

III, sc. 11. When Voltaire praised Molière for having given his piece the effect of action, although it is only narration, he might have remembered, Lessing comments (121), what Molière himself had said in La Critique de l'École des femmes. The passage to which Lessing alludes is in sc. vii.

Dorante. Premièrement, il n'est pas vrai de dire que toute la pièce n'est qu'en récits. On y voit beaucoup d'actions qui se passent sur la scène; et les récits eux-mêmes y sont des actions, suivant la constitution du sujet; d'autant qu'ils sont tous faits innocemment, ces récits, à la personne intéressée, qui, par là, entre à tous coups dans une confusion à réjouir les spectateurs, et prend, à chaque nouvelle, toutes les mesures qu'il peut, pour se parer du malheur qu'il craint.

In the Entwurfe (Schriften, xv, pp. 39 f.) is to be found the following translation by Lessing from the same scene:

Dorante. Sie glauben also, mein Herr, dass nur die ernsthaften Gedichte sinnreich und schon sind, und dass die komischen Stucke Armseligkeiten sind, die nicht das geringste Lob verdienen?

Urana. Ich wenigstens, denke so nicht Die Tragodie ist unstreitig etwas schones, wenn sie wohl behandelt ist: aber die Komodie hat ihren Nutzen gleichfalls, und ich halte dafur, dass die eine eben so schwer ist, als die andere.

Dorante. Sicherlich, Madame, und vielleicht wurden Sie sich nicht irren, wenn Sie sagten, dass die Komodie noch ein wenig schwerer sey. Denn kurz, grosssprecherische Gesinnungen auszukramen, dem Gluck in Versen Trotz zu bieten, das Schicksal anzuklagen, Lasterungen gegen die Gotter auszustossen, finde ich weit leichter, als das Lacherliche der Menschen in sein gehoriges Licht zu setzen, und uns ihre Fehler auf eine angenehme Weise auf dem Theater vor Augen zu bringen. Wenn Sie Helden schildern, so machen Sie was Sie wollen; es sind Gesichter nach Gutdunken, von welchen man keine Ahnlichkeit verlanget; Sie brauchen nur die Zuge auszudrucken, auf die Sie eine angespannte Einbildungskraft bringet, die nicht selten mit Fleiss das Wahre verlasst, um das Wunderbahre zu erhaschen. Aber wenn Sie Menschen mahlen: so will man, dass diese Gemahlde gleichen sollen; und Sie haben schlechterdings nichts geleistet, wenn wir nicht unsere Zeitverwandten, so wie sie wirklich sind, darinn erkennen. Mit einem Worte, in einem ernsthaften Stucke ist es genug, um allen Tadel zu vermeiden, wenn man nur etwas vernunftiges sagt, und es gut ausdruckt. Hiermit aber ist es in den andern Stucken nicht gethan; da soll man scherzhaft seyn, und was fur ein kitzliches Unternehmen ist es, vernunftige Leute zu lachen zu machen.

In elucidation of Lessing's criticism of Voltaire, it may be recalled that Arnolphe in Molière's play intends to marry Agnès, a country girl whom he has had brought up in a convent in ignorance and innocence. He learns to his dismay that Horace, the son of his best friend, is in love with Agnès, and in Act III, sc. iv, he has to listen to the account given him by Horace (who does not know of the real state of affairs) of his 'progrès'—Lessing's 'Progressen' (LIII, 138).

In St. LXX (133) Lessing again quotes from Voltaire's Vie de Moltère (ed. ct. pp. 335 ff.) on l'École des marts:

On a dit que l'Ecole des Maris était une copie des Adelphes de Térence: si cela était, Molière eût plus mérité l'éloge d'avoir fait passer en France le bon goût de l'ancienne Rome, que le reproche d'avoir dérobé sa piéce. Mais les Adelphes ont fourni tout au plus l'idée de l'Ecole des Maris. Il y a dans les Adelphes deux vieillards de différente humeur, qui donnent chacun une éducation différente aux enfans qu'ils élèvent; il y a de même dans l'Ecole des Maris deux tuteurs, dont l'un est sévère, et l'autre indulgent: voilà toute la ressemblance. Il n'y a presque point d'intrigue dans les Adelphes; celle de l'Ecole des Maris est fine, intéressante et comique. Une des femmes de la piéce de Térence, qui devrait faire le personnage le plus intéressant, ne paraît sur le théâtre que pour accoucher. L'Isabelle de Molière occupe presque toujours la scène avec esprit et avec grace, et mêle quelquefois de la bienséance, même dans les tours qu'elle joue à son tuteur. Le dénouement des Adelphes n'a nulle vraisemblance; il n'est point dans la nature, qu'un vieillard qui a été soixante ans chagrin, sévère et avare, devienne tout-à-coup gai, complaisant et libéral. Le dénouement de l'Ecole des Maris est le meilleur de toutes les piéces de Molière. Il est vraisemblable, naturel, tiré du fond de l'intrigue; et, ce qui vaut bien autant, il est extrêmement comique.

For the rest, Lessing's references to Molière in the *Dramaturgie* are sporadic and unimportant. I refer elsewhere to his claim (x, 18) that Destouches practised a higher form of comic writing than Molière.<sup>1</sup> In St. xxvIII (142) he defends Molière's *Misanthrope* against Rousseau;<sup>2</sup> and from that play, which Schlegel had suggested as a source of his own *Triumph der guten Frauen*, he quotes a passage (LII, 38). After Molière's death, Lessing says (XIII, 65) the actors had his prose plays turned into verse.<sup>3</sup> Lastly, in discussing Palissot's views on character in drama (LXXXVI, 23 ff.) he quotes the *Impromptu de Versailles*.<sup>4</sup>

See below, p. 202.
 See below, p. 463.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See below, pp. 390 f.

<sup>\*</sup> Further mention of Molière or references to him are to be found in XIV, 91; XXI, 66; [XXVI, 75]; XXIX, 9; LXX, 105; [XCII, 37 ff.]. (References in square brackets occur in quotations.)

### PH QUINAULT

What Lessing has to say of Philippe Quinault's Die coquette Mutter (xiv, 87) is drawn exclusively from Parfaict (ix (1746), pp. 369 ff.):

Cette Comédie est mise par les Connoisseurs au rang des meilleures qui sont restées au Théatre François.. Le caractere du Marquis, (qui pour le dire en passant, est le premier qu'on ait mis au Théatre) a paru trèsdéfectueux à l'Auteur de la Critique... [Parfaict then quotes from this criticism, which had appeared in the Mercure de France (March, 1729, pp. 588 ff). He quotes again from it a little further on (pp. 373 f).] . 'Je ne m'arrêterai pas beaucoup à éxaminer le cinquiéme Acte, tout le monde convient qu'il pouvoit être mieux, le vieil Esclave annoncé dans les Actes précédens, ne paroît point, et l'on n'est pas trop satisfait de voir dénouer la Piéce par un récit, quand on a été preparé à une action plus Théatrale. Cela n'empêche pas que ce dénouement ne soit régulier, mais on s'attendoit à quelque chose de plus piquant...le titre de Mere rivale, lui conviendroit mieux que celui de Mere coquette, ou plûtôt on feroit mieux de s'en tenir à celui des Amans broullés, que l'Auteur ne lui a donné qu'en second. Au reste, le succès de cette Pièce a été plus éclatant en cette derniere reprise qu'à toutes les procédentes, aussi n'a-t-elle été jamais mieux représentée qu'aujourd'hui. Je ne prétends rien lui dérober par-là de son propre mérite, et je crois que Moliere n'auroit pas rougi de l'avoir faite.'

### THOMAS CORNEILLE

The play which nominally occupies the largest place in the Hamburgische Dramaturgie (XXII, 77-XXV and LIV-LXX, 99) is Le Comte d'Essex by Pierre Corneille's younger brother Thomas. In the articles in his second volume, however, Lessing is hardly concerned at all with the work of Corneille, but with English and Spanish dramas on the Earl of Essex. And indeed, even in his first articles, he has virtually little independent criticism of the French play to offer; he accepts and repeats Voltaire's estimate.

The information which Lessing supplies about the tragedy when it was first performed (xxII, 78 ff.) appears to have come from Parfaict (xii (1747), pp. 75 ff.). A reference to La Calprenède's play on the same theme, forty years previously, is to be found there:

Il y a trente ou quarante ans que feu M. de la Calprenéde traita le sujet du Comte d'Essex [Marginal note: 'Le Comte d'Essex, de la Calprenede, parut en 1638. ainsi c'est 40 ans juste.'], et le traita avec beaucoup de succès.

On the later occasion<sup>1</sup> when he discussed the play, he turned (LIV, 9) to Léris (*Dictionnaire*, ed. cit. pp. 120 f.), where he found:

Le Comte d'Essex. Le malheur de cet infortuné Seigneur, qui eut la tête tranchée à Londres le 25 Février 1601, a fourni le sujet de trois Tra[gédies]. La premiere, par La Calprenede, laquelle eut un grand succès, à l'Hôtel de Bourgogne en 1638. La deuxieme, de Claude Boyer, donnée sur le Thé[âtre] de Guénégaud en 1672, ou, selon d'autres Auteurs, le 25 Fév[rier] 1678, un mois environ après le Comte d'Essex, de Corneille; et la troisieme de Thomas Corneille, qui est celle qui est restée en possession du Thé[âtre]. Elle fut représentée à l'Hôtel de Bourgogne au commencement de Janvier 1678, et fut d'abord critiquée....

Lessing quotes (XXII, 84) Corneille's own Avis, which he found reprinted in Parfaict (XII, p. 76):

Il est certain que le Comte d'Essex eut grande part aux bonnes graces d'Elizabeth. Il étoit naturellement ambitieux, les services qu'il avoit rendus à l'Angleterre, lui enflerent le courage. Ses ennemis l'accuserent d'intelligence avec le Comte de Tiron, que les Rebelles d'Irlande avoient pris pour chef. Les soupçons qu'on en eut lui firent ôter le commandement de l'armée. Ce changement le piqua, il vint à Londres, révolta le Peuple, fut pris, condamné, et ayant toujours refusé de demander grace, il eut la tête coupée le 25. Février 1601. Voilà ce que l'Histoire m'a fourni. J'ai été surpris qu'on m'ait imputé de l'avoir falcifiée, parce que je ne me suis point servi de l'incident d'une bague qu'on prétend que la Reine avoit donnée au Comte d'Essex pour gage d'un pardon certain, quelque crime qu'il pût jamais commettre contre l'état; mais je suis persuadé que cette bague est de l'invention de M. de la Calprenéde, du moins je n'en ai rien lû dans aucun Historien.

Corneille is wrong, however (103), in calling the ring an invention of his predecessor; in proof of this Lessing turns to the *History of Scotland* by William Robertson, London, 1759 (ii, pp. 242 ff.):<sup>2</sup>

The most common opinion, at that time, and perhaps the most probable, was that it [the Queen's melancholy] flowed from grief for the Earl of Essex. She retained an extraordinary regard for the memory of that

- <sup>1</sup> Lessing witnessed the second performance of *Der Graf von Essex* (July 15) while he was writing his first criticism.
- <sup>2</sup> Lessing's quotation comes, with only a few slight verbal differences, from the German translation by M. Th. Chr. Mittelstedt, Braunschweig, 1762, ii, pp. 300 ff. On the other hand, his translations from Hume's *History of England* are apparently his own; for although a German version of that work had begun to appear at Breslau and Leipzig in 1762, the volume dealing with Elizabeth's reign (Pt. IV) did not appear until 1771.

unfortunate nobleman; and though she often complained of his obstinacy, seldom mentioned his name without tears. An accident happened soon after her returing to Richmond which revived her affection with new tenderness, and imbittered her sorrows. The Countess of Nottingham, being on her death-bed, desired to see the Queen, in order to reveal something to her, without discovering which, she could not die in peace. When the Queen came into her chamber, she told her, that while Essex lay under sentence of death, he was desirous of imploring pardon in the manner which the Queen herself had prescribed, by returning a ring, which during the height of his favour she had given him, with a promise that, if in any future distress, he sent that back to her as a token, it should intitle him to her protection; that Lady Scroop was the person he intended to imploy in order to present it; that by a mistake, it was put into her hands instead of Lady Scroop's; and that she having communicated the matter to her husband, one of Essex's most implacable enemies, he had forbid her either to carry the ring to the Queen, or to return it to the Earl. The Countess, having thus disclosed her secret, begged the Queen's forgiveness; but Elizabeth, who now saw both the malice of the Earl's enemies, and how unjustly she had suspected him of inflexible obstinacy, replied, 'God may forgive you, but I never can'; and left the room in great emotion. From that moment, her spirit sunk entirely; she would scarce taste food; she refused all the medecines prescribed her by her physicians; declaring that she wished to die, and would live no longer. No intreaty could prevail on her to go to bed; she sat on cushions, during ten days and nights, pensive, and silent, holding her finger almost continually in her mouth, with her eyes open, and fixed on the ground. The only thing to which she seemed to give any attention, were the acts of devotion, performed in her apartment, by the Archbishop of Canterbury; and in these she joined with great appearance of fervour. Wasted, at last, as well by anguish of mind, as by long abstinence, she expired without a struggle, on Thursday the 24th day of March in the 70th year of her age, and the 45th of her reign.

Lessing's first concern is not with the poetic merit of Corneille's tragedy, but with Voltaire's peculiar method of criticising it by arraigning Corneille, history book in hand, for his historical errors. With the help of Hume and Robertson, Lessing has no difficulty in effectually convicting Voltaire himself of error.

In a note to Act 1, sc. i, Voltaire tells us that 'presque personne en France du tems de *Thomas Corneille* n'était instruit de l'histoire d'Angleterre: aujourd'hui un poete devrait être plus circonspect'. And again, in a note to Act v, sc. viii: 'Rien ne prouve mieux l'ignorance où le public était alors de l'histoire de ses voisins.'

Voltaire finds it ridiculous, Lessing recalls (xxm, 18), that Elizabeth

<sup>1</sup> Commentaires, ed. cit. x, p 263.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Ibid. p. 369.

should be represented as being in love in her sixty-eighth year: 'Elle avait alors soixante et huit ans. Il est ridicule d'imaginer que l'amour pût avoir la moindre part dans cette avanture.' And on another occasion (xxiv, 26) he had jeered: 'Il falait qu'il entendît par là quelque autre dame que la reine Elisabeth, dont l'âge et le grand nez n'avaient pas de puissans charmes.' But why should it be ridiculous, Lessing asks; do ridiculous things not sometimes happen in the world? Moreover (xxiii, 24), he turned to Hume's History of England, where he found:

But the present situation of Essex called forth all her tender affections, and kept her in the most real agitation and irresolution. She felt a perpetual combat between resentment and inclination, pride and compassion, the care of her own safety and concern for her favourite; and her situation, during this interval, was perhaps more an object of pity, than that to which Essex himself was reduced. She signed the warrant for his execution; she countermanded it; she again resolved on his death; she felt a new return of tenderness. Essex's enemies told her, that he himself desired to die, and had assured her, that she could never be in safety while he lived: It is likely, that this proof of penitence and of concern for her, would operate a contrary effect to what they intended, and would revive all that fond affection, which she had so long indulged to the unhappy prisoner. But what chiefly hardened her heart against him was his supposed obstinacy, in never making, as she hourly expected, any application to her for mercy and forgiveness; and she finally gave her consent to his execution.<sup>3</sup>

# And again (xxIII, 50-59), he refers to Hume:

Most of Queen Elizabeth's courtiers feigned love and desire towards her, and addressed themselves to her in the stile of passion and gallantry. Sir Walter Raleigh, having fallen into disgrace, wrote the following letter to his friend Sir Robert Cecil, with a view, no doubt, of having it shewn to the Queen. '...I, that was wont to behold her riding like Alexander, hunting like Diana, walking like Venus, the gentle wind blowing her fair hair about her pure cheeks....' It is to be remarked that this nymph, Venus, Goddess, Angel, was then about sixty. Yet five or six years after, she allowed the same language to be used to her. Sir Henry Unton, her ambassador in France, relates to her a conversation which he had with Henry the fourth....<sup>4</sup>

Voltaire, Lessing says (63), attacks Corneille's presentation of the character of his hero; he states: 'Il y eut quelque tems le premier

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Commentaires, ed cit. x, pp 250 f. <sup>2</sup> Ibid. p. 249.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> David Hume, History of England from the Invasion of Julius Casar to the Revolution in 1688, London, 1763, v, chap. xliv, p. 447.

<sup>4</sup> Ibid. p. 436 (note).

crédit: mais il ne fit jamais rien de mémorable '1 But Hume had shown (66) that Essex had claimed credit for the destruction of the Spanish ships:

In the preamble of the patent it was said, that the new dignity was conferred on him, on account of his good services in taking Cadiz, and destroying the Spanish ships; a merit which Essex pretended to belong solely to himself: And he offered to maintain this plea by single combat against the earl of Nottingham, or his sons, or any of his kindred.<sup>2</sup>

In his subsequent criticism of the play, Lessing again draws on Hume (LVI, 136), in stating the historical facts—and notably on the following passage:

Yet notwithstanding this additional provocation, the Queen's partiality was so prevalent, that she reinstated him in his former favour; and her kindness to him appeared to have acquired new force from that short interruption of anger and resentment.<sup>3</sup>

And the following extract may be adduced as an illustration of Lessing's statement (LVI, 141 ff.):

The earl of Essex possessed a monopoly of sweet wines; and as his patent was near expiring, he patiently expected that the Queen would renew it.... She therefore refused his demand; and even added, in a contemptuous stile, that an ungovernable beast must be stinted in his provender. This rigour, pushed one step too far, proved the final ruin of this young nobleman, and was the source of infinite sorrow and vexation to the Queen herself.... Being now reduced to despair, he gave entire reins to his violent disposition, and threw off all appearance of duty and respect.<sup>4</sup>

Again, Lessing adds (xxIII, 73), Corneille had made his Essex speak contemptuously of his enemies (Act I, sc. i, ll. 57 ff.):

Comme il hait les méchans, il ne serait utile A chasser un Coban, un Raleig, un Cécile, Un tas d'hommes sans nom....

on which Voltaire had commented:

Il n'y eut jamais de Coban, mais bien un lord Cobham, d'une des plus illustres maisons du pays, qui sous le roi Jaques premier fut mis en prison

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Commentaires, ed. cit x, p. 250.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> History of England, ed. cit. v, chap. xliii, pp. 395 f.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> *Ibid.* p. 408.

<sup>4</sup> History of England, ed. cit. v, chap. xliv, p. 434.

pour une conspiration vraie ou prétendue. Il n'est pas permis de falsifier à ce point une histoire si récente, et de traiter avec tant d'indignité des hommes de la plus grande naissance et du plus grand mérite.<sup>1</sup>

It was not historically true, Lessing admits (xxIII, 81), when Corneille's Essex said (Act II, sc. vi, ll. 43 f.):

C'est au trône, où peut-être on m'eût laissé monter, Que je me fusse mis en pouvoir d'éclater.

But this does not justify Voltaire's exclamation: 'Le lord Essex au trône! de quel droit? comment? sur quelle aparence? par quels moyens?' Lessing draws again (86) on Hume, who writes:

Essex was descended by females from the royal family; and some of his sanguine partizans had been so imprudent as to mention his name among those of other pretenders to the crown; but the earl took care, by means of Henry Lee, whom he secretly sent into Scotland, to assure James, that, so far from entertaining such ambitious views, he was determined to use every expedient for extorting an immediate declaration in favour of that monarch's right of succession.<sup>3</sup>

It is with real satisfaction that Lessing finds (97) his 'profunder Historikus' tripping, when he says: 'Le comte de *Leicester* succéda dans la faveur à *Dudley*'; since these were one and the same person. Horace Walpole in the preface to his *Castle of Otranto* had already noted this error, and in a footnote Lessing refers to the French translation of this work. The relevant passage in this translation is as follows:

M. de Voltaire avoue dans sa Préface sur le Comte d'Essex de Thomas Corneille, qu'on s'est étrangement écarté de l'Histoire dans cette Pièce. L'excuse qu'il en donne est, que lorsque Corneille la composa, la Noblesse Françoise étoit très-peu versée dans l'Histoire d'Angleterre, mais qu'aujourd'hui qu'elle la sait, on ne pardonneroit point une pareille faute. Cependant, oubliant que ce siècle d'ignorance est passé et qu'il est inutile d'instruire les personnes versées dans l'Histoire, il s'avise pour faire parade de son érudition, d'apprendre à la Noblesse Françoise, les noms des Favoris de la Reine Elisabeth, qui étoient, suivant lui, Robert Dudley, et le Comte de Leicester. Croiroit-on qu'il fût besoin d'apprendre à M. de Voltaire lui-même que Robert Dudley et le Comte de Leicester étoit une seule et même personne?<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Commentaires, ed. cit. x, pp. 258 f.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> *Ibid.* p. 294.

<sup>3</sup> History of England, ed. cit v, chap. xliv, p. 438

<sup>4</sup> Commentaires, ed. cit. x, p. 246.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Le Château d'Otrante, histoire gothique, traduite sur la seconde édition angloise, par M. E., Amsterdam, 1767, i, pp. xiii f. (note).

And finally, Lessing states, Voltaire is wrong (102) when he says (in a note to Act III, sc. iv, l. 20):

Elle lui avait ôté précédemment toutes ses charges après sa mauvaise conduite en Irlande. Elle avait même poussé l'emportement honteux de la colére jusqu'à lui donner un souflet Le comte s'était retiré à la campagne; il avait demandé humblement pardon par écrit, et il disait dans sa lettre, qu'il était pénitent comme Nabucodonosor et qu'il mangeait du foin. La reine alors n'avait voulu que l'humilier, et il pouvait espérer son rétablissement.<sup>1</sup>

Hume gives an account of the episode in the following passage, from which Lessing drew his facts:

Being once engaged in a dispute with her about the choice of a governor for Ireland, he was so heated in the argument, that he entirely forgot the rules both of duty and civility; and turned his back upon her in a contemptuous manner. Her anger, which was naturally prompt and violent, rose at this provocation; and she instantly gave him a box on the ear; adding a passionate expression, suited to his impertinence. Instead of recollecting himself, and making the submissions due to her sex and station, he clapped his hand to his sword, and swore he would not bear such usage, were it from Henry the eighth himself; and, in a great passion, he immediately withdrew from court. Egerton, the chancellor, who loved Essex, exhorted him to repair his indiscretion by proper acknowledgements.<sup>2</sup>

But what, after all, Lessing argues, do these questions of historical inaccuracy matter, whether the inaccuracy be Corneille's or Voltaire's? The tragedy (115), as Voltaire had admitted, is only a romance:

L'intrigue de la tragédie n'est qu'un roman; le grand point est que ce roman puisse intéresser. On demande jusqu'à quel point il est permis de falsifier l'histoire dans un poème? Je ne crois pas qu'on puisse changer sans déplaire, les faits ni même les caractères connus du public.<sup>3</sup>

But of this latter limitation Lessing will not hear; we do not read Corneille's tragedy with the history of Rapin de Thoyras (xxiv, 9,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Commentaires, ed. cit. x, p. 323.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> History of England, ed. cit v, chap. xlii, p. 405. The incident is related by Hume under the date 1598; but Thomas Egerton was at that time Lord Keeper, and did not become Chancellor until 1603, under James I. Essex's letter to Egerton is quoted in full by Hume in a footnote (ibid. pp. 406 f.). But Hume also gives an account (ibid. p. 433) of a letter written by Essex to the Queen after his examination by the privy-council, which contains an allusion to Nebuchadnezzar similar to that given by Voltaire. Hume refers to this letter under the date 1600, but it seems to have been written in 1599. To this passage Lessing does not refer.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Commentaires, ed. cit. x, p. 262 (note to Act I, sc. i).

38) at our elbow.¹ The poet has the right to deal with his materials as he chooses. This leads Lessing to the theoretical consideration of the attitude of the poet to history.²

Apart from the question of the relations of the Comte d'Essex to historical fact, Lessing is prepared (XXIV, 66) to endorse Voltaire's estimate of the play as mediocre in intrigue and style:

Tout ce qu'on peut dire de l'Essex de Thomas Corneille, c'est que la piéce est médiocre, et par l'intrigue, et par le stile, mais il y a quelque intérêt, quelques vers heureux...Les acteurs, et surtout ceux de province, aimaient à faire le rôle du comte d'Essex, à paraître avec une jarretière brodée au-dessous du genou, et un grand ruban bleu en bandolière. Le comte d'Essex donné pour un héros du premier ordre, persécuté par l'envie, ne laisse pas d'en imposer. Enfin le nombre des bonnes tragédies est si petit chez toutes les nations du monde, que celles qui ne sont pas absolument mauvaises, atirent toujours des spectateurs quand de bons acteurs les font valoir.<sup>3</sup>

It was inherent in Corneille's French temperament, Lessing adds, to degrade his earl to the sighing lover of the Countess Irton,<sup>4</sup> and to make his despair, and not his pride, the motive that brings him to the scaffold.

To his criticism Lessing appends in translation (xxiv-xxv) a number of Voltaire's notes, which meet with his approval. They are in the original as follows:

[xxiv, 94]: Note to Act II, sc. iii (Commentaires, ed. cit. x, pp. 288 f.): Mais le rôle de Cécil est plus mauvais que ce stile; il est froid, il est subalterne. Quand on veut peindre de tels hommes, il faut employer les couleurs dont Racine a peint Narcisse.

[XXIV, 98]: Note to Act II, sc. vi (*ibid.* pp. 300 f.): La duchesse prétendue d'*Irton* est une femme vertueuse et sage, qui n'a voulu ni se perdre auprès

- <sup>1</sup> The Histoire d'Angleterre by Rapin de Thoyras (La Haye, 1724–36), was a principal source of Voltaire's historical knowledge. Cp. Voltaire, Œuvres, Geneva, 1756–57, xvii, p. 284 (Écrivains du Tems de Louis XIV): 'L'Angleterre lui doit la meilleure histoire qu'on ait de ce Royaume, et la seule impartiale, dans un pays où l'on n'écrit guères que par esprit de parti.' The work was translated into German in 1758 (v. W. Huttemann, C. F. Weisse und seine Zeit im Verhaltnis zu Shakespeare, Diss. Bonn, 1912, p. 67).
  - <sup>2</sup> See below, pp. 437 ff.

8 Commentaires, ed. cit. x, pp. 361 f. (note to Act v, sc. iv).

<sup>4</sup> This is not Voltaire's view, but possibly a reminiscence of Calepio's criticism in his *Paragone*, cap. v, art. iv, *ed. cit.* p. 104: 'Tomaso Cornelio deprava il costume del Co. d'Essec col renderlo pazzo d'amore, e farlo morire più per disperazione, che per la grandezza dell'animo.'

d'*Elisabeth* en aimant le comte, ni épouser son amant. Ce caractère serait beau s'il était animé, s'il servait au nœud de la piéce; elle ne fait là qu'ofice d'ami. Ce n'est pas assez pour le théatre.

[XXIV, 105]. Note to Act III, sc. 11 (1b1d. pp. 314 f.): Il me semble qu'il y a toujours quelque chose de louche, de confus, de vague, dans tout ce que les personages de cette tragédie disent et font. Que toute action soit claire, toute intrigue bien connue, tout sentiment bien dévelopé; ce sont là des règles inviolables. Mais ici que veut le comte d'Essex? que veut Elisabeth? quel est le crime du comte? est-il acusé faussement? est-il coupable? Si la reine le croit innocent, elle doit prendre sa défense; s'il est reconu criminel, est-il raisonable que la confidente dise qu'il n'implorera jamais sa grace, qu'il est trop fier? La fierté est très convenable à un guerrier vertueux et innocent, non à un homme convaincu de haute trahison. Qu'il fléchisse, dit la reine. Est-ce bien-là le sentiment qui doit l'ocuper si elle l'aime? Quand il aura fléchi, quand il aura obtenu sa grace, Elisabeth en sera-t-elle plus aimée? Je l'aime, dit la reine, cent fois plus que moi-même. Ah, madame, si vous avez la tête tournée à ce point, si votre passion est si grande, examinez donc l'afaire de votre amant, et ne soufrez pas que ses ennemis l'acablent et le persécutent injustement sous votre nom, comme il est dit, quoique faussement, dans toute la piéce.

[xxiv, 127]. Note to Act III, sc. iii (*sbid.* pp. 316 f.)—(but this is not closely translated): La scène du prétendu comte de Salsbury avec la reine.... On ne sait pas précisément de quoi il s'agit. Le crime ne suit pas toujours l'aparence. Craignez les injustices de ceux qui de sa mort se rendent les complices.... Mais après que ce Salsbury a dit que les injustices rendent complices les juges du comte d'Essex, il parle à la reine de clémence....Il avoue donc que le comte d'Essex est criminel. A laquelle de ces deux idées faudra-t-il s'arrêter? A quoi faudra-t-il se fixer? La reine répond qu'Essex est trop fier, que c'est l'ordinaire écueil des ambitieux, qu'il s'est fait un outrage des soins qu'elle a pris pour détourner l'orage, et que si la tête du comte fait raison à la reine de sa fierté, c'est sa faute. Le spectateur a pu passer de tels discours; le lecteur est moins indulgent.

[xxiv, 136]: Note to Act III, sc. iii (ibid. pp. 320 f.): Il est bien étrange que Salsbury dise qu'on a contrefait l'écriture du comte d'Essex, et que la reine ne songe pas à examiner une chose si importante. Elle doit assurément s'en éclaircir, et comme amante, et comme reine. Elle ne répond pas seulement à cette ouverture qu'elle devait saisir, et qui demandait l'examen le plus promt et le plus exact; elle répète encor en d'autres mots, que le comte est trop fier.

There is nothing in Voltaire's notes corresponding exactly to the last sentence of St. xxiv (144); but this expresses the queen's attitude to Essex.

[xxv, 1]: Note to Act IV, sc. iii (ibid. pp. 342 f.): On ne voit pas non plus pourquoi le comte veut mourir sans être justifié, lui qui se croit entiérement

innocent. On ne voit pas pourquoi étant calomnié par les prétendus faussaires, Cécil et Raleig, qu'il déteste, il n'instruit pas la reine du crime de faux qu'il leur impute. Comment se peut-il qu'un homme si fier, pouvant d'un mot se venger des ennemis qui l'écrasent, néglige de dire ce mot? Cela n'est pas dans la nature. Aime-t-il assez la duchesse d'Irton? est-il assez furieux, assez enyvré de sa passion, pour déclarer qu'il aime mieux être décapité que de vivre sans elle? Il aurait donc falu lui donner dans la piéce toutes les fureurs de l'amour qu'il n'a pas eues.

[xxv, 9]. Note to Act IV, sc. iii (*ibid.* p 339). Cette fierté de la reine qui lutte sans cesse contre la fierté d'*Essex*, est toujours le sujet de la tragédie C'est une illusion qui ne laisse pas de plaire au public. Cependant si cette fierté seule agit, c'est un pur caprice de la part d'*Elisabeth* et du comte d'*Essex*. Je veux qu'il me demande pardon; je ne veux pas demander pardon. Voilà la piéce. Il semble qu'alors le spectateur oublie qu'*Elisabeth* est extravagante, si elle veut qu'on lui demande pardon d'un crime imaginaire, qu'elle est injuste et barbare de ne pas examiner ce crime, avant d'exiger qu'on lui demande pardon. On oublie l'essentiel pour ne s'ocuper que de ces sentimens de fierté qui séduisent presque toujours.

[xxv, 20]: Note to Act IV, sc. i (*ibid.* p. 333): Tous les rôles paraissent manqués dans cette tragédie, et cependant elle a eu du succès. Quelle en est la raison? Je le répète, la situation des personages atendrissante par elle-même, et l'ignorance où le parterre a été longtems. Also Note to Act IV, sc. ii (*ibid.* p. 337): Un grand seigneur qu'on va mener à l'échafaut intéresse toujours le public; et la représentation de ces avantures sans aucun secours de la poesie, fait le même effet à peu près que la vérité même.

As will be seen, the translation which Lessing offers of the last passages is not literal.

Later, in comparing Banks's Elizabeth with Corneille's, Lessing says of the latter (LVII, 24): 'Seine Elisabeth klagt nicht, wie die Elisabeth des Corneille, uber Kalte und Verachtung, uber Gluth und Schicksal....' Here again he has in mind Voltaire's commentary on the lines in question:<sup>1</sup>

Je n'examine point si ces vers sont mauvais. Une reme telle qu'Elsabeth, presque décrépite, qui parle du poison qui dévore son cœur, et de ce que

<sup>1</sup> Act u, sc. i (Commentaires, ed. cit. x, p. 277):

Elisabeth. En vain tu crois tromper la douleur qui m'acable; C'est parcequ'il me hait, qu'il s'est rendu coupable, Et la belle Suffolk refusée à ses vœux, Lui fait joindre le crime au mépris de mes feux. Pour le justifier, ne dis point qu'il ignore Jusqu'où va le poison dont l'ardeur me dévore. Il a trop de ma bouche, il a trop de mes yeux, Apris qu'il est, l'ingrat, ce que j'aime le mieux.

ses yeux et sa bouche ont dit à son ingrat, est un personage comique. C'est là peut-être un des plus grands exemples du défaut qu'on a si souvent reproché à notre nation, de changer la tragédie en roman amoureux. S'il s'agissait d'une jeune reine, ce roman serait tolérable, et on ne peut atribuer le succès de cette piéce qu'à l'ignorance où était le parterre de l'âge d'Elisabeth. Tout ce qu'elle pouvait raisonnablement dire, c'est qu'autrefois elle avait eu de l'inclination pour Essex. mais alors il n'y aurait eu rien d'intéressant. L'intérêt ne peut donc subsister qu'aux dépens de la vraisemblance. Qu'en doit-on conclure? Que l'avanture du comte d'Essex est un sujet mal choisi

## J. F. REGNARD

Of the three plays of the still popular Jean François Regnard which were performed in Hamburg, Der Spieler, Democrit and Der Zerstreute, Lessing has no original criticism to offer. At most, the last of these gives him an opportunity to discuss the suitability of the character of a 'distrait' for comedy.<sup>1</sup> The sources of his information are as follows. Of Der Spieler (xiv, 66), which Lessing considers 'without doubt his best play', Léris says (op. cit. pp. 254 f.):

On peut dire que c'est, à la versification près, ce que cet Auteur a fait de meilleur, cette piece pouvant aller de pair avec quelques-unes de Moliere....Dufrény revendiquoit le fond de cette Com[édie] qu'il prétendoit que Regnard lui avoit pris, et l'avoit mis en vers. [Note: Regnard abusa effectivement de la confiance que Dufrény lui témoigna....] Regnard, au contraire, se plaint dans sa Préface d'une cabale suscitée contre son Ouvrage, par les injustes plaintes d'un Plagiaire, qui produisoit une autre piece en pro[se] sous le même titre. Quoi qu'il en soit, le Chevalier Joueur, de Dufrény, en 5 Ac[tes] en pro[se] avec un Prol[ogue] fut joué le 27 Fév. 1697; sur le même Thé[âtre] Fran[çais] où celle de Regnard avoit paru près de quatre mois auparavant; mais leur succès fut fort différent, car la piece de Regnard est restée en possession de la scene, où elle paroît encore souvent, et celle de Dufrény n'eut que cette représentation.

Lessing also looked up the account of the quarrel in Léris' source, the *Histoire du Théâtre françois* of Parfaict (xiv, 1748), where he found (p. 43): 'Il n'est pas aisé de décider qui des deux avoit tort; mais il est très certain que l'un a pris dans la Piéce de l'autre le fonds du sujet, les principaux caracteres, et plusieurs situations remarquables'; and on Du Fresny's play Parfaict comments (p. 52): 'En supposant,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See below, pp. 389 f.

(comme il est très probable) que M. Regnard a profité des idées de M. Du Fresny, il est toujours certain qu'il les a employées avec beaucoup plus d'art, et d'une maniere à lui faire honneur.'

Lessing's source of information concerning Der Zerstreute (xxvIII, 70)<sup>1</sup> was also Parfaict (xiv, pp. 71 ff.):

Le Distrait, Comédie en cinq Actes, et en vers, de M. Regnard, représentée pour la première fois le Lundi, 2. Décembre [1697]. (Quatre représentations.) Monsieur Regnard auroit été fort consolé de sa disgrace, s'il avoit pû se flatter que cette Comédie, que le Public avoit si mal accueillie, et qu'il sembloit avoir condamnée à ne plus reparoître au Théatre, dût au bout de trente-quatre ans y recevoir beaucoup d'applaudissemens. ...

This passage is followed by a quotation of a criticism of the play from the Mercure de France of July, 1731 (pp. 1788 ff.):

On ne sçauroit justifier le jugement qu'on semble aujourd'hui porter du Distrait, sans condamner en quelque maniere celui qu'on en porta autrefois; on peut cependant prendre un tempérament entre deux décisions si opposées, en disant que la Piéce n'est pas trouvée meilleure qu'elle l'a parû dans sa naissance, mais qu'on s'y divertit davantage, parce qu'on ne la revoit que comme une Farce pleine de gayeté; au lieu que l'Auteur avoit, sans doute, prétendu la donner comme une Comédie dans les formes: ainsi la critique ayant déja prononcé sur la maniere dont les connoisseurs devoient la recevoir, nous n'y apportons plus cette sévérité qui l'avoit proscrite....

# And in a note to p. 73 of Parfaict's Histoire Lessing read:

L'Auteur des Lettres d'un François, Tome 1, page 88. n'a fait autre chose qu'allonger cette réfléxion [viz., 'qu'il ne dépend non plus de nous de n'être point distraits, qu'il est au pouvoir d'un aveugle de jouir de la lumiere'], qu'il donne cependant pour nouvelle. Voici le passage. 'La Comédie du Distrait... Le fond, si je ne me trompe, est vicieux. Des gens raisonnables ne riront non plus d'un homme qui a le malheur d'être entraîné par des distractions involontaires, que d'un autre qui a celui d'être

<sup>2</sup> Lessing adds (xxviii, 86) a quotation from Horace, Satires, 1, x, 7 f.: 'Ergo non satis est' etc.: 'Therefore it is not enough to stretch the mouth of the listener with laughter; although there is also some merit in this.'

¹ Schlegel's translation of the title, 'Traumer', is to be found in his Demokrit (Werke, ed. cit. ui, p. 188). 'Aristophanes: Ey! ich kenne ihn; ist es nicht Regnard, dessen Buchfuhrer versichert, dass seine Werke sehr nahe an des Moliere seine kamen? Moliere hat mir seinen Spieler und seinen Traumer gelobt.' Scheibe, quoted by Lessing (XXVI, 76) calls it der Unschlussige [Unentschlussige] oder Zerstreute. On the title see also W. Creizenach, Zur Entstehungsgeschichte des neueren deutschen Lustspiels, Halle, 1879, p. 37 (note 70).

sujet à la migraine. La Comédie ne doit jouer que les défauts qu'elle peut corriger. Les plaisanteries que l'on fera sur un boiteux, lui aideront aussitôt à marcher droit, que la Piéce de Regnard corrigera un homme qui est né distrait. ..nous aimons à voir plaisanter un homme de ses défauts, il y a de la barbarie à rire de ses infirmités.'

## Lastly, he read in Parfaict (xiv, p. 81):

M. l'Abbé Pellegrin, Auteur des réfléxions qu'on vient de lire [this is the criticism from the Mercure de France from which extracts are given above], auroit pû ajoûter que M. Regnard a eu d'autant plus de facilité, qu'il a trouvé son principal personnage tout tracé dans les caracteres de M. de la Bruyere [Note. Les Caracteres, ou les mœurs de ce siécle, par M. de la Bruyere, Chapitre xi] Ce morceau est extrêmement comique, et trèssusceptible de plaisanteries. M. Regnard n'a donc fait autre chose que de le mettre partie en action, et partie en récit: ce qu'il a ajouté est, comme on l'a déja dit, très-foiblement imaginé. Au reste, on sent aisément que l'Auteur ne s'est pas donné la peine de mettre la derniere main à cette Piéce, car la versification en est plus défectueuse, et plus négligée qu'aucune autre qu'il ait faite.

In the case of *Democrit* (xvii, 95 ff.), Lessing's debt to Parfaict is indicated in his foot-note, and has consequently not been overlooked by his commentators; but as none of these indicates the extent and nature of the indebtedness, I quote the French source in full (op. cit. xiv, pp. 164 ff.):

La réputation de cette Piéce est parfaitement établie; il en est peu qu'on voye plus fréquemment au Théatre, et qui y soit reçûe avec plus de plaisir. Les Connoisseurs mêmes, en y remarquant de très-grands défauts, ne scauroient se dispenser de rire avec la multitude: ainsi ce que nous allons dire à ce sujet, n'est point pour lui porter aucun préjudice. On ne peut d'abord nier que la régle de l'unité de lieu ne soit extrêmement violée. Le premier Acte se passe dans un désert à la vûe de la caverne que Démocrite a choisi pour sa demeure: et les suivans dans le Palais des Rois d'Athênes. Ce défaut est un des moindres de l'Ouvrage; nous ne chicanons point non plus l'Auteur sur la liberté qu'il a prise de faire revivre à Athènes l'état Monarchique qui y étoit éteint plus de sept cens ans avant Démocrite: ce sont de légeres minuties pour lui, et qui ne méritent pas qu'on les reléve: mais ce qu'on ne sçauroit lui passer, est la maniere dont il a traité son principal Personnage. Qui n'eut crû que M. Regnard, qui, par sa façon de penser, avoit tant de conformité avec Démocrite, n'eut dû rendre au mieux ce caractere. Loin de cela, il est absolument méconnoissable. A la place du Philosophe dont il usurpe le nom, on ne voit qu'un ennuyeux Pédant, qui le contrefait fort mal, et n'a pas le sens commun. S'il se mêle de faire l'amour, c'est sans esprit, et sans sentiment: c'est encore bien pis,

lorsqu'il veut raisonner, le jargon qu'il affecte alors est inintelligible à tout le monde, et à lui-même. il est sur cette matiere au-dessous de son Valet. dont le galimathias est au moins plus aisé à entendre. Passons au sujet de la Comédie, qui est des plus minces... Ce plan, comme on le voit, n'est pas fort difficile à imaginer, cependant tout foible et tout trivial qu'il est. on peut dire encore qu'il n'est ni bien conçû, ni clairement développé, ni conduit raisonnablement: que le dénouement est des plus romanesques, et l'épisode d'Ismene et d'Agénor tout-à-fait inutile. Il y a même quelque chose de trop bas, et de messéant dans le personnage de ce dernier. A franchement parler, il n'y en a que trois qui peuvent mériter qu'on les éxamine. . . Ces trois personnages dont nous allons parler sont, Strabon. Cléanthis, et Thaler. Le caractere du premier n'est pas aisé à définir il change trop souvent, et suivant les personnes ausquelles il parle. Avec Démocrite, il est spirituel, fin, et railleur. mauvais plaisant vis-à-vis de Thaler. Dans ses deux conversations avec Cléanthis, il débute en cuistre. et poursuit sur le ton de Mascarille. Il faut avouer que l'idée des deux Scenes de Strabon et de Cléanthis, est véritablement neuve, et comique, mais l'Auteur l'a un peu chargée....Ce jeu de Théatre, inventé par Mademoiselle Beauval et par le Sieur de la Thorilliere, qui jouerent ces rôles d'original, et observé religieusement par les Acteurs et Actrices qui leur ont succédé, n'en est pas moins ridicule: les noms de ces fameux Acteurs, et celui même de M. Regnard, qui leur en avoit peut-être donné l'idée, ne doivent jamais faire passer une bouffonnerie qui choque le bon sens....Il ne reste plus que Thaler, c'est le seul Passan que M. Regnard ait introduit sur la Scene: son rôle est très-comique, et soutenu d'un bout à l'autre. Les plaisanteries, si naturelles à l'Auteur, se trouvent admirablement placées dans la bouche de ce manant, qui conserve toujours cette rusticité, et cette malignité qui semblent de l'essence des gens de son état. Nous le répétons, c'est un des meilleurs personnages de la Piéce, des plus nécessaires à l'intrigue, et peut-être celui qui y paroît le plus à propos.

Lessing had also in mind, no doubt (xvII, 103), J. E. Schlegel's Demokrit, ein Todtengespräch. Demokrit there says:

So hatte ich mich also in eine Wuste bey Athen begeben, wo Bare und Tiger waren? Du beschreibst mich als einen verwegenen Menschen.—Aber hatte ich denn diese Tiger und Bare ausdrücklich in die Wuste kommen lassen, damit sie bey mir wohnen mochten? Denn man war zu Athen von Tigern eben nicht geplagt....Du krönest selber einen Konig in deinem Gehirne, zu der Zeit, da alle Welt weis, dass es eine freye Stadt war.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Werke, ed. cit. iii (1764), pp. 184 f. Cp. also Gottsched, Deutsche Schaubuhne, 1ii (1741), p. xi, where Gottsched refers to the setting of Regnard's Démocrite in Athens, and to the contrast of such a setting with the modern details in the portrayal of the personages.

## D. A. BRUEYS [AND J. PALAPRAT]

Der Advokat Patelin of de Brueys (xiv, 102) is not criticised. Lessing had found it stated both by Léris (op. cit. p. 67) and by Parfaict (op. cit. xiv, pp. 414 ff.) that this comedy was based on an old French farce, played in Paris about 1470, Les tromperies, finesses et subtilités de Maistre Pierre Patelin. The theme of Patelin was used in Reuchlin's Latin drama, Henno (1497); and Brueys' modern version in 1700 incorporated traits derived from Henno as well as new elements in the plot. The play was staged by Jean Palaprat in 1706 (see Parfaict, xiv, pp. 417 f.); a German translation, by J. C. S., appeared in Danzig in 1762 (cp. Petersen, ed. cit. p. 195).

### M. A. LE GRAND

Lessing's information about the two one-act plays of Marc Antoine Le Grand (1673-1728), Der Sieg der vergangenen Zeit (v, 136) and Der sehende Blinde (LXXXIII, 110), again comes from his French 'Annales'. On the former play he found in Léris (op. cit. p. 439):

Le Triomphe du Tems, Com[édie] de Le Grand, représentée au Thé[âtre] Fran[çais] avec succès, le 18 Octo[bre] 1725 [Lessing's '1724' is evidently a slip], et imprimée tome troisieme de ses Œuvres. Cette Com[édie] est composée d'un Prolog[ue] et de trois petites pieces en un Ac[te] en pro[se] avec des Divertissemens, dont la musique étoit de Quinault. Le Tems Passé le Tems Présent et le Tems Futur, sont les titres des trois petites pieces. Voyez les Amans riducules.

And under the latter title we read (ibid. p. 20):

Les Amans ridicules, Com[édie] en 5 Ac[tes] en vers, par Le Grand, donnée au Théatre Fran[çais] le premier Juin 1711. L'Auteur n'ayant pas fait imprimer cette piece, s'en servit dans la suite pour en composer le premier Acte du Triomphe du Tems.

Lessing has little to say about the piece except that, in spite of amusing situations, he found the victory of time over youth and beauty too unpleasant a subject for comic treatment (v, 145 ff.).

On Der sehende Blinde—the translation of which by Suabe<sup>1</sup> Lessing commends (LXXXIII, 141) as 'perhaps one of the best we have'—his information came from Parfaict. He found the play mentioned in

vol. xv (1749), with a reference to L'Aveugle clair-voyant by De Brosse, which is described in vol. vii (1746), pp. 226 ff., as follows:

L'aveugle Clair-voyant, Comédie de M. de Brosse [1649] Un Officier d'un certain âge, prêt d'épouser une jeune Veuve dont il est amoureux. recoit un ordre de partir pour l'armée, il quitte sa prétendue avec des assurances réciproques de la plus sincere tendresse. Il est à peine partique la Veuve se rend aux soins du fils de cet Officier. La fille de ce même Officier, profite de l'absence de son pere, et recoit dans sa maison un jeune homme qu'elle aime; cette double intrigue est mandée au pere, qui pour s'en assurer, fait écrire qu'il a perdu la vue. Ce stratagême produit tout l'effet qu'il s'en est promis il revient à Paris. (C'est où l'action de la Pièce commence.) Et secondé de son Valet, qui aide à sa tromperie, il voit tout ce qui se passe dans sa maison. On peut assurer que les Scenes de la Veuve. et du fils de l'Officier, en présence de ce dernier, sont d'un bon comique: la Veuve feint de s'affliger de l'accident de l'Officier, et l'assure qu'elle ne l'aime pas moins: et en même temps elle donne un coup d'œil, ou fait un geste de tendresse à son amant, la fille de l'Officier, persuadée de l'aveuglement de son pere, continue à recevoir les visites du jeune homme qu'elle aime. On devine le dénouement de cette Comédie. l'Officier convaincu de l'inconstance de la Veuve, consent que son fils s'unisse avec elle; il donne une pareille permission à sa fille, qui épouse le jeune homme. Cette Comédie est une des plus passables du temps; le Sieur le Grand s'est servi du suiet, et d'une partie des Scenes de cette Piéce; pour en composer une en un Acte et en vers, sous le même titre, il a seulement changé le personnage du pere, et a mis à la place celui d'un oncle.

After referring to this account, Parfaict adds concerning Le Grand's play (xv, p. 242): 'et nous avons ajouté, en terminant son extrait, que M. le Grand en avoit employé tout le fond, mais qu'il s'en était servi en homme de goût et d'esprit. En effet, cette Piéce-ci est très-bien conduite, les rôles soutenus, l'intrigue heureuse et plaisante, et le dénouement très-naturel.'

### PH. N. DESTOUCHES

In the first line of the French comedy-writers of the early eighteenth century who had dominated, and still continued to dominate, the German stage stand Destouches and Marivaux. Considering that these dramatists had a considerable share in moulding Lessing's own

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For some details of the popularity of these writers in Germany cp. P. Ahrend, Einiges uber Destouches in Deutschland (in Neuphilologisches Centralblatt, xii (1898), pp. 97 ff., 131 ff., 161 ff., 218 ff., 289 ff., 321 ff.) and V. Golubew, Marwaux Lustspiele in deutschen Übersetzungen des 18. Jahrhunderts, Heidelberg, 1904.

early dramatic work, what he has to say of them in his *Dramaturgie* is disappointingly meagre.

Four plays by Destouches were performed within the period of the Dramaturgie: Das unvermuthete Hinderniss, Der verheyrathete Philosoph, Der poetische Dorfjunker and Das Gespenst mit der Trommel. Lessing is for the most part, however, more concerned with the translations of these plays than with the plays themselves

He had, it will be remembered, compiled a life of Destouches, out of the prefatory matter which he found in the latter's works, for the Theatralische Bibliothek. Apparently he now had also at hand the 'zu Berlin ubersetzten samtlichen Werke des Destouches' (xii, 50); this was Des Herrn von Destouches samtliche theatralische Werke aus dem Franzosischen ubersetzt, 4 vols Leipzig and Gottingen, 1756. (A fifth volume appeared subsequently, in 1772.) This was briefly noticed—in all probability by Lessing himself—in the Bibliothek der schonen Wissenschaften; and in St. Li (135) he speaks of the 'prachtige Ausgabe' in quarto, edited by the poet's son (Œuvres dramatiques, 4 vols. Paris, 1757), which was also reviewed in the Bibliothek.

Concerning L'Obstacle imprévu (x, 4) Lessing found in Léris (op. cit. p. 321) the information that: 'Le Public fit à cette piece un accueil moins favorable qu'à la plûpart des autres de cet Auteur, n'ayant eu que six représentations la premiere fois, et cinq la seconde.' Similarly, Léris reported on the lack of success of the other comedies, which Lessing mentions (6). In the same source he found the following (op. cit. pp. 434 f.) on Le Trésor caché, which he mentions (IX, 127) in connexion with his own Der Schatz:

Le Trésor Caché, Com[édie] en 5 Ac[tes] en pro[se], donnée une seule fois au Thé[âtre] Ital[ien] le 17 Mai 1745, et qu'on ne finit pas même de jouer. On l'a attribuée à Nericault Destouches, et elle n'a pas été imprimée.<sup>5</sup>

And of Le Philosophe marié, which Lessing describes (XII, 61) as a 'masterpiece of the French stage', Léris said (op. cit. p. 348):

Cette piece, qui est tout-à-fait dans le goût de la bonne Coméd[ie] fut universellement applaudie, et eut 36 représentations dans le cours de l'année: on la revoit assez souvent.

- <sup>1</sup> Schriften, vi, pp. 153 ff. See above, p 110.
- <sup>2</sup> The preface to the first volume of this edition ends with the words 'Berlin. den i des Mertzmonaths 1755'. The translation is in prose.
  - <sup>3</sup> Bibliothek der schonen Wissenschaften, i (ii) (1757), p 403; Schriften, vii, p. 76.
  - 4 v (i) (1759), pp 200 ff
- <sup>5</sup> It was first printed in *Œwres dramatiques*, Paris, 1757, 1v, pp. 259 ff. (*Der vergrabene Schatz* did not appear until vol. v of the German translation (1772).)

On the occasion of a repetition of *Der verheyrathete Philosoph* on July 8, Lessing refers (LI, 139) to Destouches' own matrimonial adventure in England, mentioned in the 'Avertissement' to the first volume of the edition published by the poet's son in 1757:

Il [Destouches] s'étoit marié en Angleterre; le secret important que cette alliance exigeoit alors, n'ayant point été gardé par une personne de la famille à laquelle il s'étoit uni, a donné lieu à la Comédie du *Philosophe marié*.<sup>1</sup>

This statement is translated in the review of the Œuvres dramatiques of Destouches in the Bibliothek der schönen Wissenschaften (v (i) (1759), p. 201). In this section Lessing also refers (LI, 3) to a statement by Chevrier in his Observateur des Spectacles, ii (1762), p. 135:

Lundi 10, on a donné le Jaloux Desabusé de Campistron, nous ne parlerons de cette Piéce, que pour observer que sans elle nous n'aurions eu n'y le Philosophe Marié le vrai chef-d'œuvre de Destouches, malgré les entousiastes du Glorieux, n'y le Préjugé à la Mode; Ces deux piéces ont été puisées dans celle de Campistron....

To Campistron's Jaloux Désabusé, which according to Léris (op. cit. pp. 238 f.) was produced on December 13, 1709, Lessing now turns. He summarises its plot and fails to find any similarity with that of Le Philosophe marié. One scene, however, the second of the second act, may, he thinks, have provided the suggestion for Chevrier's remark; and he translates it in full. I quote the original from the edition of Campistron's Euvres, published at Paris in 1750 (iii, pp. 129 ff.). It will be observed that Lessing's version is far from literal.

Dubois à part. Que diable est tout ceci?

Dorante. Tu vois que ma tristesse

A changé mon humeur et m'accable sans cesse.

Rien de ce que j'aimois ne flatte mes desirs,

Et le ciel m'a donné, pour finir mes plaisirs,

Un bourreau de mes jours, un tyran de mon ame.

Dubois. Quel est-il, ce tyran, ou ce bourreau?

Dorante. Ma femme.

Dubois. Votre femme, Monsieur?

<sup>1</sup> Œuvres dramatiques de Nerucault Destouches, Paris, 1757, 1, pp. ix f.

<sup>2</sup> The reluctance of the philosopher in *Le Philosophe marié* to acknowledge his marriage, because he had formerly ridiculed the married state, is compared with the reluctance of Campistron's jealous husband to admit his jealousy because of his previous diatribes against such husbands Cp. also Act 1, sc. ii of *Le Philosophe marié*, where Ariste expresses dislike of his wife's fashionable visitors, with the scene translated by Lessing from Campistron's play.

Dorante. Tu n'en dois plus douter. Elle me cause un mal que je ne puis dompter. Je suis désespéré.

Dubois. Vous est-elle odieuse?

Dorante. Ah! plut au ciel, ma vie en seroit plus heureuse.

Mon cœur pour mon malheur s'en est laissé charmer,

Et je ne souffre, hélas! que pour la trop aimer.

Dubois. En seriez-vous jaloux?

Dorante. Jusqu'à la frénésie.

Dubois. Vous, Monsieur, vous, frappé de cette fantaisie?

Vous contre les jaloux déclaré hautement.

Dorante. Et c'est de-là que vient mon plus cruel tourment.

Quand j'entrai dans le monde une pente fatale M'entraîna dans le cours de la grande cabale:

Ceux qui la composoient m'instruisant tous les jours,

J'eus bientôt attrapé leurs airs et leurs discours.

J'occupai mon esprit de leurs vaines pensées;

Et blâmant du vieux temps les maximes sensées,

J'en plaisantois sans cesse, et traitois de bourgeois

Ceux qui suivoient encor les anciennes loix.

Quel est l'homme, disois-je, en faisant l'agréable,

Qui garde pour sa femme un amour véritable?

C'est aux petites gens à nourrir de tels feux.

Ah! si l'hymen jamais m'enchaîne de ses nœuds,

Loin que l'on me reproche une pareille flamme,

Que je voudrai de bien aux Amans de ma femme!

Que ne croirai-je point devoir à leur amour, S'ils peuvent loin de moi l'amuser tout le jour!

Dubois. Et pourquoi teniez-vous cet imprudent langage?

Dorante. Morbleu! pour imiter les gens du haut étage,

De qui les sentimens ou faux ou trop outrés,

De la droite raison sont toujours égarés.

Connu sur ce pied-là, pour plaire à ma Famille,

Je m'engage, j'épouse une petite fille,

De qui l'air enfantin et l'ingénuité

Ne prenoient sur mon cœur aucune autorité.

Je cru la voir toujours avec indifférence:

Malheureux! De ses traits j'ignorois la puissance.

Sa beauté s'est accrue; et sa possession,

Loin de me dégouter a fait ma passion.

Dubois. Vous y voilà donc pris?

Dorante. Je n'ai comme ma flâme,

Qu'aux mouvemens jaloux qui déchirent mon ame.

De ce trouble secret je me suis allarmé,

Et j'ai douté long-temps que mon cœur fût charmé:

Mais enfin, j'aı senti toute mon infortune.

Je crains tous mes amis, leur aspect m'importune Je n'aspirois jadis qu'à les avoir chez moi, Leur présence aujourd'hui m'y donne de l'effroi. Pourquoi faut-il aussi qu'un ridicule usage Souffre des étrangers au milieu d'un ménage? Sages Italiens, que vous avez raison! Vingt fainéans sans cesse assiégent ma maison: Ils content devant moi des douceurs à Célie. L'un dit qu'elle a bon air, l'autre qu'elle est polie; Celui-ci, que ses yeux sont faits pour tout charmer, Oue sa grace jamais ne se peut exprimer; Celui-là, de ses dents vante l'ordre agréable Enfin tous à l'envi la trouvent adorable; Et la fin d'un discours qui me percent [sic] le cœur, Est toujours employée à louer mon bonheur. Dubois. Il est vrai. C'est ainsi que la chose se passe.

Dorante Ils portent bien plus loin leur indiscrete audace. Ils viennent la chercher au sortir de son lit; Chacun fait là briller ses soins et son esprit; Ce ne sont que bons mots, que jeux, que raillerie, Que signes, que coups d'œil, et que minauderie. Ma femme reçoit tout d'un esprit fort humain, Et je vois quelquefois qu'on lui baise la main.

Dubois. On a tort.

Dorante. Cependant il faut que je l'endure, Et le public rira si ma bouche en murmure; Si je montre l'ennui que mon cœur en reçoit, Les enfans dans Paris me montreront au doigt, Et traité de bizarre et d'Epoux indocile, Je serai le sujet d'un heureux Vaudeville.

In his Theatralische Bibliothek Lessing had made the following statement concerning Das Gespenst mit der Trommel (cp. xvn, 72):

Es ist eigentlich nicht von der Erfindung des Herrn Destouches. sondern eine Nachahmung eines englischen Stuckes des Herrn Addisons, welches in seiner Sprache The Drummer heisst, und auch in Deutschland bekannt genug ist. Unser Dichter war in England gewesen, und hatte den Herrn Addison personlich kennen lernen.<sup>1</sup>

He suggests that the translator, Frau Gottsched, had availed herself of the English original; but Gottsched himself says in the preface to vol. ii of his Deutsche Schaubuhne (p. 39):

Herr Destouches hat zweifelsfrey, in seiner französischen Uebersetzung, das englische Original in vielen Stücken verlassen, oder verandert; um

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Theatralische Bibliothek, i, pp. 273 f.; Schriften, vi, p. 156.

demselben die Ordnung und Regelmassigkeit zu geben, der die Englander in ihren Schauspielen nicht gewohnt sind. Die Uebersetzerinn hat sich also lieber nach dieser Verbesserung, als nach dem Grundtexte richten wollen; da es ihr sonst eben so leicht gefallen ware, diesen als jene zu übersetzen. Nur in den Namen hat sie sich eben der Freyheit bedienet, die sich Herr Destouches genommen, dass sie dieselben nach deutscher Art eingerichtet; um dem Stucke dadurch eine desto mehrere Anmuth bey uns zu geben.

Of Der poetische Dorfjunker (XIII, 6) Lessing has no criticism to offer; but he is witty over Frau Gottsched's expansion of it from three to five acts, to secure its admission into her husband's Deutsche Schaubuhne. In his preface to vol. ii of that work Gottsched had said (p xiii):

Der Verfasser hatte sein Stucke nur in drey Aufzuge getheilet: Die Ubersetzerinn aber hat geglaubt, dass es besser ware, auch hier die Regel des Horaz zu beobachten.

> Neue minor, neu sit quinto productior actu Fabula, quae vult spectari, et spectata reponi.<sup>2</sup> Art Poet. [ll. 189–90].

Der letzte Aufzug war auch im Franzosischen so ungeheuer lang, dass er sich ganz gern in die zwey letzten Aufzuge des Deutschen zertheilen liess. Aus den beyden erstern aber sind gleichfalls, mit einer ganz geringen Veränderung und Einschaltung eines kurzen Auftrittes, sehr leicht drey Aufzuge geworden.

Lessing does not find Frau Gottsched's translation bad, especially in respect of the 'Knittelverse' put into the mouth of Masuren. Her Germanisation of 'virtuose' by 'Wunder' (35) gives him, however, an excellent opportunity for irony. The passages in the original and in Frau Gottsched's translation are as follows:

### Acte II, sc. 6

M. des Mazures ... Mademoiselle, vous me surprenez à mon tour, je vous croyois une virtuose.

Angélique. Fi donc, Monsieur, Pour qui me preniez vous! je suis une honnête fille, afin que vous le sachiez.

## m. Aufzug, 2. Auftritt

Masuren....Gnadiges Fraulein, Sie machen mich ganz besturzt. Ich dachte, Sie waren ein Wunder.

Henriette. Ey Pfuy! Herr von Masuren! fur wen halten Sie mich? Ich bin ein ehrlich Mädchen, dass Sie es wissen!

<sup>1</sup> P. Schlenther, Frau Gottsched und die burgerliche Komodie, Berlin, 1886, pp. 173 ff., gives details of the changes involved by this expansion. (Cp Petersen, ed. cit. p. 192)

<sup>2</sup> Gottsched quotes the second line thus; the usual form is now 'fabula, quæ posci vult et spectata (vel spectanda) reponi'.

M. des Mazures. Mais on peut être une honnête fille, et être une virtuose.

Angélique. Et moi je vous soûtiens que cela ne se peut pas. Moi, une virtuose!

Masuren. Je! man kann wohl ein ehrlich Frauenzimmer, und doch ein Wunder seyn.

Henriette. Und ich sage, dass das gar nicht moglich ist! Ich? ein Wunder!

Only once (x, 16) does Lessing speak in general terms of the art of Destouches as a dramatist; he says that he has given examples of a 'hoheres Komisches' than is usually to be found in Molière. Such an opinion strikes a modern reader as strange and misplaced; but it was a view held by Gottsched, who, in the preface to vol. iv of his Deutsche Schaubühne, had said of his wife (p. 10): 'Sie hat sich darinn [in Die ungleiche Heyrath] mehr die edle Art der Lustspiele des Herrn Destouches, als die niedrigen molierischen Comodien zum Muster genommen.' And again, in introducing Das Testament (Deutsche Schaubühne, vi, p. [x]):

Ein feiner Umgang in adelichen Hausern, ein artiger Scherz, das Spiel der Leidenschaften bey jungen Leuten, mislungene Absichten, die belohnte Tugend, und die Verspottung des Lasters sind die Vorzuge, die man darinn antreffen wird. Auf diesen Schlag sind die meisten von des Destouches Lustspielen verfertiget, der gewiss in solcher Absicht dem Moliere weit vorzuziehen ist

Lessing at least offers (x, 26) some defence of Molière.

#### P. C. DE CHAMBLAIN DE MARIVAUX

Three plays by Marivaux were performed in the Hamburg theatre in Lessing's time. The most popular of these, Der Bauer mit der Erbschaft, is chiefly discussed (xxviii, 4) with reference to the translation. Concerning Der unvermuthete Ausgang Lessing suggests (lxxiii, 18) that it ought to have been called 'Die unvermuthete Entwicklung'. Indeed, his notice of Die falschen Vertraulichkeiten (xviii, 4) alone throws a little

Destouches, Œurres, Paris, 1757, ii, p. 394; Deutsche Schaubuhne, iii, p. 498.

The passage which Lessing quotes (26 ff) will be found in Marivaux, Théâtre, Amsterdam and Leipzig, 1754, ii, pp. 4 f.; but Lessing had apparently an earlier edition before him. Cosack (op. cit. p. 185) draws attention to the defects of the translation: 'ai-je fait' and 'ce m'a-t-on fait' are rendered by 'so veel is dat' and 'hed se mie dahn' (38-9) instead of 'sagte ich' and 'hat man mir gesagt'. (Cp. also K. Th. Gaedertz, Das niederdeutsche Schauspiel, Berlin, 1884, i, pp. 192 ff.) The old Hamburg Mark and Schilling (52)—the former here exceptionally as a neuter—represented approximately 1s. 3d. and a penny.

light on his opinion of Marivaux. From Léris (op cit. p. 185) he learned that Les fausses Confidences was performed in 1736 'avec un médiocre succès, mais remise en Juillet 1738, avec applaudissemens'. And again (sbid. pp. 632 f.):

Marivaux. commença à travailler pour le Théatre en 1712. et mourut à Paris le 12 Février 1763, âgé de soixante-douze ans [actually 'soixante-quinze', as Marivaux was born in 1688]. Il a donné vingt-une pieces en prose au Théatre Italien depuis 1720....Le Théatre François a de lui la Trag[édie] d'Annbal, et les Comédies suivantes, au nombre de huit....Il a encore composé plusieurs pieces qui n'ont pas été représentées sur des Théatres publics.¹

In his characterisation of Marivaux (xvIII, 12) Lessing may have had in mind the necrologue of this author in Palissot's Nécrologe des hommes célèbres de France, Paris, 1767, ii, p. 12:

On remarque d'ailleurs, dans les pièces de M. de Marivaux, une monotonie qui suffirait seule pour justifier ce que nous avons dit ailleurs du cercle étroit de ses idées. Presque toutes ses pièces sont des surprises de l'amour. Il semble avoir épuisé cette situation favorite à laquelle il revient sans cesse, et qui est l'ame de la plupart des comédies qu'il a données aux deux théâtres.<sup>2</sup>

Reviewing Marivaux' Théâtre (Amsterdam, 1754) in the Berlinische privilegirte Zeitung of May 23, 1754, Lessing had written:

Man lobt an ihm besonders seine Kenntniss des menschlichen Herzens und die Kunst seiner kritischen Schilderungen; man nennt ihn einen zweyten la Bruyere, welcher ehedem so vielen Personen die Larve abriss, und ihre Eitelkeit beschamte. Nicht weniger ruhmt man an ihm die bluhende Schreibart, welche voll kuhner Metaphern und unerwarteter Wendungen ist Allein man tadelt auch an eben derselben die allzu grosse Kuhnheit, und die zu übertriebene Begierde, überall seinen Witz schimmern zu lassen <sup>8</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Besides the plays mentioned Lessing refers to *La double inconstance* in a quotation (xxvi, 73) and to *L'École des mères* in his discussion (xxi, 5) of Nivelle de Chaussée's play of that name (see below, pp. 228 f.).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> This criticism would appear to have been made originally by D'Argens, Réflexions historiques et critiques sur le goût, Amsterdam, 1743, p. 322. Lessing's reference to Callipides (17) was suggested by Dacier, Poétique d'Aristote, ed. cit. p. 510. 'Muniscus...reprochoit à Callippide qu'il gesticuloit trop, et par cette raison il l'appelloit le singe, car il imitoit jusqu'à la moindre chose, et se demenoit si fort que sans bouger de sa place, il faisoit beaucoup de chemin. On avoit fait de son nom un proverbe, pour dire, un homme qui travailloit beaucoup pour ne rien faire.' The Latin source is Cicero's letters (Book xiii, ep. 12): 'Biennium praeternit, cum ille Callipides adsiduo cursu cubitum nullum processerit'

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Schriften, v, p. 403.

The fact that 'Peter' in the comedy Die falschen Vertraulichkeiten is virtually a harlequin (xviii, 33) leads Lessing to a discussion on the harlequin which I deal with elsewhere. He has no further criticism to offer of this play.

#### F. A. DE VOLTAIRE

The question of Lessing's relations to Voltaire is the most vital of all those which concern foreign influence on his intellectual development. No other foreign writer played so decisive a rôle in his life. From Berlin, whither he had fled from Leipzig in 1748 to evade the creditors of his Leipzig friends, he had defended his play-writing to his father, it may be remembered, by pleading that it was no unworthy ambition to aspire to be a German Molière; but it would have been truer—if no recommendation in the Kamenz pastor's eyes—had he described his ambition as that of becoming Germany's Voltaire. No writer at this time loomed larger in the European view than Voltaire, whom Frederick the Great was to receive, not long after Lessing's arrival in Berlin, with princely honours which could not but fill the young German aspirants to literary fame there with envy.

Lessing stood for a time in close personal contact with Voltaire. He had been introduced to him by Richier de Louvain, a French teacher in Berlin who in 1750 became Voltaire's secretary; and Lessing was employed in preparing translations of documents bearing on the unsavoury lawsuit between Voltaire and Abraham Hirsch over the former's speculations in Saxon bonds. Damaging as this notorious affair was, there is hardly a discordant note to be found in Lessing's journalism of the 'fifties when he has occasion to mention the illustrious Frenchman; even that very weak drama, Amélie ou le Duc de Foix, is treated with the respect due to a masterpiece.3 At the same time, too much weight should not be attached to these book notices of Lessing's in the Berlinische privilegirte Zeitung, for many of them were little more than advertisements for the bookseller. Nor need it, on the other hand, be put seriously in the scales that in the Beytrage zur Historie und Aufnahme des Theaters (in a footnote to Mylius's translation of the Lettres philosophiques) Voltaire is reproached for his attitude to the drama of antiquity, and that in the reports on the theatre in Paris Lessing allowed to pass a scathing comment on Sémiramis.4

Until practically the end of the 'fifties Lessing's respect for Voltaire

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See below, pp. 424 ff.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Schriften, v, pp. 14 f.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Schriften, xvii, p. 16.

<sup>4</sup> Beytrage, i, pp. 108, 111.

as a man of letters continued unshaken; even in the correspondence on tragedy with Mendelssohn and Nicolai in 1756 and 1757, Voltaire's tragedies are freely cited by the three friends as eminent examples of dramatic composition. And Lessing himself translated Voltaire.2 Indeed, it was just in connexion with a projected translation of one of Voltaire's works that Lessing found himself in serious trouble with the French poet. The latter had had his Siècle de Louis XIV printed in a few copies for private circulation; and Lessing (who was anxious to translate the work) had succeeded in obtaining one of these on loan. He carelessly let it out of his hands, however, and forgot to return it when he left Berlin for Wittenberg in December 1751; and Voltaire sharply accused him of dishonest intentions. Lessing's indignation at such insinuations cast its shadow—for he was not a good forgetter over his subsequent attitude to Voltaire, even perhaps as late as the Dramaturgie. How long his outward respect for Voltaire's dramatic genius continued, however, it is difficult to say. His ideas on the scope of dramatic poetry were rapidly widening in these years; the new wave of democratic realism that had spread from England to the continent appealed strongly to him; the tragedy of common life which he acclimatised in Germany with his Miss Sara Sampson in 1755, convinced him that there were other and better ways of tragic excellence than those laid down by Corneille, Racine and Voltaire. His Theatralische Bibliothek of 1754-58 revealed, moreover, a wide catholicity of dramatic interest; and it will be remembered that he offered his readers here a translation of a considerable slice of Dryden's Essay of Dramatic Poesy, including its praise of Shakespeare, a poet to whom Voltaire had directed the attention of the continent in his Lettres philosophiques.

The turning-point is usually held to be that remarkable and surprising contribution of Lessing's to the *Briefe*, die neueste Litteratur betreffend, No. xvii, of February, 1759, in which he launched his first unambiguous attack on the tragédie classique, and boldy proclaimed its inferiority to the drama of Shakespeare. This marked the beginning of Lessing's intensive war not merely against his first master Gottsched, but also against Voltaire and all that Voltaire represented:

Hat Corneille ein einziges Trauerspiel, das Sie nur halb so geruhret hatte, als die Zayre des Voltaire? Und die Zayre des Voltaire, wie

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Schriften, xvii, p. 71, xix, pp. 42, 50, 53, 56.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> G. E. Lessings Übersetzungen aus dem Franzosischen Friedrichs des Grossen und Voltaires, herausg. von E. Schmidt, Berlin, 1892 The preface to Des Herrn von Voltaires kleinere historische Schriften, Rostock, 1752, will be found in Schriften, v, pp. 1 ff.

weit ist sie unter dem Mohren von Venedig, dessen schwache Copie sie ist, und von welchem der ganze Charakter des Orosmans entlehnet worden?<sup>1</sup>

H. A. Korff would definitely associate the decline of Voltaire's authority in Germany with the growth of an understanding for Shakespeare.<sup>2</sup> This is true, but only with qualifications; for the way to Shakespeare was a long and difficult progress, even for Lessing. The English poet may have been an excellent example to pit against the artificial regularity of classic tragedy; but the cooling-off towards Voltairean drama was more immediately due to the growing demand for truth to nature, a demand of which the tragedy of common life was the expression.

From 1759 to the publication of the Hamburgische Dramaturgie we are left in the dark as to the progress of Lessing's disillusionment with Voltaire; the latter is hardly mentioned in his writings and correspondence. There is no doubt, however, that Diderot was in the main responsible for finally divesting Voltaire of authority in his eyes. Lessing was entirely won over to the new conception of drama, Diderot's examples and defence of which he had translated. Again, however, we must be cautious in attributing too much weight to his repudiation, even in the Dramaturgie, of Voltaire's authority. It must not be forgotten that, if Diderot's influence is paramount in the form and plan of Lessing's last great drama, Nathan der Weise, that play also owed a very considerable debt to the poet of Zayre. To Shakespeare it owed practically nothing.

Voltaire receives a very large share of attention in the Hamburgische Dramaturgie; of no other dramatist are the plays so assiduously discussed. For this the Hamburg repertory was largely responsible, as we have seen; no less than ten of Voltaire's dramas were represented during the régime of the Consortium, and six of these during the brief period of Lessing's chronicle of the work of the theatre. But Lessing is not content to destroy Voltaire's position as a playwright; he attacks and undermines his authority as a literary critic and especially as a historian (XXIII, 7 ff.). He loses no opportunity of showing us his ignorance of the Greek drama (XXXIX, 29), his lack of understanding for Terence (LXXI, I; see note to p. 319 below); his wrong ideas about tragi-comedy (LV, II8) and his misunderstanding of the famous box

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Schriften, viii, p. 43.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Voltaire im literarischen Deutschland des 18. Jahrhunderts, Heidelberg, 1918, p. 76.

on the ear in Le Cid (LVI, 14); his misconceptions concerning English drama (xv, III). Again, Lessing tells us, Voltaire plumes himself on being a 'profunder Historikus' (XXIII, 8); and he seizes with alacrity on the attacks, then being bruited in the French press, on Voltaire's accuracy as a historian. He gleefully ridicules his mistake in regarding the Earl of Leicester and Robert Dudley as two different people: 'mit eben dem Rechte [konnte man] den Poeten Arouet und den Kammerherrn von Voltaire zu zwey verschiedenen Personen machen' (XXIII, 100). Voltaire, he says, is 'intolerable' with his historical control of Rodogune (XXXI, 145); and again he quotes a statement which 'contains not less than three errors, not much for Voltaire (xv, 110)'. There is, it must be admitted, a certain triviality in all this petty fault-finding. It would not be difficult for an enemy of Lessing to discover plenty of similar slips in his writings; indeed, for this it would not be necessary to go beyond the Dramaturgie itself. Voltaire's personal character, where he was admittedly vulnerable, is vigorously impugned: his vanity at the first performance of Mérope (xxxvi, 99); his 'Verkleinerungssucht' (XLI, 48); his love of 'Chicane' (XXIV, 14, 16. 19)—is this word not equally applicable to his critic?—the meanness of his underhand attack on Maffei under a pseudonym (xLI, 32 ff.). 'Besonders ist Voltaire ein Meister, sich die Fesseln der Kunst so leicht, so weit zu machen, dass er alle Freyheit behalt, sich zu bewegen, wie er will; und doch bewegt er sich oft so plump und schwer, und macht so angstliche Verdrehungen, dass man meinen sollte, jedes Glied von ihm sey an ein besonderes Klotz geschmiedet' (xLIV, 61 ff.),

In St. LXX (110) Lessing sums up Voltaire's intellectual character and his own attitude towards him:

Primus sapientiae gradus est, falsa intelligere; (wo dieses Spruchelchen steht, will mir nicht gleich beyfallen)<sup>2</sup> und ich wusste keinen Schriftsteller in der Welt, an dem man es so gut versuchen konnte, ob man auf dieser ersten Stuffe der Weisheit stehe, als an dem Herrn von Voltaire: aber daher auch keinen, der uns die zweyte zu ersteigen, weniger behulflich seyn

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In the Journal encyclopédique for April, 1761 (ii, pp. 128 ff.), Lessing had, no doubt, read a 'Lettre...concernant quelques faits à rectifier dans la nouvelle édition de l'Essai sur l'Histoire Universelle que Mr. de Voltaire vient d'annoncer.' In 1759 a reviewer in the Litteraturbriefe, No. lxviii, November 15, 1759, ed. cit. iv, pp. 301 ff., had pointed out the inaccuracies in Voltaire's Charles XII, and in 1762 an anonymous writer published at Avignon and Paris two volumes on Les erreurs de Voltaire. Cp. Année Littéraire, vi (1762), pp. 217 ff.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> It is from Lactantius Firmianus, Divinarum Institutionum Libri Septem i (Venice ed. of 1535, 39a). (Cp. Petersen, ed. cit. p. 240.)

two 'Épitres dédicatoires' to Falkener. The passage which he translates in his second paragraph (xv, 3) is from the former:

Ceux qui aiment l'Histoire littéraire seront bien-aises de savoir comment cette Piéce fut faite. Plusieurs Dames avaient reproché à l'Auteur, qu'il n'y avait pas assez d'amour dans ses Tragédies Il leur répondit, qu'il ne croyait pas que ce fût la véritable place de l'amour; mais que puisqu'il leur fallait absolument des Héros amoureux, il en ferait tout comme un autre. La Piéce fut achevée en dix-huit jours elle eut un grand succès. On l'appelle à Paris, Tragédie Chrêtienne, et on l'a jouée fort souvent à la place de Polyeucte.<sup>2</sup>

Lessing's famous retort that Voltaire should not have said 'love' but 'gallantry' (27) may have been provoked by the first 'Épitre à M. Fakener':

Si vous n'avez pas la réputation d'être tendres, ce n'est pas que vos Héros de Théâtre ne soient amoureux; mais c'est qu'ils expriment rarement leur passion d'une manière naturelle. Nos amans parlent en amans, et les vôtres ne parlent encor qu'en Poetes.<sup>4</sup>

The critic who remarked that love itself had dictated Zayre to Voltaire (26) was Rémond de Sainte Albine, who described it in Le Comédien as 'une Piece que l'amour lui-même semble avoir dictée'. 'Ich kenne nur eine Tragodie', Lessing says (27 ff.), 'an der die Liebe selbst arbeiten helfen; und das ist Romeo und Juliet... Voltaire verstehet, wenn ich so sagen darf, den Kanzeleystyl der Liebe vortrefflich;... oder hat gleichwohl Voltaire in das Wesen der Liebe eben die tiefe Einsicht, die Shakespear gehabt, so hat er sie wenigstens hier nicht zeigen wollen....' This and the disparaging comparison of Orosmann—'eine sehr kahle Figur'—with Othello—'wir hören in dem

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In Voltaire's first editions 'Fakener' (cp. Œuvres, [Geneva], 1756-7, viii, p. 3), hence Lessing's 'Fackener'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Œuvres, ed. cst. viii, p. 2. The substance of the passage is repeated, however, by Léris (op. cst. p. 457). Voltaire subsequently substituted 'vingt-deux jours' for 'dix-huit jours'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Voltaire himself had said in the *Discours sur la Tragédie* prefixed to his *Brutus* (*Œwires, ed. cit.* vii, p. 208). 'Le mal est que l'amour n'est souvent chez nos Héros de Théâtre que de la galanterie.'

<sup>4</sup> Œuvres, ed cit viii, p. 9.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Le Comédien, Paris, 1747, ii, chap. x, p. 208

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> W. Wetz (Studien zur Hamburgischen Dramaturgie I, in Zeitschrift fur vergleichende Literaturgeschichte, N.F. ix (1896), pp. 145 ff.) points out that Lessing's praise of 'jenes lebendige Gemalde aller der kleinsten, geheimsten Ranke, durch die sich die Liebe in unsere Seele einschleicht...' is hardly applicable to Shakespeare's picture of sudden overwhelming passion (p. 168). (Cp. Petersen, ed. cit. p. 196.)

Orosmann einen Eifersuchtigen reden, wir sehen ihn die rasche That eines Eifersuchtigen begehen; aber von der Eifersucht selbst lernen wir nicht mehr und nicht weniger, als wir vorher wussten' (xv, 54 ff.)<sup>1</sup>—constitute practically the only criticism which Lessing offers us of Voltaire's tragedy. It would be interesting to discover how the quite unjustified suggestion that Zapre was an imitation of Othello arose; Voltaire himself gives no hint of it, as he would have been likely to do in dedicating the play to an Englishman.<sup>2</sup> Lessing's immediate authority, as he indicates, is Cibber's Prologue to the English translation of the French play (xv, 50, 81 ff.).<sup>3</sup>

Lessing's interest now turns from Zayre itself to the translation in question by Aaron Hill. Voltaire had informed Falkener in the 'Seconde Lettre':

Elle y a été traduite et jouée avec tant de succès, on a parlé de moi sur votre Théâtre avec tant de politesse et de bonté, que j'en dois ici un remerciment public à votre Nation. . . Monsieur Hille, homme de Lettres, qui paraît connaître le Théâtre mieux qu'aucun Auteur Anglais, me fit l'honneur de traduire la Piéce. . . . 4

And Lessing proceeds to quote and discuss the views expressed by Voltaire to Falkener on the English theatre. These will be more appropriately considered in connexion with Lessing's criticism of English drama.<sup>5</sup>

He now passes to a comparative criticism of the German, Italian, English and Dutch translations of Zayre. Those by Hill and Gasparo Gozzi I discuss elsewhere; and in respect of his observation on German taste as illustrated by the brevity of the German version of the scene of Orosmann's death (xvi, 51) I need only add that his inference is

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Petersen (1b1d.) refers to a quotation in Mendelssohn's review of Lowth's lectures on the poetry of the Hebrews (B1bl1othek der schonen Wissenschaften, 1 (1), p. 125; Gesammelte Schriften, ed. cit 1v, 1, p. 173): 'Ein Shakespeare hat die Ursachen, Folgen und Wirkungen der Eifersucht in einem prachtigen Schauspiele besser, richtiger und vollstandiger ausgeführt, als in allen Schulen der Weltweisheit jemals von einer solchen Materie ist gehandelt worden.'

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Cp. H. Morf, Aus Dichtung und Sprache der Romanen, i (Berlin, 1922), p. 287; R. Arndt, Zur Entstehung von Voltaires Zaire, Diss. Marburg, 1906 (especially pp. 15 ff.), A. H. Krappe, The Source of Voltaire's 'Zaire', in Modern Language Review, xx (1925), pp. 305 ff. See also below, p. 254. Hill, however, pointed out in The Prompter (No. 114) that Voltaire had been 'nobly warming himself at the fire of our English Othello' (cp. D. Brewster, Aaron Hill, New York, 1913, p. 144).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> See also below, pp. 258 f.

<sup>4</sup> Œuvres, ed. cit. viii, p. 19.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> See below, pp. 256 f.

<sup>6</sup> See below, pp. 258 f., 280.

hardly justified; the translator made as close a translation as he could, but possibly the stage version was abbreviated. The discussion of the Dutch translation by Frederik Duim which he had before him (93) is Lessing's only incursion into the field of Dutch literature. Duim (born in 1674)<sup>2</sup> was the author of numerous dramas in the French classic style, and the adaptation of Zayre dates from 1732. He was the father of the famous Dutch actor Izaak Duim.<sup>3</sup>

Alzire Lessing mentions only in passing (II, 28 ff.); he approves of the art with which Voltaire at the close of the tragedy allows Zamore to conjecture rather than believe the truth of the religion in whose adherents he sees so much that is great':

Je demeure immobile, égaré, confondu; Quoi donc, les vrais Chrêtiens auraient tant de vertu! Ah! la Loi qui t'oblige à cet effort suprême, Je commence à le croire, est la Loi d'un Dieu même.<sup>5</sup>

The production of Voltaire's Mérope on July 7 gave Lessing occasion for the most elaborate piece of comparative criticism which the Dramaturgie contains; it occupies no less than fifteen numbers (xxxvi, 60-L). It covers, however, a very wide range of themes, which, in accordance with the arrangement of the present volume, will be found discussed in different sections. In the present chapter I restrict myself as far as possible to Lessing's criticism of Voltaire's tragedy; but some overlapping is inevitable.

With regard to the genesis and history of the French Mérope, Lessing tells us (xxxvi, 61) that Voltaire probably wrote it in 1737 at Cirey, where the learned Marquise du Châtelet, his 'Uranie',6 afforded him

<sup>1</sup> Cp. Petersen, ed. cit. pp. 197 f.

<sup>2</sup> No date for Duim's death is given in Huberts's Biographisch Woordenboek der Noord-en-Zuidnederlandsche Letterkunde, Deventer, 1878. He was still living in 1751 (1814. p. 131).

- <sup>8</sup> Cp. J. A. Worp, Geschiedens van het drama en van het tooneel in Nederland, 11, Groningen, 1908, pp. 141 ff. The critic in the Deutsche Bibliothek (111 (1x) 1769, pp. 48 f.) commented: 'Wozu gab sich der Verfasser mit dem einfältigen Hollander ab, der die Zaire hat verbessern wollen? Gewiss entweder um zu zeigen, dass er auch Hollandisch versteht, oder um einige niedrige Spottereyen anzubringen, die so sehr nach seinem Geschmack sind.'
- <sup>4</sup> L'Enfant produgue (Der verlorene Sohn) is referred to, but only in a quotation (xxvi, 74); Brutus and La Mort de César (Casar) are also mentioned (x, 90).
  - <sup>5</sup> Œuvres, ed. cit. viii, p. 209.
- 6 Among Voltaire's occasional poems there is one addressed to this lady (Etrennes à la même [Madame du Châtelet]), which begins: 'Une Etrenne frivole à la docte Uranie, Peut-on la présenter?...' (Le Porte-Feuille Trouvé, Geneva, 1757, p. 40).

an idyllic asylum between 1736 and her death in 1749. In Léris (op. cit. p. 295) Lessing found (84) that Mérope was received in Paris with extraordinary favour:

Nous avions déja trois traductions de cette piece [Maffei's Merope], quand M. de Voltaire donna sa Mérope Française; elle fut représentée pour la premiere fois le 20 Février, 1743, avec un succès qui a peu d'exemples. Le Parterre fit même à l'Auteur un honneur inusité jusqu'à ce tems; il demanda à le voir à la fin de la représentation, et lorsqu'il parut, il reçut les applaudissemens les plus flateurs. C'est presque la seule piece profane qui soit dénuée d'amour, chose remarquable!

Lessing compares Voltaire's acceptance of this 'call' unfavourably with the dignified entry of Corneille (xxxvi, 86), who was, at least, the recognised master of his own theatre. His criticism of the childish curiosity of the audience and the vanity of the poet (xxxvi, 99) is perhaps unnecessarily harsh. Voltaire's call need scarcely be ascribed to either motive; but it gives Lessing the opportunity for the excellent dictum (104): 'The true masterpiece, it seems to me, so engrosses us that it makes us forget the author.' He attributes the persistence of the objectionable practice of receiving calls before the curtain partly to Voltaire's complaisance (132); it continued 'von Voltairen bis zum Marmontel, und vom Marmontel bis tief herab zum Cordier'; until

<sup>1</sup> It has been suggested, however, that the applause at the first performance was really evoked by the actress Mlle Dumesnil, who, as Mérope, outstepped the rules of classic propriety by running across the stage to save her son's life (cp. Œuvres

Complètes de Voltaire, Paris (Garmer Frères), 1877-85, iv, p. 175).

<sup>2</sup> On Corneille's reception (xxxvi, 90) cp. Voltaire, Essay sur l'Histoire Générale VII (Écrivans du Tems de Louis XIV, Œuvres, [Geneva], 1756-7, xvii, pp. 215f.): 'On a imprimé dans plusieurs recueils d'anecdotes, qu'il avait sa place marquée toutes les fois qu'il allait au spectacle, qu'on se levait pour lui, qu'on battait des mains. Malheureusement les hommes ne rendent pas tant de justice. Le fait est que les Comédiens du Roi refusèrent de jouer ses huit dernières pièces, et qu'il fut obligé de les donner à une autre troupe.' Again, in the Supplément au Siècle de Louis XIV, ii (Œuvres Complètes de Voltaire, ed. cit. xv, p. 129) 'On nous répète tous les jours que, quand le grand Corneille, sur la fin de sa vie, venait au théâtre, tout le monde se levait pour lui faire honneur. Cela n'est pas...vrai....'

<sup>3</sup> Cp. an article 'Theatralische Neuigkeiten aus Paris' in the *Bibliothek der schonen Wissenschaften*, iii (1) (1758), pp. 177 f., where the writer, discussing the success of De la Touche's *Iphigéme en Tauride*, says: 'Der Beyfall war ganz ausserordentlich; das Parterre verlangte mit grossem Geschrey den Verfasser zu sehen. (Diese Ehre, welcher [sic] dem Hrn. von Voltaire zuerst bey der ersten Vorstellung der Merope wiederfuhr, hat hernach auch Hr. Marmontel bey der ersten Vorstellung seines Aristomene [April 30, 1749] gehabt, und vielleicht nach ihm, verschiedene andere.) Als er erschien, so vermehrte sich der beyfallgebende Zuruf dergestalt, dass...er ganz bleich hinter die Scenen eilte, wo er in Ohnmacht fiel.' I have not been able

the good taste of the nation revolted. He recalls 'the ingenious idea of Polichinell' (141); this would appear to be a reference to a parody on *Mérope* by Panard, Gallet and Pontan, entitled *Marotte* when it was played in 1743 and *L'Enfant retrouvé* at a performance in 1744—or it may be perhaps to another version, *La Pétarade ou Polichinel auteur*, en un acte, en prose et en vers (2nd ed. 1750). Petersen, to whose commentary I owe this reference, quotes (*ed. cit.* p. 216) the following from Moland's edition of Voltaire;<sup>1</sup>

Polichinelle s'entretenant avec son compère: 'Eh bien,' lui dit celui-ci, 'vas-tu nous donner quelque pièce nouvelle?'—'Si elle est nouvelle, elle ne vaudra pas grand'chose,' répond Polichinelle; 'tu sais que je suis épuisé.'—'Bon, tu es inépuisable,' répond l'autre; 'donne toujours.'—'Tu le veux donc? Je le veux bien aussi,' dit Polichinelle, 'et je t'avouerai que j'en mourais d'envie. Mais...tous nos amis sont-ils là-bas?' Alors, déboutonnant sa culotte (ne l'oublions pas, la chose se passe aux Marionettes) et faisant la révérence a posteriori, il lâche une pétarade au parterre; et tout de suite on entend crier: 'L'auteur, l'auteur, l'auteur!'

The possible occasion of Lessing's own refusal to come before the curtain I have already discussed.<sup>2</sup>

In a letter (dated December 23, 1738, but written in October, and published, with *Mérope*, in 1746)<sup>3</sup> from the Père de Tournemine to Brumoy, the writer speaks of 'l'amitié paternelle, qui m'attache à lui [Voltaire] depuis son enfance'—Tournemine had been one of Voltaire's teachers (xxxvi, 70). He writes of *Mérope*:

Quelques succès que lui donne le goût inconstant de Paris, elle passera jusqu'à la postérité, comme une de nos Tragédies les plus parfaites, comme un modèle de tragédie. Aristote, ce sage législateur du Théâtre, a mis ce sujet au premier rang des sujets tragiques. Euripide l'avait traité, et nous apprenons d'Aristote, que toutes les fois qu'on représentait sur le Théâtre de l'ingénieuse Athènes le Cresfonte d'Euripide, ce peuple, accoûtumé aux chefs-d'œuvres tragiques, était frappé, saisi, transporté d'une émotion extraordinaire. Si le goût de Paris ne s'accorde pas avec celui d'Athènes, Paris aura tort sans doute. Le Cresfonte d'Euripide est perdu: Monsieur de Voltaire nous le rend.<sup>5</sup>

to assign a definite source for the reference to Cordier. But the *Mercure de France*, April, 1762 (i), p 155, discussing the first performance of Cordier's *Zaruckma*, says: 'L'Auteur fut demandé par une acclamation unanime; il parut et reçut le prix flatteur et mérité du plaisir que son Ouvrage venoit de faire.'

- <sup>1</sup> Œuvres Complètes de Voltaire, Paris, 1877-85, iv, p. 174.
- <sup>2</sup> See above, p. 142.
- <sup>8</sup> Cp. Œuvres Complètes de Voltaire, Paris, 1877-85, iv, p. 177 (note 1).
- 4 Voltaire, Œuvres, [Geneva], 1756-57, viii, p. 216. 5 Ibid. pp. 213 f.

Before Lessing comes to an examination of *Mérope* and a comparison of it with the Italian tragedy, however, this statement in Tournemine's letter leads him to a consideration of matters concerning Aristotle and the Greek dramatists, which I deal with elsewhere <sup>1</sup> He then continues (xxxix, 16) by asking why we should attribute such importance to Tournemine?<sup>2</sup> Let us rather, says Lessing, substitute for him Voltaire himself, who has the same wrong idea of Euripides.

Lessing states his thesis at the beginning of St. xxxvII:

Ich habe gesagt, dass Voltairens Merope durch die Merope des Maffei veranlasset worden. Aber veranlasset, sagt wohl zu wenig: denn jene ist ganz aus dieser entstanden; Fabel und Plan und Sitten gehoren dem Maffei, Voltaire wurde ohne ihn gar keine, oder doch sicherlich eine ganz andere Merope geschrieben haben.<sup>3</sup>

To prove this thesis he considers first the 'historical facts' on which the story rests, then Euripides's handling of the theme, and finally the Italian play.<sup>4</sup> In this chapter I am only concerned with the part of this discussion which has direct bearing on the French tragedy.

In a previous section I have given a brief outline of the plot of Voltaire's Mérope.<sup>5</sup> Here it will be convenient to recapitulate first the points wherein it differs from Maffei's. Voltaire fills out the Italian plot in many ways. He begins by initiating his audience into the state of things in Messenia, whereas Maffei opens with Polifonte's demand that Merope shall marry him. In Voltaire's play, Mérope then learns that Narbas, to whom she had entrusted her surviving son, has disappeared from Elis with the child; but she is consoled by the hope that they may be on their way to Messenia. In Maffei Merope mourns

<sup>1</sup> See below, pp. 311 ff.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The quotation concerning Tournemine is from a note to Montesquieu's letter to the Abbé Comte de Guasco of December 5, 1750. This letter was first published in the edition of the Lettres familières which appeared at Paris in 1767, and the words quoted by Lessing are in a note on p. 208 of this edition (cp. Montesquieu, Euvres Complètes, Paris, 1875–79, vii, p. 361). In the letter Montesquieu complains of Tournemine's 'despotisme' and 'tracasseries'. The note adds: 'On a entendu conter à Montesquieu que, pour s'en venger, il ne fit jamais autre chose que de demander à ceux qui lui en parloient. Qui est-ce que le P. Tournemine? je n'en ai jamais entendu parler: ce qui piquoit beaucoup ce jésuite, qui aimoit passionémment la célébrité.'

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Lessing's conviction had probably been strengthened by reading De la Grange Chancel's emphatic statement in his letter on *Mérope* to the *Journal étranger* of August, 1756 (p. 238): '...le Poete Italien (le Marquis Maffer) dont M. de Voltaire est le fidèle traducteur....'

<sup>4</sup> See below, pp. 310 ff., 281 ff.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> See above, pp. 86 f.

for her son Egisto as dead. Voltaire reveals Polifonte's intention to have Egiste and Narbas murdered, should they be on the way to Messenia. It is not until the second act in Voltaire that we learn of the arrest of a young man for murder; Maffei reaches this incident in the second scene of his play. In both plays the young man impresses the queen favourably; Maffei makes more of this than Voltaire, thus providing a more effective dramatic contrast when Merope, full of grief at the disquieting news of her son's disappearance, begins to suspect that the stranger may have been his murderer; to her mind, Polifonte's kindness to the young man is suspicious, and especially when he again insists that Merope should become his wife, promising that, if she does so, he will pardon the murderer. Voltaire does not emphasise these sentimental possibilities of the plot. His tyrant is elected king; and it is definitely stated that the young stranger has murdered Égiste, the proof being that he is in possession of a coat of mail—in the Italian play it is a costly ring—known to have belonged to Égiste. In both plays Merope decides to take her own revenge. In Maffei's drama, as she is about to slay the prisoner, she is momentarily softened by his protestations of innocence, when Polifonte enters and sets him free. In Voltaire's version, more dramatically, Mérope is determined to torture the victim, and is about to stab him when Narbas brings the news that he is her son, the lost Egiste. The recognition is brought about more circumstantially in the Italian play. Polidoro, introduced unrecognised into the palace, finds the young man asleep in a marble chair. When Merope arrives with an axe to slay him, Polidoro reveals to her that he is her son. The dénouement is similarly worked out by both poets: the marriage of Polifonte is about to be celebrated when Egiste behind the scenes slays the tyrant. Both dramas end with the triumphant acclamations of the citizens.1

In the first edition of 1744 Voltaire's tragedy was prefaced by a dedicatory letter 'A M. le Marquis Scipion Maffei', in which, with excessive politeness, he expressed his indebtedness to the Italian nobleman, and excused the faults of the latter's play on the ground that he had to appeal to an Italian taste much less polished than that of Paris (XLI, 53 ff.). He says:

...vous êtes le premier, Monsieur, qui dans ce siècle où l'Art des Sophocles commençait à être amolli par des intrigues d'amour, souvent

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Cosack (op. cit. pp. 225 ff.) provides a detailed and useful analysis of the two plays in parallel columns.

étrangères au sujet, ou avili par d'indignes bouffonneries qui deshonoraient le goût de votre ingénieuse Nation, vous êtes le premier, dis-je, qui avez eu le courage et le talent de donner une Tragédie sans galanterie, une Tragédie digne des beaux jours d'Athènes, dans laquelle l'amour d'une mère fait toute l'intrigue, et où le plus tendre intérêt naît de la vertu la plus pure.<sup>1</sup>

Voltaire was genuine in his admiration of Maffei's boldness in writing a tragedy devoid of sexual love. Such tragedies (e.g. Julius Caesar) had his warm approval—in theory, if not in practice.<sup>2</sup> He would have translated the Italian play, had he not found it unsuited for the French stage (cp. XLI, 23 ff., 57 ff.). His words are:

Notre délicatesse est devenue excessive: nous sommes peut-être des Sibarites plongés dans le luxe, qui ne pouvons supporter cet air naîf et rustique, ces détails de la vie champêtre, que vous avez imités du Théâtre Grec.... Ces entretiens sont naturels; mais notre Parterre, quelquefois si indulgent, et d'autres fois si délicat, pourrait les trouver trop familiers, et voir même de la coquetterie où il n'y a au fond que de la raison... Ce n'est pas, encor une fois, que tout cela ne soit dans la Nature; mais il faut que vous pardonniez à notre Nation, qui exige que la Nature soit toujours présentée avec certains traits de l'art; et ces traits sont bien différens à Paris et à Verone.<sup>3</sup>

The Paris parterre would not have tolerated the hackneyed device of a ring as a means of recognition; he, Voltaire, could not have risked the danger of having his hero taken for a thief. In these matters, says Lessing (XLI, 80), the taste of the Paris parterre is wrong. On the other hand, he asks, why does Voltaire, in cases where it certainly is not wrong, find fault with that taste rather than with Maffei?

Maffei replied point for point to the criticisms in Voltaire's dedication in the edition of his *Merope* which was published in 1745. It is to be regretted that this reply is not included in modern editions of Voltaire.<sup>4</sup> In a reference which is perhaps intentionally somewhat ambiguous (xlu, 143) Lessing expresses regret that Maffei's answer to Voltaire is not published in the correspondence; it would be, he

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Œuvres, ed. cit. viii, pp. 217 f.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See, for instance, the Dissertation sur la Tragédie ancienne et moderne prefixed to Sémiramis (Œuvres, ed. cit. ix, pp. 19 f.) and also Discours sur la Tragédie prefixed to Brutus (Œuvres, ed. cit. vii, pp. 197 ff, 207 f.).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Œuvres, ed. cit. viii, pp. 226 f.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Cp. however, Œuures Complètes de Voltaire, Paris, 1877-85, iv, p. 197 (note 2), where reference is made to a translation of Maffei's reply in a French translation of the Dramaturgie.

points out, the most interesting part of it. What he no doubt wished to see was the Italian poet's reply, not merely to Voltaire's dedication, but to Lindelle's strictures as well. For when Voltaire read what Maffei had to say, he felt, no doubt, that something more emphatic was called for to put the Italian in his place; but since he could not very well throw off the mask of politeness which he had assumed in the dedication, he deputed to a fictitious 'M. de la Lindelle' the task of bluntly demolishing the arguments of the Italian marquis. M. de la Lindelle's letter to M. de Voltaire appeared in the edition of the French Mérope which was published in 1748.2 It is obviously solely concerned with Maffei's play, and I deal with it in my chapter on the Italian drama.3 Here we need consider only the inferences which Lessing drew from that controversy in support of his thesis that the French Mérope was merely an adaptation of Maffei's. In St. XLIV he turns to points in Voltaire's criticism of Maffei which are equally applicable to Voltaire's own tragedy. He discusses (4 ff.) the question whether Maffei's ring or Voltaire's suit of armour is the better means of recognition. Voltaire had written:

Je ne vous le dissimulerai pas. Je trouve que Mr. Maffei a mis plus d'art que moi dans la manière dont il s'y prend pour faire penser à Mérope que son fils est l'assassin de son fils même. Je n'ai pu me servir comme lui d'un anneau, parce que depuis l'anneau royal dont Boileau se moque dans ses Satyres, cela semblerait trop petit sur notre Théâtre.<sup>4</sup>

The modern reader will be likely to agree that Voltaire's improvement here is not conspicuous. Again (33 ff.) Lessing quotes Voltaire's response to the objection which Lindelle had raised concerning the tyrant's suddenly awakened eagerness to marry Mérope:

Ni Mr. Maffei ni moi n'exposons des motifs bien nécessaires pour que le Tyran Polifonte veuille absolument épouser Mérope. C'est peut-être là un défaut du sujet; mais je vous avoue, que je crois, qu'un tel défaut est fort léger, quand l'intérêt qu'il produit est considérable.<sup>5</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The reply of Maffei was in fact printed in the 1763 edition of the Italian *Merope* (pp. 438 ff.).

In Œuvres de Voltaire, Dresden, 1748-54, v, pp. 481 ff. Cp. Œuvres Complètes, ed. cit. iv, p. 192 (note 1).

<sup>3</sup> See below, pp. 289 ff.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Euvres, ed. cit. viii, p 242; the passage in Boileau (Sattres, iii, 196): 'Surtout 'l'anneau royal' me semble bien trouvé' was directed against Quinault's Astrate, roi de Tyr (cp. Schroter and Thiele, ed. cit. p. 246).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Œuvres, ed. cit. viii, pp. 242 f.

Voltaire's excuse that the fault is inherent in the subject is hardly valid. Lessing points out (39) that Maffei had altered the original story, and Voltaire need not have accepted this alteration, had he not approved of it.

Lessing has naturally only contempt for Lindelle's pedantic objection to the Italian poet's laxity in matters of *haison* and in providing motives for exits and entrances (xLV, 86 ff.) Voltaire had written:

Les scènes souvent ne sont point liées, et le Théâtre se trouve vuide; défaut qui ne se pardonne pas aujourd'hui aux moindres Poetes...Les Acteurs arrivent, et partent souvent sans raison; défaut non moins essentiel.<sup>1</sup>

This leads Lessing (xlvi, 1) to a vigorous attack on the artificiality of the French theatre, and especially on its practice in regard to the unities of place and time. His argument, as far as *Mérope* is concerned, is that Voltaire, in his observance of these rules, has no compunction in introducing the most patent absurdities into his play, crowding together in one place and within the prescribed time-unity impossible and incongruous things (xliv, 61 ff.). Far from regarding the laxity of Maffei in respect of the rules as blameworthy, Lessing considers that Voltaire's own observance of them leads to far greater absurdities.<sup>2</sup>

'Regularity' cannot compensate for the smallest errors in characterdrawing (xLvI, 61). Voltaire's condemnation of Maffei's Polifonte with his 'heillosen Maximen' is, Lessing admits, entirely justified:

Autre puérilité de Collége. Le Tyran dit à son confident: Je sai l'art de régner; je ferai mourir les audacieux; je lâcherai la bride à tous les vices; j'inviterai mes sujets à commettre les plus grands crimes, en pardonnant aux plus coupables; j'exposerai les gens de bien à la fureur des scélérats, etc. Quel homme a jamais pensé et prononcé de telles sottises? Cette déclamation de Régent de sixiéme ne donne-t-elle pas une jolie idée d'un homme qui sait gouverner? 3

But Voltaire's own tyrant, Lessing points out, indulges in sentiments such as the following (Act 1, sc. iv):

Et des Dieux quelquesois la longue patience Fait sur nous à pas lents descendre la venge[a]nce,

which a Polifonte would not be likely to express, especially when screwing up his courage to new crimes. Then there is his unconsidered and aimless behaviour towards Mérope; and his attitude to Égiste is

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Œuvres, ed. cit. viii, p. 236.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See below, pp. 384 f.

<sup>8</sup> Œuvres, ed. cit. viii, p. 240.

inconsistent with the poet's initial picture of him as a cunning and resolute man Égiste, Lessing continues, should not have been introduced into the scene of the sacrifice. For him to swear obedience to Polifonte before the people, and to the sound of his despairing mother's cries, would merely bring about what the tyrant fears—the loss of his power. He may expect anything from Égiste, who only demands the return of his sword in order to settle their quarrel once and for all; and yet Polifonte permits him to come quite close to him at the altar. Maffei's Polifonte is free from this absurdity; for the identity of Egisto has not yet been revealed to him. Lindelle accuses the Italian Merope of speaking like a cannibal:

J'ajouterai encor, que quand la Reine, croyant son fils mort, dit, qu'elle veut arracher le cœur au meurtrier, et le déchirer avec les dents, elle parle en *Canmbale* plus encor qu'en mère affligée, et qu'il faut de la décence partout.<sup>1</sup>

But is the French Mérope, Lessing asks (XLVI, 130), in spite of her greater delicacy, any less of a cannibal? Surely (XLVII, 12 ff.) the Italian Merope, who acts impulsively, and thinks, in the passion of the moment, of a wild and cruel vengeance, is more of a mother than the French heroine who deliberately plans her revenge, proposing not to kill, but to torture her victim. Again (26), Voltaire might blame the traditional story, of which Mérope's wish to kill Égiste with her own hand is an integral part. But she need not do it deliberately; and neither Euripides nor Maffei makes it a matter of deliberation. Thus they retain the audience's sympathy for Merope, which Voltaire forfeits.

That Polifonte does not insist that Mérope should become his wife until after the lapse of fifteen years is not a fault of the original theme: in the fable of Hyginus, and presumably in the lost play of Euripides, the marriage takes place immediately after the murder of Cresphontes. Such an act was not so repugnant to Greek women when they saw it would bring advantage to their children; and Lessing recalls (79) 'de[n] griechischen Roman des Charitons, den d'Orville herausgegeben', in support of this.<sup>2</sup> Such a device would have obviated the cold scenes of a political love, and could have been made interesting in more ways than one. But Voltaire took this situation from Maffei, as he took the motive of Égiste's chance journey to Messenia, in ignorance of his own identity, and the suspicion which there falls on him of being his own

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Œuvres, ed. cit. viii, p. 238.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See below, pp. 317 f.

murderer. In other words, both dramatists indulge in 'das armselige Vergnugen' (xLVIII, 3) of surprise.<sup>1</sup>

Voltaire cannot employ a prologue in the manner of the ancients; but he feels the need of informing his audience that the young stranger is Égiste (xlix, 102). How does he achieve this? Simply by calling him Égiste in his printed book; so that we have the absurdity of a character named Égiste denying all knowledge of persons of that name. This is surely an unreasonable attack on Voltaire. More cogent is Lessing's objection that Égiste names his reputed father to Mérope, but does not give his own name, or the name by which he knows himself. In Maffei the young hero has two names (L, 1), Egisto and Cresfonte, but in the list of persons he appears only as the former.

There is no reason to doubt, with Lessing, the honesty of Voltaire's statement that he began with the intention of translating Maffei's Merope, but that the obstacles offered by the conventions of the French theatre—and even if Lessing could not approve of these, it was surely still his duty as an impartial critic to accept their existence—proved insuperable, with the consequence that his own play, in the course of several remodellings, ceased to be a translation or even an adaptation. In particular, Voltaire's fourth act is very different from Maffei's. There is a material change, too, in the characters and relations to each other of Mérope and Polifonte, on account of the fact that when the drama opens, Mérope is still the ruler of Messenia, not Polifonte, who is only proclaimed king in the third act; and that Mérope is ignorant of his crimes against her family. In Maffei Merope is withstanding not merely an overbearing soldier whom she cannot trust. but also the known murderer of her husband.<sup>2</sup> In several of the features which Lessing selects it may be that Voltaire is seen at a disadvantage in comparison with Maffei, but it surely cannot be denied that he has given a finer and deeper psychological presentation of a mother's love-it may be under fantastically exceptional conditions—than his model; and that even his minor characters have a little less of the conventional and the schematic. Lessing is certainly unjust to Voltaire; his antagonism to the French type of classic tragedy has weighed the scales heavily in Maffei's favour. And, after all, the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See also below, p. 465.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Cp. also H. Lion, Les Tragédies et les Théories dramatiques de Voltaire, Paris, 1895, pp. 157 f. La Harpe in his championship of Voltaire went so far as to insist that Voltaire owed no real debt to Maffei and that all that was good in his drama was his own. (Lycée, Paris [N.D.], An. vii, vol. x, pp. 35, 40, 43, 51.)

Italian Merope is no masterpiece. What Lessing's arguments do not prove is that Voltaire's tragedy is merely an adaptation of Maffei's (L, 29) and that there is only one alteration made by Voltaire in Maffei's plan which deserves to be called an improvement (L, 128). He is too intent on challenging the often merely trivial points adduced by Lindelle, and does not make the larger and unbiassed attempt we might have looked for to estimate the general character of the two plays.

For his discussion of Sémiramis (x, 86-xii, 44) Lessing found ample materials in the Dissertation sur la Tragédie which forms a preface to that play. Voltaire kindles his wrath (x, 89) by asserting the superiority of French tragedy to that of the Greeks:

Je ne prétends pas que la Scène Française l'ait emporté en tout sur celle des Grecs, et doive la faire oublier. Les inventeurs ont toujours la première place dans la mémoire des hommes; mais quelque respect qu'on ait pour ces premiers génies, cela n'empêche pas que ceux qui les ont suivis ne fassent souvent beaucoup plus de plaisir .. On admire Sophocle; mais combien de nos bons Auteurs tragiques ont-ils de traits de Maître que Sophocle eût fait gloire d'imiter, s'il fût venu après eux? Les Grecs auraient appris de nos grands Modernes à faire des expositions plus adroites, à lier les scènes les unes aux autres, par cet art imperceptible qui ne laisse jamais le Théâtre vuide, et qui fait venir et sortir avec raison les personnages.... Le choc des passions, ces combats de sentimens opposés, ces discours animés de rivaux et de rivales, ces querelles, ces bravades, ces plaintes réciproques, ces contestations intéressantes, où l'on dit ce que l'on doit dire, ces situations si bien ménagées les auraient étonnés.. .Les Grecs auraient surtout été surpris de cette foule de traits sublimes qui étincellent de toutes parts dans nos Modernes.1

With brilliant wit Lessing turns on Voltaire (100): 'O freylich; was ist von den Franzosen nicht alles zu lernen! Hier und da mochte zwar ein Auslander, der die Alten auch ein wenig gelesen hat, demuthig um Erlaubniss bitten, anderer Meinung seyn zu durfen.' All these merits (103) have little to do with the essentials of tragedy. But it is of no avail to contradict Voltaire: 'er spricht, und man glaubt.'

And again (108) he turns to the Dissertation:

On a voulu donner dans Sémramis un spectacle encor plus pathétique que dans Mérope; on y a déployé tout l'appareil de l'ancien Théâtre Grec. Il serait triste, après que nos grands Maîtres ont surpassé les Grecs en tant de choses dans la Tragédie, que notre Nation ne pût les égaler dans la dignité de leurs représentations. Un des grands obstacles qui s'opposent,

<sup>1</sup> Œuvres, ed. cit ix, pp. 13 ff.

sur notre Théâtre, à toute action grande et pathétique, est la foule des spectateurs, confondue sur la Scène avec les Acteurs; cette indécence se fit sentir particuliérement à la première représentation de Sémiramis. Cet abus a été corrigé dans la suite aux représentations de Sémiramis, et il

pourrait aisément être supprimé pour jamais.

Mais ce grand défaut n'est pas assurément le seul qui doive être corrigé. Ie ne peux assez m'étonner ni me plaindre du peu de soin qu'on a en France de rendre les Théâtres dignes des excellens ouvrages qu'on y représente. et de la Nation qui en fait ses délices Cinna, Athalie, méritaient d'être représentés ailleurs que dans un jeu de paume, au bout duquel on a élevé quelques décorations du plus mauvais goût, et dans lequel les spectateurs sont placés, contre tout ordre et contre toute raison, les uns debout sur le Théâtre même, les autres debout dans ce qu'on appelle parterre, où ils sont gênés et pressés indécemment, et où ils se précipitent quelquefois en tumulte les uns sur les autres, comme dans une sédition populaire....vous voyez que c'était une entreprise assez hardie de représenter Sémiramis assemblant les Ordres de l'Etat pour leur annoncer son mariage, l'ombre de Ninus, sortant de son tombeau, pour prévenir un inceste, et pour venger sa mort: Sémiramis entrant dans ce Mausolée, et en sortant expirante, et percée de la main de son fils. Il était à craindre que ce spectacle ne revoltât:2 et d'abord, en effet, la plûpart de ceux qui fréquentent les spectacles, accoûtumés à des élégies amoureuses, se liguèrent contre ce nouveau genre de Tragédie.3

The scene in question is the sixth of Act III. Sémiramis assembles the notables of her kingdom to announce to them the name of the man whom she has chosen to marry. Her choice falls, not on the intriguer Assur, but on Arzace, who, all unknown to her, is her own son, whose father Ninus she has murdered. At this announcement 'le tonnerre gronde, et le tombeau paraît s'ébranler'. I quote the scene (it is the final scene of Act III) in the version played in the Hamburg theatre (Vienna, 1765, pp. 47 ff.):

(Der Donner brullt, und das Grab scheint sich zu erschuttern.)

SEMIRAMIS. Was hor ich? Himmel! ach!
OROES. Ihr Götter

Ihr Götter! schutzt uns doch!

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The bad custom to which Voltaire refers was not abolished at the Théâtre Français until later (1759). Cp. Adolphe Jullier, Les Spectateurs sur le Théâtre, Paris, 1875, pp. 17 ff. Marmontel in the fourth book of his Mémoires (Paris, ed. of 1891, i, p. 289) writes: 'Sémiramis éperdue et l'ombre de Ninus sortant de son tombeau étoient obligées de traverser une épaisse haie de petits-maîtres.'

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Léris, however, remarks (op. cit. p. 402): 'L'ombre de Ninus paroissant sur le théatre dans cette piece, fut une nouveauté qui ne révolta pas tant le Public qu'on l'auroit cru.'

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Œuvres, ed. cut. 1x, pp. 21 ff, 25.

Semiramis. Der Himmel donnert. Ach! ist's Gute oder Rache! Ach Gnade! Gotter! Ach! Arsaz fur meine Sache. Welch klagliches Geschrey vermehrt die Furcht! Ich seh Das offne Grab Er kommt. .. Ihr Gotter! . .ich vergeh.

(Der Schatte des Ninus kommt aus dem Grabe)

Assur. Des Ninus Schatte selbst? Ist's moglich?
Arsaz. Gott der Schrecken!

Wohl, rede! was will uns dein Anblick hier entdecken? Assur. Ja, rede!

SEMIRAMIS. Willst du mir hold oder grausam seyn? Der Zepter und das Bett, die ich verschenkt, sind dein. Urtheil: soll dieser Held nicht deinen Zepter fuhren? Sprich, ich gehorche dir.

DER SCHATTE (zu dem ARSAZ.) Arsaz! du wirst regieren. Doch es sind Laster da, die auszusuhnen seyn. Du musst in meiner Gruft mir erst ein Opfer weihn. Dien meinem Sohn und mir. Denk an den Vater. Hore Den Oberpriester.

ARSAZ. Ja, du Schatte, den ich ehre, Du Halbgott, dessen Geist dies Clima itzt beseelt, Wiss, dass mir nicht der Muth bey deinem Anblick fehlt. Ich will in deine Gruft, trotz meinem Leben steigen; Doch sprich, was wird man mir dort für ein Opfer zeigen?

(Der Schatte kehrt sich von seiner Stelle zur Thure des Grabes.)

Er flieht.

Semiramis. Vergonn', da du des Ninus Schatte bist, Dass diese Hand dein Knie in deiner Gruft umschliesst; Dass meine Reue....

DER SCHATTE (an der Thur des Grabes). Halt! Scheu meine Asche. Gehe Bis es erst Zeit wird seyn, dass ich dich wieder sehe.

(Der Schatte geht wieder hinein, und das Grabmahl schliesst sich zu.)

Assur. Welch schrecklich Wunderwerk!

Semiramis. O folgt mir. Jedes Herz
Still in dem Tempel hier das Schrecken und den Schmerz.
Es wird des Ninus Geist sich schon versohnen lassen.
Beschutzt er den Arsaz. so kann er mich nicht hassen.
Der Himmel ists, der euch Monarchen geben kann.
Kommt, rufet ihn für mich und für Arsazen an.

Arzace learns in the following act from the High Priest the mystery of his birth and the reason for the appearance of Ninus's ghost.

Lessing scoffs at Voltaire's ghost which appears in the bright light

of day (xI, 85 ff.). The Journal encyclopédique for September, 1756 (pp. 102 f.), recorded that 'De nos jours au[x] premieres Représentations de Sémiramis, le spectre de Ninus sortant de son tombeau lui parut moins digne de foi, que de risée... Le succès de Sémiramis qui n'auroit pas été douteux à Londres, ne l'est plus aujourd'hui à Paris.'

Voltaire himself, in the Dissertation sur la Tragédie ancienne et moderne prefixed to Sémiramis, suggested the comparison with Hamlet which Lessing uses against him; in comparing the ghost in Hamlet with that of Ninus, he takes the opportunity of censuring Shakespeare's play as 'une pièce grossière et barbare', irregular and often absurd: 'On croirait que cet ouvrage est le fruit de l'imagination d'un Sauvage yvre.'<sup>2</sup>

But nevertheless Voltaire finds also sublime touches, worthy of the greatest genius: '...parmi les beautés qui étincellent au milieu de ces horribles extravagances, l'ombre du père d'*Hamlet* est un des coups de Théâtre des plus frappans'; and he proceeds to justify the apparition of Ninus in his own tragedy.

I deal elsewhere with the general principle of the use of the supernatural, and with the comparison with Hamlet.<sup>4</sup> But it may be said at once that here again Lessing is not quite fair to Voltaire: he denies him the right to introduce the supernatural, and then justifies Shakespeare, without making it clear that it is not Voltaire's right to use it, but his way of using it to which he objects. (There is a similarly unfair condemnation of Weisse when he is compared with Shakespeare in the treatment of Richard III (St. LXXIII).) The ghost in Voltaire's Sémiramis is in Lessing's view nothing but 'eine poetische Maschine, die nur des Knotens wegen da ist' (XII, 3). Here again he has Voltaire's own words in mind:

Si le nœud d'un Poeme tragique est tellement embrouillé, qu'on ne puisse se tirer d'embarras que par le secours d'un prodige, le spectateur sent la gêne où l'Auteur s'est mus, et la faiblesse de la ressource. Il ne voit qu'un Ecrivain qui se tire mal-adroitement d'un mauvais pas. Plus d'illusion,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> But according to the commentators on Voltaire, this was not the case. See Œwres Complètes de Voltaire, Paris, 1877-85, iv, p. 543: 'A ce moment, on faisait la nuit sur le théâtre, les éclairs brillaient, et l'ombre blanche de Ninus apparaissait enveloppée d'une gaze noire.—Voltaire réclamait, à la reprise de Sémiramus, "une grande diable de porte qui se brise et une trappe qui fasse sortir l'Ombre du fond des abîmes".'

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Œuvres, [Geneva], 1756-57, ix, p. 27.

<sup>3</sup> Ibid.

<sup>4</sup> See below, pp. 445 ff., 251 ff.

plus d'intérêt. Quodeunque ostendis mihi, sie incredulus odi. Mais je suppose que l'Auteur d'une Tragédie se fût proposé pour but d'avertir les hommes, que Dieu punit quelquefois de grands crimes par des voies extraordinaires...je dis qu'alors ce prodige, bien ménagé, ferait un très-grand effet en toute langue, en tout tems et en tout pays.<sup>1</sup>

This may be more philosophical than Shakespeare, Lessing comments, but Shakespeare thought more poetically. To Voltaire the apparition of Ninus is a 'miracle'; the ghost of Hamlet's father is a great natural happening (XII, I ff.).

Voltaire had claimed as a merit of Sémiramis that, like the tragedy of the ancients, it was built upon a moral truth: 'Les Anciens avaient souvent dans leurs ouvrages le but d'établir quelque grande maxime; ainsi Sophocle finit son Oedipe, en disant, qu'il ne faut jamais appeller un homme heureux avant sa mort.' So, too, Sémiramis ends with the lines:

Par ce terrible exemple, apprenez tous, du moins, Que les crimes secrets ont les Dieux pour témoins.

Such a conclusion may be no defect in a poet; but it is by no means necessary; and if *Sémiramis* had no other merit, it would be, in Lessing's eyes, 'nur ein sehr mittelmassiges Stuck' (XII, 32)—especially as Voltaire's moral is not a particularly lofty one.

Voltaire's Nanne is discussed in St. xxi. Lessing's objection to its title (22) I discuss later.<sup>4</sup> The statement that 'die Geschichte der Nanine ist die Geschichte der Pamela' (83) is from Léris (op. cit. p. 313): 'Le sujet de cette piece est tiré du Roman de Pamela.'5 And under 'Pamela' in Léris (ibid. pp. 330 f.) Lessing found the following: "Il y a deux Com[édies] de ce nom; la premiere, par Boissy, en 3 Ac[tes] en vers: elle a pour second titre la Vertu Mieux Éprouvée, et fut donnée avec succès au Thé[âtre] Ital[ien] le 4 Mars 1743. La

<sup>1</sup> Œuvres, ed. cit. ix, p. 29.

<sup>2</sup> Cp. the words of Oroès in Act in, sc. ii:

'Du Ciel, quand il le faut, la justice suprême Suspend l'ordre éternel établi par lui-même: Il permet à la mort d'interrompre ses loix, Pour l'effroi de la Terre, et l'exemple des Rois.'

<sup>3</sup> Œuvres, ed. cst. ix, p. 30.

<sup>4</sup> See below, pp. 467 f.

<sup>5</sup> An account of *Nanne* in Gottsched's *Never Buchersaal* (x (1), 1750, pp. 72 ff) contains the statement: 'Es ist namlich die Geschichte der *Pamela*; doch so sehr ins Kurze gebracht, als es der sehr eingeschrankte Raum von dreyen Aufzugen erheischet hat' (p. 72). But Voltaire's play has little in common with *Pamela* apart from the difference in rank between Nanine and the Comte d'Olban.

seconde, de Nivelle de la Chaussée, en 5 Ac[tes] en vers, représentée une seule fois à la Comédie Fran[çaise] le 6 Déce[mbre] 1743, et qui n'est pas imprimée." The remainder of St. xxi, which is derived from Voltaire himself, concerns, not *Nanne*, but the 'comédie larmoyante' in general; its place is consequently in another section of this volume. Lessing has no criticism of his own to offer of the play, nor does he mention the translation.

Voltaire's comedy, Die Frau, welche Recht hat, was played late in the period covered by the Dramaturgie; and Lessing dismisses it briefly (LXXXIII, 103) as a play without characters and interest, but with comic situations arising from false recognitions and misunderstandings. In view of the foreignness of the customs and the wretchedness of the translation, Lessing finds Voltaire's 'mot pour rire' for the most part incomprehensible. In Léris (op. cit. p. 189) Lessing found only the meagre statement: 'Com[édie] en pro[se] par M. de Voltaire, imprimée en 1760.'

The most modern of Voltaire's plays to be produced in Hamburg was Das Caffeehaus (xII, 64). The author had endeavoured to hoodwink the public about the origin of the play; in the original preface to L'Écossaise<sup>3</sup> he had informed his readers: 'La Comédie dont nous présentons la traduction aux amateurs de la littérature, est de Monsieur Hume, pasteur de l'Eglise d'Edimbourg, déjà connu par deux belles tragédies, jouées à Londres.' And in the introductory letter to 'Messieurs les Parisiens' he quotes a letter from 'Mr. Hume' and cites the Journal encyclopédique of April, 1758 (iii, p. 137) to the effect that 'L'auteur de Douglas est le ministre Hume, parent du fameux David Hume, si célèbre [par son esprit et] par son impiété.' The author of Douglas was, of course, John Home, and he was not related to David Hume.<sup>5</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Lessing makes a casual reference to La Chaussée's *Pamela* in St. xxi (87); see below, p. 229.

<sup>2</sup> See below, pp. 395 ff.

<sup>3</sup> Seconde Suite des Mélanges de Littérature, d'Histoire, de Philosophie, etc. [Geneva], 1761, pp. 15f. (Œuvres, ed cit. v (11), pp. 15f)

<sup>4</sup> Œuvres, ed. cit. v (11), p. 8.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> On February 11, 1761, Mendelssohn wrote to Lessing (Schriften, xix, p. 167): 'Das Publikum bestehet noch immer darauf, Voltaire sey der Verfasser des Coffé, so wenig die Anlage des Stucks auch Voltairen ahnlich siehet. Meine Freunde melden mir aus Hamburg, es hatten verschiedene Kauffeute von da, nach England geschrieben, und die Urschrift verlangt, man hatte ihnen aber geantwortet, es sey kein englisches Stuck unter diesem Nahmen bekannt. Ist das Stuck anders von Voltairen; so muss die Luft der republikanischen Freyheit, die er 11zt athmet, seine ganze Denkungsart verändert haben.'

Voltaire himself in his preface suggested a similarity (70) with Goldoni:

La Comédie intitulée l'Ecossaise nous parut un de ces ouvrages qui peuvent réussir dans toutes les langues, parce que l'auteur peint la nature, qui est partout la même: il a la naiveté et la vérité de l'estimable Goldoni, avec peut-être plus d'intrigue, de force, et d'intérêt.<sup>1</sup>

But there appears to be no ground for Lessing's suggestion (71) that Don Marzio in La Bottega del Caffè was the model of Frélon, in whom Voltaire attacked the editor of the Année littéraire, E. C. Fréron. French critics point out that Voltaire had already sketched a similar figure in his comedy L'Envieux (1738, but not printed until 1834).2 It is not the character of Frélon, however, Lessing says (83), but that of Freeport with his 'plumpe Edelmuthigkeit', which would commend the play to German audiences. Partly in order to disprove Voltaire's statement in his preface, quoted above, Lessing now turns to George Colman's English version of his play and the English criticisms of it.3 This I deal with in my next chapter, as being of greater interest for Lessing's estimate of the translator. He also mentions here (137) the Italian translation which he found in the Biblioteca teatrale italiana of Ottaviano Diodati.<sup>5</sup> It is literal, apart from the fact that the ending is altered in the interests of poetic justice, Frélon receiving the punishment which Voltaire pretends he had received in his 'English original':

Nous avouons en même temps que nous avons crû, par le conseil des hommes les plus éclairés, devoir retrancher quelque chose du rolle de Frélon, qui paraissait encor dans les derniers Actes: il était puni, comme de raison, à la fin de la piéce; mais cette justice qu'on lui rendait, semblait mêler un peu de froideur au vif intérêt qui entraine l'esprit vers le dénouement.<sup>6</sup>

Voltaire's chief rival in the theatre, Prosper Jolyot de Crébillon, whom Lessing had treated with great respect in his *Theatralische* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Œuvres, ed. cit v (ii), p 15.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See Bengesco, op. at. 1, pp. 32 f.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Schroter and Thiele (*Lessings Hamburgische Dramaturgie*, ed. cit p. 74) point out that Lessing is wrong (XII, 107) in asserting that it is Lord Falbridge to whom Monrose owes his pardon; it is Murray

<sup>4</sup> See below, pp. 257 f.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Vol. 1 (1762), pp. 180 ff. See below, p. 279.

<sup>6</sup> Œuvres, ed. cit. v (ii), p. 16.

Bibliothek, is only mentioned twice in the Dramaturgie (LXXIV, 44—'Crebillon der Schreckliche'—and LXXXI, 138). The Crébillon mentioned in XX (120) is the son, Claude Prosper Jolyot de Crébillon, the author of Le Sopha (1745) and other novels.

# C. F. P. DE SAINT-FOIX, CÉROU AND TH. L'AFFICHARD

Lessing has naturally little or no criticism to offer of the two one-act pieces by Saint-Foix, Der Finanzpachter (xx, 139)—'Nie hat ein Dichter ein kleineres niedlicheres Ganze zu machen gewusst' (143)—and Das Orakel (LXXIII, 12); for both had long been familiar on the German stage. The little play by Cérou (or Séron), Der Liebhaber als Schriftsteller und Bedienter (xiv, 83), is described by Léris (op. cit. p. 21) as a 'jolie Com[édie] en un Acte en prose, par M. Cérou² lors étudiant en Droit, jouée aux Italiens le 8 Fév[rier] 1740, avec succès'. Lessing praises its high comic merit, both in situation and action. L'Affichard's Ist er von Familie? (xvii, 46) is only criticised in respect of its title, which Lessing finds somewhat misleading.

## P. C. NIVELLE DE LA CHAUSSÉE

Concerning Nivelle de la Chaussée's Mélanide (VIII, 4) Lessing found in Léris, besides the date (op. cit. p. 290) the comment: 'On prétend qu'elle est tirée du Roman intitulé Mademoiselle de Bontems.' What he has to say, however, does not concern the play itself, but 'weinerliche Komödie' in general. That the situation of Act III, sc. ii, where Rosalie endeavours to convince her lover, Darviane, of her want of love for him, should appeal especially to the author of Minna von Barnhelm (VIII, 19), might be expected. For the rest, Lessing discusses the translation, which he considers infinitely better than the Italian one in Diodati's Biblioteca teatrale. The comparison which he institutes between Die Mutterschule of Nivelle de la Chaussée, performed on

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Cp. Theatralische Bibliothek, 11, p. 117; Schriften, vi, p. 233. 'So wie ihnen Corneille der grosse [cp. xxxvi, 86; Lxxxi, 76]...Voltaire der prachtige heisst so heisst ihnen Crebillon der schreckliche.'

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For the variant forms of the author's name see above, p. 67.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The novel was by T. S. Gueullette (Paris, 1736); but it does not appear to have been La Chaussée's source. (Cp. Schroter and Thiele, ed. cit. p. 47.)

<sup>4</sup> See below, pp. 395 ff.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> See below, p. 279.

May 29, and the play of the same title by Marivaux (xxi, 5) was suggested in the comment of Léris (op. cit. p. 158):

L'Ecole des Meres. Nous avons deux Com[édies] sous ce titre; la premiere, de M. de Marivaux, en un Ac[te] en pro[se] suivie d'un Div[ertissement] jouée au Thé[âtre] Ital[ien] le 26 Juillet 1732; et la seconde en 5 Ac[tes] en vers libres, par Nivelle de La Chaussée, représentée pour la premiere fois à la Comédie Fran[çaise] le 27 Avril 1744, et continuée avec beaucoup de succès.

Lessing refers also, but only in passing, to La Chaussée's *Paméla* (xxi, 87).

## J. B. L. GRESSET

On Gresset's Sidnei (xvII, 2) Lessing had again recourse to the work of Léris, where he found (op. cit. p. 405):

Sidney, Com[édie] de M. Gresset, en vers et en 3 Ac[tes], donnée au Thé[âtre] Fran[çais] le 3 Mai 1745. Cette piece, malgré le sublime qu'on y trouve, et sa versification coulante et châtiée, n'eut pas à Paris tout le succès qu'elle eût pu avoir à Londres; le héros de la piece étant un Seigneur Anglois dégoûté de la vie, et qui veut y renoncer volontairement.

Lessing, however, rightly thinks that the English would find the play un-English. The Germans are not so sensitive; but he takes exception to Gresset's concession to etiquette (in Act III, sc. v) in not mentioning first the servant who has saved his hero's life. The latter, he considers, philosophises too much before he takes the poison, and too little after. His penitence is cowardice, and he is altogether too much at the mercy of his servant (10).

## F. H. DE GRAFIGNY

In the case of the first performance of Madame de Grafigny's Cénie (xx, 2)—'dieses vortreffliche Stuck'—Lessing is concerned with the merit, or lack of merit, of the translation. Fourteen years before, he had reviewed Frau Gottsched's version in the Berlinische privilegirte Zeitung (May 24, 1753), and on that occasion favourably:

Cenie ist ein Meisterstuck in dem Geschmacke der weinerlichen Lustspiele. Die Kunstrichter mogen wider diese Art dramatischer Stucke einwenden was sie wollen; das Gefuhl der Leser und Zuschauer wird sie

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Schriften, v, pp. 168 f Petersen (ed. cit. p. 202) expresses doubts as to Lessing's authorship of this review.

allezeit vertheidigen, wenn ihre Verfasser anders das sanftere Mitleiden eben so geschickt zu erwecken wissen, als die Frau von Grafigny. Sie hat an der Frau Gottschedin die wurdigste Übersetzerinn gefunden, weil nur diejenigen zartliche Gedanken zartlich verdollmetschen konnen, welche sie selbst gedacht zu haben fahig sind. Ihre Übersetzung war in Wien sehr fehlerhaft abgedruckt worden, und es ist ein Gluck, dass die Fr. Professorin bose werden kann, sonst wurden wir diesen richtigern Abdruck nicht erhalten haben.

But between 1753 and 1767 Lessing's attitude to Gottsched changed from discipleship to open hostility, and he could now afford to be critical of the 'gelehrte Freundinn'. He withdraws the very moderate praise he had bestowed on her translation of Destouches (XIII, 20), and condemns unsparingly that of *Cénie* (XX, 9 ff.).<sup>1</sup>

He shows how in her prosaic literalness she misses entirely the feeling of the original; as for example when she translates 'J'en jouirai, je vous rendrai tous heureux' (Act 1, sc. iii) by 'Alsdenn werde ich meiner Guter erst recht geniessen, wenn ich euch beide dadurch werde glucklich gemacht haben', when it should have been: 'Ich will ihrer geniessen, ich will euch alle glucklich machen.' The language of the heart which is to be heard in the original is drowned in Frau Gottsched's deluge of words. Particularly witty is his sally against her 'ugly ceremonial tone': her translation of 'ma mère' by 'Frau Mutter', of 'son père' by 'sein gnädiger Herr Vater'. ',, Frau Mutter! o welch ein susser Name!" ['Ma mère! que ce nom est doux!' Act IV, sc. iii.] Der Name Mutter ist suss; aber Frau Mutter ist wahrer Honig mit Citronensaft!' (54).<sup>2</sup> On the occasion of the second performance (July 10) Lessing discusses the question of Madame de Grafigny's

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> A criticism of an English version of *Cénie* appeared in the *Journal étranger* for May, 1755. The critic there says of the original (pp. 142 f.): 'On y a fort admiré l'élégance et la pureté du stile...le sentiment, la diction, l'action excellente...ont assuré et soutenu le succès de la Pièce.' But he adds: 'On ne voit, dans l'original, nu le genre d'esprit, ni l'espèce de ridicule, ni la varieté de caractères qui constitue [une Comédie].'

This sally of Lessing's was perhaps a reminiscence of a passage in Diderot's De la poésie dramatique (Euvres Complètes, ed J. Assézat, Paris, 1875-77, vii, p. 372; in Lessing's translation, ii, p. 420): 'Quelle sera donc la ressource d'un poete, chez un peuple dont les mœurs sont faibles, petites et maniérées; où l'imitation rigoureuse des conversations ne formerait qu'un tissu d'expressions fausses, insensées et basses; où il n'y a plus ni franchise, ni bonhomie; où un père appelle son fils monsieur, et où une mère appelle sa fille mademoiselle; où les cérémonies publiques n'ont rien d'auguste; la conduite domestique, rien de touchant et d'honnête; les actes solennels, rien de vrai?'

authorship of the play (LIII, 3 ff.). This had been denied in Chevrier's Observateur des Spectacles, in a passage which Lessing quotes from vol. i, p. 211. The original is as follows:

Cenie annoncé sous le nom de Madame de Graffigni est de l'Abbé de V\*\*\*\*, elle fut d'abord faitte en vers, mais comme Madame de Graffigny qui ne s'avisa qu'a 54 ans¹ de vouloir jouer l'Auteur, n'avoit jamais fait un vers, on mit Cenie en Prose mais l'Auteur y a laissé 81 vers qui y existent dans leur entier.

Again, the statement 'Chevrier hat mehr solche verkleinerliche geheime Nachrichten', etc. (LIII, 51) is based on the following passage:

Avant de donner les Couplets que nous annonçons, il est a propos de remarquer que la Demoiselle Favart<sup>2</sup> qui sait a peine lire, a la manie de vouloir tenir un rang parmi nos femmes Auteurs, l'Abbé de Voisenon digne prétre qui jouit d'une abbaye considerable, se dispense de dire la messe et fait de jolis vers, s'est offert pour être le teinturier de la Demoiselle Favart et même de son sombre mari sur les pièces duquel il jette la polissoire, l'Opera Comique d'Annette et Lubin³ partant de la même manufacture, un plaisant a fait les cinq couplets suivans qui courent manuscrits dans tout Paris.⁴

What characteristics has Cénie that would tempt us to regard it as not the work of a woman? Here Lessing quotes from two notes to Rousseau's Lettre à M. d'Alembert (Amsterdam, 1758, pp. 193 and 78 of the first edition). The first of these is:

Les femmes, en général, n'aiment aucun art, ne se connoissent à aucun, et n'ont aucun génie. Elles peuvent réussir aux petits ouvrages qui ne demandent que de la légereté d'esprit, du goût, et de la grace, quelquefois même de la philosophie et du raisonnement. Elles peuvent acquérir de la science, de l'érudition, des talens, et tout ce qui s'acquiert à force de travail. Mais ce feu céleste qui échauffe et embrase l'ame, ce génie qui consume

- <sup>1</sup> Mad. de Grafigny, born in 1695, published *Cénie* in 1750 (cp. G. Noël, *Madame de Grafigny* (1695–1758), Paris, 1913, pp. 7, 243 ff.); Lessing repeats Chevrier's statement.
  - <sup>2</sup> Marie Justine Benoîte La Favart, the wife of the dramatist (1727-72).
- <sup>3</sup> Annette et Lubin was produced on February 15, 1762 (Léris, op. cit. p. 43). Chevrier discusses this work at the beginning of the second volume, criticising its style as being too elegant and mentioning the Abbé de Voisenon as its author (ii, p. 8).
- <sup>4</sup> Vol. ii, p. 91. The couplets are entitled *Chanson sur les Auteur* [siz] d'ANNETTE ET LUBIN (pp. 92 f) Lessing's reference to 'die Gassenhauer in der franzosischen Geschichte' (LIII, 56) may be compared to the statement in the 'Rettung' of Cochlæus: '...er sagte, die Reformation sey...in dem liederreichen Frankreich das Werk eines Gassenhauers gewesen' (Schriften, v, p. 366).

et dévore, cette brulante éloquence, ces transports sublimes qui portent leurs ravissemens jusqu'au fond des cœurs, manqueront toujours aux écrits des femmes....

### And the second:

Ce n'est point par étourderie que je cite Cénie en cet endroit, quoique cette charmante Piece soit l'ouvrage d'une femme; car, cherchant la vérité de bonne foi, je ne sais point déguiser ce qui fait contre mon sentiment, et ce n'est pas à une femme, mais aux femmes que je refuse les talens des hommes J'honore d'autant plus volontiers ceux de l'auteur de Cénie en particulier, qu'ayant à me plaindre de ses discours, je lui rends un hommage pur et désintéressé, comme tous les éloges sortis de ma plume.

#### DENIS DIDEROT

None of the many gaps in the dramatic criticism of the Hamburgische Dramaturgie is more to be regretted than the omission of any estimate of Diderot as a dramatic writer; for no German critic was better qualified than Lessing, who had virtually introduced him to his countrymen, to speak of him with sympathy and understanding. But Der Hausvater was produced at a time when Lessing had been long alienated from the Hamburg theatre; and he employs the occasion provided by the performance of that play (LXXXIV, I) to discuss mainly questions of dramatic theory.

As in the preface to the second edition of his translation of Diderot Lessing had said: 'Diderot scheint uberhaupt auf das deutsche Theater weit mehr Einfluss gehabt zu haben, als auf das Theater seines eigenen Volks', so now (LXXXIV, 4) he repeats that Le Père de famille had been played 'kaum ein oder zweymal' in France. As a matter of fact, however, it had not been quite so unsuccessful. After being produced at Marseilles in 1760, it was played at the Comédie française on February 18, 1761, and repeated seven times, the performance being only interrupted by the Easter vacation.<sup>2</sup>

Lessing has more to say in criticism of Le Fils naturel, which was not performed in Hamburg in his time, than of Le Père de famille. He considers that Diderot's demand for naturalism in the drama is by

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Schriften, viii, p. 288.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Diderot, Œures complètes, ed. cit. vii, pp. 171f Lessing wrote on January 13, 1771, to Eva König in Vienna (Schriften, xvii, p. 369): 'Versaumen Sie es doch aber ja nicht, ihm [Sonnenfels] seinen Willen zu thun und den Hausvater zu sehen. Ich bin sehr begierig zu wissen, ob er in Wien besser gespielt wird, als wir ihn in Hamburg gesehen haben.'

no means fulfilled in Le Fils naturel; there is (LXXXV, 126) too much uniformity in the characters, too much 'Romantische'; the dialogue is stiff and affected, a pedantic jumble of new-fangled philosophical sentences. Especially the solemn Theresia (or Constantia as she is called in the original), who goes about even her wooing so philosophically, and speaks so wisely of virtuous children with the man who does not care for her, gives the critics ample scope for mockery. This scene (Act IV, sc. iii) had indeed been held up to ridicule by Palissot de Montenoy:

Où sommes-nous, Madame? Que deviennent les bienséances? Voilà donc le langage philosophique que doit parler l'amour sur nos Théatres C'est l'amour envisagé comme le besoin de multiplier l'espéce, mis sur la Scène, comme il est peint dans le Tableau de l'Amour conjugal. Oui, Madame, et c'est encore une des singularités brillantes de cet Ouvrage.<sup>2</sup>

With this might be compared a criticism of Le Fils naturel which appeared in the Bibliothek der schonen Wissenschaften (but which is largely drawn from Palissot):

Die Charaktere sind alle von einer Art. Alle sind die ehrlichsten Leute von der Welt. Alle, so gar die Bedienten, sind Philosophen, und die Frauenzimmersind so metaphysisch, als die Mannspersonen.... Constance ist vollends auf dem Theater unleidlich. Ihre lange metaphysische Liebeserklarung macht sie lacherlich. Ihr Streit mit dem Dorval, den sie nicht heirathen will, macht sie verachtlich: und welche Comodiantinn würde auf dem Theater, ohne ausgelacht zu werden, ihren Geliebten mit der Hoffnung unterhalten konnen, dass die Kinder, die sie bald mit einander zeugen wurden, allerliebst seyn wurden? Alles hat in diesem Stucke den metaphysischen Ton, den Ton der Zeit, die Schreibart ist oft gekunstelt, die Gedanken, so schon und fein sie oft sind, sind eben so monotonisch, als die Charakter.<sup>8</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Why Lessing changed the name of the heroine from Constantia to Theresia is not clear.
- <sup>2</sup> Petites lettres sur de grands philosophes, Paris, 1757, p. 54 (Théâtre et Œuvres diverses, London (Paris), 1763, 11, p. 141; on Lessing's use of this edition see below, p. 411.) In Le Fils naturel, Act IV, sc 11i, Constance says: 'Dorval, vos enfants ne sont point destinés à tomber dans le chaos que vous redoutez. Ils passeront sous vos yeux les premières années de leur vie; et c'en est assez pour vous répondre de celles qui suivront. Ils apprendront de vous à penser comme vous. Vos passions, vos goûts, vos idées passeront en eux. Ils tiendront de vous ces notions si justes que vous avez de la grandeur et de la bassesse réelles, du bonheur véritable et de la misère apparente. Il ne dépendra que de vous, qu'ils aient une conscience toute semblable à la vôtre. Ils vous verront agir, ils m'entendront parler quelquefois. Dorval, vos filles seront honnêtes et décentes; vos fils seront nobles et fiers. Tous vos enfants seront charmants.'
  - <sup>8</sup> Bibliothek der schonen Wissenschaften, v (ii) (1759), pp. 253 f.

A further criticism by Palissot on which Lessing draws (LXXXVII-LXXXVIII, 5) is the following (Théâtre et Œuvres diverses, ed. cit. ii, p. 135):

Une des singularités de ce chef-d'œuvre, c'est son titre. Cela s'appelle le Fils Naturel, on ne sait pourquoi. Vous connaissez la marche de la piece. La condition de Dorval influe-t-elle en rien dans l'ouvrage? Y fait-elle un événement? Amene-t-elle une situation? Fournit-elle, seulement, un remplissage? Non. Quel peut donc avoir été le but de l'Auteur? De renouveler des Grecs deux ou trois réflexions sur l'injustice des préjugés de naissance? Mais, qui ne sait que l'homme sage ne compte point parmi les vrais biens les hazards de la fortune?

Lessing leaves the play in St. LXXXVI and turns to Diderot's views on the nature of dramatic characters: how far they should be typical or individual; and how those of tragedy should differ from those of comedy.<sup>2</sup>

A note may here be inserted on an anonymous French play, L'Humanité ou le Tableau de l'Indigence, to which Lessing refers in St. XIV (51). It had been produced under Ackermann in 1764 as Die Menschlichkeit, oder Schilderung der Durftigkeit, translated by J. H. Steffens. It was published under this title at Celle in 1764; also as Die Menschlichkeit oder das Gemälde der Dürftigkeit. Aus dem Franzosischen übersetzt [N.P.], in 1762, and as Die Menschlichkeit, oder das Gemahlde der Armuth. Ein bürgerliches Trauerspiel in funf Aufzugen, at Hamburg in 1764. A copy of the 1762 edition, and one of the Hamburg edition of 1764, which is a different translation, are in the Hamburg Staats- und Universitätsbibliothek. The French original was described by Léris (op. cit. p. 728 (Supplément)) as 'Piece singuliere...remplie de beautés et de situations attendrissantes: elle a été imprimée en 1761, avec des réflexions sur les spectacles et sur les mœurs; quelque tems après on la joua à Lyon avec de légers changemens, et elle eut un grand succès: on n'en connoît pas l'Auteur.' Lessing may have read a letter on the French original in the Journal encyclopedique of July 1761.3 It was possibly the description of the play here—'un ouvrage anonyme, mais fait, si mon cœur ne me trompe, pour être avoué par nos plus grands Écrivains'-which induced the editor of the London edition

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The review in the Bibliothek der schonen Wissenschaften had repeated (p. 253) this criticism: 'Aber wir wissen nicht, warum es der natur liche Sohn genennt werde: Dorvals unächte Geburt hat nicht den geringsten Einfluss in die Begebenheiten der Handlung.'

<sup>2</sup> See below, pp. 400 ff.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Journal Encyclopédique, July 15, 1761, pp. 138 ff.

of Diderot's works (1773) to attribute it to that writer (vol. v, pp. 344 ff.). It cannot, however, be by him. Crouslé says (*Dramaturgie de Hambourg*, trad. de E. de Suckau, Paris, 1869, p. 70): 'L'auteur de cette pièce est Randon, qui a donné, dans la même année (1761), Zamir, "tragédie bourgeoise". Randon est un disciple de Diderot.'1

## C. S. FAVART

The performance of Favart's Solimann der Zweyte on July 3 (xxxiii, 2) gives Lessing an opportunity to return to a theme which more than once had claimed his attention in the *Dramaturgie*: the distinctive character of dramatic as compared with narrative presentation.<sup>2</sup> Favart's comedy is, as Léris had mentioned (op. cit. p. 408), a dramatisation of the second of Marmontel's Contes Moraux, which it follows closely. That story, Lessing says (14 ff.), is told with wit, elegance and charm; but it is difficult to say why it should be called 'moral'. It is only so in the sense that it is less immoral than the stories of La Fontaine or Grécourt. After giving us a lively summary of the tale (24 ff.) Lessing points out (63) that Marmontel introduces it with the reflection: 'C'est un plaisir de voir les graves Historiens se creuser la tête pour trouver de grandes causes aux grands événemens'; and closes it with the statement: 'Soliman transporté de joie et d'amour, vint prendre Roxelane pour la mener à la Mosquée, et il disoit tout bas en l'y conduisant: Est-il possible qu'un petit nez retroussé renverse les loix d'un Empire?'4 He had, however (70), a more specific moral in view than merely to illustrate the disproportion between cause and effect. Lessing quotes from the preface, where Marmontel says (p. ii):

Je me proposai d'y faire sentir la folie de ceux qui employent l'autorité pour mettre une femme à la raison; et je pris pour exemple un Sultan et son Esclave, commes [sic] les deux extremités de la domination et de la dépendance.

Lessing found an incentive to make a comparison of Favart's play with its source in an article in the Journal encyclopédique, January 15,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Cp. Quérard, La France Littéraire, vii (Paris, 1835), p. 451, where Randon is stated to be the author of both plays (editions dated 1761); but cp. also Œuvres complètes de Diderot, ed. cit. vii, pp. 5 f. and Petersen, ed. cit. p. 195.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See below, pp 460 f.

<sup>3</sup> Contes Moraux, Paris, 1765, 1, p. 51.

<sup>4</sup> Ibid. p. 84.

1762—from which he quotes in condensed form (xxxIII, 107 ff.). The original is as follows (pp. 79 ff.):

Soliman II fut un des plus grands Princes de son siécle Les Turcs n'ont point d'Empereur dont la mémoire leur soit plus chère. Ses victoires, ses talens, mille vertus le rendirent l'objet de la vénération des ennemis mêmes dont il triomphoit. Ce Héros si sensible à la gloire, ne put se défendre de l'être à l'amour. Mais délicat dans ses plaisirs, il sentit même au milieu de la corruption d'un serrail, que la volupté est vile sans le sentiment. Il crut l'avoir trouvé dans Roxelane jeune Italienne, amenée au serrail comme captive, tendre peut-être, mais plus artificieuse encore, ambitieuse et habile dans l'art de faire servir ses plaisirs à sa grandeur. A force de feindre le sentiment, elle amena le sensible Soliman jusqu'à fouler aux pieds la loi de l'Empire qui défendoit au Sultan de se marier. Elle monta sur le trône avec lui: ambition pardonnable si Roxelane ne se fût pas servie de son ascendant sur son Amant pour le forcer à souiller sa gloire en immolant un fils innocent! c'est cette femme que Mr. Marmontel a choisie pour en faire l'héroine d'un de ses Contes. Mais qu'il l'a changée! d'abord d'Italienne, il l'a fait Françoise. D'une femme artificieuse et jouant le sentiment, il en a fait une coquette des cercles de Paris; enfin au lieu d'une ame dévorée d'ambition et capable des coups les plus hardis et les plus noirs pour la satisfaire, il lui a donné une tête légère, et un cœur excellent Ces travestissemens sont-ils permis? Un Poëte, un Conteur, quelque licence qu'on leur ait donnée, peuvent-ils l'étendre sur les caractères connus? Maîtres de changer dans les faits, ont-ils le droit de peindre Lucrèce coquette, et Socrate galant? Ce sont des doutes que nous proposons à Mr. Marmontel lui-même. Quoiqu'il en soit, le Conte est très-agréable, et prêtoit au comique. Mr. Favart l'a apperçu, et s'est empressé de le faire passer sur le théâtre. Le succès a été brillant; Les Sultanes applaudies il y a six mois à leur naissance, viennent d'être reprises, et n'ont rien perdu de leur succès théatral, en paroissant au grand jour de l'impression.

Remembering his previous argument (XXIII, 118 ff.) that characters must be more sacred to the poet than facts, Lessing is not disposed (XXXIII, 127) to undertake any defence of Marmontel. But he observes that after all, it is a more pardonable fault (XXXIV, 1) not to give people in fiction their historical characters than to offend against probability in depicting them.<sup>1</sup> The character of Marmontel's Sultan is far from being consistent and credible (52 ff.).<sup>2</sup> Equally lacking is

<sup>1</sup> See below, pp. 442 ff.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For the quotation in xxxiv, 56 ff. see Marmontel, Contes Moraux, ed. cit. i, pp. 51 f.. 'Je suis las, dit-il un jour, de ne voir ici que des machines caressantes. Ces Esclaves me font pitié. Leur molle docilité n'a rien de piquant, rien de flateur. C'est à des cœurs nourris dans le sein de la liberté, qu'il seroit doux de faire aimer l'esclavage' For 65 ff. cp. 'Soliman qui étoit trop grand homme pour traiter en affaire d'état la police de ses plaisirs, fut curieux de voir cette jeune évaporée' (*ibid.* p. 64).

the sense of purpose ('Absicht') in the characters of Soliman and Roxelane (126). And the critic of the *Journal encyclopédique* may be right (137) in insisting that in these matters Favart is more at fault than Marmontel. The passage translated by Lessing (140 ff.) is in the original (pp. 91 f.):

La vraisemblance, indifférente peut-être dans un Conte, mais essentielle dans un drame, est ici violée partout. Le Grand Soliman y joue un rôle assez petit; on a de la peine à ne voir ce Héros que sous ce point de vue. Mais le caractère des Sultans y est encore plus manqué; on ne reconnoît point cette autorité absolue devant laquelle tout se tait. On pouvoit sans doute l'adoucir; mais il ne falloit pas la faire disparoître entièrement. Le caractère de Roxelane a fait plaisir à cause du jeu; mais la réflexion lui est-elle favorable? Ce rôle est-il vraisemblable? Elle parle au Sultan comme à un Bourgeois de Paris; elle critique tous ses usages; elle contredit tous ses goûts; elle lui dit des choses très-dures et souvent très-offensantes. Tout cela pourroit peut-être se dire; mais il falloit au moins l'adoucir dans les termes. On est revolté d'entendre une jeune Aventurière régenter le Grand Soliman, et lui apprendre l'art de regner. Le trait du mouchoir méprisé est trop fort, et celui de la pipe jettée est insupportable.

In elucidation of the last sentence Lessing refers (xxxv, 1) to the fact that these two incidents belong to Favart's version. In Marmontel's tale the Sultan sends a message by Délia, the deposed favourite, to Roxelane, inviting her to supper. The latter, however, regards the invitation as an insult, and demands of the Sultan that Délia shall be invited too, to which he agrees. She also insists that Délia shall sing to them, and presents her with a handkerchief as a compliment from the Sultan:

Roxelane parut charmée; elle demanda tout bas un mouchoir à Soliman; il lui en donna un sans se douter de son dessein. Madame, dit-elle à Délia en le lui présentant, c'est de la part du Sultan que je vous donne le mouchoir; vous l'avez bien mérité.¹

In the play, on the other hand (Act II, sc. xiii—sc. xv in the German translation), the Sultan grows angry, takes the handkerchief back from Délia and gives it to her other rival Elmire. The incident of the pipe occurs in Act II, sc. iii, where Roxelane seizes the Sultan's pipe from his hand and throws it to the ground.

Lessing has clearly a higher opinion of Favart's comedy than the French reviewer; indeed, one must add, too high an opinion. He

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Contes Moraux, ed. cit. i, pp. 76 f.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> It is interesting, however, to compare with Lessing's opinion of the play here his letter of November 29, 1770 to Eva Konig, who was then in Vienna. Favart's

claims the same right which he had claimed for Marmontel, to create consistent characters irrespective of the historical setting. Here again. may we not admit considerable justification for the contrary standpoint? Lessing remarks, in particular, that, while Marmontel is content to depict Roxelane as a mere coquette, who tantalises the Sultan until she attains her object (xxxv, 24), Favart's heroine 'scheinet die kecke Buhlerınn mehr gespielt zu haben, als zu seyn'. and he quotes with approval her confession of love for him at the end (Act III, [sc. ix]; xxxv, 56 ff.). Apart from the thought which must strike every modern reader, that this is a by no means blameless descent into theatrical sentimentality, it is interesting to examine the reasons for Lessing's approval. It may merely seem a bid-and from the standpoint of the theatre no doubt a justifiable bid—for the audience's sympathy; but Lessing clothes the idea in more philosophical phrase: it is the infusion of an element of interest into the character of Roxelane. which justifies the reward she receives in being elevated to the rank of Sultana. Without the happy turn given by Favart at the end, he says (xxxvi, 1) her triumph would have been as ludicrous as that of a Serva Padrona; Soliman would have been no more than a 'klaglicher Pimpinello' and Roxelane a 'hassliche, verschmitzte Serbinette';¹ so too, he adds (15), without some similar concession, the story of Die Matrone von Ephesus is impossible on the stage.

Lessing evidently intended to return to this matter in his discussion of the representation of the play on August 24—it may be noted that St. xxxv is dated August 28. In his Verzeichnisse der aufgeführten Dramen he made a note to this effect: 'N.B. das Rückstandige von 36.'<sup>2</sup> And in the Entwurfe zu Besprechungen³ is the following:

71te Vorstellung. Soliman der zweyte.

Ob Favart die Veränderung aus kritischen Ursachen gemacht? Ob er es nicht blos gethan, um s. Nation zu schmeicheln? Um seine Franzosin nicht allein zum lebhaftesten, witzigsten, unterhaltendsten, sondern auch

comedy had just been the cause of Sonnenfels's retirement from the office of censor. 'Dem Stücke, welches ihm diese kleine Krankung verursacht hat, bin ich selbst nicht gut. Ich wurde es kaum auf einem deutschen Theater dulden, wenn Roxellane auch eine Deutsche ware: nun aber gar in der vermeinten Hauptstadt von Deutschland—denn dafur will S[onnenfels] Wien mit aller Gewalt gehalten wissen,—den Trumph einer Franzosischen Stumpfnase auf die Buhne zu bringen, ist schlechterdings unertraglich. Ich will auch hoffen, dass es mehr dieser Umstand, als das Schnupftuch oder Spiegel ist, welcher die Dame oder die Damen in Wien bewogen hat, das Stuck verbieten zu lassen' (Schriften, xvii, p. 352).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See below, pp. 280 f. <sup>2</sup> Schriften, xv, p. 51. <sup>3</sup> Ibid. pp. 44 f.

edelsten und grossmuthigsten Madchen zu machen? Damit man sagen musse: es ist wahr, sie ist ein narrisches unbedachtsames Ding, aber doch zugleich das beste Herz?—So wie Boissy, im Franzosen zu London, seinen Petitmatre, am Ende doch zu einem jungen Menschen von Ehre macht; und dadurch alles das Gute was die Schilderung seiner Thorheiten stiften konnte wieder verderbt.¹ Marmontel sagt uberhaupt schon von der Rolle des Petitmaitres (Poetiq. Fr. T. II, p. 395)2 On s'amuse à recopier le Petit-Maitre, sur lequel tous les traits du ridicule sont épuisés, et dont la peinture n'est plus qu'une ecole pour les jeunes gens, qui ont quelque disposition à le devenir. Die franzosischen dramatischen Dichter überhaupt sind itzt die berechnendsten Schmeichler der Nation. Nur durch die Eitelkeit derselben bringen sie ihre Versuche in Schutz. Beweise hiervon an der Belagerung von Calais, und noch neuerlich an—. Gleichwohl sind wir Deutsche so gutherzige Narren ihnen diese Stucke nachzuspielen, und die kahlen Lobeserhebungen der Franzosen auf deutschen Theatern erschallen zu lassen. Unmoglich konnen doch bey uns ihre Tragodien von der Art gefallen; und ihre Comodien von der Art mussen vollends verunglucken. Wir haben keine Roxelanen, wir haben keine Petitmatres; wo sollen unsre Schauspieler die Muster davon gesehen haben. Kein Wunder also, dass sie diese Rollen allerzeit schlecht spielen. Und desto besser!

#### P. L. B. DE BELLOY

The only quite modern French tragedy which it fell to Lessing to criticise was the Zelmire of P. L. Buyrette de Belloy—following the error of the playbill, Lessing calls him 'Du Belloy'. I have been unable to discover the source of Lessing's information about this author (xvm, 118). Léris notes concerning Zelmire (op. cit. p. 459) that it was a 'Trag[édie] de M. Belloy, donnée au Thé[âtre] Fran[çais] le 6 Mai 1762, et qui a eu beaucoup de succès. C'est un sujet d'invention, mais extrêmement bien tissu, bien conduit et même neuf...' and concerning Titus (ibid. p. 430) that it was a tragedy 'donnée le 28 Février 1759, et qui n'eut que cette représentation'. Of the poet himself Léris says (ibid. pp. 509 f.): 'Il s'étoit destiné au Barreau que le genre dramatique lui a fait abandonner; il est Auteur de la Tragédie de Titus... et de celle de Zelmire.' Lessing probably had his information about the esteem and appreciation shown by Calais towards the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Boissy's Le François à Londres was produced in Paris on July 3, 1727 (Léris, op. cit. p. 214). It was translated in the second volume of Schonemann's Schaubuhne in 1748.

For Lessing's use of this work, see also below, pp. 355, 398; cp. also p. 371, note 4. He appears to have used the edition published at Paris (Lesclapart) in two volumes in 1763.

poet (xviii, 93 ff.) from the Journal encyclopédique of May 15, 1765 (p. 128):1

Nous avons appris avec la joie la plus vive, que le Siège de Calais, que M. de Belloy vient de mettre au jour, a été couronné du succès le plus éclatant. Cet Auteur s'est couvert d'une gloire immortelle, et cette ville a l'avantage de la partager avec lui...

Consideration of this patriotic enthusiasm leads Lessing to compare his own countrymen unfavourably with the French; and, as we have seen, the passage in question was probably also influenced by Klopstock's visit to Hamburg.<sup>2</sup>

In the same journal for July 1, 1762 (pp. 101 ff.), Lessing found a review of Zelmire from which he learned that:

Cette Tragédie a eu le succès le plus brillant. Quatorze représentations consécutives, n'avoient point encore satisfait la curiosité, lorsqu'on l'a retirée.... Le sujet de cette piéce est entiérement d'imagination (pp. 122 f).

And at the end of St. xvIII and in St. xIX he translates two long passages from this review. The first of them has more particular bearing on historical drama and the relation of tragedy to historical fact. I deal with it later.<sup>3</sup> But the second is a criticism of Zelmire itself. It is as follows (pp. 125 ff.):

Un second vice de cette pièce, c'est que, comme l'Auteur en convient, Zelmire est une Tragédie d'événemens. Sans vouloir proscrire absolument ce genre (car pourquoi diminuer les sources des plaisirs?) Nous ne pouvons nous empêcher de remarquer qu'il est bien inférieur à celui des Tragédies de caractères, et qu'il demande moins de fécondité et moins de génie, malgré les apparences qui semblent décider le contraire. D'ailleurs il est bien difficile que ces incidens multipliés aient de la vraisemblance, quand on les resserre dans un espace de 24 heures; enfin il n'est guères possible que ces situations, si recherchées de nos jours, ces coups de théâtre, si chéris du Peuple des Spectateurs, puissent jamais faire illusion aux véritables Connoisseurs; et Mr. de Belloi est fait pour plaire surtout à ceux-ci. Mais il est inutile de chicanner l'Auteur sur ce point. On voit bien qu'il s'est fait cette observation à lui-même, et qu'il en a senti la justesse. Il a tout ce qu'il faut pour réussir dans les deux genres; lorsqu'il a préféré le moins bon, il est visible qu'il a cru qu'un Commençant devoit quelques sacrifices au goût de la multitude. Le plan et la texture méritent les plus grands éloges. Quelques nombreux que soient les incidens, ils sont en général amenés naturellement; ils sortent du fond de la première situation, et de

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See also the *Journal encyclopédique* of March 1, 1765, p. 152, and May 15, 1765, pp. 101 f.

<sup>2</sup> See above, pp. 160 f.

<sup>3</sup> See below, pp. 439 f.

celui des caractères. Voici cependant quelques endroits qui nous paroissent devoir être retouchés. La confidence du Tyran à Rhamnès n'est pas suffisamment motivée; on ne voit point assez la nécessité où se trouve Antenor de révéler ses crimes. L'arrivée d'Ilus n'est point assez préparée; et la conversion de Rhamnès est trop prompte. Jusqu'au moment où il frappe Antenor, on n'a vu en lui qu'un Complice décidé des crimes de son Maître: s'il a eu un moment de remords, ils ont été bientôt étouffés. Enfin il y a quelquefois de trop petits moyens pour de grandes choses. Par exemple, on a soin d'avertir que Polidore revenant de la bataille pour se cacher dans le tombeau, tourne le dos à Zelmire. En effet, s'il marchoit autrement, la Princesse le reconnoîtroit, et l'on seroit privé de ce beau coup de théâtre qui frappe si vivement les Spectateurs, lorsque cette tendre fille livre son père à ses Bourreaux. Cependant n'étoit-il pas naturel, nécessaire même que Polidore, en rentrant dans le tombeau, regardât Zelmire, lui fit un signe de douleur, lui dit un mot de desespoir? C'est pourtant sur ce coup de théâtre, et par conséquent sur cette façon de marcher de Polidore que sont appuyés les derniers actes. Le billet d'Azor est du même genre: si le Soldat l'apportoit avec lui dès le second acte, comme il le devoit, le Tyran étoit démasqué, et le drame fini.<sup>1</sup>

Thus Lessing offers no independent criticism of the play, and is apparently satisfied to endorse the judgment of the Journal encyclo-pédique. This again is a pity, for, small as we may now-a-days estimate the talent of De Belloy to have been, he was undoubtedly symptomatic of his time; his work represents a laudable attempt to put new life into the alexandrine tragedy, and to carry its development beyond the point reached by Voltaire. But the interest of Lessing, the translator of Diderot, in alexandrine tragedies of any kind was very lukewarm.

Largely as the drama of France bulks in the Hamburg repertory and in Lessing's criticism of that repertory, it can hardly be said that his account of it—when considered by itself, as in the preceding pages—leaves a very satisfactory impression. He regarded it as his chief mission to discredit the type of tragedy which is the particular glory of the French seventeenth century; and yet he has nothing to say of the greatest of its masters, Racine; his attack is limited to a demolition, in the spirit of Voltairean persiflage, of Corneille's Rodogune.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Cp. also the report of the play from Paris in the Bibliothek der schonen Wissenschaften, ix (i) (1763), pp. 135 f., which was printed on the playbill (see above, pp. 74 f).

No sooner had the French seen their theatre rise out of barbarism with Corneille (LXXXI, 39)<sup>1</sup> than they considered it almost perfect; and with Racine they believed it to have attained perfection. Corneille, Lessing asserts (50), did the greater harm and had the most ruinous influence on their dramatic poetry. For Racine misled only by his example; Corneille both by example and precept. He was accepted as an oracle. 'Man nenne mir das Stuck des grossen Corneille, welches ich nicht besser machen wollte' (CI-CIV, 273)—thus Lessing discredits the oracle.

There are French dramas enough, he contends (LXXXI, 120), which fulfil Corneille's requirements of tragedy, but none which fulfils the Aristotelian demand that tragedy should awaken our pity and fear, as they are awakened in a number of Greek and English tragedies (132). Corneille and Racine, Crébillon and Voltaire, have little or nothing of what makes Euripides Euripides and Shakespeare Shakespeare. No nation has so deeply misunderstood the rules of ancient drama as the French (96 ff.).

Not only then have the Germans no theatre; but the nation which for a hundred years has boasted of possessing the best theatre in Europe, has none; certainly no tragic theatre. Even Voltaire had said:

Dans les beautés frapantes de notre théâtre, il y avait un autre défaut caché, dont on ne s'était pas aperçu, parce que le public ne pouvait pas avoir par lui-même des idées plus fortes que celles de ces grands maîtres. Ce défaut ne fut relevé que par Saint-Evremont; il dit que nos piéces ne font pas une impression assez forte; que ce qui doit former la pitié, fait tout au plus de la tendresse, que l'émotion tient lieu de saisissement, l'étonnement de l'horreur; qu'il manque à nos sentimens quelque chose d'assez profond. Il faut avouer que Saint-Evremont a mis le doigt dans la playe secrette du théâtre Français: on dira tant qu'on voudra que Saint-Evremont est l'auteur de la pitoyable comédie de Sirpolitik, et de celle des opéra, que ses petits vers de société sont ce que

¹ The phrase, as C. B. Boxberger has shown (Euzelheiten uber Voltaire bei Lessing, ed. cit. p. 31), is from Voltaire's Vie de Molière (Contes de Guillaume Vadé, ed. cit. p. 309): 'Pierre Corneille tira le théâtre de la barbarie et de l'avilissement, vers l'année 1630.' And Lessing may also have had in mind the letter of Algarotti prefixed to Voltaire's La Mort de César (Œuvres Complètes, Paris, 1877–85, ni, pp. 312 ff.). (The French version of this letter, containing the following passage, which is not in the original Italian, appeared for the first time in the edition of 1736): 'Il faudrait ignorer qu'il y a une langue française et un théâtre, pour ne pas savoir à quel degré de perfection Corneille et Racine ont porté l'art dramatique; il semblait qu'après ces grands hommes il ne restait plus rien à souhaiter, et que tâcher de les imiter était tout ce que l'on pouvait faire de mieux ' (ibid. p. 312).

nous avons de plus plat en ce genre, que c'était un petit faiseur de phrases; mais on peut être totalement dépourvu de génie, et avoir beaucoup d'esprit et de goût. Certainement son goût était très-fin, quand il trouvait ainsi la raison de la langueur de la plupart de nos piéces II nous a presque toujours manqué un degré de chaleur; nous avions tout le reste. L'origine de cette langueur, de cette faiblesse monotone, venait en partie de ce petit esprit de galanterie, si cher alors aux courtisans et aux femmes, qui a transformé le théatre en conversations de Clélie Les autres tragédies étaient quelquefois de longs raisonnemens politiques, qui ont gaté Sertorius, qui ont rendu Othon si froid, et Suréna et Attila si mauvais. Mais une autre raison empêchait encor qu'on ne déployat un grand patétique sur la scène, et que l'action ne fût vraiment tragique; c'était la construction du théatre, et la mesquinerie du spectacle... Oue pouvait-on faire sur une vingtaine de planches chargées de spectateurs? quelle pompe, quel appareil pouvait parler aux yeux? quelle grande action théatrale pouvait être executée? quelle liberté pouvait avoir l'imagination du poete? Les piéces devaient être composées de longs récits; c'était des conversations, plutôt qu'une action. Chaque comédien voulait briller par un long monologue; ils rebutaient une piéce qui n'en avait point....Cette forme excluait toute action théatrale, toutes grandes expressions des passions, ces tableaux frappans des infortunes humaines, ces traits terribles et perçans qui arrachent le cœur; on le touchait, et il falait le déchirer.1

Lessing's particular mode of attack thus takes its stand, less on the canons of realism and common sense, than on the refutation of the supercilious and complacent belief of the French (as expressed, for instance, by Voltaire in his Dissertation prefixed to Sémiramis) that they had enormously improved upon the crudities of Greek drama. He defends the art of Greek tragedy as a nobler and finer thing than the baroque art of French pseudo-classicism. In so far Lessing represents a healthy reaction—that 'return to the Greeks' which, together with Winckelmann, he had the honour of inaugurating in Germany; his criticism was thus a factor of the first importance in the literary movement of his time. This enormous service may outweigh many deficiencies; but if we wish to regard the criticism of the Hamburgische Dramaturgie from an absolute standpoint, we must admit that it does not exonerate Lessing from the lack of impersonal fairness and historical

Des divers changemens arrivés à l'art tragique in Contes de Guillaume Vadé, ed. cit. pp. 204 ff The passage in St Évremond (which Voltaire does not quote word for word) is to be found in his essay Sur les Auteurs Tragiques (1677, Œuvres, ed R de Planhol, Paris, 1927, 1, p. 196), Sir Politick Would-be, ibid ii, pp. 221 ff.; Les Opéra, ibid. ii, pp. 137 ff. Of the latter there was a German version in Gottsched's Deutsche Schaubuhne, ed. cit. ii, pp. 77 ff.

perspective, of ability to take account of and make the right allowance for the ideals and conventions of a particular age.

On other older writers, such as Quinault and Regnard, Lessing is content to quote French opinion; and of Destouches, Marivaux and Nivelle de la Chaussée at a later time—writers who had been living influences in his own experience and might well have tempted him to express opinions—he has little to say of his own. He reserves his main attack for Voltaire; and unfair as may be his brilliant hoisting of Voltaire 'with his own petard', when he turns on him that raillery which the French poet had, with still greater injustice, turned on the Greeks and on Shakespeare, we have to admit that there emerges from the pages of his work a dramatic, living image of Voltaire, which has something of the virtue of a creation. Voltaire stands out as the most interesting personality in the pages of the *Dramaturgie*; how far Lessing's portrait is a true one, how far a caricature inspired by personal animosity, the reader will have had little difficulty in discerning.

On the quite modern drama of France Lessing's criticism is still more disappointing. For here, too, he had a mission. If he believed that pseudo-classicism had to go, it was because he saw the salvation of the modern drama of Europe in that new art for which Diderot had been largely responsible in France. But alas, of Diderot, on whom no one in Germany was better able to speak with authority than Lessing at that time, he has practically nothing to say. If only Der Hausvater had appeared on the Hamburg repertory at an earlier date, it might have been different. The one serious modern French tragedy which Lessing had occasion to discuss, De Belloy's Zelmire, did not suggest to him the possibility of analysing the movement of ideas in France; perhaps, indeed, he was so averse to the alexandrine tragedy in any form that he refused to accept such an augury for developments in the new generation of French dramatists—or, for that matter, in Voltaire himself.

In the end, Lessing was more interested in questions of theory, in the technique of dramatic art, in the relations of different versions of the same theme, in translations and adaptations, than in the concrete question of the intrinsic values of individual plays. The problem of æsthetic value *per se* was always a subordinate one.

### CHAPTER VII

#### THE ENGLISH DRAMA

No play was performed on the Hamburg stage in the period covered by Lessing's *Hamburgische Dramaturgie* which was not of German or French origin. Thus the present and succeeding chapters of this part are not concerned, as are their predecessors, with actual theatre criticism by Lessing; it may be a convenience, however, to the student of Lessing's work to have collected together under the headings of English, Italian, Spanish and Classical drama, such opinions and judgments as he expressed on the dramatic writers of these literatures.

#### SHAKESPEARE

On no aspect of Lessing's critical activity has opinion been so much at variance as on his attitude to Shakespeare. Among the older critics there was a tendency to lay excessive weight on his significance as a prophet of our English poet; this was regarded as the touchstone of his fair-mindedness and universality; and the Hamburgische Dramaturgie was held up as a milestone in the appreciation of Shakespeare on the continent—as of course in a large measure it was. This was the first time that a classically minded critic pinning his faith to Aristotle—no irresponsible rebel or unbalanced enthusiast—had broken a lance for Shakespeare; Lessing's defence of the English poet penetrated to circles that had hitherto only seen him with Voltairean eyes. This was the invaluable contribution which Lessing made to the appreciation of Shakespeare. Nothing that he said made a deeper impression on the world than his paradoxical assertion in the *Litteraturbriefe* (see below, p. 247, and cp. *Dramaturgie*, LXXXI, 140) that Shakespeare was a more faithful observer of the laws of Aristotle than Corneille. It was perhaps the most widely influential of all Lessing's critical dogmas; it was the greatest argument for the much debated validity of Shakespeare which the eighteenth century had to offer; and it enthroned Aristotle as a law-giver for all time, and not for Greek drama alone. But the mental attitude involved in this brave assertion in respect of Shakespeare must not be overlooked. If Lessing found him worthy of a place beside Sophocles, it was because he found it possible to fit him into Aristotle's theoretical conception of tragic poetry; in other words, he

Bilder der Natur waren ihm stets gegenwärtig, und er schilderte sie nicht sowohl muhsam als glucklich; er mag beschreiben was er will, man sieht es nicht bloss, man fuhlt es so gar Die ihm Schuld geben, dass es ihm an Gelehrsamkeit gefehlt habe, erheben ihn um so viel mehr; er war gelehrt, ohne es geworden zu seyn; er brauchte nicht die Brillen der Bucher, um in der Natur zu lesen, er blickte in sich selbst, und da fand er sie.<sup>1</sup>

The high claim made by Dryden for Shakespeare, and his criticism of Corneille, probably alone emboldened Lessing to write the seventeenth of the Litteraturbriefe (February 16, 1759). This letter—which anticipated by a year a similar claim for the superiority of Shakespeare to Corneille published in the Journal encyclopédique<sup>2</sup>—is the most daring appreciation of Shakespeare to be found in any German writer before the 'Sturm und Drang'. There is, indeed, nothing in Lessing's later writings which goes beyond the claims he makes here:

Wenn man die Meisterstucke des Shakespear, mit einigen bescheidenen Veranderungen, unsern Deutschen ubersetzt hatte, ich weiss gewiss, es wurde von bessern Folgen gewesen seyn, als dass man sie mit dem Corneille und Racine so bekannt gemacht hat...Auch nach den Mustern der Alten die Sache zu entscheiden, ist Shakespear ein weit grosserer tragischer Dichter als Corneille; obgleich dieser die Alten sehr wohl, und jener fast gar nicht gekannt hat. Corneille kommt ihnen in der mechanischen Emrichtung, und Shakespear in dem Wesentlichen naher. Der Englander erreicht den Zweck der Tragodie fast immer, so sonderbare und ihm eigene Wege er auch wahlet; und der Franzose erreicht ihn fast niemals, ob er gleich die gebahnten Wege der Alten betritt.<sup>3</sup>

Shakespeare has no place in his controversy with Mendelssohn and Nicolai on the nature of tragedy, a controversy which took place at a time when Lessing was most actively interested in dramatic theory. In the *Laokoon*, however, our poet is quoted to illustrate Lessing's views on the æsthetic use of the ugly and the repulsive.<sup>4</sup>

It might thus be expected that the *Dramaturgie* would give Lessing his opportunity. But what strikes the modern reader is not that he takes advantage of this opportunity; but how little he has to say, and how much he has left unsaid.

First we may pass in review what the Dramaturgie contains on the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Schriften, vi, p 288.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Parallèle entre Shakespear et Corneille traduit de l'Anglois, October 15, 1760, pp. 100 ff.

<sup>3</sup> Schriften, viii, p. 43.

<sup>4</sup> Schriften, ix, pp. 141 ff.

subject of Shakespeare. Since Lessing's appeal for a translation of the poet in the Litteraturbriefe, this hope had been realised. Between 1762 and 1766 Wieland had published in eight volumes Shakespears theatralische Werke (Zurich, 1762-66, containing twenty-two plays), and in St. xv (64), while discussing Zayre, Lessing breaks a lance for this translation, which had been badly handled by the critics:1 'Ich hatte grosse Lust, sehr viel Gutes davon zu sagen. Nicht, um diesen gelehrten Mannern zu widersprechen; nicht, um die Fehler zu vertheidigen, die sie darinn bemerkt haben: sondern, weil ich glaube. dass man von diesen Fehlern kein solches Aufheben hatte machen sollen.' And in St. LXIX (69 ff.) he quotes a long passage from Wieland's Agathon<sup>2</sup> in defence of the mingling of the comic and the tragic in Shakespeare—this poet who (in Wieland's words) 'unter allen Dichtern seit Homer...die Menschen, vom Konige bis zum Bettler, und von Julius Casar bis zu Jak Falstaff am besten gekannt, und mit einer Art von unbegreiflicher Intuition durch und durch gesehen hat'.3

<sup>1</sup> The reviews which Lessing had in mind were probably that by Weisse in the Bibliothek der schonen Wissenschaften, ix (ii) (1763), pp. 257 ff., and that of vols 1v and v of the translation in the Allgemeine deutsche Bibliothek, 1 (i) (1766), p. 300; possibly also H. W. von Gerstenberg's in the Briefe über die Merkwurdigkeiten der Litteratur, xiv-xvii, in 1766 (Deutsche Litteraturdenkmale des 18. u. 19. Jahrhunderts, xxix; see especially pp. 110 ff. and p. 166). Cp. E. Stadler, Wielands Shakespeare (Quellen und Forschungen, cvii), Strassburg, 1910, pp 78 ff. Lessing may have been thinking of Wieland's translation when he wrote (xix, 97) of the 'Zwitterton...der aus den prosaischen Übersetzungen englischer Dichter entstanden 1st, in welchen der Gebrauch der kuhnsten Tropen und Figuren, ausser einer gebundenen cadensirten Wortfugung, uns an Besoffene denken lasst, die ohne Musik tanzen'.

<sup>2</sup> Agathon, which Lessing describes (LXIX, 139, 154) as 'unstreing unter die vortrefflichsten [Werke] unsers Jahrhunderts...der erste und einzige Roman für den denkenden Kopf, von klassischem Geschmacke', appeared in two volumes in 1766–67. His defence of it seems to have been called forth by a review in the

Deutsche Bibliothek, i (iii) (1768), pp. 11 ff. (see esp. pp 45 ff).

Wieland wrote in part tronically, perhaps to defend the lack of plan in his own novel. Lessing might more effectively have quoted Gerstenberg's introduction to his translation Die Braut, eine Tragodie, von Beaumont und Fletcher. Nebst kritischen und biographischen Abhandlungen über die vier grossten Dichter des altern brittischen Theaters, Copenhagen and Leipzig, 1765, pp 10 f.: '[Der Dichter muss] niemals bey der Absicht stehen bleiben, das menschliche Leben zu malen, sondern es so zu malen, dass der Zuschauer hingerissen werde, zu glauben, er sehe das wahre Werk der Natur, indem die blosse Vorstellung desselben alle Wirkungen auf seine Gesinnungen und Leidenschaften aussert, welche die Natur selbst nicht anders hätte hervorbringen konnen, als wofern sie in ein dem Zwecke des Dichters untergeordnetes Ganze ware concentrirt worden. Diess heisst das Pathos in einen Plan ordnen...' (cp. Kettner, Lessing und Shakespeare, pp. 280 f.).

In St. LXXXI (137) Shakespeare is coupled with the ancients against the French dramatists: 'ihr Corneille und Racine, ihr Crebillon und Voltaire [haben] von dem wenig oder gar nichts, was den Sophokles zum Sophokles, den Euripides zum Euripides, den Shakespear zum Shakespear macht.' Later on (XCIII, 115 ff.), Lessing quotes in translation a passage from Hurd in praise of the powerfully drawn characters of Shakespeare's comedies<sup>2</sup>—in which, for the rest, he seems to have taken small interest.

The one play of the Hamburg repertory which might have given Lessing an opportunity of speaking at length on Shakespeare was Weisse's Richard der Dritte, but there is very little about Shakespeare's tragedy in his comments, unless we may say that much of Lessing's criticism of Weisse has also relevance to the English dramatist. To Weisse's remark in his prefatory note that it might be a merit to plagiarise Shakespeare, Lessing retorts (LXXIII, 81 ff.):

Vorausgesetzt, dass man eines [ein Plagium] an ihm begehen kann. Aber was man von dem Homer gesagt hat, es lasse sich dem Herkules eher seine Keule, als ihm ein Vers abringen, das lasst sich vollkommen auch vom Shakespear sagen. Auf die geringste von seinen Schonheiten ist ein Stampel gedruckt, welcher gleich der ganzen Welt zuruft: ich bin Shakespears! Und wehe der fremden Schonheit, die das Herz hat, sich neben ihr zu stellen!

Shakespear will studiert, nicht geplundert seyn. Haben wir Genie, so muss uns Shakespear das seyn, was dem Landschaftsmahler die Camera obscura ist: er sehe fleissig hinein, um zu lernen, wie sich die Natur in allen Fallen auf Eine Flache projektiret; aber er borge nichts daraus.

Ich wüsste auch wirklich in dem ganzen Stucke des Shakespears keine einzige Scene, sogar keine einzige Tirade, die Herr Weiss so hatte brauchen konnen, wie sie dort ist. Alle, auch die kleinsten Theile beym Shakespear, sind nach den grossen Maassen des historischen Schauspiels zugeschnitten,

¹ Young had drawn a parallel between Shakespeare and the ancients; he said: 'Shakespeare is not their Son but Brother; their Equal, and that in spite of all his faults' (Conjectures on Original Composition, in a letter to the Author of Sir Charles Grandison, London, 1759, p. 78; in the German translation, Gedanken uber die Original-Werke, Leipzig, 2nd ed. 1761, p. 67: 'Shakespeare ist nicht ihr Abkommling, sondern ihr Bruder; und bey allen seinen Fehlern, dennoch ihnen gleich'). In a comment on Euripides in the Philologischer Nachlass Lessing writes. 'Man sagt so viel von den Fehlern des Shakespear. Man nenne mir nur Einen, der diesem das Gewicht halte. Von Shakespears Fehlern getraue ich mir fast immer einen Grund angeben zu konnen. Er begeht sie, um die Hauptsache zu befordern, und die Zuschauer desto lebhafter zu ruhren' (Schriften, xv, p. 428).

<sup>2</sup> The English text of the whole quotation from Hurd will be found below, pp. 403 ff.

<sup>3</sup> See above, p. 152.

und dieses verhalt sich zu der Tragodie franzosischen Geschmacks, ungefehr wie ein weitlauftiges Frescogemahlde¹ gegen ein Miniaturbildchen für einen Ring. Was kann man zu diesem aus jenem nehmen, als etwa ein Gesicht, eine einzelne Figur, hochstens eine kleine Gruppe, die man sodann als ein eigenes Ganze ausführen muss? Eben so wurden aus einzeln Gedanken beim Shakespear ganze Scenen, und aus einzeln Scenen ganze Aufzuge werden mussen Denn wenn man den Ermel aus dem Kleide eines Riesen für einen Zwerg recht nutzen will, so muss man ihm nicht wieder einen Ermel, sondern einen ganzen Rock daraus machen.

And he regrets (113) that Weisse had not known Richard III when he wrote his own tragedy,<sup>2</sup> for it would have been a mirror in which to see his own faults.

Lessing's argument here about the impossibility of deriving advantage from Shakespeare by imitating him is surely open to question; at the least, it is not clear what he exactly means. He had obviously in mind, however, the following passage from Mendelssohn's review of Wieland's Clementina von Porretta in the Litteraturbriefe:<sup>3</sup>

Die Einheit der Zeit hat unter der Menge von Begebenheiten [ın Lear] etwas gelitten, aber wer achtet dieser, wenn das Gemuth ernsthafter beschaftiget, und in bestandigen Leidenschaften herumgetrieben wird? Ich gieng also zum Shakespear, um mich Raths zu erholen; allein aller Muth sank mir, als ich dieses vortrefliche Trauerspiel noch einmal las. Was hilft mir der Bogen des Ulysses, wenn ich ihn nicht spannen kann?—Shakespear ist der einzige dramatische Dichter, der es wagen kann, in dem Othello die Eifersucht, und in dem Lear die Raserey, in dem Angesichte des Zuschauers entstehen, wachsen, und bis auf den Gipfel gedeihen zu lassen, ohne sich sogar der Zwischenscenen zu bedienen, um dem Fortgange des Affects einen Ruck zu geben, dem der Zuschauer nicht mit den Augen folgen kann. Wer ist aber kuhn genug einem Herkules seine Keule, der einem Shakespear seine dramatische Kunstgriffe zu entwenden?

- <sup>1</sup> Kettner (loc. cst. p. 282) recalls Gerstenberg's comparison (Briefe uber Merkwurdigkeiten der Litteratur, xviu): Wenn er [der Dichter] seine Charaktere nicht gut anzuordnen, ihnen nicht durch die Abstechung eine pittoreske Wirkung zu geben...wenn er die Geschichte nicht mit den starksten Fresco-Zugen zu treffen weiss, wenn die Zeichnung der Umrisse nicht das Leben selbst athmet: wie will er uns verargen, wenn wir gahnen?' (Deutsche Litteraturdenkmale, xxix, p. 160.)
  - <sup>2</sup> See above, p. 152.

<sup>3</sup> Litteraturbriefe, No. cxxiii (Beschluss), August 28, 1760, ed. cit. vii, pp. 130 f.; Gesammelte Schriften, ed. cit. iv, 2, p. 149.

<sup>4</sup> Tib. Cl Donatus in his *Vita Virgilii*, 64, makes Vergil answer the charge of borrowing from Homer with the words 'Cur non illi quoque eademfurta tentarent? Verum intellecturos facilius esse Herculi clavam, quam Homero versum surripere' (cp. Cosack, op. cit. p. 340). The passage is quoted in the *Bibliothek der schonen Wissenschaften*, iv (i) 1758, p. 523.

A penetrating appreciation of Shakespeare is to be found in St. xi (76), where the ghost in Voltaire's Sémiramis is compared with that in Hamlet. This justification of Shakespeare's handling of the supernatural is, in fact, one of the most memorable parts of Lessing's work. The general aspect of the introduction of the supernatural into dramatic poetry I deal with in a later chapter; here it is only a question of Shakespeare's employment of it in Hamlet. The comparison of the ghosts in Sémiramis and Hamlet had been suggested by Voltaire himself, who had defended his own introduction of one (xi, 5) on the ground that all antiquity believed in the existence of ghosts. Moreover he had said:

Les Anglais ne croyent pas assurément plus que les Romains aux revenans; cependant ils voyent tous les jours avec plaisir dans la Tragédie d'Hamlet, l'ombre d'un Roi qui paraît sur le Théâtre dans une occasion à peu près semblable à celle où l'on a vû à Paris le spectre de Ninus.<sup>2</sup>

The comparison had been frequently discussed before Lessing's time. M. C. Curtius, for instance, had dealt with it in his Critische Abhandlungen und Gedichte, Hanover, 1760, and, like Lessing, had disapproved of Voltaire and approved of Shakespeare: the ghost in Hamlet, he wrote (Abhandlung vom Erhabenen in der Dichtkunst, p. 24), 'hat sonst so viele Schonheiten, dass man dem Dichter fur seine Abweichung von den gewohnlichen Regeln danket'.

As will be seen, Lessing's attitude to the employment of the supernatural had probably been influenced by Du Bos.<sup>3</sup> This source of terror and pathos is by no means closed, he says (xi, 44), to the real poet; for, however sceptically the ordinary man may express himself about ghosts, the seed of a belief in the supernatural is implanted in us all. We may believe what we like in ordinary life, but the poet must have it in his power to make us believe in the theatre what he wishes us to believe (76 ff.):

So ein Dichter ist Shakespear, und Shakespear fast einzig und allein. Vor seinem Gespenste im Hamlet richten sich die Haare zu Berge, sie mogen ein glaubiges oder unglaubiges Gehirn bedecken...Shakespears Gespenst kommt wirklich aus jener Welt; so dunkt uns. Denn es kommt zu der feyerlichen Stunde, in der schaudernden Stille der Nacht, in der vollen Begleitung aller der dustern, geheimnissvollen Nebenbegriffe, wenn und mit welchen wir, von der Amme an, Gespenster zu erwarten und zu denken gewohnt sind...Beym Shakespear ist es der einzige Hamlet, mit

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See below, pp. 445 ff.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Œuvres, ed cit. ix, p. 26. See below, p. 446.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See below, pp. 446 f.

dem sich das Gespenst einlasst; 1 in der Scene, wo die Mutter dabey ist, wird es von der Mutter weder gesehen noch gehort. Alle unsere Beobachtung geht also auf ihn, und je mehr Merkmale eines von Schauder und Schrecken zerrutteten Gemuths wir an ihm entdecken, desto bereitwilliger sind wir, die Erscheinung, welche diese Zerruttung in ihm verursacht, für eben das zu halten, wofür er sie halt Das Gespenst wirket auf uns, mehr durch ihn, als durch sich selbst. Der Eindruck, den es auf ihn macht, gehet in uns über, und die Wirkung ist zu augenscheinlich und zu stark, als dass wir an der ausserordentlichen Ursache zweifeln sollten.

Again, Lessing no doubt remembered Mendelssohn's review of J. Adolf Schlegel's translation of Batteux in the Litteraturbriefe:

Je grosser die Gewalt ist, mit welcher der Dichter durch die Poesie in unsere Einbildungskraft wurkt, desto mehr ausserliche Action kann er sich erlauben, ohne der Poesse Abbruch zu thun, desto mehr muss er anwenden, wenn er die Tauschungen seiner Poesie machtig genug unterstutzen will. Sie kennen den Shakespear. Sie wissen wie eigenmachtig er die Phantasie der Zuschauer gleichsam tyrannisirt, und wie leicht er sie, fast spielend, aus einer Leidenschaft, aus einer Illusion in die andere wirft. Aber wie viel Ungereimtheiten, wie viel mit den Regeln streitendes ubersiehet man ihm auch in der ausserlichen Action, und wie wenig merkts der Zuschauer, dessen ganze Aufmerksamkeit auf eine andere Seite beschaftiget ist!...Wer ist in England noch der incredulus gewesen, der an der Erscheinung des Geists im Hamlet gezweiffelt hatte?...Wer das Gemuth so zu erhitzen, und in einen solchen Taumel von Leidenschaften zu sturzen weis, als Shakespear, der hat die Achtsamkeit seines Zuschauers gleichsam gefesselt, und kann es wagen, vor dessen geblendeten Augen die abendtheurlichsten Handlungen vorgehen zu lassen, ohne zu befahren, dass solches den Betrug stohren werde. Ein nicht so grosser Geist aber, der uns auf der Buhne noch Sinne und Bewusstseyn lasst, ist alle Augenblick in Gefahr, Unglaubige anzutreffen...2

In another review—of Klopstock's *Der Tod Adams*—Mendelssohn refers to the 'panischen Schrecken, den die Englander dem Geiste im Hamlet nachzuruhmen pflegen'.<sup>3</sup> Behind these passages stands, doubtless, the passage in the *Spectator*, No. xliv; I quote it in Frau Gottsched's translation:<sup>4</sup>

Die Erscheinung eines Geistes im Hamlet ist ein Meisterstuck in seiner Art, und mit allen Umständen begleitet, welche entweder Aufmerksamkeit, oder Grausen hervor bringen konnen. Das Gemuth des Zuschauers ist durch die vorhergehenden Reden vortrefflich vorbereitet, dasselbe zu

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This, of course, is not the case in the opening scene.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Litteraturbriefe, No Exxxiv, February 14, 1760, ed. cit. v, pp. 111 f; Gesammelte Schriften, ed. cit. iv, 2, pp. 16 f.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Bibliothek der schönen Wissenschaften, ii (1) (1757), p. 219 (quoted by L. Goldstein, op. cit. p. 178).

<sup>4</sup> Der Zuschauer (2nd ed.), Leipzig, 1750, i, pp. 209 f.

empfangen. Sein stummes Bezeigen bey seinem ersten Auftritte ruhrt die Einbildungskraft uberaus sehr. aber je ofter es hernach wiederkommt, desto schrecklicher wird es. Wer kann wohl die Rede, ohne Zittern, lesen, womit es der junge Hamlet anredet....Ich finde keinen Fehler in oberwahnten Kunstgriffen, wenn sie nur geschicklich angebracht werden, und von gehorigen Gedanken und Worten begleitet, zum Vorscheine kommen.

It is significant that both these discussions of Shakespeare by Lessing were to some extent suggested by Mendelssohn; among Lessing's many intellectual debts to his friend, his sympathetic appreciation of Shakespeare may well have been one.

The other references to Shakespeare in the *Dramaturgie* are slight and sporadic. In St. v (55) Hamlet's instruction to the actors (Act III, sc. ii: 'Speak the speech, I pray you,' etc.) is quoted, apparently in Lessing's own translation.¹ The claim is made for Shakespeare (47) that he had reflected the more deeply on this art 'because he had much less genius for it'.² Rowe, whose biography of Shakespeare was used and partly translated by Wieland, seems to have been responsible for the opinion that he was an indifferent actor; or Lessing may have drawn his information from Baker's Companion to the Playhouse.³ In St. vii (72, 85), Quin's acting as Falstaff,⁴ and as the king in Hamlet, is mentioned; and also Garrick's performance in Hamlet, with a quotation (89) of the familiar passage in Tom Jones descriptive of Partridge's visit to the play.⁵

<sup>1</sup> See below, p. 481.

<sup>2</sup> Pope, in the preface to his edition of Shakespeare, had found a reason for the poet's lapses from good taste in the fact that he was an actor (*Works of Shakespear*, ed. Pope and Warburton, London, 1747, 1, p. xxxiv). This preface appeared in Wieland's translation (cp. *Wielands Gesammelte Schriften*, ed. E. Stadler, nte Abt.,

i (Berlin, 1909), p. 4).

<sup>3</sup> [D. E. Baker], Companion to the Playhouse, London, 1764, ii (art. Shakespeare): 'His first Admission into the Playhouse was suitable to his Appearance, a Stranger, and ignorant of the Art, he was glad to be taken into the Company in a very mean Rank; nor did his Performance recommend him to any distinguished Notice.—The Part of an under Actor neither engaged nor deserved his Attention.' (See also R. Farmer, Essay on the Learning of Shakespeare, Cambridge, 1767, p. 37, and cp. Shakespears theatralische Werke in Wielands Gesammelte Schriften, ed. cit. 11<sup>te</sup> Abt., in, p. 563. Petersen (ed. cit. p. 184) also refers to J. E. Schmidt, Shakespeares Dramen und sein Schauspielerberuf, Berlin, 1914)

<sup>4</sup> Falstaff is mentioned again in St. LXIX, 72 together with Julius Caesar; Othello

in St. LXXIV, 123-both in quotations.

Lessing quotes from the Historie des menschlichen Herzens, nach den Abwechselungen der Tugenden und Laster in den sonderbaren Begebenheiten Thomas Jones, eines Fundlings, Moralisch und satyrisch beschrieben. Aus dem Englischen. Hamburg, 1750-55 (reprinted 1758-59), Bk. xvi, ch. v (Teil vi, pp. 42 f. in ed. of 1758-59). There is a

In St. xv (29) after quoting Sainte Albine's statement: 'Die Liebe selbst hat Voltairen die Zayre diktirt', Lessing contravenes it by reference to Romeo and Juliet (see above, p. 209); and he proceeds (48) to compare Zayre with its alleged model, Othello. Compared with Shakespeare's Moor, he asserts, Voltaire's hero is but a figure without life.<sup>1</sup>

There is thus no systematic criticism of Shakespeare in the Dramaturgie, and no comprehensive statement of Lessing's opinion of him But of Shakespeare's genius Lessing had no doubts, even if he considered that genius an untutored one. The primitiveness of Shakespeare's art was an eighteenth-century dogma beyond which even Lessing could not advance. But this very quality made him the more apt an example with which to confront the vaunted masters of the modern French theatre, who were, in Lessing's view, far inferior to him in the faithful reproduction of nature and life. The great virtues of Shakespeare for Lessing were his realism—producing a complete illusion in the spectator—and his power of 'moving' us, of fulfilling the sole end of tragedy, which is to arouse 'Mitleid', or compassion.<sup>2</sup>

## BEN JONSON

The only other older English dramatist who receives any attention in the *Dramaturgie* is Ben Jonson, and that chiefly in respect of the meaning of the English word 'humour'. In the long extracts translated from Hurd (xcii, 35-xcv, 80) mention is made of *Every Man out of his Humour* (xciii, 46 ff.), and this gives Lessing occasion for a note of more than a page on the meaning of the title. He claims to have been the first to render 'humour' by 'Laune' (93), presumably in his translation of Dryden's *Essay of Dramatic Poesy* in his *Theatralische* 

reference to 'der Barbier, Partridge im Tom Jones, wenn er den Hamlet zum erstenmale vorstellen sieht,' in the *Litteraturbriefe*, No. ccv, December 24, 1761, ed. cit xii, p. 347.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Lessing may have remembered Gerstenberg's comparison of Young's Revenge with Othello (Briefe uber Merkwurdigkeiten der Litteratur, xv, ed. cit. p. 115): 'Young betrachtete die Natur des Eifersuchtigen von einer Seite, von der sie dem Herzen Schauder, Entsetzen und Mitleiden abdringen sollte.—Schakespear bemuhte sich, ihre feinsten Nuancen zu entwickeln, und ihre verborgenste Mechanik aufzudecken' (quoted by Kettner, loc. cit. p. 287).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See Kettner, loc. cit. p. 285.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Ben Jonson's name is also mentioned xv, 135; LIX, 119; Randolph's *Muses Looking-Glasse* is referred to in xCIII, 79 (in a quotation from Hurd). On Daniel's *Philotas*, see below, p. 263.

Bibliothek: 'Ich erinnere zugleich, dass 1ch Humor, wo ich das Wort ubersetzen will, durch Laune gebe, weil ich nicht glaube, dass man ein bequemers in der ganzen deutschen Sprache finden wird.' He now withdraws this rendering as inadequate. 'Laune' can become 'Humor'; except, however, in this particular case, 'humour' is never 'Laune'. He had been misled (xciii, 99) by the fact that the French 'humeur' was rendered by 'Laune'. In Voltaire's 'Lettre à Mr. l'Abbé d'Olivet' of August 20, 1761, published in the Journal encyclopédique of October 1 of the same year, he no doubt read the following passage (pp. 116 f.):

Ils [les Anglais] ont un terme pour signifier cette plaisanterie, ce vrai comique, cette gaité, cette urbanité, ces saillies, qui échappent à un homme sans qu'il s'en doute; et ils rendent cette idée par le mot humeur, humour, qu'ils prononcent yumor; ils croyent qu'ils ont seuls cette humeur, et que les autres Nations n'ont point de terme pour exprimer ce caractère d'esprit.<sup>2</sup>

Jonson's two plays, Every Man in his Humour and Every Man out of his Humour, which Lessing evidently had before him, drew his attention to the different significance of the word, and he quotes lines 111-20 from the Induction to the latter (52 ff.). 'I have', he says (89), 'industriously collected examples which I should like to be able to arrange in order, simply to correct an error which has become fairly general.' Of this collection we have no trace, however, except a single note on Xenophon's Cyropadia in his Philologischer Nachlass:

Der Charakter des Artabazus hat mich nicht wenig befremdet, weil ich mir nichts weniger vermuthete, als bey einem Alten einen Charakter anzutreffen, der vollkommen das Individuelle hat, was die Englander Humor nennen.<sup>3</sup>

The chief English source of Lessing's remarks (63 ff.) on humour as 'affectation', on the impossibility of true humour as a subject of comedy, on the absence of any trace of 'humour' in classical drama (although it is present now and then in the ancient historians and orators, when historical truth or the explanation of a certain fact demands this exact description  $\kappa a \theta$ '  $\tilde{\epsilon} \kappa a \sigma \tau o \nu$  (84 ff.)), would seem to be Dryden.<sup>4</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Theatralische Bibliothek, iv, p. 122; Schriften, vi, p. 291.
- <sup>2</sup> Voltaire's interest in the word was probably awakened by the Abbé le Blanc's Lettres d'un François, La Haye, 1745 (see letter xii, vol. i, pp. 114 ff.).
  - <sup>3</sup> Schriften, xv, pp. 430 f.
- <sup>4</sup> Cp Dryden's Essay in Lessing's translation (*Theatralische Bibliothek*, iv, pp. 122 ff., *Schriften*, vi, pp. 291 ff.): 'Die Alten hatten in ihren Lustspielen sehr wenig

# ENGLISH DRAMA AT THE BEGINNING OF THE EIGHTEENTH CENTURY

Lessing had had the intention of dealing with the life of Dryden in his Theatralische Bibliothek, 1 but beyond a few introductory words to the Versuch uber die dramatische Poesie, this plan did not materialise. In the Dramaturgie Dryden is mentioned (VII, 126) as a master in the art of writing epilogues; and again (xv, 135) as one of the English poets who put rhyming verses at the end of their acts. His All for Love is referred to in the passage which Lessing translates here (96) from Voltaire's second 'Lettre à M. Fakener', prefixed to his Zayre:

Vous aviez une coûtume à laquelle Mr. Addisson, le plus sage de vos Ecrivains, s'est asservi lui-même; tant l'usage tient lieu de raison et de loi. Cette coûtume peu raisonnable était de finir chaque Acte par des vers d'un goût différent du reste de la piéce, et ces vers devaient nécessairement renfermer une comparaison. Phèdre en sortant du Théâtre se comparait poetiquement à une biche, Caton à un rocher, Cléopatre à des enfans qui pleurent jusqu'à ce qu'ils soient endormis.

Le Traducteur de Zayre est le premier qui ait osé maintenir les droits de la Nature contre un goût si éloigné d'elle. Il a proscrit cet usage; il a senti que la passion doit parler un langage vrai, et que le Poete doit se cacher toujours pour ne laisser paraître que le Héros.<sup>2</sup>

In this statement Lessing finds three 'untruths'. It is true that the English poets from Shakespeare on, and perhaps farther back, end their blank verse acts with a couple of rhymed lines; but that these always contain similes is false. Secondly, every act of Hill's Zara ends with rhymed verses, although they do not contain similes; and lastly, there is no evidence that Hill set an example that was followed in

davon'; and 'Bey den Englandern aber ist es ganz anders, die unter Humor irgend eine ausschweisende Gewohnheit, Leidenschaft oder Neigung verstehen, die... einer Person eigenthumlich ist, und durch deren Seltsamkeit sie sich sogleich von allen ubrigen Menschen unterscheidet' (pp. 122, 123 f., Schriften, vi, pp. 291 f.).

<sup>1</sup> See above, pp. 107, 113 f.

<sup>2</sup> Euvres, ed. cit. viii, pp. 21 f. The source of Voltaire's information is unknown. The plays which he mentions can hardly be other than Phedra and Hippolitus by Edmund Smith, Cato by Addison and All for Love by Dryden. But if so, the statement seems only correct of the last: Act in of All for Love closes, as Cosack has pointed out (op. cit. p. 111), with the words:

'There I till death will his unkindness weep, As harmless infants moan themselves asleep.'

In the other two plays, similes in rhymed verse occur at the end of Acts i, ii and iii, but in no case do they correspond to Voltaire's description.

England. Where Lessing's superior knowledge came from it is difficult to say; he may have based his statement on the fact that final rhymed lines (but usually more than two) occur in the plays of some of the English dramatists to whom he alludes in the *Dramaturgie*—Otway, Lee, Addison, Rowe, or James Thomson.

For Addison Lessing expresses a temperate admiration. He is 'ein guter Kopf' (xvn, 76), although not, as Voltaire's reference to him might appear to suggest, the 'wisest' of English poets (xv, 112). Sagacity, however, Lessing is willing to grant him—a sagacity which might well endear him to the French (xv, 118). It is this quality which (in spite of the fact that he is not by nature a dramatist) enables him to write plays that have some—though not the highest—dramatic virtues (xvII, 76 ff.). But even twenty Addisons, Lessing adds (xvII, 81), could not make the conventional regularity of French drama acceptable to English taste: 'Begnuge sich damit, wer keine hohere Schonheiten kennet!' In the same section (xvII, 5 ff.) Lessing begins a discussion of Gresset's Sidnei by objecting to the idea that this piece would be more popular in London than in Paris. He finds the play un-English: Sidnei does not act quickly enough, he philosophises too much before taking a decisive step, too little afterwards; his remorse is too much like timorousness and that his French servant should so mislead him might well be considered a disgrace to him. But such considerations, Lessing continues, would not affect the reception of Sidnei in Germany: 'wir mogen eine Raserey gern mit ein wenig Philosophie bemanteln' (14).

There are one or two allusions in the *Dramaturgie* to continental criticisms of the mixture of comic and serious elements in English drama. While Lessing quotes with approval Thomson's condemnation of the comic epilogues appended to English tragedies, he breaks a lance for Banks's introduction of a box on the ear in *Essex*, and to the imagined reproach: 'wie englisch, wie unanstandig!' he retorts by pointing to *Le Gid*.

In a discussion of Colman's adaptation of Voltaire's L'Écossaise,<sup>3</sup> Lessing notes the fact that this adaptation has not enough action to please English critics, who prefer Colman's other plays (XII, 112). He is quick to seize on the differences between English and German taste. The English favour a complicated plot; Germans prefer a simpler dramatic action, which can be grasped more easily; while

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See below, pp. 261 f.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See below, pp. 267 f.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> See below, pp. 259 f.

the English have to add episodes to French pieces to make them acceptable, Germans have to strip English plays of these. The best English comedies, those of a Congreve or a Wycherley—it will be noticed that Shakespeare (in whose comedies Lessing, like most of his contemporaries, took little interest) is not included here—are instanced (xII, 131) as plays which would be intolerable on the German stage without the excision of their all too luxuriant growths. English tragedies, on the other hand—and again he is thinking, not of Shakespeare, but of The Merchant of London, The Gamester, Venice Preserv'd, The Orphan—are not so difficult to accept; they are less complicated, and some have been successful in Germany even without alteration.

#### AARON HILL

Voltaire's Zayre was translated into English by Aaron Hill, 'himself a dramatic poet, not of the worst kind' (xv, 87).<sup>2</sup> The performance of this translation at Drury Lane (xvi, 1 ff.) is discussed by Voltaire in his second Lettre à M. Fakener:

L'art de déclamer était chez vous un peu hors de la nature; la plûpart de vos Acteurs tragiques s'exprimaient souvent plus en Poetes saisis d'enthousiasme, qu'en hommes que la passion inspire. Beaucoup de Comédiens avaient encor outré ce défaut; ils déclamaient des vers ampoulés, avec une fureur et une impétuosité, qui est au beau naturel, ce que des convulsions sont à l'égard d'une démarche noble et aisée.<sup>3</sup>

Voltaire had also referred to the actors at this performance (xv1, 24):

Une nouveauté qui va paraître plus singulière aux Français, c'est qu'un Gentilhomme de votre pays, qui a de la fortune et de la considération, n'a pas dédaigné de jouer sur votre Théâtre le rôle d'Orosmane. C'était un spectacle assez intéressant de voir les deux principaux personnages remplis, l'un par un homme de condition, et l'autre par une jeune Actrice de dix-huit ans, qui n'avait pas encor récité un vers en sa vie. Cet exemple d'un Citoyen, qui a fait usage de son talent pour la déclamation, n'est pas le premier parmi vous. Tout ce qu'il y a de surprenant en cela, c'est que

<sup>1</sup> Lessing is perhaps thinking here of his own experiments in translating Wycherley and Congreve in earlier years; *The Country Wife* and *The Double Dealer* attracted his interest then. See E. Schmidt, ed. cit. i, pp. 127 f.

3 Œuvres, ed. cit. viii, p. 19.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Aaron Hill (1685–1750) was manager of Drury Lane in 1709 He wrote some sixteen plays, of which four were adaptations of tragedues by Voltaire. See H. L. Bruce, *Voltaire on the English stage*, Berkeley, Cal., 1918 (University of California Publications in Modern Philology, viii, i), pp 23 ff.

nous nous en étonnions. Nous devrions faire réflexion, que toutes les choses de ce monde dépendent de l'usage et de l'opinion. La Cour de France a dansé sur le Théâtre avec les Acteurs de l'Opéra; et on n'a rien trouvé en cela d'étrange, sinon que la mode de ces divertissemens ait fini Pourquoi sera-t-il plus étonnant de réciter que de danser en public? Y a-t-il d'autre différence entre ces deux Arts, sinon que l'un est autant au-dessus de l'autre, que les talens où l'esprit à quelque part sont au-dessus de ceux du corps?

Lessing supplements this information (xvi, 6 ff.) by turning to Hill's Dramatic Works, London, 1760.<sup>2</sup> Here he found (ii, p. 26):

Thus, confirmed in my sentiments, I ventured on the cast of two capital characters, into hands, not disabled, by custom, and obstinate prejudice, from pursuing the plain track, of NATURE. It was easy to induce OSMAN (as he is a relation of my own, and but too fond of the amusement) to make trial, how far his delight, in an art, I shall never allow him to practise, might enable him to supply one part of the proof, that, to imitate Nature, we must proceed, upon natural principles. At the same time, it happened, that Mrs Cibbers was, fortunately, inclinable to exert her inimitable talent, in additional aid of my purpose, with view to continue the practice of a profession, for which, her person, her voice, the unaffected sensibility of her heart, (and, her face, so finely dispos'd, for assuming, and expressing, the Passions) have, so naturally, qualify'd her.

#### GEORGE COLMAN

Voltaire, as we have seen, had given out his *Écossaise* as a translation from 'the English of Hume'—not the historian and philosopher, but 'another of this name who had made a name with the tragedy *Douglas*' (XII, 66). *L'Écossaise* was translated into English in 1760; and again in 1767 it was adapted for the English stage, under the title of *The English Merchant*, by George Colman the elder (1732–94), whom Lessing characterises (90) as 'unquestionably now their best comic

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Ibid pp. 20 f. Voltaire published in the first edition an Épitre à Mile. Gossin, a young actress who had represented Zaire with great success

Not to the separate edition of *The Tragedy of Zara*, London, 1736. This inference may be drawn from the four lines which Lessing quotes from Hill's prologue (xx, 81); in the original edition the reading is 'his tragic pile', not 'this tragic pile'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Mrs Cibber was not, as Lessing says (xvi, 17), the wife of Colley Cibber, but of his son Theophilus. It may be added that Hill's nephew, who played 'Osman', was severely handled by the critics and abandoned his part to a professional actor. Cp. H. L. Bruce, op. at. p. 25.

<sup>4</sup> See above, p. 226.

poet'.¹ He then turns to Colman's translation and to the English criticisms of it, in order to show how indifferently Voltaire had succeeded in reproducing English conditions. He quotes (93–112) arguments drawn from the *Monthly Review* of March, 1767:²

Monsieur de Voltaire, though he lived some time in England, has forgot, or knowingly neglected, our customs; and has introduced his Heroine in L'Ecossaise, lodging at a Coffee-house. There are many other oversights in his play, which our English Author has altered with great judgment. Among the many changes he has made with success, the addition of literary conceit, to the pride and insolence of Lady Alton, has raised her character to a pitch of ridicule, which is wanted in the original, and naturally accounts for the mischievous alliance between her and Spatter. The worthy Mrs. Goodman is very judiciously put in the place of Fabrice, the master of Voltaire's Coffee-house; and her being settled so well, and properly, by the benevolence of Freeport, connects and brings together the Dramatis Persone, much more easily and naturally than in the original French....[Mr Colman's] diction is pure, spirited and unaffected, or, in one word, natural; his wit and sentiments are always subordinate to the characters; without which indeed both would be impertinent.

Lessing also refers (113) to Colman's other pieces, of which *The Jealous Wife* 'was formerly seen here in the Ackermann theatre'.

## JAMES THOMSON

James Thomson, for whose dramatic work Lessing had so strangely warm an admiration, receives some attention in connexion with the question of prologues and epilogues (vn, 66). In Lessing's translation

- <sup>1</sup> Cp. Diderot's comment in his Réflexions sur Térence: 'M. Colman, le meilleur auteur comique que l'Angleterre ait aujourd'hui, a donné, il y a quelques années, une très-bonne traduction de Térence' (Œuvres Complètes, ed. cit. v, p 237. There would seem to be some confusion as to the date (1762) under which the Réflexions are here printed, since Colman's translation of Terence appeared in 1765. See below, p. 319).
  - <sup>2</sup> Vol. xxxvi, pp. 224 f , 229.
- The Jealous Wife (1761) was translated by J. J. C. Bode: Die enfersuchtige Ehefrau, ein Lustspiel in funf Aufzugen. Aus dem Englischen durch B\*\*. Hamburg, 1764. It was produced by Ackermann in Hamburg in 1765 (F. L. W. Meyer, op. at. ii, 2, p. 54) and under the present management was revived on September 2, 7, November 9, 1768, January 23, and February 28, 1769. Cp. J. Wihan, J. J. Ch. Bode als Vermittler englischer Geisteswerke in Deutschland (Prager Deutsche Studien, iii), Prague, 1906, pp. 25 ff. (where an earlier edition, published at Hamburg in 1762, is mentioned as being inaccessible to the author). A copy of the 1764 edition is in the British Museum.

of the Life of Thomson from Th. Cibber's Lives of the Poets of Great Britain and Ireland, published in the Theatralische Bibliothek, there is a passage referring to the posthumous production of Thomson's Corrolanus:

Der Prologus war von dem Herrn George Lyttleton verfertiget worden, und von dem Herrn Quin wurde er gehalten, welches einen sehr glucklichen Eindruck auf die Zuhorer machte. Herr Quin war ein besond[r]er Freund des Herrn Thomson gewesen, und als er folgende Zeilen, die an und für sich selbst sehr zartlich sind, aussprach, stellten sich seiner Einbildungskraft auf einmal alle Annehmlichkeiten des mit ihm lange gepflogenen Umganges dar, und wahrhafte Thranen flossen über seine Wangen.

He lov'd his friends (forgive this gushing tear: Alas! I feel i [sic] am no actor here)
He lov'd his friends with such a warmth of heart,
So clear of int'rest, so devoid of art,
Such generous freedom, such unshaken zeal,
No words can speak it, but our tears may tell.

This passage seems to have made an impression on Lessing, for he returns to it in his preface to the translation of Thomson's dramas published in 1756.<sup>2</sup> There is also an apparent echo of it in the Epilogue to the opening performance in Hamburg (vi, 132). It might be added that Lessing's discussion of Prologue and Epilogue as they are to be found in English plays (vii, 99 ff.) gives the impression of being in the main a deduction from his reading of those attached to Thomson's dramas.<sup>3</sup> The reference here (119) is to the sentiments expressed in the Epilogue to Agamemnon:

Our bard, to modern epilogue a foe, Thinks such mean mirth but deadens generous woe; Dispels in idle air the moral sigh, And wipes the tender tear from pity's eye: No more with social warmth the bosom burns; But all th'unfeeling selfish man returns.<sup>4</sup>

and in that to Tancred and Sigismunda:

Hence with your flippant epilogue, that tries To wipe the virtuous tear from British eyes;

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Theatralische Bibliothek, i, p. 112; Schriften, vi, pp. 67 f. See above, pp. 108 f.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Schriften, vii, pp. 70 f.

<sup>See below, p. 464.
James Thomson, Works, London, 1750, iii, p. 205.</sup> 

That dares my moral, tragic scene profane, With strains—at best, unsuiting, light and vain. Hence from the pure unsully'd beams that play In yon fair eyes where virtue shines—Away!

Lessing's knowledge of the tragedy Creusa (XLIX, 66), by William Whitehead (1715-85), which was produced at Drury Lane on April 20, 1754, may have come from a criticism and analysis of the play in the Monthly Review of May, 1754:<sup>2</sup>

In his prologue mr Whitehead ridicules his good friends the antients, and the more modern French poets, for their awkward methods of introducing their plays to the audiences; being obliged, either in their prologues, by supernatural powers, to let them into the opening of the fable, or by tragic relations to a confidante, to repeat a story they were acquainted with before.

But there was also a French criticism of the play (including a summary of the Prologue) in the Journal étranger of March, 1755,<sup>3</sup> and a German one, with a summary of the plot, in Das Neueste aus der annuthigen Gelehrsamkeit.<sup>4</sup> In the Geschichte der englischen Schaubuhne Nicolai mentions Whithead [sic] among the chief living writers, whom he does not discuss.<sup>5</sup>

## **JOHN BANKS**

There remains only to be considered the large section of the *Dramaturgie* devoted to *The Unhappy Favourite*, or *The Earl of Essex*, by John Banks, and other dramas on the same theme. The discussion, which takes its start from Thomas Corneille's *Comte d'Essex*, is not prompted by any special admiration for the English play, but rather by a desire to show its superiority to the French one.

The discussion is initiated in St. LIV (4 ff.):

Da die Englander von je her so gern domestica facta<sup>6</sup> auf ihre Buhne gebracht haben, so kann man leicht vermuthen, dass es ihnen auch an

- <sup>1</sup> Works, ed. cst. 1v, pp. 201 f. <sup>2</sup> Monthly Review, May, 1754, x, pp. 374 ff.
- <sup>8</sup> Journal étranger, March, 1755, pp. 137 ff.
- <sup>4</sup> Das Neueste aus der anmuthigen Gelehrsamkeit, Leipzig, 1756, i, pp. 34 ff., ii, pp. 111 ff.

  <sup>5</sup> Theatralische Bibliothek, iv, p. 49.
  - 6 'Domestica facta' is from Horace, De arte poetica, 1. 287:

'Nec minimum meruere decus vestigia Graeca Ausi deserere et celebrare domestica facta.'

There is a note in Lessing's Verzenchnisse der aufgefuhrten Dramen (Schriften, xv, p. 52): 'Canut. Schlegels Hang domestica facta zu wahlen. Hord [sw], p. 211, n. 286.' Trauerspielen uber diesen Gegenstand nicht fehlen wird. Das alteste ist das von Joh. Banks, unter dem Titel, der ungluckliche Liebling, oder Graf von Essex. Es kam 1682 aufs Theater, und erhielt allgemeinen Beyfall. Damals aber hatten die Franzosen schon drei Essexe des Calprenede von 1638; des Boyer von 1678, und des jungern Corneille, von eben diesem Jahre. Wollten indess die Englander, dass ihnen die Franzosen auch hierinn nicht mochten zuvorgekommen seyn, so wurden sie sich vielleicht auf Damels Philotas beziehen konnen; ein Trauerspiel von 1611, in welchem man die Geschichte und den Charakter des Grafen, unter fremden Namen, zu finden glaubte. 2

Banks scheinet keinen von seinen franzosischen Vorgangern gekannt zu haben Er ist aber einer Novelle gefolgt, die den Titel, Geheime Geschichte der Koniginn Elisabeth und des Grafen von Essex, fuhret, wo er den ganzen Stoff sich so in die Hande gearbeitet fand, dass er ihn blos zu dialogiren, ihm blos die aussere dramatische Form zu ertheilen brauchte.<sup>3</sup>

Lessing gives as the source of his knowledge Cibber's Lives of the Poets (London, 1753), vol. i, p. 147. Here he found, in the Life of Samuel Daniel:

6. The Tragedy of Philotas, 1611, 8vo. It is dedicated to the Prince, afterwards King Charles I. This play met with some opposition, because it was reported that the character of Philotas was drawn for the unfortunate earl of Essex, which obliged the author to vindicate himself from this charge, in an apology printed at the end of the play; both this play and that of Cleopatra, are written after the manner of the ancients, with a chorus between each act.

A note which is to be found in Lessing's Entwürfe zu Besprechungen may have been the basis for the present discussion of Banks:

Von Banks seinem Essex, der von 1682 ist, und also nach des Corneille seinem heraus gekommen. Er scheint aber das Werk des Franzosen nicht gekant zu haben. Er hat sich genau an die historischen Umstande gehalten, und ob sein Stuck gleich in Ansehung der Einrichtung und des Ausdrukes

<sup>1</sup> Lessing's information concerning the three French Essex dramas comes from Léris (see above, p. 182).

<sup>2</sup> There is no foundation for the suggestion that Daniel's *Philotas* was based on the story of the Earl of Essex. Cp. R. Schiedermair, *Der Graf von Essex in der Literatur* (Diss. Munchen), Kaiserslautern, 1908, p. 38.

<sup>3</sup> It might be mentioned that there is a brief note based upon Cibber in Nicolai's Geschichte der englischen Schaubuhne in the Theatralische Bibliothek (iv, p. 42): 'John Banks; Verfasser von verschiednen Tragodien, die von keinem grossen poetischen Geme zeigen, aber doch nicht selten Thranen erregt haben, welches besonders von seinem Grafen von Essex und Anna Bullen zu sagen ist. Er lebte noch im Jahr 1706.'

sehr mittelmassig ist, so hat er doch die Kunst gehabt, sehr interessante Situationes anzubringen, welche gemacht dass sich das Stuck lange auf dem Theater erhalten.<sup>1</sup>

Lessing now proceeds (LIV, 18-LV, 41) to give in translation 'the story in which Banks found his material so ready to his hand that he only needed to turn it into dialogue and give it dramatic form'. He found it, as he states in a footnote (LIV, 47), in A Companion to the Theatre, or a View of our most celebrated Dramatic Pieces: in which the Plan, Characters and Incidents of each are particularly explained, 2 vols. London, 1747 (ii, pp. 99 ff.). I reprint the English original in full:

## THE EARL OF ESSEX: OR, THE UNHAPPY FAVOURITE. A TRAGEDY.

#### BY MR BANKS

The Author is indebted for the Conduct of this Play to a Novel, intitled, The Secret History of Queen Elizabeth and the Earl of Essex; 2 and happy he was to have so popular a Subject modell'd to his Hands in that interesting Manner we find it.

To heighten our Compassion for the unhappy Earl, and justify the Queen's vehement Affection for him, he is represented as possest of all those eminent Qualities which compose a Hero; and to be a faultless Character requires only to have had a greater Command over his Passions. Burleigh, first Minister to the Queen, jealous of her Glory, and envious of the Favours heap'd on Essex, is continually labouring to render him suspected. Sir Walter Raleigh, no less his Enemy, joins his Endeavours for the same End, and both are abetted by the malicious Countess of Nottingham, who, having been passionately in Love with Essex, and rejected by him, seeks to ruin what she can't enjoy. The Impetuosity of the Earl's Temper gave them but too great an Opportunity, and they accomplish'd their Ends in the following Manner.

A Rebellion breaking out in *Ireland*, headed by *Tyronne*, a very valiant Man, *Essex*, as being Lord-Lieutenant of that Kingdom, and Captain-General of all her Majesty's Forces both by Sea and Land, marched with a powerful Army against him; after some slight Skirmishes, the Earl's

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Schriften, xv, p. 47.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> This novel appeared in London (ca. 1650) as History of the most renowned Queen Elizabeth and her Great Favourite, the Earl of Essex. In two parts: a romance. In an edition of 1689 (Bentley's Modern Novels, I, 1692) the title is The Secret History of the most renowned Queen Elizabeth and the Earl of Essex, by a person of quality. See R. Schiedermair, op. cit. pp. 30 ff. There were many editions, and the work appeared in French in 1678, and in German in 1687, 1731, 1742, 1743 and 1787. Schiedermair compares Banks's drama briefly with this work; it is evident that Banks drew on other sources—e.g. the novel makes no mention of the historical box on the ear.

Troops being much harassed, and the Enemy posted very advantageously, he yielded to a Parley, which being very private, was represented to the Queen as derogatory to her Honour, and as if the Earl was not free from some clandestine Designs. Burleigh and Raleigh, with some other Members of both Houses, petition her for Leave to impeach him of High Treason, which she not only refuses, but is extremely incensed that such a Motion has been made, repeats the former Services the Earl has done the Nation, and reproaches them with Malice, Envy, and Ingratitude: The Earl of Southampton, a very sincere friend of Essex, urges every Thing he can in his Behalf, and extols the Queen's Justice in protecting him; so that for that Time his Enemies are put to Silence.

The Queen however, not satisfied with his Behaviour, sends Orders to him to repair his past Conduct, and not quit Ireland till the Rebels are totally subdued, and all Things quieted; but the Earl hearing of the Accusations which had been brought against him, was too impatient to be clear'd; and having engaged Tyronne to lay down his Arms, came over in spite of the Oueen's positive Command to the contrary. His cruel Foes rejoiced; all his Friends were alarmed at this imprudent step; the Countess of Rutland, to whom he was privately married, trembled for the Consequence; and the Queen herself was beyond Measure afflicted to find that his rash Proceeding now left her no Pretence to espouse his Cause, without manifesting a Tenderness which she was desirous of concealing from the whole World. The Consideration of her Dignity, heighten'd by her native Haughtiness, and the secret Love she bears him, occasion cruel Conflicts in her Breast; long she debates within herself, whether she shall obey the Dictates of the one, and send the audacious Man to the Tower, or comply with the soft Impulse of the other, and admit the beloved Criminal to justify himself before her: The latter, after much Struggling, gets the better, but not without some Restrictions; she resolves to see him, but to receive him in such a Manner as shall leave him no Room to hope she will easily pardon his offences.

Burleigh, Raleigh, and Nottingham are present at this Interview, on the latter of which she leans, and seems busy in Discourse, without once looking on the Earl; and, after suffering him to kneel some Time, quits the Room, sternly commanding all who have Loyalty to follow her, and leave the Traitor to himself. None dare to disobey, even Southampton goes, but soon returns, and, with the disconsolate Rutland, bewail his Misfortunes. Immediately after Burleigh and Raleigh are sent to demand his Staff of Offices, which he refuses to resign to any but the Queen herself; and both he and Southampton treat those Ministers with Contempt.

The Queen being presently informed of this Behaviour, is highly incens'd, yet still divided in her Thoughts; she cannot brook the Railings of Nottingham against him, and the Praises bestowed on him by the unwary Rutland make her yet more uneasy, by the Discovery that she loves him: She, however, at last, commands he shall be brought into her Presence: he attempts to vindicate his Conduct, but the Reasons he gives seem too weak to convince

her Judgment of his Innocence. She pardons him to satisfy the secret Affection she has for him; but deprives him of all his Honours in Consideration of what she thought owing to herself as Queen. Here the Earl is no longer able to restrain the Impetuosity of his Nature, he throws his Staff at her Feet, accompanied with some Expressions, that sound like Reproaches; on which the Queen, inflamed with Wrath, gives him a Blow He lays his Hand on his Sword, and it is in vain that Southampton conjures him to be more moderate, he goes on repeating his Services, and accusing Burleigh, Raleigh, and even her Majesty, of Injustice. She leaves him in the utmost Rage, and none remain with him but Southampton, who in this Exigence will not forsake him

Grown desperate with his Misfortunes, he runs head-long into the City, proclaims his Wrongs, and inveighs against the Ministry. All this is told with Aggravations to the Queen, who orders the two Earls to be seized. They are pursued and taken, and sent close Prisoners to the Tower, there to wait their Trial. But the Queen, in spite of all can be said to her, will needs see Essex before he goes, and fearing his Crimes were too flagrant to escape Sentence, in order to save his Life, gives him a Ring, with a solemn Promise, that whenever he sends that, to grant him in Return whatever he shall ask.

As she expected, he was found guilty by the Law, and condemned to lose his Head; as was also his Friend Southampton. Her Majesty knew that by her Prerogative she had a Right to pardon him; but then she thought such a Grace would too much betray a Weakness unworthy of a Queen, and waited till he should send the Ring, and beg his Life. Impatient till she knows him secure she sends Nottingham to him, who pretending the greatest Compassion for him, is intrusted by him with this precious Pledge of Safety, and with it a Petition to the Queen for Mercy. She had now all she wish'd in her Possession, and a full Opportunity to revenge the Contempt he had shewn her Charms. Instead of bearing his Message to the Queen, she represents him as insolent, disdaining to receive any Favour from her; and daring all that her Power and Indignation can inflict: To heighten her Displeasure against him, an unlucky Accident contributes: His Wife hearing he was condemn'd to die, quite desperate with Grief, flies to the Queen, reveals the Secret of their Marriage, and begs her Husband's Life.

Never did publick Indignation, or secret Despair, rise to a greater Height than in the Behaviour, and Breast of this Princess; she spurns the Countess from her, and gives Orders that the Sentence past on Essex shall be immediately executed. The malicious Nottingham, who now engrosses her Ear, persuades her to pardon the Earl of Southampton, not out of any real Pity for that Nobleman, but because she imagines Essex will feel the Severity of his own Doom more deeply, in seeing that Mercy which is denied to himself bestowed on his Friend. To imbitter Death the more, she also intreats his unhappy Wife may be permitted to see him as he is conducting [sic] to the Block; to both these the Queen consents, but unhappily for the cruel Adviser, the Earl then gives a Letter to his Wife to be delivered to the

Queen, who being at that Time in the Tower, receives it, soon after the Earl is carried off; and finding by it that the Earl had sent the Ring, and beg'd his Life by Nottingham, sends to forbid the Execution, but Burleigh and Raleigh, who were intrusted with the fatal Orders, took so much Care they should not be delayed, that the Earl was dead before the Arrival of this second Message. The Queen is grieved beyond Measure, banishes the treacherous Nottingham, for ever from her Presence, and is much displeased with all who had shewn themselves Enemies to the unfortunate Earl.

It must be confessed there is something in this Play capable of raising Compassion in the most insensible Hearts; but then it is wholly owing to the Story, for the Diction is every where very bad, and in some Places so low, that it even becomes unnatural, and shews our Author little acquainted with Courts, when he puts into the Mouth of the prime Nobility such Language as a civil Coster-monger would blush to use. And I think there cannot be a greater Proof of the little Encouragement this Age affords to Merit, than that no Gentleman possest of a true Genius and Spirit of Poetry, thinks it worth his Attention to adorn so celebrated a Part of History with that Dignity of Expression befitting Tragedy in general, but more particularly where the Characters are perhaps the greatest the World ever produced.

As will be seen from a comparison of the above with Lessing's version of it, he frequently expands the text slightly in order to bring it into closer parallelism with Banks's play. This is particularly noticeable in the passage (LIV, 119): 'er wirft den Stab...' ('He throws his Staff at her Feet...'); the following four lines of Lessing's version are only represented in the original by the words: 'He lays his hand on his sword.' The statement in LIV (142 ff) ('Doch indess...') and the last sentence of St. LIV are Lessing's additions, as well as the references to the acts of the play. On the other hand, after 'das Ausserste ankommen zu lassen' (LV, 18) Lessing omits a passage of some length in the English narrative.

From his comparison of Banks's play with the romance Lessing concludes (LV, 42) that the former is superior in respect of 'nature, truth and consistency' to the drama of Corneille. Banks has kept more faithfully to history, where he found, although not at the points where he utilises them, the box on the ear—not explicitly described in the romance—and the episode of the ring. As far as the latter is concerned, Lessing thinks that Banks has not availed himself of it to the best advantage; he would have preferred to see the queen forget all about the ring, and to receive it unexpectedly and too late, after she had been convinced of the earl's comparative innocence. Much more successful (91) is Banks's introduction of the box on the ear,

which inevitably recalls to Lessing the famous scene in Le Cid. 1 In The Earl of Essex, the incident is, of course, much more serious. as the blow is administered by the queen (LVI, 106). Banks's handling of it has, on the whole, Lessing's approval. For a historical account of the episode Lessing turns again (126) to Hume's History of England 2 In respect of this affair with the queen, Banks has depicted his Essex. Lessing thinks (LVII, 8), too realistically, too much 'nach dem Leben'. He is too much of a boaster—indeed, quite as much a Gascon as the Essex of the Gascon Calprenède; at one time too mean-spirited and obsequious, at another over-bold towards the queen. Much better is the treatment of Elizabeth, in Lessing's view (LVII, 20); he considers that Banks is more successful than Corneille in this. and by way of illustration, he quotes, in translation, a scene from Act III of the play.

I subjoin the original of this scene (LVII, 50-LVIII, 130); as will be seen, it is of particular interest to compare it with Lessing's version. Among the many editions of Banks's drama it is difficult to decide which Lessing possessed, the English quotation in the note (LVII, 26 ff.) being the only clue. I have selected that of 1712: The Unhappy Favourite: or, The Earl of Essex. A Tragedy acted at the Theatre Royal, by their Majesties Servants. Written by John Banks...London, 1712 (pp. 25 ff.):

... Where hast thou been? I thought, dear Nottingham, I'd been alone. Nottingham. Pardon this bold Intrusion, but my Duty Urges me farther—On my Knees I first Beg Pardon that I am so bold to ask it. Then, that you wou'd disclose what 'tis afflicts you: Something hangs heavy on your Royal Mind, Or else I fear you are not well. Queen. Rise, prithee-I am in health and thank thee for thy Love. Only a little troubled at my People. I have reign'd long, and they're grown weary of me: New Crowns are like new Garlands, fresh and lovely; My Royal Sun declines towards its West, They're hot, and tyr'd beneath its Autumn Beams-Tell me, what says the World of Essex coming? Not. Much do they blame him for't, but think him brave. Queen. What, when the Traytor serv'd me thus! Not. Indeed it was not well.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See above, pp. 174 f.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For the passages in question see above, pp. 185, 187.

Queen Not well, and was that all?

Not. It was a very bold and heinous Fault.

Queen. I [sw] was it not? And such a base Contempt,

As he deserves to die for; less than that

Has cost a hundred nearer Favourites Heads.

Not. Most true—can Essex than [sic] be thought so guilty, And not deserve to die?

Oueen. To die! to wrack,

And as his Treasons are the worst of all Mens, So I will have him plagu'd above the rest, His Limbs cut off, and plac'd to th' highest View, Not on low Bridges, Gates, and Walls of Towns, But on vast Pinacles that touch the Sky, Where all that pass may in Derision say, Lo, there is Essex, proud, ingrateful Essex! Essex that brav'd the Justice of his Queen—Is not that well? Why dost not speak? And help the Queen to rail against this Man.

Not. Since you will give me leave I will be plain, And tell your Majesty what all the World

Says of that proud ingrateful Man.

Queen. Do so. Prithee what says the World of him and me? Not. Of you they speak no worse than of dead Saints, And worship you no less than as their God, Than Peace, than Wealth, or their Eternal Hopes; Yet do they often wish with kindest Tears, Sprung from the purest Love, that you wou'd be pleas'd To heal their Grievances on Essex charg'd, And not protect the Traytor by your Power, But give him up to Justice and to Shame For a Revenge of all your Wrongs, and theirs.

Queen. What would they then prescribe me Rules to Govern?

Not. No more but with Submission as to Heaven; But upon Essex they unload Reproaches, And give him this bad Character:
They say he is a Person (bating his Treasons)
That in his Noblest, best Array of Parts,
He scarcely has enough to make him pass,
For a brave Man, nor yet a Hypocrite,
And that he wears his Greatness and his Honours
Foolish and Proud as Lacquies wear gay Liveries:
Valiant they will admit he is, but then
Like Beasts precipitately Rash and Brutish,
Which is no more commendable in him
Than in a Bear, a Leopard, or a Wolf.

He never yet had Courage over Fortune,
And which to shew his natural Pride the more,
He roars and staggers under small Affronts
And can no more endure the Pain than Hell;
Then he's as Covetous, and more Ambitious
Than that first Fiend that sow'd the Vice in Heav'n,
And therefore was Dethron'd and Tumbl'd thence;
And so they wish that Essex too may fall.

Queen. Enough, thou'st rail'd thy self quite out of Breath; I'll hear no more—Blisters upon her Tongue.
'Tis baseness tho' in thee but to repeat.

'Tis baseness tho' in thee but to repeat, What the rude World maliciously has said;

Nor dare the vilest of the Rabble think,

Much less prophanely speak such horrid Treasons—Yet 'tis not what they say, but what you'd have 'em.

Not. Did not your Majesty command me to speak? Queen. I did, but then I saw thee on a sudden,

Settle thy Senses all in eager Postures,

Thy Lips, thy Speech, and Hands were all prepar'd,

A joyful Red painted thy envious Cheeks, Malicious Flames flasht in a Moment from

Thy Eyes like Lightning from thy o'er-charg'd Soul,

And fir'd thy Breast, which like a hard ramm'd Piece,

Discharg'd unmannerly upon my Face.

Not. Pardon bright Queen, most Royal and belov'd, The manner of expressing of my Duty;

But you your self began and taught me first.

Queen. I am his Queen, and therefore may have leave;

May not my self have Privilege to mould The Thing I made, and use it as I please?

Besides he has committed Monstrous Crimes

Against my Person, and has urg'd me far

Beyond the Power of mortal Suffering.

Me he has wrong'd, but thee he never wrong'd.

What has poor Essex done to thee? Thou hast

No Crown that he cou'd hope to gain,

No Laws to break, no Subjects to molest,

Nor Throne that he cou'd be ambitious of-

What Pleasure cou'dst thou take to see

A drowning Man Knock'd on the Head, and yet

Not wish to save the miserable Wretch!

Not. I was to blame.

Queen. No more—

Thou seest the Queen, the World, and Destiny It self against this one bad Man, and him Thou canst not Pity nor Excuse.

[Aside.

Not. Madam— Queen. Be gone, I do forgive thee; and bid Rutland [Exit Nottingham. Come to me straight. [St. LVIII] Enter the Countess of Essex. Queen. How now my Rutland I did send for you— I have observ'd you have been sad of late. Why wearest thou black so long? And why that Cloud, That mourning Cloud about thy lovely Eyes? Come I will find a noble Husband for thee. C. Ess. Ah! mighty Princess, most ador'd of Queens! Your Royal Goodness ought to blush, when it Descends to Care for such a Wretch as I am. Queen. Why say'st thou so? I love thee well, indeed I do, and thou shalt find by this 'tis Truth-Injurious Nottingham, and I had some Dispute, and it was about my Lord of Essex— [Aside. C. Ess. Ha! Queen. So much that she displeas'd me strangely, And I did send her from my sight in Anger. C. Ess. O that dear Name o' th' sudden how it starts me! Makes every Vein within me leave its Channel, To run, and to protect my feeble Heart; Aside. And now my Blood as soon retreats again To croud with Blushes full my guilty Cheeks— Alass. I fear. Queen. Thou blushest at my Story! C. Ess. Not I my Gracious Mistress, but my Eyes And Cheeks, fir'd and amaz'd with Joy turn'd red At such a Grace that you were pleas'd to shew me. Queen. I'll tell the[e] then, and ask thee thy Advice; There is no doubt, dear Rutland, but thou hear'st The daily Clamours that my People vent Against the most unhappy Earl of Essex, The Treasons that they would Impeach him of, And which is worse, this day he is arriv'd Against my strict Commands, and left Affairs In Ireland desperate, headless, and undone. C. Ess. Might I presume to tell my Humble Mind, Such Clamours very often are design'd More by the Peoples Hate than any Crimes In those they would accuse. Queen. Thou speak'st my Sense: But oh! dear Rutland, he has been too [sic] blame—

Lend me thy Breast to lean upon—O 'tis

A heavy Yoke they wou'd impose on me Their Queen, and I am weary of the Load, And want a Friend like thee to lull my Sorrows.

C. Ess. Behold these Tears sprung from fierce Pain and Joy, To see your wond'rous Grief, your wond'rous Pity. O that kind Heav'n would but instruct my Thoughts, And teach my Tongue such softning, healing Words, That it might Charm your Soul, and cure your Breast For ever.

Queen. Thou art my better Angel then, And sent to give me everlasting Quiet—
Say, Is't not pity that so brave a Man, And one that once was reckon'd as a God, That he should be the Author of such Treasons! That he, that was like Casar, and so great, Has had the Power to make and unmake Kings, Shou'd stoop to gain a petty Throne from me?

C. Ess. I can't believe 'tis in his Soul to think, Much less to act a Treason against you, Your Majesty, whom I have heard him so Commend, that Angels Words did never flow With so much Eloquence, so rare, so sweet, That nothing but the Subject cou'd deserve.

Queen. Hast thou then heard him talk of me? C. Ess. I have,

And of so much Excellence, as if He meant to make a rare Encomium on The World, the Stars, or what is brighter, Heav'n. She is, said he, the Goddess of her Sex, So far beyond all Woman kind beside, That what in them is most ador'd, and lov'd, Their Beauties, Parts and other Ornaments, Are but in her the Foils to greater Lustre, And all Perfections else, how rare soever, Are in her Person but as lesser Gleams. And infinite Beams that usher still the Sun. But scarce are visible amidst her other Brightness. And then she is so good, it might be said, That whilst she lives, a Goddess reigns in England: For all her Laws are register'd in Heaven, And copy'd thence by Her-But then he cry'd, With a deep Sigh fetch'd from his Loyal Heart, Well may the World bewail that time at last. When so much Goodness shall on Earth be mortal, And wretched England break its stubborn Heart. Queen. Did he say all this?

C. Ess. All this! nay more, A thousand times as much, I never saw him But his Discourse was still in praise of you; Nothing but Raptures fell from Essex Tongue. And all was still the same, and all was you.

Queen. Such Words spoke Loyalty enough.

C. Ess. Then does

Your Majesty believe that he can be A Traytor?

Queen. No, yet he has broke the Laws, And I for Shame no longer can protect him; Nay, durst not see him.

C. Ess. What, not see him, say you!
By that bright Star of Mercy in your Soul,
And listening through your Eyes, let me intreat;
'Tis good, 'tis God-like, and like England's Queen;
Like only her to pity the distress'd—
Will you not grant that he shall see you once?
Oueen. What he

That did defy my absolute Commands, And brings himself audaciously before me!

C. Ess. Impute it not to that, but to his Danger, That hearing what proceedings here had past Against his Credit and his Life, he comes Loyal, tho' unadvised, to clear himself.

Queen. Well, I will see him then, and see him straight—Indeed my Rutland I would fain believe

That he is honest still, as he is brave

C. Ess. O nourish that most kind Belief, 'tis sprung From Justice in your Royal Soul—Honest! By your bright Majesty, he is faithful still, The pure and Virgin Light is less untainted; The glorious Body of the Sun breeds Gnats, Insects that Molests [sw] its curious Beams; The Moon has Spots upon her Chrystal Face. But in his Soul are none—And for his Valour, The Christian World records its wondrous Story. Baseness can never mingle with such Courage. Remember what a Scourge he was to Rebels, And made your Majesty ador'd in Spain More than their King, that brib'd you with his *Indies*, And made himself so dreadful to their Fears, His very Name put Armies to the Rout; It was enough to say here's Essex come: And Nurses still'd their Children with the Fright. Queen. Ha! she's concern'd, Transported!

I'll try thee farther-Then he has a Person! C. Ess. I [sic], in his Person, there you summ up all. Ah! Loveliest Queen, did you e're see the like! The Limbs of Mars, and awful Front of Jove, With such an Harmony of Parts as put To blush the Beauties of his Daughter Venus. A Pattern for the Gods to make a perfect Man by, And Michael Angelo to frame a Statue To be ador'd th[r]ough all the wondring World Queen. I can endure no more—Hold Rutland, Thy Eyes are moist, thy Senses in a Hurry, Thy Words come crouding one upon another. Is it real Passion, or extorted? Is it for Essex sake, or for thy Queen's That makes this furious Transport in thy Mind? She loves him—Ah, 'tis so—What have I done? Conjur'd another Storm to rack my Rest? Thus is my Mind with Quiet never blest, But like a loaded Bark finds no Repose, When 'tis becalm'd, nor when the Weather blows.

> Enter Burleigh, Countess of Nottingham, Rawleigh, Lords, Attendants and Guards.

Burl. May't please your Majesty the Earl of Essex Return'd by your Command, intreats to kneel Before you.

Queen. Now hold my Treacherous Heart,
Guard well the Breach that this proud Man has made—
Rutland, we must defer this Subject till
Some other Time—Come hither Nottingham.

Aside.

Lessing concludes his translation with the remark (LVIII, 144): 'I, for my part, would rather have merely conceived these scenes than have composed the whole *Essex* of Corneille.'

In St. Lix, however, he criticises the style of Banks's play, which, he says, must not be judged by his translation. 'Er ist zugleich so gemein und so kostbar, so kriechend und so hochtrabend, und das nicht von Person zu Person, sondern ganz durchaus, dass er zum Muster dieser Art von Misshelligkeit dienen kann' (Lix, 3). This judgment was, no doubt, influenced by what Lessing read in *The Companion to the Theatre*. And from *The Companion to the Playhouse* he quotes in his *Collectanea* (Schriften, xv, p. 150): 'His Verse is not

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Ed. cit. ii, p. 105. The passage which Lessing quotes in his note (Lix, 109) will be found in full on p. 267 above.

Poetry, but Prose run mad.' Cibber, it might be added, had also said of Banks in his Lives of the Poets (ed. cit. iii, p. 174):

Mr Banks's genius was wholly turned for tragedy; his language is certainly unpoetical, and his numbers unharmonious, but he seems not to have been ignorant of the dramatic art: For in all his plays he has very forcibly rouzed the passions, kept the scene busy, and never suffered his characters to languish.

Prosy and uninspired as Banks's verse often is, there is in all these strictures a certain element of unfairness; even his imitations of Miltonic phrases<sup>2</sup> are not always blameworthy.

Lessing's translation, it will be seen, is extremely free; and it seems prompted by a desire to substantiate the English criticisms he had read. Banks's verse is turned into the baldest prose of the 'burgerliches Trauerspiel', and Lessing allows himself unjustifiable liberties of curtailment. He has guarded, he says (Lix, 9), rather against the bombastic than against the trite. This is only too true; Banks's triteness becomes in Lessing's hands considerably more trite; and the injustice done to such poetry as the play contains is serious. If the phrases which Lessing quotes (Lix, 60 ff.) as examples of Banks's lack of poetic sense are compared with the original text, it will be seen that practically in every case the blame is to be laid at Lessing's door; the words he complains of are gratuitous additions of his own! One trembles to think how even Shakespeare would appear if translated in this fashion.

In St. LIX (117, 127) Lessing mentions three other dramatists, whose plays on the subject of Essex are, however, merely adaptations from that of Banks: Henry Jones, James Ralph and Henry Brook [sw]. 4 He does not know their works, and his information about them

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Companion to the Playhouse, ed. cit. ii, v.s. Banks.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For examples of Miltonic influence on Banks see R. Schiedermair, op. cit. pp. 39 ff.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Karl Lessing wrote on February 3, 1772 to his brother (Schriften, xx, p. 127): 'In Deiner Emilia Galotti herrscht ein Ton, den ich in keiner Tragodie, so viel ich deren gelesen, gefunden habe; ein Ton, der nicht das Trauerspiel erniedrigt, sondern nur so herunterstimmt, dass es ganz naturlich wird, und desto leichter Eingang in unsere Empfindungen erhalt. Ich besinne mich wohl, dass Du in Deiner Dramaturgie aus dem Bankschen Trauerspiele Elisabeth oder Essex einige Scenen in eine solche Sprache übersetzt hast; aber wer diese Scenen im Originale suchen will, (denn ich habe es gelesen)—der muss seyn, was Du bist.'

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> It is interesting to note that a play entitled *Die Gunst der Fursten, oder Elisabeth und Essex* 'nach dem Englischen der Herren Banks, Brooke, Jones, und Ralph,

comes only from 'gelehrten Tagebuchern'. In the *Monthly Review* for March, 1753, where 'The Earl of Essex, a Tragedy...by mr Henry Jones' is reviewed, he read:

This play has had a considerable run, and was performed with universal applause 'Tis written on Banks's plan, but somewhat varied in the conduct, and much improved in diction. The notice taken by several judicious critics of the happy conduct of Banks's scenery, (who all, however, unanimously decry his writing) has tempted others to adopt this subject, besides mr. Jones; viz. mr. James Ralph and mr. Henry Brooke, author of Gustavus Vasa, and those who have seen them, generally allow the superiority in point of merit to mr. Jones's performance. Mr. Brooke's, indeed, has not yet appeared in print, tho' frequently acted in Ireland.

Our author, who gained great reputation by his poems, printed by subscription a few years ago, is peculiarly entitled to the notice and encouragement of the public, as he appears to be a man of extraordinary genius, and whose personal merit also joins to render him worthy of that patronage and favour he has met with, and which has deservedly raised him from the obscurity of a mechanical employment, as little suited to mr. Jones's natural talents, as the same calling was to his great predecessor, Ben. Johnson.<sup>1</sup>

After giving a specimen of the play, the critic quotes the Epilogue in which Jones is described as an 'Irish Bricklayer' and 'Old Ben' is mentioned, 'who chang'd (like him) the trowel for the pen' (cp. Lix, 119).

In Lessing's Entwurfe zu Besprechungen we find the following note:

1753 liess Jones seinen Essex spielen (S. Cibbers Lifes III, p. 175). Er wollte Banks Stuk regelmassiger machen, und machte es frostiger. Aber sein Styl ist besser, und seine Sprache poetischer.<sup>2</sup>

The criticism by Cibber to which Lessing refers is the following, which occurs in the Life of Banks:

We cannot but acknowledge, that Mr Jones has improved the story, and heightened the incident in the last act, which renders the whole more moving.... Mr Jones in his language (in this piece) does not affect being very poetical;—nor is his versification always mellifluent, as in his other

vom Herrn Professor Schmidt' was performed in Vienna on April 30, 1778. The playbill on which this statement of joint authorship occurs is preserved in the Theatersammlung of the Nationalbibliothek, Vienna.

<sup>2</sup> Schriften, xv, p. 47.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Monthly Review, March, 1753, viii, pp. 225 f. Lessing himself gives the reference in his repertory list (where 'Johnson' is a slip for 'Jones' (Schriften, xv, p. 49)).

writings;—but it is well adapted for speaking: The design is well conducted, the story rises regularly, the business is not suspended, and the characters are well sustained.

Under the heading 'Unstudirte Dichter' Lessing noted further: 'Heinrich Jones, der Verfasser des neuen Essex war ein Maurer.'1

Lessing's information about Brooke comes from an article in the Journal encyclopédique of March 1, 1761 (pp. 117 f), to which his attention may have been drawn by a reference in the Bibliothek der schonen Wissenschaften.<sup>2</sup> In continuation of the passage just quoted from the Entwurfe zu Besprechungen he notes:

1761 kam Brooks seiner heraus. Er suchte das Beste von seinen beiden Vorgangern zu nutzen, (indem er sich uber den Vorwurf des Plagii wegsetzte) und ihre Fehler zu vermeiden. Man sagt er habe das Feuer und das Pathetische des Banks mit der schonen Poesie des Jones zu verbinden gewusst Brook war schon durch einen Gustav Wasa bekannt, der aber in London nicht gespielt werden durfte, weil man verschiedne Züge wider das Gouvernement darinn zu finden glaubte. Brook hat den Charakter des Essex veredelt, und ihn in der letzten Scene gegen die Konigin nicht so kochend sprechen lassen.<sup>3</sup>

Then follow the French quotation given in the *Dramaturgie* (note to LIX, 133), and the words: 'Desto schlimmer fur die Franzosen!' The passage from the *Journal encyclopédique* which is the source of all this is in full (pp. 119 f.):

Dans le tems que Mr. Jones faisoit jouer sa Tragédie sur le Théâtre de Londres, Mr. Brooke publioit la sienne du même sujet en Irlande, où il jouissoit déjà d'une certaine réputation qu'il s'étoit acquise par sa Tragédie de Gustave Vasa dont on n'avoit pas voulu permettre la représentation à Londres, parcequ'on prétendoit qu'il y avoit quelques traits contre le gouvernement; ce qui fait bien voir qu'en Angleterre, comme ailleurs, on n'est pas aussi libre qu'on le pense, du moins lorsqu'il s'agit de certains objets. Quoiqu'il en soit, sa Tragédie du Comte d'Essex se joue à présent à Londres avec le plus grand succès. Si l'Auteur de cette nouvelle Tragédie a trouvé l'art de conserver dans cette piéce toutes les beautés qui avoient frappé dans les deux autres, sans s'embarrasser de l'imputation de plagiat, très ridicule en ce cas: il a eu aussi assez de goût pour en éviter les défauts. Il a sçu réunir très-heureusement le feu et le pathétique de Mr. Banks à la belle Poésie de Mr. Jones, et il a répandu sur le tout une liberté et une

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Schriften, xv, p. 60.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> viu (1) (1762), pp. 167 f. Perhaps this notice may have been by Lessing himself. The spelling 'Brook' is that of the *Bibliothek*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Schriften, xv, p. 47.

noblesse de sentimens qu'il ne doit qu'à lui même: ses caractères sont beaucoup mieux finis, et surtout ceux d'Elizabeth, et du Comte d'Essex, qui sont ici bien supérieurs à ceux que les deux premiers tragiques en ont tracé. On sent bien qu'il n'étoit pas possible que Mr. Brooke s'écartât, ni même qu'il altérât les situations principales qui forment l'intérêt et le nœud de l'action. Cependant il n'a pas laissé d'y faire deux ou trois petits changemens qui ont paru assez heureux, par exemple, il s'est gardé de presenter le Comte d'Essex trop rampant dans sa derniere scène avec la Reine, défaut dans lequel étoient tombés ses Prédecesseurs; et il a mis une certaine dignité dans son emportement, qui s'accorde assés avec la hauteur de son caractère. Mr Brooke a aussi fait tomber en démence...(here follows the quotation in Lessing's note to Lix, 133).

#### CHAPTER VIII

## THE ITALIAN DRAMA

Luigi Riccoboni's Histoire du Théâtre stalien (2 vols. Paris, 1728–31), the greater part of which Lessing had translated in his Theatralische Bibliothek, still remained in 1767 his chief source of information concerning the dramatic literature of Italy. When he speaks (XLI, I) of the state of Italian drama at the beginning of the eighteenth century, he is obviously relying on this work. In St. IX (125) he refers his readers directly to Riccoboni's commendation of Cecchi's comedy La Dote, based on Plautus's Trinummus, as one of the best of the old Italian comedies. Of this piece Riccoboni had given a lengthy analysis, summing up his opinion of it in the words: 'Suivant ma façon de penser, je trouve cette piece très-bonne. Elle est assez intriguée, assez intéressante, et assez comique même pour amuser d'honnêtes gens.' To Riccoboni Lessing turned again, as will be seen, for information about Maffei's Merope.

Another Italian work which Lessing possessed was the Biblioteca teatrale italiana of Ottaviano Diodati (1762-65), which, as we have seen, had some share, with Leone Allacci's Drammaturgia, in defining the general character of Lessing's journal.<sup>4</sup> But apart from its 'Trattato di Drammaturgia', Diodati's work is merely a collection of plays. Here Lessing found an Italian translation of Mélanide (VIII, 24; Diodati, ii, pp. 199 ff.), which he considered much worse than the German translation, and one of L'Écossaise<sup>5</sup> (XII, 137; Diodati, i, pp. 180 ff.). There is also in this collection a reprint of the 1730 edition of Maffei's Merope (ix, pp. 7 ff.).

When it is remembered how deeply Lessing was interested in Goldoni at an earlier period of his life, the references to that writer in the *Dramaturgie* seem disappointingly meagre.<sup>6</sup> The repertory list

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See especially vol. 1, chaps. v11 and v111 (Theatralische Bibliothek, ii, pp. 195 ff.).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Histoire du Théâtre italien, ed. cit. 11, pp. 227 ff.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Ibid p 257.

<sup>4</sup> See above, p. 122.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Cosack (op. cit. p. 79) states that this translation was 'by Gabrielli'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> In 1755 and 1756 he began translating Goldoni's L'Erede fortunata (Schriften, iii, pp. 336 ff.). Minna von Barnhelm shows traces of the influence of La Locandiera and possibly Gli Innamorati. In the Bibliothek der schönen Wissenschaften, vols. 11-iv,

for the first period of the 'Enterprise' gave him no opportunity of criticising any play by Goldoni, although after Lessing's time the Hamburg theatre, drawing on Ackermann's former repertory, played no less than five of the comedies. Goldoni is only mentioned twice in the Dramaturgie. In St. xii (70) a similarity is suggested between Frélon in Voltaire's L'Écossaise and Don Marzio in Goldoni's La Bottega del Caffè (1750); and in St. ci-civ (70) it is stated that Goldoni enriched the Italian theatre with thirteen plays in one year. This information comes from Goldoni's own play, Il teatro comico; but 'dreyzehn' should be 'sechzehn'.

In St. xvI (40) there is a reference to the translation of Zayre by Gasparo Gozzi, elder brother of Carlo Gozzi. Lessing quotes the close of Gozzi's 'very exact and very pretty' translation<sup>4</sup> to show the divergence of Italian and French taste. The Frenchman gives Orosmane only a few words to say after he has stabbed himself: Gozzi makes him deliver a long tirade. In a preface to the Italian translation it is stated: 'Egli è il vero, che l'Autore professa d' avervi fatto diversi cambiamenti; i quali furono giustificati dall' accoglienza ch' ebbe questa Tragedia in tutte le rappresentazioni.'5

In St. xxxvi (4), in connexion with Favart's Soliman II, Lessing refers to an Italian Intermezzo, La Serva padrona.<sup>6</sup> An Italian Intermezzo of this title, for which Pergolesi composed the music in 1733, was long popular, and had been adapted, or parodied, for the French

1757-59, there appeared a series of articles on Bettinelli's edition of Goldoni, signed 'C' (C. F. Nicolai?). Cp. L. Mathar, Carlo Goldoni auf dem deutschen Theater des 18. Jahrhunderts, Montjoie. 1910 (and especially pp. 14 ff, 21 ff, 64, 84 ff) and E. Maddalena, Lessing e Goldoni, in Giornale storico della letteratura italiana, xlvii (1906), pp. 193 ff. A German translation of Goldoni's comedies began to appear in 1767 (Sammtliche Lustspiele, Leipzig, 1767-77).

<sup>1</sup> See above, p. 45.

<sup>2</sup> See above, p. 227. For a comparison of these two characters, and an account of Goldoni's later version of L'Écossaise, cp. P. Toldo, Attinenze fra il Teatro comico di Voltaire e quello del Goldoni, in Giornale storico della letteratura italiana, xxxi (1898), pp 351 ff.

<sup>3</sup> Act I, sc. ii; Opere Complete di Carlo Goldoni, edite dal Municipio di Venezia, Venice, 1909, iv, pp. 21 f. Cp. also Mémoires de M. Goldoni, Paris, 1787, 11, pp. 44, 46. The number is given as sixteen in the Bibliothek der schonen Wissenschaften, ii (ii) (1758), p. 305): 'Rosaura, welche sprode thun will, sagt, sie wisse nicht warum sie eben zum erstenmale bey ihrer Ankunft in Venedig diese kleine Farce spielen sollten, da doch, eben der Verfasser derselben in einem Jahre sechzehn neue Stucke gemacht habe, von welchen sie ja eines spielen konnten'.

<sup>4</sup> Opere in versi e in prosa, Venice, 1758, iii, pp. 62 f.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> *Ibid.* p. [iii]. <sup>6</sup> See above, p. 238.

theatre as La Servante Maîtresse by N. Baurans in 1754;¹ but this does not seem to be the piece Lessing has in mind.² Schutze mentions La Serva padrona as having been performed in Hamburg in 1749, and later refers also to an Intermezzo Pimpinon und Vespette.³ In 1766 we find a Serva padrona in Ackermann's repertory, when his daughter Dorothea played 'Serpilla'; and in 1769 Schroder played 'Vespone' in this Intermezzo.⁴ Minor, following a suggestion made by Redlich, believed that he had identified the piece which Lessing had in mind as Die ungleiche Heyrath, oder das Herrsch-suchtige Cammermadgen; but the characters whom Lessing calls Pimpinello and Serbinetta are here Pimpinone and Vespetta.⁵ It is tempting to assume that the names in the Dramaturgie are due to a slip in Lessing's memory.

#### SCIPIONE MAFFEI

The main points of contact between the *Dramaturgie* and Italian literature are to be found in St. XXXVII—L, where Lessing compares Voltaire's *Mérope* with Maffei's tragedy on the same theme. As the general conclusions of this comparison are more relevant to Voltaire than to Maffei, I have already dealt with them to a great extent in the second chapter of this part.<sup>6</sup> There remain to be considered the sources of Lessing's knowledge of Maffei, and his own and Voltaire's criticism of the Italian *Merope*.

Lessing appears to have possessed more than one edition of Maffei's tragedy. He certainly had that in the *Teatro del Sig. Marchese Scipione Maffei*, edited by G. C. Becelli, Verona, 1730 (L, 4), and he must have consulted one or more of the later editions (XLIII, 22)—possibly that published at Livorno in 1763.<sup>7</sup> He was under the impression that the

- <sup>1</sup> See Léris, ob. cit. p 404.
- <sup>2</sup> Nor does La Serva padrona, a prose comedy in three acts by Jacopo-Angelo Nelli, published at Lucca in 1731. (Cp. G. Radiciotti, G. B. Pergolesi, Rome, 1910, pp. 28 f, and E. Faustini-Fasini, G. B. Pergolesi attraverso i suo biografi e le sue opere, Milan [1900], pp. 21 f.) Still another comedy with the same title, by G. B. Fagiuoli, published at Cremona in 1727, shows no correspondence in the names of the characters.
  - <sup>3</sup> Hamburgische Theatergeschichte, ed. cit. pp. 81, 308.
  - <sup>4</sup> F. L. W. Meyer, op. cit. ii, 2, pp. 125, 145.
- <sup>5</sup> Cp. Lessing's Werke, Hempel ed., xx, 2, p. 3, and J. Minor, C. F. Weisse, Innsbruck, 1880, pp. 134 ff.
  - 6 See above, pp. 214 ff.
- <sup>7</sup> He had also, as we have seen above (p. 279) the edition (of the text of 1730) in Diodati's *Biblioteca teatrale italiana*, ix (1764).

1730 edition contained the text of the original edition (Venice, 1714). and that the later texts represented the results of the author's revision (XLIII, 22). As a matter of fact, the reverse may well have been the case: for while the 1730 edition is, in some respects at least, a revised text, some of those published at later dates contain earlier unrevised wordings. 1 The occasion of Lessing's statement is a passage of arms with Voltaire with reference to the text of a dialogue in Act III, sc. 1v of the Italian play (XLIII, 6 ff.). Voltaire had stated—the passage is quoted below (p. 290)—that in the first edition of Merope Egisto said to his mother: 'Ah Polidore, mon père', for which Maffei subsequently substituted 'un défaut encor plus grand'-'Egiste lui dit, que son père est un vieillard; et à ce mot de vieillard la Reine s'attendrit.' Voltaire thus knew two editions of Merope; certainly the first of 1714. and probably that of 1745; in the former the reading is 'Ah Polidoro', in the latter 'Ah padre mio... Bisogna credere a i vecchi'-followed by Merope's words: 'Un vecchio è il padre tuo?' Lessing, however, was clearly unaware of the irregularities in the variants of the later texts when he said: 'Es ist wahr, in der ersten Ausgabe nennt Aegisth den Polydor seinen Vater; aber in den nachherigen Ausgaben ist von gar keinem Vater mehr die Rede'; for the readings of the original edition and the edition of 1730 are as follows:

First edition (1714)		Second edition (1730)
	Ah Polidoro!	Egi. Fatal Messenia!
Tu mel dicesti un dì, ch' io mi guardassi		Mel disse il padre mio, ch' io mi guardassi
Dal por già mai ne la Messenia il piede.		Dal por già' mai nella Messenia il piede.
Mer. Polidoro! Chi sei?		Mer. Nella Messenia? e perchè mai?
Egi.	Creder bisogna	Egi. Bisogna
A i vecchi.		Credere a i vecchi.
Mer.	Di', qual Polidoro	Mer. Dì, come si noma
	è questi?	Il padre tuo? dì tosto.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Cp. G. Hartmann, Merope im stalsenischen und franzosischen Drama, Erlangen and Leipzig, 1892, pp. 55 f, where reference is made to the Turin edition of 1765 as containing the earlier reading of the passage in Act III, sc. iv, criticised by Voltaire. The text of this edition, however, does not correspond with Lessing's quotations. Where he quotes Maffer's text (XLII, 42, 83; XLIII, 37, 133; XLVI, 73, 94, 140) he cites the form of the edition of 1730, except in the notes XLIII, 133, where the form corresponds to that of the 1763 edition. (These latter passages are disfigured by wrong accentuation, which has been perpetuated by Muncker.)

Egi. L' infelice Chiamasi Polidoro. Mer. Polidoro!

Dal capo a i piè m' è corso un gelo, Euriso, Che instupidita m' ha. Dal capo a i piè m' è corso un gelo, Euriso, Che instupidita m' ha.<sup>1</sup>

From the editions of *Merope* came practically all Lessing's knowledge of Maffei.<sup>2</sup> The poet's own 'Proemio' (ed. of 1763, p. xci) gave him the personal details of Maffei's youth (XLII, 6):

L'Autore della presente Tragedia all' anno dell' età sua trentesimo ottavo arrivato era, senza avere a metter mano in Tragica Poesia pensato pure un momento già mai: anzi ogni specie di Componimento, siccome all' arte Poetica fin da fanciullo fortemente inclinato; negli anni suoi giovanili tentato avea, fuorchè questa.

And Becelli, after quoting the testimony of writers who had marvelled that Maffei, engrossed in graver studies, should have succeeded as a dramatic poet (ed. of 1730, pp. xxi, xxvi), adds:

E per verità a nissun genere di studio il nostro Autore ha pensato meno, che a questo, anzi quanto ne sia stato lontano dalle sue edizioni delle Complessioni di Cassidorio...da quanto contra il Pfaff, e contra il Basnage ha scritto, e dalla Storia de' Diplomi, e dall' altre opere si può arguire: ed è notissimo, come questi componimenti per occasioni nate, e per compiacere a Compagnie nobili, e ad onestissime conversazioni da lui speditamente fatti furono....Si sa da molti, che meno di due mesi l' Autore v' impiegò...

From Becelli's introduction to the edition of 1730 Lessing also

<sup>1</sup> In the edition published at Venice in 1747, the text of which is in the main that of 1714, Maffei states expressly (pp. 118 f.): 'Nelle prime Edizioni diceva Egisto: ah Polidoro, Tu mel dicesti un di etc. E Merope: Polidoro' Chi sei?...Gli Amici dell' Autore vollero, ch' Ei levasse tal nome da quel sito, dicendo non esser naturale, che un Figliuolo in vece di dire, ah Padre, lo chiami per nome. Si ha però in altre Edizioni: Mel disse il Padre mio....'

<sup>2</sup> There was a brief biography of Maffei in German in Jakob Brucker's *Bilder-sal heutiges Tages lebender...Schrift-steller*, ii (Augsburg, 1742), but Lessing is hardly likely to have seen this work.

<sup>3</sup> Maffer's controversy with C M. Pfaff is to be found in letters to the Abate Bacchini in the Giornale de' Letterati d' Italia, xvi (1713), pp 226 ff. and xxvi (1716), pp 51 ff. (cp. also F Doro, Bibliografia Maffeiana in Studi Maffeiani (Appendice), Turin, 1909, p. 12); it concerned the genuineness of some newly discovered fragments attributed by Pfaff to Irenæus. Basnage is referred to in the same correspondence.

Je donnai la *Merope* du même Marquis *Maffen*; on ne sçauroit exprimer le bruit qu'elle fit et les applaudissemens qu'elle reçût; il s'en fit quatre Editions dans la même année.<sup>1</sup>

Lessing recalls the fact (x1, 75) that two Italian dramatists of the sixteenth century had treated the story of Cresphontes and Merope: G. B. Liviera and P. Torelli. In his Dedication 'all' Altezza Serenissima di Rinaldo I. Duca di Modena', Maffei had said:

Mi perdoni V. A. questo breve svagamento, e ritornando al proposito, Gio: Batista Liviera, che stampò nel 1588. una Tragedia su questo Soggetto, prese nell' essenziale la constituzione da Igino. Il Conte Pomponio Torelli, che ne publicò un' altra nel 1598,² seguì parimente la traccia stessa: così questi Poeti rinovarono in parte Euripide senza saperlo.³. And also Altri però si pensava, che mio intento fosse d'andar seguendo le vestigia di quella, e di rappresentarla quanto è possibile; talchè io potessi poi intitolar la mia Indovinamento sopra Euripide, come l'insigne Matematico Vincenzio Viviani intitolò Indovinamento sopra Apollonio Pergeo il suo Trattato de' Massimi e de' Minimi. Ma io tutto all'incontro nella mia tessitura ho anzi cercato d'allontanarmene; e ciò sì per fare una Tragedia nuova, sì per non creder vietato il tentare qualche cosa di più 4

This is followed by the passage quoted by Lessing in his foot-note (XXXIX, 112 ff.).

The situation of a mother who loves her son so deeply as to commit a terrible crime in order to avenge him suggested to Maffei (xL, 85) the idea of making his tragedy one of maternal love, to the exclusion of any other motive (cp. Dedication, ed of 1730, p. xxxix):<sup>5</sup>

...onde cercai di condurmi per affatto diversa strada; singolarmente facendo, che il giovane non venisse in Messenia per far la sua vendetta, ma fosse ignoto a se stesso, e ci capitasse a caso; e facendo, che non sia creduto

<sup>1</sup> Lessing's translation, Theatralische Bibliothek, ii, pp. 206 f. See also Léris' notice quoted above, p. 212. In a review of a translation of an Italian tragedy Rutzvanscad, il Giovine, in Gottsched's Neuer Buchersaal der schonen Wissenschaften und freyen Kunste, i (1745), pp. 116 ff., mention is made of Maffei's Merope and its popularity, as shown by the number of editions and translations (pp. 118, 134).

<sup>2</sup> This date should be 1589. 1598 was the date of the second edition. Cp. T. Copelli, *Il Teatro di Scipione Maffei*, Parma, 1907, pp. 103-7. Lessing could also have found the titles of the tragedies by Liviera and Torelli in Allacci's *Drammaturgia*, Venice, 1755, cols. 228, 525 f.

<sup>8</sup> Ed of 1730, p. xxxviii; the passage occurs, with slight verbal alterations, in the ed. of 1763, pp. xxvii f

<sup>4</sup> Ed. of 1730, pp. xxxvi f.; with slight verbal differences in the ed. of 1763, pp. xxv f.

<sup>5</sup> Ed. of 1763, p. xxvii.

da Merope uccisor del suo figlio per affermarlo lui, ma per combinazione d'accidenti: lasciando l'idea principale, ch'io mi son prefissa, cioè di dipingere una Madre, il che ad essi non cadde in animo.

And he modified his plot accordingly.

Lessing admits (XLII, I) that the Italian Merope has its faults. Maffei is no poet; he approaches his theme as an imitator and a moralist: he has more fancy than poetry; in short, he is a 'Litterator' and 'Versificateur', rather than a genius and a poet. 1 As a versifier (28) he shows too great fondness for descriptions and similes; Lessing instances Egisto's circumstantial description of his fight with the robbers in Act 1, sc. iii. He describes happenings, such as the fall of a heavy body into water, with tedious detail; a man being tried for his life could not be expected to be so 'childishly accurate'. As a 'Litterator' (57), he has too much respect for the simplicity of the Greek stage, and shows too close an observance of Greek customs. Our emotions are not roused by a form strange to our own age. He is too fond of imitating fine passages in the ancients, without due regard to their appropriateness to a dramatic work. A Nestor in the epic is all very well; in tragedy he is intolerable. Here Lessing had in mind the following passage from Maffei's 'Proemio':

Concorrevano altre ragioni ancora. Si ha da Plutarco; e da Igino, che colui dal quale fu Merope trattenuta, e che avea nodrito Cresfonte; era un Vecchio. È noto, che il rappresentare i costumi delle età è uno de' migliori fonti della perfetta Poesia. Ætatis cuiusque notandi sunt tibi mores. Ma delle età niuna è atta ad essere imitata con maggior grazia, più a lungo, e in più modi della vecchiezza. In Teatro si può quasi dire, che fino allora niun l' avea fatto: perchè Vecchi sono introdotti da Eschilo ne' Persiani, e nelle Supplici, da Euripide nell' Elena, nell' Ione, e nell' Ifigenia in Aulide; ma non posero studio per dipingergli come vecchi: così de' Moderni può dirsi. Molti e bellissimi tratti ne ha bensì il Nestore d'Omero.²

Lessing also quotes (85) a passage from Maffei's Dedication, in which the latter states that he had not sought to incorporate in his play fragmentary lines of the play of Euripides.

There are times, however, Lessing says (77), when we could wish that Maffei had had a better memory, as when Ismene speaks of a theatre (Act IV, sc. vii) before theatres existed. He objects to this,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See below, p. 453.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> This passage is not in the edition of 1730; it occurs in the edition of 1763 on pp. xcv f.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> It might be noted that Lessing allows himself a similar anachronism in his own *Nathan der Weise* (Act rv, sc. ii, ll. 2522 f.).

not merely as an anachronism, but as one that is destructive of the illusion. One would hardly expect it, he says, of a poet who in his preface excuses himself for having used the name Messene (Messenia), at a time when no town of Messene yet existed:

La Città di Messene è assai credibile, che in que' tempi non vi fosse ancora, non essendo nominato da Omero; con tutto ciò in antichità così remota, ed oscura ho stimato meglio di porre in essa l'azione, e di ritenere un nome già noto, e di miglior suono.<sup>1</sup>

The genesis of Voltaire's Mérope and its debt to Maffei have already been discussed in my chapter on Lessing's criticism of the French drama (pp. 211 ff.). Here we have to turn to the controversy in which Voltaire became involved with the Italian Marchese. In his dedication to Maffei, Voltaire had instanced (cp. xli, 87) the garrulousness of Polidoro<sup>2</sup>:

Dans votre quatriéme Acte, le vieillard *Polidore* demande à un homme de la Cour de *Mérope*, qui il est? Je suis *Eursses* le fils de *Nicandre*, répond-il. *Polidore* alors en parlant de *Nicandre*, s'exprime comme le *Nestor* d'*Homère*:

.. Egli era umano

E liberal; quando apparıva, tutti Faceangli onor, io mi ricordo ancora Di quanto ei festeggiò con bella pompa Le sue nozze con Silvia, ch'era figlia D'Olimpia e di Glicon fratel d'Ipparco....

[Act IV, sc. iv.]

...Et dans un autre endroit, le même vieillard, invité d'aller voir la cérémonie du mariage de la Reine, répond:

Oh curioso

Punto io non son, passò stagione. Assar Veduti ho sacrificii, io mi ricordo Di quello ancora quando il Rè Cresfonte Incominciò à regnar. Quella fù pompa. Ora più non si fanno a questi tempi Di cotai sacrificj. Più di cento Fur le bestie svenate. I Sacerdoti Risplendean tutti, ed ove ti volgessi Altro non si vedea che argento ed oro.

[Act v, sc. v.]

<sup>2</sup> Œuvres, ed. cit. viii, pp. 228 ff.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Ed. of 1730, p. xxxv; ed. of 1763, pp. xxiv f

... Tous ces traits sont naifs tout y est convenable à ceux que vous introduisez sur la scène, et aux mœurs que vous leur donnez. Ces familiarités naturelles eussent été, à ce que je croi, bien reçues dans Athènes; mais Paris, et notre Parterre, veulent une autre espèce de simplicité. ...

Vous avez pû, dans votre Tragédie, traduire cette élégante et simple

comparaison de Virgile:

Qualis populea mærens Philomela sub umbra, Amissos queritur fætus.<sup>1</sup>

Si je prenais une telle liberté, on me renverrait au Poeme épique, tant nous avons affaire à un Maître dur, qui est le public.

Nescis, heu nescis nostræ fastidia Romæ· [...] Et pueri nasum Rhinocerontis habent.²

Les Anglais ont la coutume de finir presque tous leurs Actes par une comparaison; mais nous exigeons, dans une Tragédie, que ce soit les Héros qui parlent, et non le Poete; et notre public pense que dans une grande crise d'affaires, dans un conseil, dans une passion violente, dans un danger pressant, les Princes, les Ministres ne font point de comparaisons poetiques.

Lessing omits the reference to English usage, which he had already discussed (xv, 96 ff.). The passage in Maffei's drama to which Voltaire refers is spoken by Polifonte in Act III, sc. i:

Or freme, ed urla, or d'una in altra stanza Sen va gemendo, e chiama il figlio a nome: Qual Rondine talor, che ritornando Non vede i parti, e trova rotto il nido; Ch'alto stridendo gli s'aggira intorno, E parte, e riede, e di querele assorda.

Maffei, in his reply to Voltaire, denied his indebtedness to Virgil (ed. of 1763, p. 451):

Dicesi, che ho tradotta quella di Virgilio dell' usignolo: ma veramente non è così. Virgilio dice solamente con eleganza ammirabile, che quell' uccello si duole, e flebilmente canta la notte:

> Flet noctem, ramoque sedens miserabile carmen Integrat, et mæstis late loca quæstibus implet.<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Georgics, iv, 511 f.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The passage occurs in the Epigram of Martial numbered 1, iii in most modern editions, and runs thus:

<sup>&#</sup>x27;Nescis, heu nescis dominæ fastidia Romæ...

<sup>. .</sup>Et pueri nasum Rhinocerotis habent '

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> In Virgil (*Georgics*, 1v, 514 f.) the passage refers to Orpheus's grief for Eurydice; in Maffei Polifonte is describing Merope's grief for her son.

Ma io rappresento ciò che la rondine fa, tornando al nido, nell' atto di trovarlo disfatto:

Ch' alto stridendo gli s'aggira intorno, E parte, e riede, e di querele assorda.

onde vi assicuro, che non Virgilio, ma una rondine ebbi in mente, che mi era occorso di veder poco innanzi fare appunto così.

Lessing refers (XLI, 135) to Voltaire's further criticism, which is as follows:

Comment pourrais-je encor faire parler souvent ensemble des personnages subalternes? Ils servent chez vous à préparer des scènes intéressantes entre les principaux Acteurs; ce sont les avenues d'un beau Palais: mais notre public impatient veut entrer tout d'un coup dans le Palais. Il faut donc se plier au goût d'une Nation, d'autant plus difficile, qu'elle est depuis longtems rassasiée de chefs-d'œuvres.¹

When Lessing sums up Voltaire's letter in his witty 'paraphrase' (xLI, 144): 'Mein Herr Marquis, Ihr Stuck hat sehr, sehr viel kalte, langweilige, unnutze Scenen', he is no doubt reading more malice into Voltaire than the latter felt. The letter is not necessarily 'Persif-flage' (159).<sup>2</sup>

What followed Voltaire's dedication? A letter, says Lessing (xLI, 38), from a fictitious personage, 'de la Lindelle', to Voltaire; to this Voltaire replies, but 'Dieser ganzen Correspondenz mit sich selbst, dunkt mich, fehlt das interessanteste Stuck; die Antwort des Maffei' (xLII, 142). As we have seen, however, Maffei did reply at once to Voltaire's dedicatory letter.<sup>3</sup>

Lessing now turns to Lindelle's systematic attack on the Italian Merope (XLII, 113 ff.). Lindelle, he admits, is not always wrong in his criticism; but he is intent on trampling Maffei underfoot, on destroying him; and to this end he distorts the truth. Voltaire indeed seems to have had some perception of this (138). In point of fact, Voltaire closed the controversy with a short 'Réponse à M. de la Lindelle', in which he reproached his man of straw for not having pointed out the merits of Maffei.

Lindelle's attack did not mince words:

L'intérêt de Mérope est tout autrement touchant que celui de la Tragédie d'Athalie; mais il paraît que Mr. Maffer s'est contenté de ce que présente naturellement son sujet, et qu'il n'y a mis aucun art théatral....

1 Œuvres, ed. cit. viii, p. 231.

<sup>3</sup> See above, pp. 216 f.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Lessing's quotation 'Desinit in piscem mulier formosa superne' (xLi, 158) is, of course, from Horace's Ars poetica, l. 4 (Desinat in piscem mulier formosa superne).

Nulle vraisemblance, nulle dignité, nulle bienséance, nul art dans le Dialogue. .cela serait sifflé à Paris par les moins connaisseurs. ..Ces scènes froides et indécentes, qui ne sont imaginées que pour remplir un Acte, ne seraient pas souffertes sur un Théâtre Tragique regulier. Vous vous êtes contenté, Monsieur, de remarquer modestement une de ces scènes, dans laquelle la suivante de Mérope prie le Tyran de ne pas presser les nôces; parce que, dit-elle, sa Maîtresse a un assaut de fièvre: et moi, Monsieur, je vous dis hardiment, au nom de tous les connaisseurs, qu'un tel dialogue, et une telle réponse, ne sont dignes que du Théâtre d'Arlequin.¹

# And Lessing quotes its conclusion (XLII, 119):

En un mot, Monsieur, l'ouvrage de *Maffe* est un très beau sujet, et une très mauvaise piéce. Tout le monde convient à Paris, que la représentation n'en serait pas achevée, et tous les gens sensés d'Italie en font très peu de cas. C'est très vainement, que l'Auteur dans ses voyages n'a rien négligé pour engager les plus mauvais Ecrivains à traduire sa Tragédie: il lui était bien plus aisé de payer un Traducteur que de rendre sa piéce bonne.<sup>2</sup>

Lessing contends that in almost all points Lindelle's censure has to be palliated; Maffei may have made mistakes, but they are not always as absurd as Lindelle would make them out to be (XLIII, 4). And he instances the case, which has already been quoted, where Maffei's texts show different readings, and where, in his opinion, the argument is false:

Egiste, qui a été annoncé comme un voleur, et qui a dit, qu'on l'avait voulu voler lui-même, est encor pris pour un voleur une seconde fois; il est mené devant la Reine malgré le Roi, qui pourtant prend sa défense. La Reine le lie à une colomne, le veut tuer avec un dard, et avant de le tuer elle l'interroge. Egiste lui dit, que son père est un vieillard; et à ce mot de vieillard la Reine s'attendrit. Voilà-t-il pas une bonne raison, de changer d'avis, et de soupçonner, qu'Egiste pourrait bien être son fils? Voilà-t-il pas un indice bien marqué? Est-il donc si étrange qu'un jeune homme ait un père âgé. Maffei a substitué cette faute, et ce manque d'art et de génie, à une autre faute plus grossière qu'il avait faite dans la première édition. Egiste disait à la Reine: Ah! Polidore, mon père. Et ce Polidore, tait en effet l'homme à qui Mérope avait confié Egiste. Au nom de Polidore, la Reine ne devait plus douter qu'Egiste ne fût son fils; la piéce était finie. Ce défaut a été ôté; mais on y a substitué un défaut encor plus grand. 4

Euvres, ed. cit. viii, p. 238. See above, p. 282.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Œuvres, ed. cit. viii, pp. 236 ff. <sup>2</sup> Ibid. p. 241.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The exact form of this quotation does not correspond to those in the editions of 1714, 1730, 1745, and is not quoted in the variants given in the edition of 1747 (pp. 49 f.).

Lessing's defence of Maffei against Voltaire's pedantic objection is reasonable, provided that the essential artificiality of the situation is accepted. It does not matter very much which of the readings we choose. Lessing sums up by saying (63) that it is not so serious that Merope should come a second time to murder her son, as that the poet should use the motive of chance so freely as to allow it to occur twice in the play.

To illustrate obvious and intentional 'falsifications' by Lindelle, Lessing quotes (83) from the following passage:

Le quatriéme Acte commence encor par une scène froide et inutile entre le Tyran et la suivante: ensuite cette suivante rencontre le jeune Egiste, je ne sai comment, et lui persuade de se reposer dans le vestibule, afin que, quand il sera endormi, la Reine puisse le tuer tout à son aise En effet il s'endort comme il l'a promis. Belle intrigue! et la Reine vient pour la seconde fois une hache à la main pour tuer le jeune homme qui dormait exprès. Cette situation répétée deux fois est le comble de la stérilité, comme le sommeil du jeune homme est le comble du ridicule. Mr. Maffei prétend qu'il y a beaucoup de génie et de varieté dans cette situation répétée; parce que la première fois la Reine arrive avec un dard, et la seconde fois avec une hache: quel effort de génie!

Enfin le vieillard *Polidore* arrive tout à propos, et empêche la Reine de faire le coup: on croirait que ce beau moment devrait faire naître mille incidens intéressans entre la mère et le fils, entre eux deux et le Tyran. Rien de tout cela; *Egiste* s'enfuit, et ne voit point sa mère; il n'a aucune scène avec elle; ce qui est encor un défaut de génie insupportable. *Mérope* demande au vieillard, quelle récompense il veut; et ce vieux fou la prie de le rajeunir. Voilà à quoi passe son tems une Reine qui devrait courir après son fils. Tout cela est bas, déplacé et ridicule au dernier point.<sup>1</sup>

So much for Lindelle's misrepresentations. In St. XLIV Lessing turns to his criticisms; but as his argument is of the tu quoque kind, directed to showing that Voltaire was equally at fault, that he even aggravated Maffei's pseudo-classic artificialities, I have dealt with this criticism above in connexion with the French play.<sup>2</sup>

The commentators on the Hamburgische Dramaturgie are unanimous in discovering another reference to Maffei in that work. In St. xxxxx (111) Lessing says: 'Hernach lebte, zu Anfange des itzigen Jahrhunderts, irgendwo in Italien, ein Pedant, der hatte den Kopf von den Trauerspielen der Griechen und seiner Landesleute des sechszehnten Seculi voll, und der fand an der Rodogune gleichfals vieles

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Œuvres, ed. cit. viii, pp. 239 f.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See above, pp. 217 ff.

auszusetzen.' On the ground that Maffei wrote Osservazioni sobra la Rodoguna in 1700 (Rime e Prose, Venice, 1718), this sentence has been held to refer to him. But it is far from likely that Lessing would describe a well-known writer, intimately associated with Verona and then little more than ten years dead-Maffei was born in 1675 and died in 1755—as having 'lived somewhere in Italy at the beginning of the century'; nor was there any ground for saying that Maffei's head was 'full of the tragedies of the Greeks', although he did edit some plays by his countrymen of the sixteenth century. The word 'pedant', moreover, seems inapplicable to a writer of whom it is said (XLII, 6) that he had 'in his youth much inclination for poetry', and that he wrote with great ease 'in all the different styles of the most famous poets of his country'. The reference here is not to Maffei, but to Count Pietro dei Conti di Calepio, the unnamed author of the Paragone della Poesia tragica d'Italia con quella di Francia, published by Bodmer at Zurich in 1732. In this little volume there is much about Greek and early Italian drama, and Rodogune is severely handled 2

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Cp. F. Doro, op. cit. pp. 8, 13. I Pindemonte, Elogi de' Letterati, Verona, 1825–26, 1, p. 249, cites the identical edition of 1719 (pp. 165 ff.).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See my note in the *Modern Language Review*, xiii (1918), pp. 482 ff. On the possible influence of Calepio on Lessing's dramatic theory see below, pp. 365, 366, note 3, 367, 420.

## CHAPTER IX

## THE SPANISH DRAMA

In early days Lessing had turned with some zeal to the study of Spanish.<sup>1</sup> In a letter to his father of November 2, 1750, he wrote:

Auf das Spanische habe ich eine Zeit her sehr viel Fleiss verwendet, und ich glaube meine Muhe nicht umsonst angewendet zu haben. Da es eine Sprache ist, die eben in Deutschland so sehr nicht bekannt ist, so glaube ich, dass sie mir mit der Zeit nutzliche Dienste leisten soll.<sup>2</sup>

And his first two dramaturgic journals and a number of book reviews bear witness to a growing interest in Spanish literature.

In the preface to the Beytrage zur Historie und Aufnahme des Theaters he states:

Wir werden besonders unser Augenmerk auf das englische und spanische Theater richten... Eben so ist es mit dem Lopez de Vega, Augustin Moreto, Antonio de Mendosa, Francisco de Rojas, Fernando de Zarate, Juan Perez de Montalvan, Antonio de Azevedo, Francisco Gonsalez de Bustos und andern. Diese sind alle Manner, die zwar eben so grosse Fehler als Schonheiten haben, von denen aber ein vernunftiger Nachahmer sich sehr vieles zu Nutze machen kann.<sup>3</sup>

Whence Lessing derived this extraordinary list of authors—of whom several are quite unknown or unrecognisable, and amongst whom Calderón is not mentioned—is still an enigma. There is nothing further about Spanish drama in the Beytrage, but in his Theatralische Bibliothek Lessing devoted a section to an Auszug aus dem Trauerspiele Virginia des Don Augustino de Montiano y Luyando. Here he wrote:

Die Schriften der Spanier sind diejenigen, welche unter allen auslandischen Schriften am wenigsten unter uns bekannt werden. Kaum dass man einige ihrer jetztlebenden Gelehrten in Deutschland dem Namen nach kennt, deren nahere Bekanntschaft uns einen ganz andern Begrif von der Spanischen Litteratur machen wurde, als man gemeiniglich davon zu haben pflegt. Ich schmeichle mir, dass schon die gegenwartige Nachricht

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The entire subject of Lessing's knowledge of Spanish and Spanish literature has been exhaustively investigated by C. Pitollet, Contributions à l'étude de l'Hispanisme de G. E. Lessing, Paris, 1909; and there is little to add to the results of Pitollet's research. Cp. also B. A. Wagner, Zu Lessings spanischen Studien, Berlin, 1883.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Schriften, xvii, p. 22. Cp. Karl Lessing, G. E. Lessings Leben, Berlin, 1793–95, i, pp. 110 f.

<sup>3</sup> Schriften, iv, p. 52. See Pitollet, op. cit. pp. 72 ff.

ihn um ein grosses erhohen wird, und dass meine Leser erfreut seyn werden, den grossten tragischen Dichter kennen zu lernen, den jezt Spanien aufweisen und ihn seinen Nachbarn entgegen stellen kann. Es ist dieses Don Augustino de Montiano y Luyando, von dessen Lebensumstanden ich, ohne weitre Vorrede, einige Nachricht ertheilen will, ehe ich von einem der vorzuglichsten seiner Werke einen umstandlichen Auszug vorlege.<sup>1</sup>

The section was merely translated from the French version of A. d'Hermilly, Dissertation sur les Tragédies Espagnoles, Traduites de l'Espagnol de Don Augustin de Montiano y Luyando, 2 vols. Paris, 1754.<sup>2</sup> Lessing's attention had been drawn to this work by a review in the Journal des Sçavans (April, 1751) a large part of which he had translated in the Critische Nachrichten of June of that year.<sup>3</sup> Later, in the Dramaturgie (LXVIII, 100), Lessing repudiated his all too favourable opinion of Montiano: 'Wir sind mit den dramatischen Werken der Spanier so wenig bekannt; ich wusste kein einziges, welches man uns ubersetzt, oder auch nur Auszugsweise mitgetheilet hatte. Denn die Virginia des Augustino de Montiano y Luyando ist zwar spanisch geschrieben; aber kein spanisches Stuck: ein blosser Versuch in der correcten Manier der Franzosen, regelmassig aber frostig.'

In the interval Lessing's knowledge of Spanish drama had obviously widened. What he must have read in Cronegk's little essay on *Die spanische Bühne*, printed at the end of the first volume of the latter's *Schriften* (Leipzig, 1765–66, pp. 389 ff.), could not but have stimulated his curiosity:

Es ist zu beklagen, dass wir in Deutschland so wenig Gelegenheit haben, mit den neuen Stucken, die in Spanien heraus kommen, bekannt zu werden. Die Virginia und der Ataulpho sind fast die letztern, von denen wir etwas wissen; und wie weit mussen es die Spanier nicht gebracht haben, wenn sie diesen Meistern gefolget sind? Da ich von der neuen spanisch. Buhne meinen Lesern nichts besonders sagen kann: so glaube ich, dass es vielleicht einigen unter ihnen nicht unangenehm seyn wird, wenn ich ihnen einen Begriff von der alten spanischen Bühne zu geben unternehme: denn auch diese Nachrichten, die man von den altesten Schriftstellern in dieser Sprache geben kann, sind fast in Deutschland neu; und ich weiss nicht, warum die Bewunderer der franzos. und Italien. Dichter nicht die Quelle zu erforschen suchen, aus welcher diese so vieles geschöpft, und diejenigen Schriftsteller ganz vergessen, die nebst den Alten die einzigen Lehrmeister eines Corneille und Moliere, und so vieler andern grossen Geister waren.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Theatralische Bibliothek, i, p. 117; Schriften, vi, p. 70.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See especially preface to vol. i, pp. xxv ff.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Schriften, iv, pp. 225 f. Cp. also Pitollet, op cit. pp. 84 ff.

Lessing probably only knew Montiano's second tragedy Ataulpho (1753) (LXVIII, 127) from a review in the Journal étranger of June, 1755.1

It is, however, generally conceded that the main stimulus to Lessing's interest in Spanish was his friendship with J. A. Dieze, a native of Hamburg and professor in the university of Gottingen. In 1768 Dieze made a translation of the Origenes de la Poesía Castellana by Luis José Velazquez de Velasco, Malaga, 1754 (Geschichte der spanischen Dichtkunst, Göttingen, with the date 1769); and it is tempting to look to this work as one of Lessing's sources of information about the literature of Spain. The German version, however, does not seem to have appeared until late in 1768, and a letter from Lessing is preserved, dated January 5, 1769, in which he thanks Dieze for the copy of the book which the latter had sent him.2 But Lessing, no doubt, learned a good deal from his friend in personal intercourse and possibly in correspondence. His change of view in respect of Montiano may have been due, as Pitollet suggests, to information which reached him in this way. In his translation of Velazquez, Dieze states his own opinion of Montiano in the following note (ed. cit. p. 373):

Was seine beyden Trauerspiele anbetrift, so haben sie wohl unstreitig das Verdienst, die regelmassigsten zu seyn, die die Spanier haben. Aber weder die genaue Beobachtung der Regeln, die Aristoteles und seine Nachfolger vorgeschrieben haben, noch die sehr schone Versification, haben diese Stucke so interessant machen konnen, als viele sind, in denen die Regeln nicht so angstlich beobachtet worden. Sie sind ganz nach franzosischem Schnitte, und fehlt ihnen selbst im Styl das Eigenthumliche und nationale der Spanier. Sie haben auch die Originalstucke bey ihnen noch nicht ganz verdrangen konnen, daher auch noch nicht viele Nachahmer dieses Geschmacks aufgestanden sind.

It is hardly likely that Lessing knew the original work of Velazquez, except through extracts in the *Journal étranger* of 1755.<sup>3</sup> What he has to say on Spanish drama in the *Hamburgische Dramaturgie* concerns in the main the Spanish *Essex*,<sup>4</sup> which interested him from the point of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Vol. ii of June, 1755, pp. 108 ff.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Schriften, xvii, pp. 280 ff Unfortunately other letters from Lessing to Dieze were destroyed at the siege of Mainz in 1793 (cp. G. E. Lessings Sämmtliche Schriften, Berlin, 1771–94, xxix, p. 486, note by Eschenburg). See also Pitollet, op. cit. p. 198.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> From February, 1755 to July, 1755 the journal printed a series of articles summarising the contents of Velazquez' Origenes de la Poesta Castellana. For observations on Montiano see especially the first number of June, 1755, pp. 91 ff.

<sup>4</sup> See below, pp. 300 ff

rew of its subject more than from any other. Modifying his previous raise of Montiano, he adds (LXVIII, 129) that he still prefers to turn > Lope and Calderón. He also proceeds to make some general statements on Spanish drama which hardly seem based on any extensive nowledge. After analysing the Spanish Essex, he concludes (LXVIII, 32) that the genuine Spanish pieces are wholly in the manner of this lay. In condemning the 'Kunsteleyen' of the dialogue in Act I, XII (LXII, 120), Lessing had already compared the Spanish dramas ith the German 'Staats- und Heldenactionen' ['Haupt- und Staats-tionen'], an association which was suggested in Lowen's Geschichte s deutschen Theaters:

Die ernsthaften Stucke, welche Velthem spielte, waren spanische radebrechte Uebersetzungen, die unter dem lacherlichen Titel der aupt- und Staatsaktionen die Stelle des Trauerspiels vertraten <sup>1</sup>

he characterisation of Spanish plays which follows later (LXVIII, 35): 'Eine ganz eigne Fabel; eine sehr sinnreiche Verwicklung; sehr ele, und sonderbare, und immer neue Theaterstreiche; die ausspartesten Situationen; meistens sehr wohl angelegte und bis ans ade erhaltene Charaktere; nicht selten viel Wurde und Stärke im usdrucke', would appear to come from Du Perron de Castera, traits de plusieurs pieces du Théatre espagnol; avec des Réflexions, et la raduction des endroits les plus remarquables (2 vols. Amsterdam and Paris, 38):2

Toutes ces oppositions de génie, ces differences prodigieuses de notre ene et du Theatre des Espagnols, ne doivent pas nous faire imaginer le leurs Pieces n'ont aucun mérite. On y trouve beaucoup d'invention, s sentimens nobles et pleins de délicatesse, des caracteres marqués avec ce et soutenus avec dignité, des situations heureuses, des surprises bien

"schrift für Litteraturgeschichte, i (1888), p. 24, and Pitollet, op. cit. pp. 181 ff.

Reprint, ed. Stumcke, p. 15. In a note Lowen refers to Gottsched as his thority (cp. Nothiger Vorrath, i, pp 52 f., under the date 1520). Cp. Schroter and iele, ed. cit. pp. 360 f. These latter critics also refer (p. 361) to the following m Nicolar's Beschreibung einer Reise durch Deutschland und die Schweiz im Jahre 1781, (Berlin, 1784), p. 566: 'Mein sel. Freund Lessing besass, aus dem Nachlass der rühmten Neuberinn, eine Anzahl dieser Ludovicischen Stucke. Es waren darinn ch damaliger Art, zum Extemporiren, nur die Folge und der Inhalt der Auftritte gezeiget, und nur wenige Hauptscenen waren ganz ausgeschrieben....Ich nnere mich besonders noch des Grafen von Essex, des Kromwell, und des Königs n Böhmen.' The 'Haupt- und Staatsaktionen', however, were in the main veloped in Germany, though subject to foreign influences.

R Vol. i, pp. 8 ff. Cp. also B. A. Wagner, op. cit. pp. 12 f.; A. Sauer in Viertel-

ménagées, un grand fonds de Comique, un feu d'intérêt qui ne laisse point

languir le Spectateur.

Voilà les beautés que nous offrent presque toutes les Comédies de Lopès de Véga, de Don Guillen, de Don Pedro Calderon et d'autres Poetes illustres qui font honneur à l'Espagne ... Ainsi la connoissance du Théatre Espagnol n'est point indifferente pour les Belles-Lettres, on peut en tirer d'excellens sujets qui auront pour nous les graces de la nouveauté Il ne faut qu'adopter l'invention, simplifier les matieres, élaguer les avantures, saisir les images, presser les mouvemens et relever quelquefois le Comique, cette espece d'imitation pratiquée avec goût pourroit nous procurer des copies qui vaudroient des Originaux.

Pitollet also suggests (pp. 182 f.)—but this appears more doubtful—that the following passage from Lesage's *Théâtre espagnol*,<sup>2</sup> the substance of which also appears in Goujet's *Bibliothèque françoise*,<sup>3</sup> may have been in Lessing's mind:

Ce n'est pas tout, les Pieces Espagnoles sont remplies de contre-temps ingenieux, de contrarietés dans les desseins des Acteurs, et de mille jeux de Theatre qui reveillent à tout moment l'attention du spectateur. Enfin leurs intrigues ont presque toutes du merveilleux; mais ce merveilleux ne donne pas dans le fabuleux et le romanesque, et comme ils le ramenent toûjours au vraysemblable par les regles de l'art, il fait un admirable effet sur la Scene. Nos François ne connoissent point ces beautés, il ne paroît pas du moins qu'ils les ayent assés recherchées dans les Pieces qu'ils n'ont pas copiées ou imitées des Espagnols.

Again, the description of 'Cosme, dieser spanische Hanswurst' (LXVIII, 152 ff.) may have been suggested, according to Pitollet (p. 216), by Du Perron (op. cit. i, p. 4):

Outre cela dans les Pieces Espagnoles, souvent le tragique le plus relevé se trouve confondu avec le burlesque; souvent un Bouffon qu'ils nomment le Laquais gracieux, ou bien quelqu'autre Personnage de même étoffe, prend la liberté d'interrompre les Heros et les Rois au milieu des situations les plus touchantes; pareille indécence nous révolteroit. Elle révoltoit aussi Philippe II. Ce Prince, au rapport de Lopès de Véga, ne voyoit jamais sans dégoût la majesté des grands Rôles avilie par un badinage si déplacé, et certainement il avoit raison.

Spanish dramas, Lessing says (LXII, 141), have only three acts,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Pitollet (op. cit. p. 182) points to this term as the original of Lessing's 'ausgespart', a technical term still used in water-colour painting.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Théâtre espagnol, La Haye, 1700, Preface, pp. [111] f.

<sup>3</sup> Bibliothèque françoise, viii (Paris, 1755), chap. xiv, pp. 159 f.

which are called 'Jornadas, Tagewerke'. The oldest pieces had four. Virves was the first to reduce this number to three; and Lope de Vega followed him. We learn this, he adds, from a passage in Lope's Arte nuevo de hazer Comedias en este tiempo, which is appended to his Rimas. Lessing quotes, in a note, the lines in question (215-21). To this statement he finds Cervantes opposed, that writer claiming in the preface to his comedies to have reduced the Spanish tragedy from five acts to three. The source of this information was perhaps a review of Velazquez' Origenes de la Poesía Castellana in the Journal étranger of May, 1755, where the following statement is made (pp. 73 f.):

Cervantes, dans le Prologue de ces huit Comédies, se vante d'avoir été le premier qui divisa la Comédie en trois journées, et qui fit voir pour la premiere fois cette division sur le Théâtre, dans sa Comédie de la Bataille Navale; mais Naharro avoit déja donné ce nom à ses Actes. On voit par là combien Lopé de Vega s'est trompé, en attribuant cette invention à Christophe de Virves, quand il dit:

El Capitan Virves, insigne ingegno, Puso en tres Actos la Comedia, que antes, Andaba en quatro, como pies de nino.

Le Capitaine Virves, célebre par son esprit, ne donna à la Comédie que trois Actes, au lieu de quatre, avec lesquels elle se présentoit autrefois, semblable à un enfant qui marche à quatre pattes.

Only three lines of the passage from Lope are quoted in the *Journal étranger*; thus Lessing evidently turned to the original (1609), of which he may have had the edition of 1623.<sup>3</sup>

The redondilla, which is introduced into Act II of the Spanish Essex, and which Lessing quotes, gives occasion for a note of explana-

<sup>1</sup> Pitollet (op. cst. p. 203) points out that 'jornada' means 'Tagereise' rather than 'Tagewerk'; but Lessing may be translating 'journée' from the French.

<sup>2</sup> Comedias y Entremeses de Miguel de Cervantes Saavedra, Madrid, 1749, i, p. [lxx] (p. [iii] of the 1615 ed of which this is a reprint), Prologo al Lector: 'Donde me atrevi à reducir las Comedias à tres jornadas, de cinco que tenian.'

<sup>8</sup> In the 1609 edition, the lines are on pp. 205 f. Cp. a letter from Meinhard to Nicolai of December 17, 1765: 'An Herrn Lessing werde ich nachstens die Poesien des Lope de Vega zurucksenden. Durfte ich bitten, ihn zu fragen, ob er etwas von Spanischen Buchern aus der Auction für mich übrig hat?' (Danzel and Guhrauer, op. cit. ii, p. 654) Lessing had also a French translation of Lope's Arte nuevo (cp. Chefs-d'œwre des Théâtres étrangers, xii (Théâtre Espagnol, i), Paris, 1822, pp. lxix ff., and especially p. lxxv). Cp. further A. Morel-Fatio, L'Arte nuevo de Hazer Comedius en este Tiempo de Lope de Vega in Bulletin hispanique, Annales de la Faculté des Lettres de Bordeaux, iii (1901), p. 393. See also below, p. 395.

tion (LXIII, 103).¹ In connexion with this, he observes that the Spaniards have a kind of poem called 'Glossa' [sic] in which lines from the text ('Mote' or 'Letra') are elaborated. But all 'Glossas', he says, need not be as symmetrical as that in the Conde de Sex. These 'Glossas' are only to be found in the older Spanish poetry; after Boscan and Garcilasso they fell into disuse. The source of this information seems to have been again the chapter in Goujet's Bibliothèque françoise,² where C. Lancelot's [de Trigny's] Nouvelle méthode pour apprendre...la langue espagnole (Paris, 1660) is discussed. Here Goujet, drawing from Lancelot, makes the following statements about 'la Glose':

[Les Gloses sont] ces piéces où l'on prend d'abord 'quelque mot ou quelque sentence', sur laquelle on fait ensuite 'des vers ausquels ce mot et cette sentence servent de reprise'.

Les Espagnols ont été longtems sans connoître d'autre forme de poesie, que celle dont je viens de parler....Dans la suite Jean Boscan et Garcilasso de la Véga, morts avant le milieu du seiziéme siecle, introduisirent dans leur langue la forme de la poesie Italienne qu'ils connurent par la communication qu'ils eurent avec les meilleurs Poètes Italiens de leur tems dans les voyages qu'ils firent à Naples....<sup>3</sup>

Elle n'y a pas suivi la regle la plus ordinaire de cette ancienne poesie Espagnole, de répéter chaque vers du *Texte* dans son ordre, à la fin de chaque stance de la Glose; dans celle-ci [la Glose de Sainte Thérese] il n'y a que le dernier vers du texte qui serve de reprise....<sup>4</sup>

In St. LXIX Lessing returns to Lope de Vega's Arte nuevo. He gives a paraphrase (18 ff.) of lines 157-80, and quotes the original text in his note. But the paraphrase appears to be in the main a translation of a French version of the poem. As Pitollet has shown (p. 203), this translation is not, as Lessing stated, in the Nouveau Recueil des Pièces fugitives d'Histoire, de Littérature etc. of Archimbaud (Paris, 1717) but in the Pièces fugitives d'histoire et de littérature anciennes et modernes, edited by Flachat de Saint-Sauveur (pseudonym of the Abbé A. de Tricaud),

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The redondilla is in general a four-lined strophe, usually rhyming a b b a. Cp. Petersen, ed cit. p. 235, and C. Lancelot [de Trigny], Nouvelle méthode pour apprendre...la langue espagnole, 2nd ed., Paris, 1665, pp. 91 ff.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Ed. cit. viii, pp 152 ff.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Ibid. p. 152. The words enclosed in quotation marks occur in Lancelot, ed. cit. p. 103.

<sup>4</sup> Goujet, op at. p 155.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> In his notes Zur Gelehrten-Geschichte und Literatur (Schriften, xvi, p. 238): 'Lope de Vega's Kunst, neue Komodien zu machen. Dieses Werkchen, woraus ich in der Dramaturgie eine Stelle übersetzt habe, hat der Abt Archimbaud, Franzosisch übersetzt, seinen Pieces fügitives, Part. II, p. 248. mit eingeruckt.'

ii (Paris, 1704), pp. 248 ff. The translation is entitled Nouvelle Pratique de Théatre, accommodée à l'usage présent d'Espagne, adressée à l'Académie de Madrid et traduite de l'espagnol de Lopez de Vega (par l'Abbé de Charnes). The passage which Lessing quotes is as follows in the French version:

Il faut donc choisir un sujet, et les régles me pardonneront si je dis qu'il n'importe pas que ce soit l'action de quelque Roi: Quoi qu'il soit vrai. comme on me l'a dit, que Philippe le Prudent, Roi de notre Espagne et notre Souverain, voyant paroître un Roi dans nos Comédies, ne pouvoit pas s'en accommoder, soit que ce fût un effet de son bon goût pour le vrai. soit qu'il trouvât mauvais de voir la Majesté Royale aville parmi le menu peuple. Ce qui est en effet en revenir à l'ancienne Comédie, dans laquelle Plaute mettoit les Divinitez; Jupiter, par exemple, dans son Amphitrion. Dieu sçait aussi la peine que j'ai de l'approuver, aprés ce que Plutarque, parlant de Menandre, a dit contre cette Comédie ancienne: Mais puis que nous nous éloignons des régles, et que nous les méprisons si fort en Espagne, Messieurs les Doctes n'ont qu'à prendre patience et se taire. Il faut donc sans difficulté mêler le Tragique avec le Comique; Térence avec Seneque formeront une espèce de Urinotaure; une partie de la piéce sera serieuse et l'autre burlesque. Cette varieté plaît infiniment; Et en effet, n'est-elle pas fondée sur la nature, qui n'est belle que par cette diversité?2

In a letter to Dieze of January 5, 1769 which has already been quoted, Lessing mentions that he has collected 'eine ansehnliche Menge' of Spanish comedies:

Denn selten ist ein Hamburger, der sich zu Cadix bereichert, wieder zurückgekommen, ohne ein Paar Komodien mitzubringen. Von einer habe ich in dem 6osten bis 6osten Stucke meiner Dramaturgie einen weitlauftigen Auszug geliefert; und ich mochte wohl wissen, ob Ihnen diese unter dem Namen des Verfassers irgendwo vorgekommen?

Dieze was evidently unable to supply the information. And Lessing had forgotten, when he was writing his *Dramaturgie*, that d'Hermilly, in his translation of Montiano's *Dissertation*<sup>4</sup>, had attributed the play to 'Philippe IV. Roi d'Espagne'.<sup>5</sup> It was left to A. F. von Schack, in his *Geschichte der dramatischen Litteratur und Kunst in Spanien*, to establish

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> It has been reprinted by Pitollet in the Siècle, Nos. 16, 17, 18, November, 1906. <sup>2</sup> Pièces fugitives, ed. cit. 11, pp. 255 f. (A copy of this work is in the Bayerische

Staatsbibliothek, Munich.)

8 Schriften, xvii, p. 281.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Lessing refers to this translation in the *Theatralische Bibliothek* (i, p. 121; Schriften, vi, p. 72).

<sup>5</sup> Cp. Pitollet, op. cit. p. 170.

the fact that the author of Dar la vida por su Dama ó El Conde de Sex; 'da un Ingenio de esta Corte', was Antonio Coello, who died in 1652.

The edition which Lessing possessed he found (LX, 2) in 'einer Sammlung von Komodien, die Joseph Padrino zu Sevilien gedruckt hat, und in der er das vier und siebzigste Stuck ist'. This was the tenth edition of the play.<sup>2</sup>

Beyond a few corrections in Lessing's Spanish quotations, resulting from a collation with the edition which Lessing had before him, I am able to offer little more than a résumé of the data and criticism of Pitollet and other commentators. This will be found in the Appendix below.

#### APPENDIX

References are to the reprint of La Tragedia mas lastimosa de amor. Dar la vida por su Dama ó El Conde de Sex in Brockhaus's Coleccion de Autores Españoles xxvii (Leipzig, 1870), pp. 165 ff., where the acts are divided into scenes and the lines are numbered, unfortunately with some misprints. The correct numbers are quoted here in square brackets, where they differ from those in the reprint.

Hamburgische Dramaturgie

Lx, 18. 'Essex kommt von seiner Expedition wider die Spanier zuruck.' El Conde de Sex

Essex's return from his expedition and his vision of the half-masked lady are narrated in the course of conversation between him and his servant Cosme, Act 1, sc. iii.

In scene i the attempt on the queen's life, which is frustrated by Essex, takes place, and in scene ii the queen, wearing a half mask, thanks Essex, with the gift of a scarf to bind up his injured hand.

Lx, 41. 'Las dos columnas bellas etc.'

The quotation is from Act 1, sc. iii, ll. 154-61.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Geschichte der dramatischen Litteratur und Kunst in Spanien, Berlin, 1845-46, iii, pp. 4 f., 407, and Nachtrage (Frankfurt, 1854), p. 102. Cp. also Cosack, op. cit. p. 307.

There are two MSS. in the Biblioteca Nacional of Madrid. See Emilio Cotarelo y Mori, Don Antonio Coello y Ochoa, Madrid, 1919, pp. 26, 28, 30.

Lx, 47. 'er kann nicht begreifen' is a mistranslation.

Lx, 49. 'Basalt.'

The translation is erroneous; the word means 'jet' (Schroter and Thiele, ed. cit. p. 353, and Petersen, ed. cit. p. 232).

Possibly Lessing was misled by

his dictionary.

Lx, 58. 'Sie...knupft ihre Schärpe los, und giebt sie ihm... Zugleich, sagt sie, soll diese Scharpe dienen, mich Euch zu seiner Zeit zu erkennen zu geben....'

Lx, 66. 'Diese Hand, sagt er, war dem klaren Wasser so ahnlich...'

Lx, 77. 'Yo, que al principio vi, ciego, y turbado....'

LX, 100. 'Aus diesem Zuge, kann man leicht auf das Übrige schliessen.'

Pitollet takes exception to Lessing's inference here (op. cit. p. 25) and also to the later one, LX, 132 ('Man erwartet, dass der Herzog auf diesen Einwurf die Lauterkeit seiner Absichten betheuern werde...') as unjustifiable.

LX, 110. 'Ruido de armas en la Quinta....' 'Cosme, que ha...'

> and 'La Muger del hortelano....'

LX, 149. 'Te hice dueño de mi honor.'

El Conde de Sex

'juzgué' ('methought', 'it seemed to me'), Act 1, sc. iii, l. 185.

'azabache', Act 1, sc. 11i, l. 189.

'Aquesa banda Señal para hacer buscaros Serà...', Act 1, sc. ii, ll. 53–5.

Act I, sc. iii, ll. 196-203. The correct translation would be: 'For that which she drank resembled her hands so much that I feared in sudden apprehension—and not without reason—that she might drink a part of her hand' (Pitollet, op. cit. p. 24 and Cosack, op. cit. pp. 308 f.).

The passage is in Act 1, sc. iii, ll. 182-9.

The passages are in Act 1, sc. i, ll. 9-14, 1, iii, ll. 65-6, and 1, iii, ll. 243-4.

Act 1, sc. vii, l. 312 [412]: 'I make thee master of my honour.'

LXI, 14. 'Sie hatte an ihren Oheim geschrieben...'

Lessing repeats this erroneous translation of 'primo' in LXI, 28.

El Conde de Sex

Act 1, sc. vii, ll. 429–33 [529–33]:
'Y hasta Roberto, mi primo,
Por pariente de mi padre,

Que no por otro delito, Huyó del riesgo, y sin esto [estado,

luyó del riesgo, y sin esto [estado, 1638]

Vive en Escocia escondido.'
'And until Robert my cousin, on account of his being a kinsman of my father, and for no other crime, should flee the danger and unconditionally live concealed in Scot-

land.'

LXI, 23. 'Bien podré seguramente ....'

LXI, 47-62 and 83-94.
'Ay tal traicion! vive el Cielo....'

LXI, 95. 'Si estàs consultando, Conde....'

LXI, 115. 'Wer darf das sagen?'

LXI, 116. 'Por vida del Rey mi hermano....'

LXII, 16. 'Miradlo mejor, dexad

LXII, 22. 'No he de responder al Duque....'

LXII, 51. 'Y pues son dos los culpados....'

LXII, 56. 'Y es gran materia....'

LXII, 47 and 61 ff. 'Ausserordentliche Verbrechen werden besser verschwiegen, als bestraft....' Act 1, sc. vii, ll. 340-3 [440-3].

From Act I, sc. vii, ll. 506-33 [606-33].

From Act 1, sc. vii, ll. 552-6 [652-6].

Act 1, sc. viii, ll. 629-32 [729-32]. To illustrate the lack of accuracy in Lessing's rendering, Pitollet points to this passage (op. cit. pp. 26 f.). Lessing gives a loose translation.

From Act 1, sc. viii, ll. 608-15; 619-57 [708-15; 719-57].

From Act 1, sc. viii, ll. 692-7 [792-7].

From Act 1, sc. viii, ll. 706-11 [806-11].

From Act 1, sc. ix, ll.,733-7 [833-7].

From Act I, sc. ix, ll. 747-55 [847-55].

The literal translation of ll. 752-5 [852-5] is 'And thus secrecy should investigate enormous crimes, since, more than the punishment, the sin itself brings exemplary chastisement.'

LXII, 94. 'Que ya solo con miraros

LXII, 96. 'No bastaba, amor ty-

LXII, 123. 'Loco Amor-....'

LXIII, 29. 'Er kann kein Geheimniss eine Stunde bewahren...'

LXIII, 73. 'Ya se me viene a la boca ...'

LXIII, 87. 'Es hombre al fin, y ay de aquella. ..'

LXIII, 110. 'Abate, abate las alas

unter andern, die man verschweigt, kann nicht gross seyn....

Pitollet (op. cit. p. 28) and Petersen (ed. cit. p. 237) point out that 'die man verschweigt' should be 'die nicht belohnt wird'.

LXIII, 132. 'Mote. Si acaso mis desvarios....'

LXIV, 25-9, 46-57. 'El mas verdadero amor....'

LXIV, 58-66 and 76-106. 'Por no morir de mal....'

El Conde de Sex

From Act I, sc. xi, ll. 763-4 [863-4].

From Act 1, sc. xi, ll. 809-12 [909-12]

From Act 1, sc. x11, ll. 876-89 [976-89].

This is a paraphrase of the passages quoted in the notes (LXIII, 41 ff) from Act II, sc. iii, ll 975-81 [1075-81] and 990-3 [1090-3], also of ll. 987-9 [1087-9].

From Act II, sc. iv, ll. 1015-18, 1025-7, 1043-9 [1115-18, 1125-7, 1143-9].

From Act II, sc. v, ll. 1054–6 [1154–6].

From Act II, sc. vii, ll. 1078–87 [1178–87].

Paraphrased from the passage in Act II, sc. vii, ll. 1192-1201 [1292-1301]:

'No puede haber grande amor Sin ser pagado; y por eso Fingió allá la antiguedad, Que hasta que creciese Anteo, Que es el recíproco, nunca Crecia Cupido; luego Si no decís vuestro amor, Nunca lo sabrá el sujeto; Sin saberlo no os tendrá Recíproco amor, es cierto....'

From Act II, sc. vii, ll. 1118-21 [1218-21] and 1143-81 [1243-81].

From Act II, sc. vii, ll. 1210–16, 1252–9 [1310–16, 1352–9] and 1264–5 [1364–5].

From Act II, sc. vii, viii and ix, ll. 1286-1324 [1386-1424].

LXIV, 117 ff. 'Essex. Wenn denn also....'

LXIV, 122 ff. 'Essex. Weil ich hoffe....'

LXIV, 126. 'Wissen Sie, wer ich bin? Und wer Sie sind?'This is not literally translated.

LXIV, 140. '...No me veais....'

LXV, 3. '...Ya estoi resuelta. ..'

LXV, 39. 'Le llamé una noche obscura....'

LXV, 50 and 69. 'Dre Konrginn. Eifersucht?—Nein ...'

'Este es zelo, Blanca....'

The whole of the passage is very loosely translated.

LXV, 95. 'Dieser Zug ist vortrefflich....'
Schroter and Thiele (ed cit. p. 371) suggest a resemblance here to the first scene of Emilia Galotti, where a petition with the signature 'Emilia' recalls to the prince his love.

LXV, 153. 'Conde, vos traidor?....'
This is also loosely translated.

LXVI, 13. 'No pudo ser que mintiera....'

LXVI, 57. 'Que escucho? Señores mios....'

Lessing only offers a paraphrase of the original.

El Conde de Sex

The passage is as follows (Act II, sc. 1x, Il. 1312 ff. [1412 ff.]: 'Conde. Segun lo que dijo Vuestra Alteza aquí, y supuesto Que cuesta cara la dicha Que se compra con el miedo, Quiero morir noblemente.'

'Conde. ¿Qué espero? ¿Si á vuestra Alteza (¿qué dudo?) Le declarase su afecto Algun amor....'

Act II, sc. ix, ll. 1322 f. [1422 f.]: '¿Quien soy yo? Decid quien soy...'

From Act II, sc. ix, ll. 1356-9 [1456-9].

From Act II, sc. xii, ll. 1435-53 [1535-53].

From Act II, sc. xii, ll. 1518-25 [1618-25].

The Queen's speech is Act II, sc. xii, ll. 1580 ff. [1680 ff.]. Lessing selects ll. 1580-96 [1680-96], 1602-3 [1702-3], 1616-19 [1716-19], 1622-3 [1722-3] for translation.

Refers to Act II, sc. xvi, where the Queen finds among the petitions presented to her one from a Count Felix, and comments on the coincidence which recalls her thoughts to the tangled situation.

From Act II, sc. xvii, ll. 1766-93 [1866-93].

From Act III, sc. i, ll. 1880-94 [1980-94].

From Act III, sc. iii, ll. 2111-15, 2127-28.

RL

Hamburgische	: Dramaturgie
--------------	---------------

LXVI, 112-39 and 159-70. 'Solo el descargo que tengo....'

LXVII, 15. "Keinen Traum" fahrt die Koniginn fort...."

LXVII, 27. 'El Conde me diò la vida....'

LXVII, 57. 'Ingeniosa mi fortuna

LXVII, 64. 'Pues si esto ha de ser

LXVII, 96. 'Morirè yo consolado

LXVII, 133. 'Luego esta, que assi camino....'

LXVII, 146. Vil instrumento
De mi vida, y de mi infamia

Much of Lessing's translation is only a paraphrase.

LXVIII, 44. 'Hasta que el tronco cadaver

Le sirva de muda lengua.' Lessing translates 'mit stummer Zunge Treue und Gehorsam zurufe' (cp. Petersen, ed. cat. p. 239).

LXVIII, 46. 'Y assi alsalon de palacio.'
This also is loosely rendered.

LXVIII, 83. 'Blanca en el ultimo trance....'

El Conde de Sex

From Act III, sc. vi, ll. 2242-58, 2260-84

From Act III, sc. viii, l. 2415. The Spanish word is 'sombra' (shadow).

From Act III, sc. viii, ll. 2393-2400.

From Act III, sc. viu, ll. 2475-81.

From Act III, sc. viii, ll. 2510-20.

Act III, sc. viii, ll. 2531-43. 'Ya moriré consolado'

From Act III, sc. viii, ll. 2567-79.

From Act III, sc. viii, ll. 2590-8

From Act III, sc. x, ll. 2701-2. Literally 'serve as a mute tongue'.

From Act III, sc. x, ll. 2703-20.

From Act III, sc. x, ll. 2739-63.

### CHAPTER X

## THE DRAMA OF ANTIQUITY

Apart from Lessing's treatment of Aristotle, his discussion of the literatures of antiquity is meagre. An index to the *Hamburgische Dramaturgie* may contain many names of Greek and Latin writers; but for the most part, these owe their presence there to passing references and quotations. One would hardly look to this work to obtain an idea of Lessing's classical scholarship or of his attitude to antiquity—Aristotle, of course, always excepted. But it is something of a defect, if we remember that one of the main theses of the *Dramaturgie* is to prove the immeasurable inferiority of French classic tragedy to that of the Greeks, that Lessing should have taken so little opportunity to justify the supremacy of the latter, and notably of Sophocles. The only classical dramatist who is dealt with in any detail is Terence; here alone Lessing comes to grips with problems of scholarship.

Of the Greek writers, we find references to and quotations from Homer,<sup>2</sup> Pindar,<sup>3</sup> Plato<sup>4</sup> and Plutarch,<sup>5</sup> and a few mentions of historical writers;<sup>6</sup> but Lessing's discussions of Greek literature are restricted to the Greek dramatists and Aristotle.

It is, as I have said, a recurrent theme of the *Dramaturgie* that the tragedy of the Greeks fulfils those conditions of real tragic poetry

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See on this subject the excellent treatise of J. Kont, Lessing et l'Antiquité, 2 vols. Paris, 1894–99.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> [XXI, 117]; XXXVI, 116, 120; XLII, 59, 92; [LXIX, 70]; LXXIII, 82; XCI, 21. (I enclose in square brackets references occurring in quotations from other writers.) For 'Wir...vergessen, dass Homer...entzucket' (XXXVI, 119) cp. Madame Dacier, L'Iliade d'Homere, traduite en François, avec des Remarques, Paris, 1711, 1, p. 31: 'Cette ignorance, où l'on est sur le pays, sur la vie et sur le nom mesme d'Homere, prouve admirablement la verité de ce que dit l'Empereur Marc Aurele, qu'un homme inconnu peut estre un homme divin'...and ibid. p. 29 'Dion Chrysostome, admirant cette modestie, l'oppose à la vanité de ces escrivains qui mettent leur nom au commencement, à la fin, et dans le cours mesme de leurs ouvrages...'; on Homer's Mapyeitys as an 'erdichteter Name' derived from μαργής (XCI, 20), see Pauly, Real-Encyclopadie der classischen Altertumsunssenschaft (Neue Bearbeitung), XIV, 11, 1708, and VIII, 11, 2152 ff. Cp. also H. Stephanus, Lexicon Gracolatinum, [Lyons], 1607 (1005, under μαργός, etc.).

<sup>4</sup> xci, 95; [xciv, 46]. 5 xxxvii, 41, 65; xxxix, 27, [42]; xcvi, 52.

which French tragedy fails to fulfil. The interest of the Hamburg audience in 'maxims' reminds Lessing (II, 115) of the Attic stage. where a Euripides could win fame and a Socrates be amongst his audience; but alas, he comments, there was only one Athens where the moral sense of the people was so delicate that actors and poets could be repudiated for impure morals. While discussing Voltaire's Sémiramis (x, 89 ff.) he holds up to ridicule, as we have seen, the French writer's condescending patronage of the Greek theatre and his belief that the ancients might have learned from the French. And again, still more emphatically, in St. LXXXI (139), Lessing couples Greek tragedy with that of Shakespeare—surely strange bedfellows—and denies that any French tragedy excites pity 'in the degree in which tragedy should excite it, in that degree which I know from various Greek and English pieces that it can excite it'. He is convinced (137) that 'their Corneille and Racine, their Crébillon and Voltaire have little or nothing of that which makes Sophocles Sophocles, Euripides Euripides, Shakespeare Shakespeare'.

At the beginning of St. XXXII Lessing writes of the origins of Greek tragedy. Thespis, he asserts, quoting as his authority the life of Solon by Diogenes Laertius, 'had no interest in historical correctness'. In this account Lessing read that 'Solon forbade Thespis to prepare and perform tragedies, saying that the untrue had no utility. When Peisistratos wounded himself, he said that this was a result of it.'2 This is drawn from a note by Curtius in his Aristoteles Dichtkunst:

Thespis liess zuerst eine Person zwischen den Choren reden, welche erdichtete Begebenheiten oder Fabeln vorstellete: Dass es erdichtete und nicht wahre Begebenheiten waren, erhellet aus Solons Verbot an Thespis, zu Athen Tragodien zu spielen, um nicht die Athenienser zur Unwahrheit anzufuhren (Diogenes Laert. in Solone Lib. i. Segm. 59).<sup>3</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See above, p. 221.

² Diogenes Laertus, De vitis, dogmatibus et apophthegmatibus clarorum virorum, Liber i, Segm. 59-60, Amsterdam, 1692, pp. 37 f. Cp. also Lessing's note to Plutarch's Life of Solon (Anmerkungen über alte Schriftsteller, Schriften, xv, pp. 432 f.): 'Vom Thespis heisst es daselbst: ἀρχομένων δὲ τῶν περὶ Θέσπιν ἤδη τὴν τραγῳδίαν κινεῖν etc. Kind übersetzt: Thespis fing damals an mit seinen Trauerspielen herumzuziehen. Aber ist es denn nicht weit vernunftiger, κινεῖν in der Bedeutung für mutare zu nehmen? κινεῖν τοὺς νόμους ist so viel als μεταβάλλειν, andern. Und das that Thesphis wirklich: er anderte die Tragodie, und machte etwas ganz anderes daraus, als sie war.—Aus derselben Stelle sehen wir auch, dass Thespis sich nicht sehr an die historische Wahrheit gebunden haben muss. Denn das war eben das, was dem Solon missfiel.'

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Aristoteles Duchtkunst, ins Deutsche übersetzet...von Michael Conrad Curtius, Hanover, 1753, p. 101 (note to chap. iv).

## GREEK TRAGEDY

Of the three great tragic dramatists of Greece, Euripides alone receives any considerable measure of attention in the Dramaturgie. To Aeschylus Lessing makes only one unfortunate reference (xcvii, 48), where he cites that poet's 'Perserinnen' as a proof of the lack of 'Costume' in the Greek poets. Sophocles fares a little better, but when Greek tragedy is mentioned, his name is usually coupled with that of Euripides. Six years before the Dramaturgie, it will be remembered, Lessing had written a learned Leben Sophocles, and the Philocetetes is discussed in the Laokoon (IV). Now the name of Sophocles occurs for the most part in quotations from other writers.2 The only time when Lessing ventures an independent judgment here is in a note to xciv, 92 (102 ff.) where he gives his approval to Hurd's interpretation of the Aristotelian Σοφοκλής έφη αὐτὸς μέν οίους δεῖ ποιεῖν, Εὐριπίδης [the original reading: Heinsius was responsible for the modern Εὐριπίδην] δὲ οἶοί εἰσιν (chap. xxv, 1460 b), as meaning that Sophocles strove to present through his characters an abstract generalisation of humanity rather than to portray perfect individuals (which had been Dacier's interpretation of the passage).8

Aristotle had described Euripides as τραγικώτατος τῶν ποιητῶν (Poetics, xiii, 1453 a), the most tragic of tragic poets (XLVIII, 110; XLIX, 71). But Lessing is not convinced that his true reason for this praise was the fact that the majority of the dramas end tragically. Lessing may have remembered Dacier's conjecture (Poétique d'Aristote, ed. cit. pp. 204 f.):

Mais ce qui marque extrêmement l'esprit tragique d'Euripide, c'est que dans la plûpart de ces dernieres pieces, dont la fin est heureuse, il ne laisse pas d'exciter la terreur et la compassion, et l'on peut dire que la constitution en est tragique, il n'y a que la fin qui gâte tout.

When Lessing recalls (XLIX, 84) that Socrates was the teacher and friend of Euripides, but that many believe the poet may have owed

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> It is some exoneration that Lessing took this over from D'Aubignac (*Pratique du Théâtre*, Amsterdam, 1715, i, p. 148); although it had been corrected by Ménage (cp *vbid* ii, p. 49) and by Dacier (*Poétique d'Aristote*, ed. cit p 173).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> [XXIX, 111], [XXXI, 121], XXXVIII, 101; LXXXI, 139, [XCIV, 73, 104 ff.], [XCV, 75]. To the first of these quotations, recording Cornelle's assertion that we should now give Sophocles' *Trachinia* the title *The Dying Hercules*, Lessing adds a comment on the choice of titles by the ancients. (See below, p. 467.)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The passages quoted by Lessing from Dacier will be found in *Poétique d'Aristote*, ed cit. pp. 442 and 458.

nothing more to him than the moral sentiments with which he so liberally bestrews his plays, he may have been thinking of Sebastiano Pauli's Ragionamento attached to Maffei's Merope:

. .a' suoi tempi la Filosofia non era uscita dalle private case alla pubblica luce laddove a que' d' Euripide era stata da Socrate tratta a pubblico uso nel Liceo, e nell' Accademia, donde la scienza col suo lume anche sugli occhi del popolo tralucea. Sicchè trovando alle Sentenze gli orecchi popolari meglio disposti; prese ad usarle con assai minor risparmio di Sofocle.<sup>2</sup>

In St. XXXVII—XLIX, in connexion with Merope, the ancient sources of the fable and the utilisation of these by Euripides are discussed at some length. Lessing prepares the way for his comparison of the dramas of Maffei and Voltaire by investigating the 'historical facts' on which Merope is based (XXXVII, 9 ff.). Maffei himself is the source of his information. That writer, in the Dedication of his drama, stated the matter as follows:

Che qualche tempo dopo la presa di Troja gli Eraclidi, cioè a dire i discendenti d' Ercole, s' impadronissero della Messenia: che questa Provincia toccasse poi a Cresfonte nelle sorti, che si gettarono: che questi avesse Merope in moglie, e che essendo favorevole alla plebe, fosse da' potenti ucciso insieme co' suoi figliuoli, trattone l' ultimo, che riuscì valorosissimo, e fece poi la vendetta del padre, si ha da Pausania. Che ucciso Cresfonte con due fanciulli, occupasse il Regno Polifonte, nato parimente del sangue degli Eraclidi, che costui forzasse Merope a divenir sua moglie; che il terzo figlio, trafugato già dalla madre, uccidesse il Tiranno, e ricuperasse il Regno, si ha da Apollodoro. Che a Merope facesse un Vecchio riconoscere il figliuolo, mentr' ella stava per ucciderlo, e che il giovane uccidesse Polifonte nell' atto del sagrificio, si ha da Igino. Il nome per altro di questo giovane diversamente si referisce.<sup>3</sup>

It would have been surprising had the ancients not availed themselves of so excellent a subject. Lessing continues (38) to follow Maffei:

Parla di essa Aristotele nella Poetica, dove trattando de' modi di ben compor la Favola, dà per esempio dell' ottimo il Cresfonte d' Euripide, in cui l' atrocità veniva dal Riconoscimento impedita....[For Aristotle's

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Cp. Lessing's *Philologischer Nachlass* (Schriften, xv, p. 428): 'Dass Euripides zur Unzeit moralisirt, ist bekannt genug, und das will ich 1hm als einem Freunde des Sokrates vergeben.' Cp. St. II, 115.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Ed. of 1763, p. lxix.

<sup>8</sup> Ed. of 1730, pp. xxxiv f.; ed. of 1763, pp. xxiii f.

argument see below, pp. 385 f]...Non ho alterati già...certi punti principali della tradizione, come l'uccision di Polifonte nel Sagrificio, e l'eccesso della Madre contra il Figliuolo non conosciuto: il che avrei avuto scrupolo di non ritenere esattamente, facendoci fede Plutarco di quanto effetto facesse anticamente negli spettatori con queste parole: Considera quella Merope che alzata la Scure sta per ferire il Figliuolo, ch' ella crede l'uccisor di lui, e dice: Io ti darò morte con questo colpo. Quanta commozione non eccita nel Teatro, stando ognuno intento, e temendo, ch' ella non prevenga il Vecchio, che l'impedisce, e non ferisca il Giovinetto?

The fragment quoted by Plutarch in his De esu carnium oratio, ii (Moralia, 998 E)—the reference is given in a note to Maffei's Dedication in the edition of 1763<sup>2</sup>—was not included (xxxvii, 47) in Joshua Barnes's edition of Euripides (Cambridge, 1694); but Schroter and Thiele point out (ed. cit. p. 224) that just before Lessing wrote, the Dutch scholar L. C. Valckenaer had included it in his Diatribe in Euripidis perditorum dramatum reliquias (Leyden, 1767, p. 181 c). Aristotle does not attribute the Cresphontes he mentions to Euripides; but Cicero, in the Tusculana Disputationes (i, 48, referred to by Barnes, Pt. ii, p. 476) uses the words 'qua est sententia in Cresphonte usus Euripides'; and both Dacier (ed. cit. p. 220) and Curtius (ed. cit. p. 30), in their translations of Aristotle, insert Euripides's name.

In the letter prefixed to Voltaire's Mérope Tournemine had written:

Aristote, ce sage législateur du Théâtre, a mis ce sujet au premier rang des sujets tragiques. Euripide l'avait traité, et nous apprenons d'Aristote, que toutes les fois qu'on représentait sur le Théâtre de l'ingénieuse Athènes le Cresfonte d'Euripide, ce peuple, accoûtumé aux chess-d'œuvres tragiques, était frappé, saisi, transporté d'une émotion extraordinaire.<sup>3</sup>

But, after translating this passage, Lessing points out that these are mere fine phrases without much truth in them (xxxvII, 63). Tournemine's second statement rests on a confusion between Aristotle and Plutarch; and his first on a misunderstanding of Aristotle. And to the problem raised by this misunderstanding Lessing now turns. I deal with it below (pp. 385 ff.). The upshot, as far as Euripides is concerned, is the conclusion (xxxIX, 3) that *Merope* cannot be regarded as a perfect dramatic fable.

Voltaire himself makes statements similar to those of Tournemine—that Aristotle held the recognition in Merope to be the most

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Ed. of 1730, pp. xxxvi ff.; ed. of 1763, pp. xxv ff.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> P. xxix. <sup>8</sup> Œuvres, ed. cit. viii, p. 2

interesting moment in the Greek theatre, and that Plutarch had described the play as the most touching of all the works of Euripides—in his 'Lettre à M. Maffei'.¹ This passage Lessing quotes in a foot-note (xxxx, 39 ff.). He questions Voltaire's interpretation of Aristotle's words: the latter, he contends, only mentions Merope as an example of one kind of interesting moment; and, moreover, he does not mention Merope alone; he adds the Iphigeneia and Helle.² And who shall say that Cresphontes and Helle did not end unhappily, that is, in the manner which made Euripides the most tragic of all the tragic poets?

What, Lessing continues (74 ff.), was the theme of Cresphontes? The few fragments that have been preserved throw no light on the plot of the drama. At most, a passage quoted by Barnes from Polybius —an appeal to the goddess of peace—would imply that the Messenian state was not yet restored to order. In drawing inferences from the other passages (86) and in commenting on the difficulty

<sup>1</sup> Œuvres, ed. cit viii, pp. 218 f.

<sup>2</sup> Dacier (*Poétique d'Aristote*, ed. cit. p. 238) attributed *Helle* to Euripides: 'Je croy que c'étoit encore une piece d'Euripide Je n'en sçay pas le sujet, je sçay seulement que Phryxus et sa sœur Hellé étoient enfans d'Athamas et de Nephelé.' Valckenaer was also of this opinion. There would appear, however, to be no evidence to support it. (See Pauly, *Real-Encyclopadie der classischen Altertumswissenschaft* (Neue Bearbeitung), VIII, i (1912), 162.)

3 In Barnes's edition, Part ii, p. 476 Dacier had referred to one of these (cp. Lessing's note, xxxxx, 80) in the *Poétique d'Aristote (ed. cit.* p. 237): 'Je me souviens d'en avoir lû quelque-part un fragment qui merite d'être raporté pour la beauté du sens qu'il renferme. C'est Merope qui parle de la mort de son mary et de ses

enfans, et qui dit

αἱ τύχαι δέ με μισθὸν λαβοῦσαι τῶν ἐμῶν τὰ φίλτατα σοφὸν ἔθηκαν,

La fortune m'a enseigné à être sage, en prenant pour sa peine ce que j'avois de plus cher.' Dacier does not know where these lines come from. Lessing found the reference to Plutarch (De capienda ex inimicis utilitate, Moralia, 90 A) in a note to Maffei's dedication (ed. of 1763, p. xxviii).

4 Polybius, Historia, xii, 26:

όμογνωμονεῖν δὲ τῷ ποιητῆ καὶ τὸν Εὐριπίδην ἐν οἷς φησιν, εἰρήνα βαθύπλουτε, καλλίστα μακάρων θεῶν, ζῆλός μοι σέθεν, ὡς χρονίζεις. δέδοικα δὲ μὴ πρὶν ὑπερβάλη με γῆρας, πρὶν σὰν χαριέσσαν προσιδεῖν ὥραν καὶ καλλιχόρους ἀοιδὰς φιλοστεφάνους τε κώμους.

caused by the title (92) Lessing would seem to have been influenced by the following observations in Orsi's 'Avvertimento' to Maffei's *Merope* (ed. of 1763, pp. xxxv f.):

Ciò stante, parmi, che io possa così discorrere: se l'ultimo Figliuolo di Merope, sottratto alla crudeltà di Polifonte, e lunga pezza occulto da lei tenuto, comparisce nella Tragedia d'Euripide, e sconosciuto alla Madre, corre pericolo di perir per sua mano; come mai nel giro della Tragedia stessa (che a una giornata, o poco più dee esser ristretto) potea vedersi il padre di lui Cresfonte tanto prima dal Tiranno estinto? Non altramente da quello che si è supposto, star poteva la Favola d' Euripide:...Un altro dubbio potrebbe mettersi in campo, siccome fu già promosso dal Castelvetro: ed è, se la Tragedia d' Euripide fosse veramente intitolata Cresfonte: perciocchè (così va speculando quel sottil Critico) non si potè far Tragedia, nella quale Merope fosse per uccidere il Figliuolo nominato Cresfonte: conciossi cosa chè essa non venisse a quest' atto, se non molti anni dopo la morte di Cresfonte: e tanto in tale difficoltà s' innoltra, che arriva a temer mendo nel testo Aristotelico, nel quale secondo lui vuole essere scritto ἐν τῷ τελεφόντη Vi ha di più chi al Figliuolo avanzato dal primo letto di Merope applica altro nome, che quello di Telefonte, al dir già allegato Colonna: solus autem ex Cresphontis filirs Æpytus, swe, ut alii dicunt, Telephon natu minimus superstes fuit....

## And further on (ed. of 1763, pp. xxxvii f.):

...e lo stesso Castelvetro si dispone alla fine ad ammettere, come ci verisimilmente esser possa, col soggiugnere: o è da dire, che il Figliuolo di Cresfonte scampato, avesse, secondo alcum Autori il nome paterno Cresfonte, dal quale fosse dinominata la Tragedia allegata qui da Aristotile. Il perchè opportunatamente fa il Sig. Marchese Maffei, che nella prima Scena manifestante tutto l'Antifatto, così rimproveri Polifonte a Merope.

Che il terzo figlio, in cui del Padre il nome Ti piacque rinnovar, tu trafugasti.

But have we ever heard of tragedies (XXXIX, 100) which are named after personages who do not appear in them?<sup>1</sup> Corneille and Dacier get over this difficulty by assuming that the son's name was also Cresphontes.<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> On Lessing's views on the titles of plays, see below, pp. 467 f.

<sup>2</sup> Dacier cites the words quoted in Lessing's note (110) as if they were Corneille's (Poétique d'Aristote, ed. cit. p. 232): 'M. Corneille est d'un sentiment tout opposé... La raison de cela est, dit-il, que dans la troisième [manière], Une mere qui va tuer son fils, comme Merope va tuer Cresphonte, et une sœur qui va sacrifier son frere, comme Iphigenie, les regardent, ou comme indifferens, ou comme ennemis, jusqu'à ce qu'ils son reconnus....' In fact the words do not appear in Corneille's Discours de la Tragédie

Maffei believed that Euripides had found the plan of his *Cresphontes* in Hyginus's 184th fable. The passage, which Lessing quotes in his foot-note (112), is from the Dedication. It begins:

Potrebbe qui richiedermi V.A. qual certezza possa aver io d'essermene allontanato: e poichè tanti Poeti si sono augurati in vano di poter sapere, in qual modo conducesse Euripide questa Favola, come io pretenda ora d'averlo scoperto. Al che risponderò, come questa scoperta penso io d'aver fatta...<sup>1</sup>

Whether the 184th fable of Hyginus represents the material from which Euripides constructed his tragedy, or is merely an abstract of that tragedy, it is, at least, a story suitable for tragic treatment; and in its simplicity stands much nearer to the ancient plan than all the modern *Meropes* (xl, 3). Lessing lays Hyginus's fable before his readers,<sup>2</sup> and in a note (43) points out that in Scheffer's edition of Hyginus, Hamburg, 1674 [pp. 154 f.], Fable 184, entitled *Pentheus et Agave*, begins with a passage dealing with these personages which belongs properly to No. 137 (entitled *Merope*):

Pentheus Echionis et Agaves filius, Liberum negavit deum esse, nec mysteria ejus accipere voluit. Ob hoc eum Agave mater cum sororibus Ino et Autonoe, per insaniam à Libero objectam membratim laniavit. Agave ut suæ mentis compos facta est, et vidit se Liberi impulsu tantum scelus admisisse, profugit ab Thebis, atque errabunda in Illyriæ fines devenit, ad Licotersen regem, quam Licoterses excepit. Cum qua Polyphontes, occiso Cresphonte, regnum occupavit. filium autem ejus infantem Merope mater, quem ex Cresphonte habebat, absconse ad hospitem in Ætoliam mandavit....

(Œures de Puere Corneille, ed. Marty-Laveaux, Paris, 1862, i, pp 67–70) where Corneille mentions Aristotle's examples 'de Mérope dans Cresphonte et de Hellé, dont nous ne connoissons ni l'un ni l'autre' (pp. 67 f.)... 'Cependant je pense être bien fondé sur l'expérience à douter...si celle qu'il tient la plus belle n'est point la moindre. La raison est que celle-ci ne peut exciter de pitié. Un père y veut perdre son fils sans le connoître, et ne le regarde que comme indifférent, et peut-être comme ennemi...et quand cette reconnoissance arrive, elle ne produit qu'un sentiment de conjouissance...' (pp. 69 f).

<sup>1</sup> Ed. of 1730, p. xxxvii; ed. of 1763, p xxvi. The Reinesio to whom reference is made in Maffei (ed. of 1730, p. xxxvii; ed. of 1763, p. xxvii) was Thomas Reinesius, born at Gotha in 1587, died 1667. The reference (cp. Schroter and Thiele, ed. cit. p. 236) is to Reinesius, Variarum lectionum libri III, Altenburg, 1640, pp. 372 ff.

Weisse prefixed a translation of Hyginus's fable to his tragedy Aireus und Thyest (mentioned by Lessing, XXXIX, 127). On Hyginus see also J. Brock, Hygins Fabeln in der deutschen Literatur, Munich, 1913 (and especially p. 102).

The separation which has been made by subsequent editors was indicated by Maffei in his *Proemio*:

In queste Favole il medesimo [Aristotele] avea osservato altresì, che ci si ha interamente la condotta del Cresfonte, sol che si congiungano i tre versi della 137. mal dati nella Stampa come Favola intera, con la 184. principiando alle parole *Cum qua*, e lasciando quanto precede, che appartiene ad un' altra, di che parimente sembra non si accorgesse il Munckero.<sup>1</sup>

Here Lessing takes leave of Euripides, but in St. xLvIII (93) he returns to him, and defends his use of prologues.<sup>2</sup>

One other small point may be added here in connexion with Greek tragedy. In St. xVIII (55) Lessing makes a reference (from Horace's Ars poetica, 220 ff.) to the peculiar form of drama into which the Greeks introduced 'Satyri'. He probably had in mind the following note in Dacier's Poétique d'Aristote (ed. cit. p. 52):

Quand Aristote dit que la Tragedie retint long-temps le style burlesque des pieces satyriques d'où elle étoit sortie, on se tromperoit infiniment si l'on pensoit qu'il eût voulu parler des Tragedies satyriques. Car ces Tragedies succederent au contraire à la veritable Tragedie. Et le style de ces pieces n'étoit nullement burlesque, mais moitié serieux et moitié plaisant; c'étoit un mélange agreable du Tragique et du Comique, comme cela a été expliqué assez au long, dans les remarques sur l'art Poétique d'Horace.

#### GREEK COMEDY

The references to Aristophanes in the *Hamburgische Dramaturgie* are almost exclusively to be found in notes to St. xc and xci; but they are passing allusions, for the most part in quotations, and call for little comment.

Lessing refers (xc, 86) to the name which Aristophanes gives to one of his characters in *The Clouds* as an illustration of his thesis that Greek comedy did not make use of real names, but only of names which represented types: even when the Greek writer calls a character 'Socrates' (xci, 2), he does not mean that individual philosopher, but all Sophists.<sup>3</sup> But Aristophanes would not let himself be deprived of the honour (42) of having been the first to attack the great men of the state—here Lessing quotes two lines from the *Irene* (Il. 750 f.)—

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Ed. of 1763, p xcv1. <sup>2</sup> See below, pp. 465 f.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The illustration may have been suggested by the account in Fabricius, Bibliotheca Greca, II, xxi (3rd ed., i, Hamburg, 1718, pp. 706 f.). Cosack (op. cit p. 404) points out that the ultimate source of the illustration is Ælianus, Varia Historia, ii, cap. xxii. (This reference is given by Fabricius, loc. cit)

'he might rather have regarded this as his particular privilege. He was highly jealous when he saw that many other poets whom he despised imitated him in this.' Lessing disputes (49 ff.) Dacier's interpretation of Aristotle's observation as meaning that the poets of the old comedy did not invent at all.¹ One has only to look into Aristophanes to find this disproved; indeed, if Aristotle had believed that these poets did not invent, would he not have excluded them from the class of poets altogether?

The edition of Aristophanes which Lessing possessed was Aristophanes Comadia underm, Grace et Latine, edited by Ludolph Kusterus [Kuster], Amsterdam, 1710<sup>2</sup> Here he found (p. xiv) the quotation from the life of Aristophanes concerning the Κώκαλος which he cites in a note (xc, 131).

The new Greek comedy, and especially the work of Menander, receives fuller consideration, but again, less for its own sake than by way of elucidation of Terence's sources and Terence's art. The edition which Lessing had at hand was *Menandri et Philemons Reliquia*, edited by H. Grotius and J. Le Clerc, Amsterdam, 1709; he expressly refers to this edition in a foot-note (xci, 103).<sup>3</sup>

In St. LXXXVII—LXXXVIII (50), where Lessing points out that if Terence is to be censured for his Heautontimorumenos, the blame should really fall on Menander, he speaks of Menander's 'hundred and more pieces' (102) and quotes (59): ὧ Μένανδρε καὶ βίε, πότερος ἄρ' ὑμῶν πότερον ἐμιμήσατο;—'Menander and life, which of you has imitated the other?' For this information he appears to have been again indebted to Fabricius, Bibliotheca Græca (II, XXII, ed. cit. i, p. 768): 'Et Menander Byzantius apud Scholiasten Hermogenis, pag. 38. dubium esse ait utrum vita Menandrum, an Menander vitam expresserit ac depinxerit.'4

<sup>1</sup> Poétique d'Aristote, ed. cit. pp. 64 f.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Lessing quotes this edition in his Leben Sophocles (Schriften, viii, pp. 307 t, 339 f).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The note on Ktesippos cited by Lessing is as follows (Menandri et Philemonis Reliquae, ed. cit. p. 137): 'Filius Chabriæ, ut diximus, clarissimi Atheniensium ducis, sed patri dissimillimus...." Ctessipus, Chabriæ filius, ad tantum luxum devenit, ut etiam monumenti patris, in quod Athenienses mille drachmas absumserant, lapides venderet, in voluptates suas." Verba sunt Athenæi, lib. vi, p. 165. Hinc videmus cur dicat hic senex luxuriosus, se lapides ipsos comesturum.'

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Fabricius then quotes the sentence in the original (but in the form πότεροs... πρότερον); it was written by the grammarian Aristophanes of Byzantium (born ca. 260 B.G.).

In St. xc (105) he returns to the new comedy, disputing Hurd's claim for Menander as its founder; and in the following section he has still more to say on the relations of the old Aristophanic comedy to that of Menander and Philemon. Again his authorities are the works I have cited.

Beyond these, Dacier is quoted as authoritative on such questions and on Aristotle's comment upon them. Apart from the passages where the French critic is actually quoted, it might be noticed that Dacier refers to the difference in comic manner between the old and the new comedy, citing Menander and Terence as examples of a 'ridicule leger et gracieux' (Poétique d'Aristote, ed. cit. p. 61), and to the custom of using fictitious names in comedy (pp. 140 f.).

Menander is mentioned again in St. xcvi, where Lessing cites Plutarch's statement (51) that his early pieces are not to be compared with his later ones; from these, he adds, we might infer what he might have done had he lived longer. And yet he wrote a hundred and five pieces, and died at fifty-two. The passage from Plutarch's ἐπιτομὴ τῆς συγκρίσεως 'Αριστοφάνους καὶ Μενάνδρου is to be found on p. 1568 (not p. 1588) of the edition which Lessing quotes (63): Plutarchi Chæronensis quæ extant opera, cum Latine interpretatione, edited by Henricus Stephanus, Geneva, 1572 (Variorum Plutarchi Scriptorum iii). It is as follows: Εἰ οὖν πρὸς τὰ πρῶτα τῶν Μενάνδρου δραμάτων τὰ μέσα καὶ τὰ τελευταῖα παραβάλοι τις, ἐξ αὐτῶν ἐπιγνώσεται, ὅσα ἔμελλεν, εἰ ἐπεβίω, καὶ τούτοις ἔτερα προσθήσειν.

The statement about Menander's life Lessing probably found in Fabricius, Bibliotheca Graca, II, xxii (ed. cit. i, pp. 767 f.): 'Menander... cv. dramatum auctor, octiesque victor.... Natus est Olympiade cix. anno 3, mortuus sive in Piræeo portu submersus, sive alio fato extinctus ... Olympiade cxxii...ætatis LII.'

#### NARRATIVE LITERATURE

A late Greek romance of which Lessing is reminded by the plot of Mérope (XLVII, 79) is the novel of Chariton, edited by D'Orville. This work appeared at Amsterdam in 1750 with the title Charitonis Aphrodisiensis de Charea et Callirrha amatoriarum Narrationum libri viii, grace et latine, J. Ph. D'Orville publicavit, Animadversionesque adjecit. (The passage referred to appears in vol. i, p. 38 of this edition.) The work had been translated into German as: Charitons Liebesgeschichte des Chareas und der Callirhoe, aus dem Griechischen ubersetzt, Leipzig, 1753

[by Chr. G. Heyne]. The German version was reviewed. in all probability by Lessing himself, in the Vossische Zeitung (St. 28) of March 6, 1753.1 The source of what Lessing has to say in the Dramaturgue, however, is less likely to have been the book itself than the very detailed account of a French edition given in the Journal étranger of December, 1755 (ii, pp. 3 ff). In the novel Callirrhœ is the wife of Chæreas, who in a fit of jealousy strikes her down. She is thought to be dead and is laid in a vault outside the gates of Syracuse. Pirates hear that her valuable jewels have been buried with her: they break into the vault and find her still alive. They carry her off to Miletos, where her purchaser, Dionysius, falls in love with her. She is much troubled as to whether she should poison herself or become his wife. But as she knows she is to bear Chæreas's child. she ultimately decides, for its sake, to marry Dionysius. After the marriage has taken place, she undergoes a series of adventures, while Chæreas on his side experiences equally strange vicissitudes in his search for Callirrhœ after her abduction is discovered; but after many trials the lovers are finally re-united.2

## TERENCE

Apart from passing references in earlier sections,<sup>3</sup> Terence is first discussed in the *Dramaturgie* in St. LXX-LXXIII; and then in LXXXVI-LXXXVIII, XC, and XCVII-C. The occasion is provided in the first instance by the representation of the German version of the *Adelphi*, *Die Bruder*, by Romanus. Lessing begins by pointing out (LXX, 106) that 'it has been said that Molière also drew from this source, namely, in his *École des Maris*'; he then turns to Voltaire's *Vie de Molière*, avec de petits Sommaires de ses pièces (1739, but reprinted in *Gontes de Guillaume Vadé*, which Lessing had at hand).<sup>4</sup> Here he found the passage which he translates at the end of St. LXX (133).<sup>5</sup>

The comparison with Molière may have been suggested to Lessing

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> E. Consentius, Lessing und die Vossische Zeitung, Leipzig, 1902, pp. 44 f., questions Lessing's authorship of this review and would prefer to attribute it to Naumann; but his arguments are not very cogent.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For a fuller account see E. Rohde, Der gruechische Roman und seine Vorlaufer, Leipzig, 1876, pp. 485 ff.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> XXI, 49; [LXIX, 31].

<sup>4</sup> See above, pp. 177 ff.

<sup>5</sup> See above, p. 180, where the passage is given in the original.

by George Colman's work, The Comedies of Terence, translated into familiar Blank Verse, London, 1765 (2nd ed. 2 vols. London, 1768). In a note to the final line of the Adelphi Colman quotes in English this same passage from Voltaire, and adds (ed. of 1765, pp. 422 f.):

It is impossible for any reader, who is come fresh from the perusal of the Brothers of Terence, and the Ecole des Maris of Moliere to acquiesce in the above decision, and I would venture to appeal from Mons. Voltaire to any member of the French academy for a reversal of it. The reputation of Moliere has taken too deep root to be rendered more flourishing by blasting that of Terence; nor can such an attempt ever be made with a worse grace than when the imitation is blindly preferred to the original. Moliere, so far from having taken only the idea of his piece from the Brothers, has translated some passages almost literally, and the latter part of the second scene of the Ecole des Maris is a very close imitation of one in the fourth act of the Brothers. In point of fable, I make no scruple to prefer the piece of Terence to that of Moliere. The intrigue of the four first acts of the Brothers is more artfully conducted than that of any other of Terence's pieces....I cannot think the fable of the Ecole des Maris quite so happy. In Terence we see a good-humoured uncle adopting one of his nephews, while the other remains under the tuition of the severe father. This is natural enough; but in Moliere we have two young women left by their father's will as the intended wives of their antiquated guardians. Is there not some absurdity in such an idea?...If it [intrigue] be the Dramatick Narration of a story, so laid out as to produce pleasant situations, I will not scruple to pronounce, that there is more intrigue in the Brothers than in the Ecole des Maris.

In St. LXXI Lessing takes Voltaire to task for his views on Terence; he cannot have read the Latin author since he left the Jesuit College Louis le Grand.<sup>1</sup> In Act III (sc. iv, 39 f.) Pamphila does not, as Voltaire suggests, appear on the stage (8); only her voice is heard.<sup>2</sup> But Voltaire falls into a more serious error (16) when he writes of the character of Demea. Lessing maintains that this character is not suddenly changed in the course of the play; he holds with Colman

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Voltaire was at the College from 1704 to 1711. Schroter and Thiele note (ed. cst. p. 395) that the reading of Terence was then forbidden in Jesuit schools.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Cp. Diderot, De la poésie dramatique (Œuvres complètes, ed. cit. vii, p. 373; Lessing's translation, ii, p. 423): 'Terence a exposé l'enfant nouveau-né sur la scène. Il a fait plus. Il a fait entendre du dedans de la maison, la plainte de la femme dans les douleurs qui le mettent au monde. Cela est beau, et cela ne vous plairait pas.' The birth on the stage is in Terence's Heogra.

that the apparent change is only intentional dissimulation. The English author had written (The Comedies of Terence, ed. cit. p. 423):

...the particular objection made by Mons. de Voltaire to the catastrophe is founded on a mistake: the complaisance, gaiety, and liberality of Demea being merely assumed; and his aukwardness in affecting those qualities full as comick as the admired catastrophe of the Ecole des Maris.

Lessing observes that this ability to dissimulate on the part of Demea is carefully suggested by Terence in his drawing of the character. In support of this thesis he quotes (LXXI, 2I, 52) the commentator on Terence, Ælius Donatus: 'But through the whole piece Micio remains gentle, Demea cruel, Leno miserly, etc.',<sup>2</sup> and: 'Here Terence shows that Demea has rather pretended to change his manners than actually changed them.' Donatus, Lessing thinks (LXXII, 86), might with advantage be studied by young actors: 'he is so admirably rich in remarks which may form our taste that he is able, more than any one else, to unveil the most hidden beauties of his author' (75 ff.).

Donatus's commentaries were included in several of the older editions of the poet, notably in that 'in usum...Delphini' by N. Camus, Paris, 1675, which Lessing apparently used when he was occupied with the *Beytrage*, and in the edition of Westerhov, published at the Hague in 1726.<sup>3</sup> Lessing mentions (73) the unreliability of the texts of Donatus, and in his *Collectanea* (*Schriften*, xv, p. 205) we find:

Ich habe in der Dramaturgie gesagt, wie viel ich auf ihn halte. Es ware nur zu wunschen, dass wir ihn lauter und rein hatten. So aber wie wir ihn itzt haben, ist nicht allein vieles verderbt, sondern auch vieles mit eingeflossen, welches vom Donatus gewiss nicht ist.

When Donatus wrote (79) Terence was still being played on the Roman stage; he could thus observe the gestures of the actors—this

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In connexion with Lessing's criticism of the play, it may be pointed out that 'Vetter' (LXXI, 34) should be 'Bruder'; it is in Romanus's play that the two 'brothers' are cousins. See xcvii, 69 ff. The scene which Lessing numbers Act v, sc. iv (37) is so indicated in most of the older editions (Camus, Westerhov, Bentley); others, and also Mad. Dacier's translation, number Demea's monologue Act v, sc. ii; and Colman opens the fifth act with it.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Donatus, Commentum Terenti (Teubner ed. Leipzig, 1905, ii, p. 8).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> The text of Terence which Lessing quotes corresponds more closely to that in the edition of Westerhov; in this edition also the comment of Donatus quoted by Lessing (LXXI, 52) is printed at the end of the scene (i, p. 858).

was a matter the importance of which had been impressed upon Lessing by Diderot. The latter, in the section on 'Pantomime' in his De la poésie dramatique, had given examples from Terence. Lessing complains (93) that later interpreters of Terence have taken so little advantage of Donatus's commentary: in his view, neither Madame Dacier nor J. S. Patzke paid sufficient attention to it. The former's translation. Les Comédies de Terence, traduites en François, avec des Remargues, par Madame Dacier, first appeared in Paris in 1688; whether Lessing knew the later corrected edition of 1747 (Amsterdam and Leipzig) 'enrichie des différentes Leçons de Mr Bentler, de Donat, de Faern, et d'autres' is doubtful; there was also an edition of Madame Dacier's work published at Frankfurt and Leipzig in 1733, and a Leipzig one of 1754. Lessing dismisses her version as 'watery and stiff'; and Patzke's German translation (Halle, 1753), which included Madame Dacier's Remarks, he considers to be lacking in comic language (96)—a criticism which is borne out by the short specimen which Lessing gives (note, 103 ff.).<sup>2</sup>

Romanus, Lessing continues (110), follows Voltaire's false interpretation—it might be more correct to say that he followed the French

¹ Cp. especially Œuxres complètes, ed. cit. vii, pp. 378 ff.; also p. 385 (Lessing's translation, 11, pp. 438 ff.; and p. 458): 'J'avoue cependant que, si la pantomime était portée sur la scène à un haut point de perfection, on pourrait souvent se dispenser de l'écrire; et c'est la raison peut-être pour laquelle les Anciens ne l'ont pas fait.'

<sup>2</sup> In a review of Patzke's Freundschaftliche Briefe (Frankfurt and Leipzig, 1754) in the Berlinische privilegirte Zeitung of July 27, 1754, which is attributed to Lessing (Schriften, v, p. 420) the following reference occurs: 'Man kennet den Herrn Patzke schon langst als einen sehr guten Dichter, und weiss, dass ihm muntre, witzige und empfindungsreiche Gedanken nicht schwer fallen. Man kennt ihn aber auch als den glucklichen Übersetzer des Terenz, und kann sich leicht einbilden, dass er diesem Muster die edle Einfalt des Ausdrucks werde abgelernt haben.' (Cp. Schroter and Thiele, ed. cit. p. 402.)

The passages from Terence in Lessing's notes (LXXI, 61 ff., 63 ff.) are in English as follows (I quote the translation of J. Sargeaunt in the Loeb Classical Library): Act v, sc. iv, 5 f.: 'The hard life which up to now I have lived, now that my race is almost run I renounce.' Act v, sc. ix, 27 ff.: 'Micro. What's the meaning of this? What has brought about this sudden change in your ways? What's the whim of it? What's this sudden openness of hand? Demea. I will tell you. I did it to show that what our boys account your good nature and pleasant ways doesn't spring from sincerity, no, nor from justice and goodness, but from complaisance, from indulgence, from an open hand, Micio Now if the reason why my life is odious to you, Aeschinus, and to your brother is that I do not at once wholly fall in with all your desires, right or wrong, I wash my hands of it. Squander, spend, indulge every caprice.'

veut dire que n'ayant pris de Menandre qu'un sujet simple, un Vieillard, un jeune homme amoureux, une Maîtresse, etc. il en a fait un sujet double, en y mettant deux Vieillards, deux jeunes hommes amoureux, deux Maîtresses, etc. C'est pourquoi il ajoûte, novam esse ostendi; elle peut passer pour nouvelle. C'est la veritable explication; ainsi il n'est pas necessaire de corriger simplex que ex argumento facta est duplici. Car si Terence avoit pris ce double sujet de Menandre, sa Piece n'auroit eu que ce qu'on voyoit dans celle du Poete Grec; et par conséquent il n'auroit pû ajoûter, novam esse ostendi, car il n'y auroit eu rien de nouveau.¹

Madame Dacier translates lines 5-6 of the Prologue: 'Je dois aujourd'hui représenter l'Heauton-timorumenos, qui est une Piece tirée toute entiere d'une seule Comedie Greque, avec cette difference, que le sujet est double, quoiqu'il ne soit que simple dans l'original.'<sup>2</sup>

Lessing quotes a comment on this same passage (LXXXVII—VIII, 64) by the 'new English translator of Terence, Colman's entire note (ed. cit. p. 213) runs as follows:

This passage has greatly perplexed the Commentators. Julius Scaliger was of opinion that Terence called this comedy *Duplex*, double, because it was acted at two different times: the *two first Acts* at the close of the evening, and the remaining *three* on the following morning; and that it therefore served as two distinct pieces. But this conjecture is not admissible: Terence only meant to say that he had doubled the characters; instead of *one old man*, *one young gallant*, *one mistress*, as in Menander, he had *two old men* etc. he therefore adds very properly, *novam esse ostendi*,—*That our Comedy is* NEW,—which certainly could not have been implied, had the characters been the same in the Greek poet. DACIER.

Lessing also refers to the comments of Barlandus and Ascensius on the disputed passage. The former published *Terentii Sex Comædiæ* with commentaries at Louvain in 1530, the latter a quarto edition of Terence at Paris in 1499.<sup>4</sup>

As for Scaliger's 'ridiculous' interpretation (79)—the reference is

- <sup>1</sup> Les Comédies de Terence, 4th ed. Amsterdam, 1706, ii, pp. 8 f.
- <sup>2</sup> Ibid. p. 9 (also quoted by Cosack, op. cit. p. 391).
- <sup>3</sup> See above, p. 260, note 1.

The source of Lessing's information is doubtful; but the edition by Barlandus of 1530 is listed by Westerhov (ed. cit. i, p. lxxx) in his catalogue of editions of Terence; also one published in 1504 with notes by Ascensius (ibid.). Barlandus is mentioned in a list of commentators in the Prefatio (ibid. p. [xix]). There is also a reference to Hadrianus Barlandus in the section on Terence in Fabricius, Bibliotheca latina (5th edition, Hamburg, 1721-2, ii, p. 46). Adrian Barlandus lived from 1488 to (ca.) 1542; Jodocus Badius Ascensius from 1462 to 1535 (see Cosack, op. cit. p. 392).

to Scaliger's Poetices, vi, 3—Richard Bentley had already held him up to ridicule:

An, ut Julius Scaliger, quia acta est Ludis Megalensibus; dimidium ejus vespen: nox autem transacta ludis. alterum dimidium reliquum sub lucem una igitur quasi duæ? Scilicet, quia in Fabulæ argumento nox intercedit; ideo in agendo quoque? Commentum tanto viro indignum; et hoc solum tamen verum esse alii Docto persuasissimum est. Quid? An primo diluculo jejunus et semisomnus populus ad alterum dimidium redibat? Singuli qui heri spectabant, cras mane; ne alius priorem, alius posteriorem partem? Ubi demum vivimus?<sup>1</sup>

# Faernus's note on Eugraphius (80) is as follows:2

Duplici prius erat in libro Bembino, postea mutatum in simplici. sed si duplici legas, hic erit sensus: duo adulescentes in hac fabula introducti, cum sua uterque amica, patre, servo, argumentum duplex efficiunt, atque ita duplicem comœdiam, ut sunt omnes Terentianæ, excepta Hecyra. Eugraphius tamen legit simplici; cujus hæc expositio est in totum hunc locum: Ex integra Græca. Quoniam Andria ex duabus comædiis videtur esse confecta, quippe Andria et Perinthia, quod quidem Terentio adversarius crimini dederat; ideo hic ex integra, inquit, comædia integram comædiam acturus sum, ne videatur ab altero facta, aut ipse alteram tetigisse. Sed unam comædiam et integram ad Latinum sermonem interpretatione mutasse. denique ideo adjecit, duplex quæ ex argumento facta est simplici; ut simplex argumentum sit, duplex comædia: dum et Latina eadem et Græca est. novam esse ostendi: propterea quod Græce tantum acta est, Latine autem nunc primum in scenam procedit. idcirco dicitur nova.

Johannes Eugraphius was a commentator on Terence who lived in the tenth century. Lessing writes erroneously 'Faerne' for 'Faerno'—he was an Italian, Gabriello Faerno, who died in 1561—but the source of his information was probably Madame Dacier, who Gallicised the name. Faerno's commentary on Terence was published by P. Victorius at Florence in 1565.

No doubt, the controversy of Ménage with d'Aubignac—printed with the latter's *Pratique du Théâtre*, and familiar to Lessing since the days of his *Beyträge zur Historie und Aufnahme des Theaters*<sup>4</sup>—had also been consulted by him on this point. Ménage heads his 'Seconde

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> P. Terentii Afri Comadia, Amsterdam, 1727, pp. 162 f.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Cp. 1b1d p. 162; also Gabrielts Faerni Émendationes in Sex Fabulas Terentii, Florence, 1565, p. 76.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Cp. Cosack, op. cit. p. 392, and J. A. Fabricius, Bibliotheca latina, ed. cit. 1, p. 30.

<sup>4</sup> Cp. Beytrage, iii, pp. 407 ff.; Schriften, iv, pp. 158 ff.

Partie' with the words: 'Il n'y a point de vuide dans l'Héautontimoruménos de Térence. Explication de ce vers du Prologue de cette Comédie, Duplex quæ ex argumento facta est simplici...' Ménage discusses Scaliger's theory at length and then continues:

Mais d'ailleurs, ce n'est pas comme Scaliger l'explique, qu'il faut entendre ce vers du Prologue de notre Comédie. Duplex, que ex argumento facta est simplici: puisque, selon cette explication, on pourroit aussi appeller doubles toutes les Comédies dont la représentation seroit divisée par quelques jeux, ou par quelqu'autre intermède. Eugraphius, qui est aujourd'hui le plus ancien Interprete que nous ayions sur cette Comédie, le Commentaire de Donat sur cette Comédie aiant été perdu, dit qu'elle est appellée double, parce qu'elle a été faite en deux langues: en Grec, par Ménandre, et en Latin, par Térence. Fabrice croit qu'elle a été ainsi appellée, à cause des deux jours qu'elle contient. Ces deux interprétations ne me semblent pas meilleures que celle de Scaliger...²

In connexion with Lessing's statement of the indebtedness of Terence to Menander in his *Andria*, *Eunuchus* and *Adelphi* (103), it may be noted that the debt is acknowledged by the poet himself in his prologues to the first and second of these plays.<sup>3</sup>

The upshot of Lessing's long note is that he proposes to read 'simplex' for 'duplex' in the line: 'Duplex quæ ex argumento facta est simplici', and to interpret it as meaning nothing more than that his play is just as simple as Menander's; and that the line 'Ex integra Græca integram Comcediam' means that he has taken the whole piece from a single piece of Menander's. But why should Terence then have described his comedy as 'nova'? This Lessing would explain as meaning merely a play new to the Romans. Lessing's

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Pratique du Théâtre, ed. cit. ii, p. 145. <sup>2</sup> Ibid. p. 148.

<sup>3</sup> Cp. also Fabricius, Bibliotheca latina, ed. cit. i, p. 28 (note): 'In Andria duas imitatus est Menandri Andriam et Perinthiam, in Evnucho Colacem Menandri, Evnuchum et Phasma. in Adelphis, Menandri ἀδελφούς et Diphili συναποθυήσκοντας.'

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> The reading which Lessing quotes (84), 'Duplex...duplici'—that of the Codex Bembo—is accepted by Guyet; 'Simplex...duplici' (86) by Faber and Bentley.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Faernus's note on the old gloss on the word 'integra' ('a nullo tacta') (125) is as follows: 'In libro Bembino, ut per omnes alias comœdias antiquissima manu glossemata ex Donato in margine ascripta sunt, ad locorum quæ incidunt expositionem, ita in hanc ipsam Heautontimorumenon multa visuntur, quæ Donati similiter putamus esse, cujus in hanc fabulam commentarii interciderunt. Sed sive Donati sint, sive cujuspiam alius, optime certe et eruditissima sunt. quorum aliqua ponere non gravabimur, ut in hunc locum, Ex integra Græca, A nullo tacta' (ed Bentley, p. 162. Cp. also Faernus, Emendationes, ed. cit. p. 76).

suggested emendation 'simplex' has not proved acceptable; the line remains: 'Duplex quæ ex argumento facta est simplici.'

The fact that even 'the most fruitful head', such as Menander, cannot go on indefinitely creating new characters, but must occasionally degenerate into caricature, brings Lessing back (141 ff.) to a passage in Diderot to which he had already referred earlier in the section (33). Diderot is discussing the following extract from Horace's Satires (1, ii, 12-22):

Fufidius vappæ famam timet ac nebulonis, dives agris, dives positis in fenore nummis: quinas hic capiti mercedes exsecat; atque quanto perditior quisque est, tanto acrius urget; nomina sectatur, modo sumpta veste virili sub patribus duris, tironum. 'Maxime' quis non, 'Iuppiter!' exclamat simul atque audivit? 'At in se pro quæstu sumptum facit.' Hic, vix credere possis quam sibi non sit amicus, ita ut pater ille, Terenti fabula quem miserum gnato vixisse fugato inducit, non se pejus cruciaverit atque hic.

## On this he comments:

C'est dans la satire première ou seconde du premier livre, où il se propose de montrer que, pour éviter un excès, les fous se précipitent dans l'excès opposé. Fufidius, dit-il, craint de passer pour dissipateur. Savez-vous ce qu'il fait? Il prête à cinq pour cent par mois, et se paye d'avance. Plus un homme est obéré, plus il exige: il sait par cœur le nom de tous les enfants de famille qui commencent à aller dans le monde, et qui ont des pères durs.<sup>2</sup> Mais vous croiriez peut-être que cet homme dépense à proportion de son revenu; erreur. Il est son plus cruel ennemi; et ce père de la comédie, qui se punit de l'évasion de son fils, ne se tourmente pas plus méchamment: Non se pejus cruciavert.<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> The dispute about the originality of the *Eunuchus* before the Ædiles (165) is referred to in the prologue to that play (ll. 22 ff.):

'Magistratus quom ibi adesset, occeptast agi. Exclamat furem, non poetam fabulam dedisse et nil dedisse verborum tamen: Colacem esse Nævi et Plauti veterem fabulam; parasiti personam inde ablatam et militis.'

In English (Sargeaunt): 'On a person of authority being present, a rehearsal began. He cries out that the author is a thief, not a playwright, "and yet", says he, "he doesn't take us in". There is an old play of Nævius and Plautus called "The Flatterer"; that is where he has got his parasite from and his captain.'

<sup>2</sup> Schröter and Thiele (ed. at. p. 516) note Diderot's wrong translation of 'Nomina sectatur'.

<sup>3</sup> Troisième Entretien sur le Fils Naturel, Œuvres complètes, ed. cit. vii, p. 139.

Menedemus, concludes Lessing (213), Terence's self-tormentor, does not take up so hard an attitude from grief; the reason why he denies himself every outlay, however small, is because he wishes to assure his absent son a more comfortable existence, since he has compelled him for the present to adopt so uncomfortable a mode of living. Hundreds of fathers might do this, and Diderot, in regarding him as a comic character, forgets the difference between modern and ancient conditions; it was not strange in the ancient world that a well-to-do man should take to agricultural work.

Lessing returns in St. xcvi to the Bruder of Romanus, and that play nominally occupies the pages of the Dramaturgie to St. c. What he has to say here, however, is less concerned with criticism of Terence than with demonstrating the disadvantages which the German playwright has incurred by departing from his Roman model. Æschinus and Ctesipho in Terence (XCVII, 70) are brothers, both sons of Demea; the former has only been adopted by Micio. In Romanus, however, only the two old men are brothers, and the young men are in reality cousins. In this way the German piece becomes more a 'school for fathers' (81)—actual fathers, not those who have voluntarily taken upon themselves a father's duty. 'Learn', says Terence (Act i, sc. 2, 1. 45), 'to be a father from those who really know what it is to be one.' Very good! But by removing the tie between Æschinus and Ctesipho and between them and Demea, the machinery of the play falls to pieces (87). What deep interest can Demea have in Æschinus, a young man who is only his nephew?

The position of Demea in Terence's comedy (119), where Æschinus is the actual son of Demea, and Micio has only adopted him, is much more satisfactory; for Demea has necessarily a natural and continued interest in the young man. And the Roman Micio has more right (121) to say to Demea: 'you have handed over your son to me, reserve your interest for the one that is left to you'; 'your looking after both is as good as asking back the son you gave me' (Act i, sc. 2, ll. 51 f.). This threat brings Demea to silence; but it does not destroy his paternal interest in Æschinus.

¹ The passage which Lessing quotes from Cicero (204) is from the Tusculane Disputationes, in, xxvii. Lessing's attention was probably drawn to it by Fabricius, who, under 'Heautontimorumenos' (Bibliotheca latina, ed. cit. ii, p. 32 [where the reference is given as iii, 28]) has a reference to this passage. In the Collectanea, under 'Komische Sujets' (Schriften, xv, p 280) is the entry 'Aus der Stelle des Cicero von der Traurigkeit, die ich in dem zweyten Bande der Dramaturgie angeführt habe.'

The relationship of the two young men also is unsatisfactory in the German play (xcviii, 1) because of the fact that they are not actually brothers; there is no justification for the brotherly affection which is expressed in Terence's play: 'It's his doing', says Ctesipho (Act ii. sc. 3. Il. 8 ff.) 'that I'm now alive. A pearl of a man! Why, he sacrificed all his interests to mine: the hard words, the disrepute, my trouble and offence, he took them all on himself' It is difficult to believe that one cousin would do this for another. The whole episode of the slave girl (38): Æschinus's abduction of her from the slavedealer, his bringing her to Micio's house, and Micio's purchase of her—all for Ctesipho who is desperately in love with her—is much more natural in Terence. The Ctesipho of the German play is merely a libertine for whom there is no excuse. And this brings Lessing to a consideration of the apparent need for a modern comedy-writer to wind up his piece either with the punishment of evil-doing or the conversion of the evil-doer (xcix, 10). Terence has no such need to put his Ctesipho to shame at the end of the play; and Micio by Demea's manœuvre (44) is brought to see that one can be excessively open-handed ('What's the whim of it? What's this sudden openness of hand', Act v, sc. 9, 1, 28), while Micio in turn induces Demea to see the unreasonableness of his own harshness.1

In St. XCIX (54) Lessing turns once more to a question of scholarly elucidation of Terence. The latter states that he combined an episode from a piece by Diphilus with the *Adelphi* of Menander. This episode is the violent abduction of the slave-girl Psaltria; and the piece by Diphilus was entitled 'Linked in Death' (59 ff.). In the Prologue to the *Adelphi* we are told: "Linked in Death" is a comedy by Diphilus.

Lessing's further quotations from Terence in St. xcviii (47 ff.) are in Sargeaunt's translation as follows: (Act ii, sc. 4, ll. 8 ff.) 'What pains me is that on this side the discovery was so late and things were almost come to pass where the best will of the whole world couldn't have helped you Cte. I was too modest. Aes. Folly, dear boy, not modesty. A trifle like that almost make you flee the country? Scandalous! God forbid such a thing!'; (61 f.—Act iii, sc. 3, ll. 14 f): 'The coins he counted down on the spot, and gave me a couple of sovereigns besides for an entertainment'; (116 f.—Act iv, sc. 1, ll. 2 f.): 'I hope to heaven he is! Short of hurting his health I should like him to get so tired out that for the next three days he couldn't get out of bed'; (129 ff.—Act iv, sc. 1, ll. 11 ff.): 'There'll be a volley of questions where I've been: "I haven't had a sight of you all day." What's to be my answer? Syrus. Nothing occur to you? Cte. Nothing at all. Syrus. The more good for nothing you! Is there no dependant, acquaintance, family friend? Cte. There are: what follows? Syrus. So that you had business with them? Cte. When I hadn't? Not to be said!'

...In the Greek play there is a young man who in the first act carries off a girl from a slave-dealer....Our playwright has introduced [this incident]...into his "Adelphi".' Diphilus seems to have depicted two lovers who preferred to die together rather than be separated. This decision Terence has softened to a wish on the part of the lover to leave father and fatherland and follow the girl. Donatus says this expressly: 'Menander stated that he wanted to die, Terence that he wanted to flee.' (Cp. Commentum Terenti, ed. cit. ii, p. 62.) But ought not Donatus to have said Diphilus instead of Menander? Lessing thinks that he should have done so, and adduces in support of his argument a quotation from the 'Sylloge v. Miscellanea' of Peter Nannius, or Pieter Nannink, a professor of Latin at Louvain (Συμμίκτων, sive Miscellaneorum decas una, Louvain, 1548, lib. ii, pp. 53 f.). The passage quoted by Lessing (79) is in English:

I ask, let the accurate reader see, whether instead of Menander one should not read Diphilus. Certainly either the whole comedy, or a part of that argument, which is here in question, is taken over literally from Diphilus...¹ Now since the comedy of Diphilus takes its name from the idea of dying together, and in it the young man is said to have wished to die, while Terence has changed this into a wish to flee: from all this I am led to think, that that imitation was borrowed from Diphilus, not from Menander, and that the title  $\sigma v \nu a \pi o \theta v \eta a \kappa o v r e s$  was derived from the desire to die with the girl.

But there must have been in Menander some further intrigue (87) in which Æschinus took Ctesipho's part, and thus made him suspect to his own mistress; and this suspicion would hasten their union. What this complication was it is difficult to guess; but it must have taken place just before the opening of the piece, as in Terence's play. It was necessary, to explain why Demea came to town and also to explain his quarrel with his brother (98). Lessing quotes a passage here from Terence: 'Of his old doings I say nothing: what is his last outrage? ... Broken a door-lock, forced his way into a strange house... All the town is crying out at it as a most scandalous business. Man after man, Micio, has told me of it in the streets, it's on everybody's lips.'2 Our author, Lessing continues, has turned this violent abduction into a small fight. But what this was all about, and what the town was

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> After translata est (xcix, 82), Nannius proceeds 'Sic enim fatetur, Terentius in suo prologo. Synapothniscontes Diphili est comœdia ...' Reference is made to Nannius (Misc. 1, iv, and π, iii) in Fabricius, Bibliotheca latina, ed. cit 11, p. 32.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Act i, sc. 2, ll. 6 ff. (Sargeaunt).

talking about remains undisclosed in the play. The loss of Menander's works is an immeasurable loss for our understanding of Terence.

Finally in St. c, Lessing considers the conclusion of the Adelphi, in which the old bachelor Micio is coupled off with the old woman Sostrata. Critics have severely reproached Terence for the improbability of this episode. But who is to be blamed for it? Terence or Menander? Colman puts the greater blame on Menander (c, 100), although Donatus says expressly: 'With Menander the old man is not burdened by a marriage; here Terence is experissos.' Colman, after quoting the words of Donatus, goes on to say (ed. cit. p. 415):

It is surprising that none of the criticks on this passage have taken notice of this observation of Donatus, especially as our loss of Menander makes it rather curious. It is plain that Terence in the plan of this last act followed Menander: and in the present circumstance though he has adopted the absurdity of marrying Micio to the old lady, yet we learn from Donatus that he rather improved on his original by making Micio express a repugnance to such a match, which it seems he did not in the play of Menander.

Lessing endeavours (124) to smooth out the difficulty by suggesting that the words 'de nuptiis gravari' are susceptible of the interpretation 'the old man is not aggravated with marriage'. Needless to say this attempt at word-twisting has found no favour. But his entire argument in this section loses any validity it might have had by the justifiable rejection, on the part of later critics, of his own and Nannius's conjecture that Donatus had written by a slip of the pen 'Diphilus' for 'Menander'.

#### PLAUTUS

Plautus, who had been the particular object of Lessing's interest in his first dramaturgic journal,<sup>3</sup> has little place in the *Dramaturgie*. Reference is made to the *Trinummus* of Plautus in connexion with Lessing's own play *Der Schatz* (IX, 102). The Latin playwright himself says in his Prologue that he was not the inventor of this excellent subject; and in his *Beytrage* Lessing had already written: 'Er hat es

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Madame Dacier, for instance, had said (ed. cit. ii, pp. 460 f.): 'Il paroît ridicule que Terence fasse consentir ainci Micron à se marier à soixante et cinq ans, et l'on ne peut pas dire que cela ne soit au moins fort outré. Mais ce Poète a voulu faire voir par là le défaut de ces bontez sotes et excessives, elles portent toûjours ceux qui les ont à faire des sottises dont il faut qu'ils se repentent nécessairement.'

aus dem Griechischen des Philemo erborgt, bey dem es einen weit anständigern Titel hat, namlich: Der Schatz.' Lessing's interest in the titles of plays² leads him (xxi, 30 ff.) to mention Plautus's Miles gloriosus and Truculentus. He states with complete confidence that Plautus can only have called the first piece Gloriosus, 'miles' being an addition by a grammarian. He suggests that the latter may have had in mind a passage in Cicero's De Officiis, i, xxxviii: this runs: 'Deforme etiam est de se ipsum prædicare falsa præsertim et cum irrisione audientium imitari "militem gloriosum".' And he quotes Plautus's words from Act II (sc. i, ll. 8 f.). A comment in the introduction to the Miles gloriosus in Taubmann's edition of Plautus ([Wittenberg, 1605], p. 654) may here be noticed:

Nomen huic Fabulæ est, Miles Gloriosus: de personâ et re factum nam gloriosus gloriatorem significat, qui est ἀλαζώ, ostentator et vanus. Tale autem est genus hoc militare hominum, qualis et Terentianus Thraso [in Eunuchus] producitur, et alii â Plauto alibi.³

Earlier in his work (IX, 136) Lessing had noted that Plautus called his play, not the *Treasure*, as Philemon had called his, but *Trinumnus*, 'den Dreyling'. The habit of giving to his plays titles dependent on minor circumstances, he observes (137), was Plautus's 'eigene Manier'.

This practically exhausts Lessing's use of Latin literature in the *Dramaturgie*. Seneca's name occurs only once, and that in a quotation; <sup>4</sup> Virgil's twice, once in a quotation; <sup>5</sup> and Horace is quoted three times. <sup>6</sup> Hurd's commentaries on Horace are discussed and quoted, <sup>7</sup> but not in respect of Horace or his opinions.

#### NARRATIVE LITERATURE

In discussing the relations of narrative to dramatic literature Lessing takes up (xxxvi, 15) the example of Petronius Arbiter's satire Matrona

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Beyträge, i, p. 51; Schriften, iv, p. 81. Plautus gives this information in his Prologue.

<sup>2</sup> See below, pp. 467 f.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> J. Kont, Lessing et l'Antiquité, ed. cit. 1, p. 77, points out that Lessing's view on the title of the play has been accepted by Fleckeisen (Rheimsches Museum fur Philologie, N. F. xiv (1859), pp. 628 f.), but opposed by Ritschl (Parerga zu Plautus und Terenz, Leipzig, 1845, 1, p. 132; Preface to Stichus in Plauti Comediæ (ed. F. Ritschl, ii (Bonn, 1850), p. xviii) and by Riese (Rheimsches Museum, N. F. xxii (1867), pp. 303 f.).

<sup>4 [</sup>LXIX, 30.]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> I, 29; [XLI, 99].

<sup>6</sup> xviii, 110, 115; xLi, 158; Lix, 14.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> xcu, 8 ff.; xcu, xcv, xcv.

Ephesi. His interest in this theme goes back to his earliest period. when he planned a comedy on it in rivalry with his friend C. F. Weisse, whose one-act drama Die Matrone von Ephesus was produced in 1748.2 At the present time in Hamburg Lessing took up his early sketch, his interest in it being perhaps awakened by Pfeffel's version<sup>8</sup> of Houdar de la Motte's one-act comedy (1702), to which he refers here (20). Lessing could learn of other French dramatic versions from Léris (op. cit. pp. 169, 284 f.); and in his Entwurfe zu Besprechungen (Schriften, xv, p. 45) he notes from Cibber's Lives of the Poets: 'Die Ephesian Matron von Ogilby v. Cibb. Vol. II. p. 267. a Poem. Die Ephes. Matr. von Char. Johnson ibid. Vol. v. p. 342. a Farce.' The substance of this old story is that a widow saves a soldier who makes love to her by allowing him to put on the cross the dead body of the husband whom she is mourning, in place of that of the malefactor whose body is stolen. Lycas, in the tale of Petronius, is one of the guests at the banquet; the passage which Lessing quotes (47) is in English: 'If the Emperor had been just, he must have had the body of the master of the house brought back to the tomb and the woman crucified.'4 Cosack notes (op. cit. pp. 217 f.) that Lessing, in telling the story, modifies the close; in his version it is not the widow who proposes to put her husband's body in place of the malefactor's; moreover, the expedient proves in the end to be unnecessary, as the news concerning the removal of the malefactor's body turns out to be false.<sup>5</sup> This may have all been suggested to Lessing by an article on a Chinese tale in the Journal étranger, December 1755 (i, pp. 176 ff.), where the reviewer compares the Chinese story with that of the Matron of Ephesus, quoting the comment of Lycas. In spite of his promise here (56) and the note in the Entwirfe zu Besprechungen, Lessing does not return to the subject.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Satyricon que supersunt, ed. P. Burmannus, 2nd ed. Amsterdam, 1743, 1, pp. 659 ff.; Lessing refers to this edition in his Collectanea (Schriften, xv, p. 349).

Weisse himself describes this play in the preface to Beytrag zum deutschen Theater, ii (2te verbesserte und vermehrte Auflage, Leipzig, 1767) as 'des Verfassers allererster theatralischer Versuch und eine wahre Schulubung'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Die Matrone von Ephes, aus dem Französischen des Herrn La Motte ubersetzt, Frankfurt and Leipzig, 1766.

<sup>4</sup> Satyricon, ed. cit. i, p. 676.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> In his own plan for a drama on this subject (*Die Matrone von Ephesus, Schriften*, iii, pp. 439 ff.) Lessing avoids the difficulty by making the theft of the corpse a jest of the servant Dromo; by means of this device the widow is brought to make confession of the plot (cp. also Petersen, *ed. cit* p. 215).

<sup>6</sup> See pp. 214 ff. The comment of Lycas is quoted on p. 217.

# Part III

# LESSING'S DRAMATIC THEORY

#### CHAPTER XI

# THE SOURCES OF LESSING'S AESTHETIC THEORY

To speak of philosophical or aesthetic bases for Lessing's dramatic theory is a little misleading, for Lessing was neither a philosopher nor an aesthetician in any systematic sense of these words. 1 He had grown up in the Leibnitz-Wolffian atmosphere of Germany in the first half of the eighteenth century, and in his early years he accepted the philosophy of the 'Aufklarung' as a matter of course. Its conception of the moral fabric of the world was deeply ingrained in him; and its rationalisation of religious belief was easily brought into harmony with the orthodoxy of his childhood. The Leibnitzian conception of a 'pre-established harmony' throwing a bridge between matter and spirit, was, no doubt, an entirely satisfying explanation for him. The complacent self-assuredness of the 'Aufklarer' closed the door for him on further futile speculation. In later life, when Lessing's rationalism was tempered by English deism, and when his acquaintance with the thought of Spinoza opened up spiritual vistas unknown in the Leibnitzian faith, his philosophy does form an important factor in his interpretation of religion; but with his reflexions and speculations in the aesthetic field it has little to do. It has been suggested that Lessing's Leibnitzian optimism placed obstacles in the way of a free and unshackled attitude to the problem of the tragic, and that an intense preoccupation with Leibnitz in his Breslau years reacted on the view of tragedy set forth in the *Dramaturgie*; but apart

¹ On Lessing's philosophy see C. Schrempf, Lessing als Philosoph, 3rd ed. Stuttgart, 1921; also the introduction to the anthology Lessings Philosophie (Philosophische Bibliothek, cxix), Leipzig, 1909, by Paul Lorentz. Particularly enlightening is the work by R. Sommer, Grundzuge einer Geschichte der deutschen Psychologie und Asthetik von Wolff-Baumgarten bis Kant-Schiller, Wurzburg, 1892 (pp. 176 ff.), a book unfortunately long out of print.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> J. Clivio, Lessing und das Problem der Tragodie, Zurich and Leipzig, 1928, pp. 66 ff. (see esp. p. 96).

from the question whether Lessing did study Leibnitz so assiduously in Breslau as is assumed, it seems to me that this study did not affect his tragic theory, if only because there is little essential difference in Lessing's attitude to that problem in 1767 and ten years before. If his eyes were always blinkered by the rationalistic insistence on the moral purpose of literature, this was too much flesh and bone of his age to require any particular philosophic explanation.

And if his philosophy plays so small a part in his theory of the drama, it is almost an anachronism to expect, before aesthetic thought had had time to crystallise out of the Baumgarten system, that Lessing should have been guided by systematic aesthetic considerations. It is true that, like all his younger contemporaries in Leipzig, he turned away from the narrow Wolffianism of Gottsched to the somewhat freer outlook of Bodmer and Breitinger, and found more satisfaction in Du Bos' Réflexions critiques sur la Poèsie et sur la Peinture than in Gottsched's Critische Dichtkunst; but this was the veriest ruffling of the surface of aesthetic speculation.

The truth is that Lessing's approach to the problems of the drama—as to that of the delimitation of the arts in his Laokoon—was always an empiric one, neither speculative nor metaphysical. His interest began with his ambition to become himself a dramatist; his theories of drama were the outcome of purely practical considerations of ways and means. Moreover—as will, I think, be abundantly evident from the following pages—he approached his problems in an eclectic way, not as an original thinker. His industrious reading brought him face to face with a considerable body of literature on the nature and function of dramatic poetry, and he made it his business to pick and choose what was congenial to him.

From Lessing's two journals, the Beytrage zur Historie und Aufnahme des Theaters and the Theatralische Bibliothek, inferences have already been drawn in the first part of this volume concerning his interest in dramatic theory down to about the year 1758. But, as we have seen, the harvest is not encouraging. In the earlier journal, where Lessing was still a docile disciple of Gottsched, the chief contribution of this kind apart from the Plautus essays is the translation of Corneille's Trois Discours, which, it will be remembered, I have claimed for Lessing himself. The Theatralische Bibliothek contains several items which have bearing on dramatic theory: Gellert's and Chassiron's disquisitions on the 'comédie larmoyante', Dryden's

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See above, pp. 114 f.

Essay of Dramatic Poesy, and the final volume of Du Bos' Réflexions containing his treatise on the theatrical representations of the ancients. Apart from these, Lessing contributed in the summer of 1751 an article to Das Neueste aus dem Reuche des Witzes, which deals with two writers who were to be of the first importance for his subsequent critical theory; he reviews here¹ the German translation of Batteux' Les Beaux-Arts réduits à un même principe by Johann Adolf Schlegel, Leipzig, 1751 (erroneously attributing the translation to Gellert), together with another and unsatisfactory version by Philipp E. Bertram (Gotha, 1751). He then passes to Diderot's Lettre sur les sourds et muets, which had been evoked by Batteux' treatise. A third book which is discussed in the same article, La Mettrie's L'Art de jouir, is mainly occupied with that writer's travesty of Haller's poem Doris, and does not concern us here.

This little essay might fairly be claimed as Lessing's first step on the path which ultimately led him to the *Hamburgische Dramaturgie*. The opening paragraph is his first pronouncement on the 'rules'; Batteux suggested to him that they were not the stable and unchangeable foundations of poetic composition on which the older critics had insisted. He says:

Die Regeln in den schonen Kunsten sind aus den Beobachtungen entstanden, welche man über die Wercke derselben gemacht hat. Diese Beobachtungen haben sich von Zeit zu Zeit vermehret, und vermehren sich noch, so oft ein Genie, welches niemals seinen Vorgangern ganz folgt, einen neuen Weg einschlägt, oder den schon bekannten über die alten Grenzen hinausbahnet. Wie unzehlig muss also nicht die Menge der Regeln sein; denn allen diesen Beobachtungen kan man eine Art der Allgemeinheit geben, das ist, man kan sie zu Regeln machen. Wie unnutz aber müssen sie uns nothwendig durch eben diese Menge werden, wenn man sie nicht durch die Zuruckführung auf allgemeine Satze einfacher und weniger machen kan.<sup>2</sup>

Batteux' attempt to demonstrate the 'Einschranckung der schonen Kunste auf einen eintzigen Grundsatz'—namely, the imitation of nature—had Lessing's approval. Diderot's letter also gave him much to think of: notably, the limitations of the French language for artistic expression, and the significance of gesture in the art of the actor. In 1755 Batteux re-published his treatise (with additions) as Principes de la Littérature<sup>3</sup> and Lessing's friend Karl Wilhelm Ramler published,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Schriften, iv, pp. 413 ff. <sup>2</sup> Ibid. pp. 413 f.

<sup>3</sup> This was expanded into five volumes in 1764, under the same title.

in 1756-58, a translation of this larger treatise; a second edition of this translation appeared in 1762-63, and a sixth and last in 1802. In his Abhandlung von dem Wesen der Fabel (1759) Lessing, it may be added, again refers to Batteux with all respect, although maintaining his own theory of the fable against him. Batteux' aesthetic theory remained, as will be seen from the following pages, an important and even a basic factor in his views on tragedy

Another French work with which Lessing was doubtless early familiar was Hédelin d'Aubignac's Pratique du Théâtre. This 'ouvrage très-nécessaire à ceux qui veulent s'appliquer à la Composition des Poemes Dramatiques, qui les récitent en public, ou qui prennent plaisir d'en voir les réprésentations' (as it is described on its title-page) originally appeared in Paris in 1657, and Lessing probably had in his possession the Amsterdam edition of 1715. This work—then nearly a hundred years old—still possessed for the Lessing of the Dramaturgie a certain canonical value.

With Aristotle's *Poetics* he had, no doubt, made acquaintance at an early date in Dacier's translation,<sup>2</sup> but his first close study of it probably dated from the appearance of a German translation by M. C. Curtius in 1753, which first brought that work home to the German reading world.<sup>3</sup> One cannot but notice how sparse are the references to Aristotle in Lessing's early writings.<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Schriften, vii, pp. 433 ff. See also Litteraturbriefe, No. lxx, November 23, 1759, ed. cit. iv, pp. 329 ff.; Schriften, viii, pp. 186 ff. Cp. M. Schenker, Charles Batteux und seine Nachahmungstheorie in Deutschland, Leipzig, 1909, pp. 137 ff.

- <sup>2</sup> In his Theatralische Bibliothek (Schriften, vi, p. 32) Lessing quotes the Paris edition of 1692. R. Petsch, Lessings Briefwechsel mit Mendelssohn und Nicolai über das Trauerspiel (Philosophische Bibliothek, cxxi), Leipzig, 1910, p. xv (note), mentions that Dacier's work appeared anonymously; but there were two editions in 1692, an anonymous quarto one, and a duodecimo one with Dacier's name. It is the latter to which Lessing refers in the Theatralische Bibliothek. There was also an Amsterdam edition of 1733.
- When Gottsched planned his Deutsche Schaubuhne in 1740, he had contemplated opening its first volume with 'Die Dichtkunst Aristotels...ins Deutsche übersetzt, und mit Anmerkungen versehen von Herrn Prof. Gottscheden' ('Nachricht von der unter der Presse befindlichen deutschen Schaubuhne' in the Beytrage zur critischen Historie etc., St. xxiii, 1740, p. 525). And in the preface to the first volume of the Schaubuhne (1742, p. 7) he said: 'Die Übersetzung...ist langst von mir verfertiget worden, indem ich vor zwolf Jahren schon, gleich nach dem Antritte meines poetischen Lehramtes darüber offentlich gelesen, und dadurch die Regeln der guten Schaubuhne in Deutschland zuerst bekannt zu machen gesuchet.' Lessing refers to this promised translation in his review of Curtius.
- Aristotle's name only occurs four times in the Beyträge, each time in the Critik über die Gefangnen des Plautus (Schriften, iv, pp. 136, 154, 159 (in quotation), 160).

In reviewing the translation of the *Poetics* by Curtius in the *Berlinische privilegirte Zeitung* of 1753 he says:

[Aristoteles] herrscht..in dem Reiche des Geschmacks unter den Dichtern und Rednern eben so unumschrankt, als ehedem unter seinen Peripatetikern. Seine Dichtkunst, oder vielmehr das Fragment derselben, ist der Quell aus welchem alle Horaze, alle Boileaus, alle Hedelins, alle Bodmers, bis so gar auf die Gottschede, ihre Fluren bewassert haben.<sup>1</sup>

In all probability then, Aristotle's *Poetics* meant Curtius's translation for Lessing down to the time of the correspondence with Mendelssohn and Nicolai in 1756-57, and even, for that matter, until the *Dramaturgie* was fairly well advanced. We have no ground for supposing that Lessing made any study of the Greek text until then.<sup>2</sup> Later in this chapter I deal with Lessing's attitude to Aristotle in the *Dramaturgie* and the sources of knowledge on which he relied.

In 1753 an event occurred which was of the first importance for Lessing's aesthetic speculation and which marked a turning-point in his intellectual development. In that year he made the acquaintance of Moses Mendelssohn.<sup>3</sup> I doubt whether even yet the full extent of his debt to Mendelssohn has been recognised. It is certainly no exaggeration to say that the latter contributed more to the moulding of Lessing's mind than any other of his friends; and if, in his later life, it was his conscious intention to raise a monument to Mendelssohn in Nathan der Weise, it was the just repayment of a great debt. The acquaintance seems to have been brought about by A. S. Gumpertz in 1753. The first visible effect of it is to be seen in Lessing's defence of his own drama Die Juden against Michaelis in the Theatralische Bibliothek in 1754; and in 1755 Mendelssohn and Lessing were associated in the essay Pope ein Metaphysiker! From that year onwards their correspondence was considerable. It was Mendelssohn who

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Schriften, v, pp. 194 f.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> G. G. Fulleborn reported to Lessing's brother (K. G. Lessing, G. E. Lessings Leben, ed. cit iii, pp. vii f.) that among papers 'aus Lessings Jugendzeit' he found 'hin und wieder auf einzelnen Blattern Perioden aus Aristoteles Poetik übersetzt, welche er [Lessing] in der Folge weit richtiger und Deutscher in seiner Dramaturgie gegeben hat'. But these papers have disappeared, and it is impossible to say whether, as Muncker suggests (Schriften, xiv, p. 164), they belonged to a period before the publication of Curtius's book or subsequent to it, or, for that matter, whether they may not have been merely excerpts from the German translation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Cp. L. Goldstein, Moses Mendelssohn und die deutsche Asthetik, Konigsberg, 1904 (especially pp. 187 ff.), where Lessing's dependence on Mendelssohn, more particularly in the Laokoon, is discussed.

turned Lessing's mind to philosophical questions with his first publications. Philosophische Gesprache and Briefe uber die Empfindungen, both of which appeared in 1755. Mendelssohn interested him in the writings of the English moralists, in Shaftesbury, Hutcheson, and Burke, with the consequence that Lessing published in 1756 a translation of Hutcheson's Elements of Moral Philosophy as Sittenlehre der Vernunft, and planned a translation of Burke's Enquiry into the Origin of our Ideas of the Sublime and Beautiful. In the new field of aesthetics opened up by Baumgarten and Georg Friedrich Meier in Halle, he read the latter's Anfangsgrunde aller schonen Wissenschaften (Halle, 1748-50; 2nd ed. 1754-59) of which there are echoes in the correspondence with Mendelssohn and Nicolai on tragedy; and the study of the theory of laughter to which Mendelssohn refers in a letter to Lessing in 17552 would perhaps have owed something to Meier's early Gedanken von Scherzen (Halle, 1744), as well as to Hutcheson's Reflections upon Laughter. In matters of literary criticism it has already been shown in these pages how much reliance Lessing placed on judgments by Mendelssohn in articles contributed by him to the Briefe, die neueste Litteratur betreffend.

In 1756 Nicolai planned a new journal, Bibliothek der schonen Wissenschaften und der freyen Kunste, and offered as a prize for the best German tragedy the sum of fifty talers. In order to facilitate the work of the young dramatists whom he hoped to encourage, he himself wrote for the first number of his journal an Abhandlung vom Trauerspiele; and on August 31—the first number of the Bibliothek did not appear until the following year—he wrote to Lessing, who had already set out on his European tour with Gottfried Winkler, giving him a brief account of the contents of his essay. This formed the startung-point for a correspondence of the three friends, Lessing, Mendelssohn and Nicolai, on the nature of tragedy, which continued at intervals until the spring of the following year. Lessing's share in it consisted of eight letters written between November 13, 1756 and April 2, 1757.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Cp. especially Anfangsgründe, I (1748), vii (Von dem sınlichen Leben der Gedanken), §§ 192 ff. and Lessing's definition of 'Leidenschaften'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Letter to Lessing, November 19, 1755 (Mendelssohns Gesammelte Schriften, ed. cit. v, p. 11).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The Abhandlung is reprinted in Lessings Jugendfreunde, ed. by J. Minor in Kurschner's Deutsche National-litteratur, lxxii, [1883], and the correspondence will be found in Schriften, xvii, pp. 63 ff., and xix, pp. 40 ff. A convenient edition of Abhandlung and correspondence has been published by R. Petsch (see above, p. 336, note 2).

Nicolai's essay set out to bore the plank where it was thickest. He contrasts Aristotle's statement that the object of tragedy is 'to purify us by means of terror and pity from the errors of the passions represented' with Corneille's assertion that the writer of tragedies has merely in view the production of pleasure. Aristotle, he holds, says too much, Corneille not enough; and he himself proposes as a definition of the object of tragedy 'the stirring up in us of violent passions'. This is an amplification of Corneille's demand in the light of Du Bos' doctrine that the source of pleasure is the occupation of the mind, and that nothing occupies it more effectually than strong passions. Or it may be regarded as a curtailment of Aristotle's definition by removing from it the insistence on a cathartic purpose.

Although Nicolai thus rejects Aristotle's theory that tragedy 'purifies', he examines the various interpretations of that theory which have been offered. There is the view put forward by Brumoy, that the purification consists in hardening the audience against evils by familiarising them with such things. 1 But this view he considers to conflict with Aristotle's statement that the object of tragedy is to stir up and not to damp passions. Nicolai maintains that the purpose of tragedy is not at all essential to its definition; he hints, indeed, that it may have been inserted in the definition by Aristotle merely to appease Plato: it is what Corneille called a 'beautiful thought'2 and nothing more. Thus he endorses the view held by Curtius, that Aristotle is guilty of inconsistency in asserting that there can be tragedy without morals and at the same time requiring tragedy to purify passions: there can be no passions to purify if there are no morals.3 Purification of passions may or may not be included in the definition; but tragedy must have a moral end. The theatre, as

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Théâtre des Grecs, Paris, 1730, 1, p. liii. Lessing, finding in Curtius's Abhandlung von der Absicht des Trauerspiels a translation of some lines of Timocles, with a reference to Athenæus, vi, cap. 1, p. 223, suggested them to Nicolai as older evidence of this theory than that afforded by Brumoy. It is Athenæus who refers to Stobæus (whom Lessing cites as having quoted the lines of Timocles. Cp. Lessing's letter of April 2, 1757, Petsch, op. cit. p. 106) The original source of the reference is probably Robortello, Aristotelis de Arte Poetica, Florence, 1548, pp. 53 f J. Bernays, in Rheinisches Museum fur Philologie, N.F. xxxiv (1879), pp. 615 f., traces Lessing's quotation to Comicorum Gracorum Sententia...ab H. Stephano redditæ [Paris], 1569, p. 450 (where the passage in question is headed Ex Timocle).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Discours de la Tragédie, Œuvres, ed. Marty-Laveau, Paris, 1862, 1, p. 58.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Cp. Aristoteles Dichtkunst, ins Deutsche übersetzet, mit Anmerkungen und besondern Abhandlungen versehen, von Michael Conrad Curtius, Hanover, 1753, pp. 123 f.

Mendelssohn had said, may have its own morality, but this morality must not be in conflict with real morality.

The action, the essential part of tragedy, must have tragic greatness; it must be uninterrupted, not complicated, and it must possess unity. This leads to a discussion of the particular unities on which the French insisted. Nicolai pleads for a relaxation of the classic rule, which has the disadvantage of excluding from tragedy subjects otherwise eminently suitable. 'Die einzige Pflicht des Dichters wird also nur seyn, sich der Einheit der Zeit und des Orts, so viel moglich, zu nähern, und wenn er um grosserer Schönheiten willen davon abweichen muss, es so einzurichten, dass die Abweichung dem Zuschauer nicht sehr merklich werde.'2 On this point Nicolai is in agreement with the views—not, however, published until some years later—of Johann Elias Schlegel. Nor does Lessing seem to differ very much from him. At least he does not revert to this matter in his reply.

Nicolai now proceeds to deal with admiration as a tragic 'passion', and he divides tragedies into three categories: (1) those which merely awaken terror and pity, that is, tragedies of common life, and dramas such as *Medea*, *Thyestes*, *Mérope* and *Zayre* in which the interest is of a similar kind; (2) heroic tragedies such as *Cato* and *Brutus*, in which by means of terror and pity admiration is awakened; and (3) mixed tragedies, in which the terror and pity are tempered by the introduction of characters who awaken our admiration, such being *Iphigeneia in Aulis*, *Le Comte d'Essex* and *Athalie*. He rejects a suggested fourth class in which admiration is awakened without the assistance of terror and pity, an example being Schlegel's *Canut*.

The correspondence of the three friends which followed this pronouncement deals only with a small part of Nicolai's programme; there is nothing in it about the unities or the different kinds of tragic plot. It is restricted, in fact, to a discussion of the legitimate tragic 'passions' and the purpose of tragedy. As these questions recur in the *Hamburgische Dramaturgie*, and as Lessing's views remained substantially those which he held in 1756-57, it will be more convenient to deal with them in later chapters.

Such then is Lessing's occupation with drama and dramatic theory down to the year 1758.

In the following year he published his first considerable treatise on literary aesthetics, the Abhandlung von dem Wesen der Fabel which

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Cp. Briefe über die Empfindungen, xiii, Gesammelte Schriften, ed. cit. i, p. 157.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Petsch, op. cit. p. 15.

accompanied his own collection of fables. This has, however, few points of contact with drama; and beyond the fact that it affords evidence of his continued interest in Batteux, it is of little importance in the present connexion. But at the same time Lessing was engaged on an undertaking of much greater relevance and importance for his future work: a translation of Diderot's Le Fils naturel and Le père de famille with their accompanying 'Entretiens'. The two plays had appeared in Paris respectively in 1757 and 1758; Lessing's translation was published in 1760, and was followed by a second edition in 1781.

Diderot, the Frenchman of his generation with whom Lessing stood in most sympathetic relation, was the greatest force in the ultimate crystallisation of his ideas on the nature, function and presentation of the drama. Even now, strange to say, there is no adequate study of the relations of Lessing and Diderot, or, for that matter, of Diderot's share in the evolution of German ideas.<sup>3</sup> It is, however, a difficult problem, for the ramifications of Diderot's influence on Lessing are many and subtle. In the following pages I have attempted, in matters of detail, to evaluate that influence as far as it is apparent in the ideas of the *Hamburgische Dramaturgie*.

In 1759 there appeared in England a work which had a more revolutionary influence on the literature of Germany than perhaps any other of the century, the Conjectures on Original Composition of Edward Young. It was at once translated—indeed twice—into German: as a separate pamphlet: Gedanken über die Original-Werke in einem Schreiben des D. Youngs an den Verfasser des Grandison. Aus dem Englischen, Leipzig, 1760 (signed v. T. [Hans Ernst von Teubern]), and in the second and third parts of the Freymuthige Briefe über die neuesten Werke aus den Wissenschaften in und ausser Deutschland (signed 'G.\*'). Here a new and kindling conception of poetic genius was enunciated, which could not but have a profound influence on

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See above, p. 336.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The edition of Lessing's works in Kurschner's *Deutsche National-litteratur* (vol. lxv) reprints in its entirety his translation, as does also the latest edition in the *Goldene Klassiker Bibliothek*, Berlin [1925–9].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See however R. Dikenmann, Bestrage zum Thema Diderot und Lessing, Diss. Zurich, 1915; E. Schmidt, Diderot und Lessing in Die Gegenwart, xxi (1882), Nos. 9 (pp. 133-6), 10 (pp. 153-5); and the slight introduction in C. Flaischlen, O. H. von Gemmingen mit einer Vorstudie über Diderot als Dramatiker, Diss. Zurich, 1890.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> There is a convenient reprint of v. Teubern's translation, ed. by K. Jahn, in the series Kleine Texte fur theologische und philologische Vorlesungen und Übungen, lx, Bonn, 1910.

Lessing's mind. It led him to think carefully on the nature of genius, which had been explained so simply by the older theorists.

There is no ground for believing that during his sojourn in Breslau Lessing concerned himself seriously with problems of dramatic theory. His aesthetic interests centred in the problems of the *Laokoon*, and although these have obvious relevance to the tendency of the *Dramaturgie* to define the various fields of dramatic poetry and theatrical representation, *Laokoon* does not come very much into question in this volume. But the waning interest in dramatic theory was balanced by his preoccupation, during the later years in Breslau, with his great comedy *Minna von Barnhelm*.

It was, however, in these years that some important works in the field of dramatic aesthetics appeared, which have left their traces on Lessing's work. For the first time Johann Elias Schlegel—then fifteen years dead—became a force in the practical problems of creating a German national theatre, through the publication (in the third volume of his collected works in 1764) of his theoretical treatises. Indeed, we might say that the whole scheme of a national theatre in Hamburg might have remained rudimentary and impracticable, without Schlegel's clear and concrete plans for the erection of such an institution in the Danish capital. In 1762 there appeared also Home's Elements of Criticism—in its German translation entitled Grundsätze der Critik (1763-66)—a work which materially furthered Lessing's movement towards naturalism and the English standpoint in the art of the drama. Lastly mention should be made of Marmontel's Poétique françoise (2 vols. Paris, 1763), a work which as I have shown elsewhere<sup>2</sup> had a marked influence in formulating one of the central dogmas of the Laokoon. Lessing turns to it again in his Dramaturgie.3

# LESSING AND ARISTOTLE

Anticipating conclusions to which the present investigations lead, I would say at once that a common view of the *Hamburgische Dramaturgie* as a treatise essentially concerned with the *Poetics* of Aristotle is hardly in accordance with fact; Aristotle is certainly not, as Gotschlich claimed,<sup>4</sup> the pivot on which Lessing's journal turns. In

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See also above, pp. 19 f., 24.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Modern Language Review, vi (1911), pp. 216 ff.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See below, pp. 355 (note 11), 398; cp. also pp. 371 f.

E. Gotschlich, Lessings Aristotelische Studien, Berlin, 1876, pp. 16, 129 and bassim.

the early moulding of his theoretical views on the drama Aristotle appears to have played a very small part. As we have seen, he is practically ignored in the Beytrage and the Theatralische Bibliothek, as far as any personal views of Lessing are concerned. In his Leben Sophocles of 1760, it is true, Lessing cites a passage in the original Greek; 1 but I doubt whether much weight can be attached to this. There is, in fact, no evidence of his making any systematic study of the *Poetics* until he was well advanced in the *Dramaturgie*, in 1768. At the time of his correspondence with Mendelssohn and Nicolai in 1756-57, indeed, he showed some interest in Aristotle's views of the drama. Gotschlich infers from Lessing's discussions of the meaning of φόβος in his letter to Nicolai of April 2, 1757, that he turned in that year from the translation of Curtius to the Greek text of Aristotle.<sup>2</sup> In view of the fact, however, that his substitution of 'fear' for 'terror' as an interpretation of the word was not necessarily a conclusion of his own, 3 Gotschlich's argument does not carry much conviction particularly as the single word  $\phi \delta \beta os$  is the only ground offered us from the correspondence as proof of Lessing's study of the Greek text. The most cursory reader of the Hamburgische Dramaturgie is obliged to admit that Lessing's interest in Aristotle was slow to awaken. Throughout the first thirty-six sections his name is only mentioned three times; on the first occasion, a reference to the actual text of the Poetics is not necessarily implied, on the second the sentence containing the name is interrupted, and on the third, it occurs in a passage translated from Corneille.4 In fact, all that Lessing has to say, prior to St. xxxvII, concerning the nature and function of tragedy, is sporadic, and merely echoes ideas which he had expressed on the subject at an earlier stage of his studies.<sup>5</sup> In St. xxxvII, however, he plunges unexpectedly into a problem of Aristotelian exegesis suggested by a remark of Tournemine's, in connexion with Voltaire's Mérope, on the best form of tragic plot; and in the next section he follows this up with a discussion of the meaning of certain technical terms used by Aristotle. This is far, however, from being a beginning to a systematic consideration of Aristotle's opinions on tragedy, for

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See below, p. 345, note 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Gotschlich, op. cit p 4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See below, pp. 354 ff.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> XIX, 15 ('Nun hat es Aristoteles langst entschieden, wie weit sich der tragische Dichter um die historische Wahrheit zu bekummern habe'); XXII, 57; XXXI, 127.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> For example, 1, 115, 11, 43, XII, 19, XXXV, 93.

after St. XXXIX his name is only mentioned four times until St. LXXIV. Moreover, in St. XLIV, XLV and XLVI Lessing discusses the Unities with reference to the views of D'Aubignac and Corneille, but, strange to say, without any specific reference to Aristotle.

With St. LXXIV, published at the end of March, 1768, Lessing settles down for the first time to a serious examination of the Poetics. As a first step, he puts himself right with regard to the translation of φόβος: it must be translated 'Furcht', not 'Schrecken'. Surely there could be no more convincing proof of Lessing's indifference to Aristotle throughout three-quarters of the Dramaturgie than the fact that he had continued to write 'Schrecken' until in the seventy-fourth section he suddenly remembered how, in 1757, he had already corrected Nicolai on this very point! On November 5, 1768 we find him writing to Mendelssohn: 'Ich gehe in allem Ernst mit einem neuen Commentar über die Dichtkunst des Aristoteles, wenigstens desjenigen Theils, der die Tragödie angeht, schwanger.'2 When this letter was written, eighty-two parts of the Dramaturgie were published, and the greater part of the remainder, promised already for the middle of May, 1768, was in type, and out of Lessing's hands. Serious as all this interest in Aristotle no doubt was, the failure of the theatre (which Lessing had long foreseen) damped his ardour in the matter; new interests-connected with his quarrel with Klotz-supervened, and the promise was never fulfilled.

In the *Dramaturgie* Lessing makes the statement that before any one can understand the Aristotelian *Poetics* properly, he must have studied every other work of Aristotle: 'I should counsel any one who wants to give us a new commentary on his *Poetics* which shall leave that of Dacier far behind it, above all things to read the works of the philosopher from beginning to end.' From this the inference has been drawn that Lessing himself had done so 4—I doubt, however,

<sup>1</sup> XLVII, 29; XLIX, 78; L, 21; LXX, 126.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Schriften, xvii, p. 270. Also Dramaturgie, ci-civ, 218: 'Ich habe von dem Entstehen, von der Grundlage der Dichtkunst dieses Philosophen, meine eigene Gedanken, die ich hier ohne Weitläuftigkeit nicht aussern könnte.' In LXXXIII (23) he refers to 'eine andere Gelegenheit' when he will deal more fully with the interpretation of Aristotle.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> LXXV, 25. He had written similarly ten years before to Nicolai (Schriften, xvii, p. 98): 'Ich kann mir nicht einbilden, dass einer, der dieses zweyte Buch [der Rhetorik] und die ganze aristotelische Sittenlehre an den Nicomachus nicht gelesen hat, die Dichtkunst dieses Weltweisen verstehen konne.'

<sup>4</sup> Gotschlich, op. cit. p. 5.

if it is a correct deduction: there is, at least, no ground for believing that Lessing was intimately familiar with any work of Aristotle's except the *Poetics*. A survey of his writings reveals frequent references to the *Nicomachean Ethics*, the *Politics* and *Rhetoric*, as well as to the *Poetics*: but in the majority of instances these references are vaguely general, or based on second-hand sources. It is difficult even to say with confidence what edition of Aristotle—of the works in general, or the *Poetics* in particular—Lessing possessed The forms of the Greek text quoted in his works make it probable that he used one of the Du Val editions which enjoyed a wide currency in the eighteenth century.

Of all Lessing's guides to the interpretation of Aristotle, the most important was Dacier's translation and commentary which appeared in 1692 under the full title: La Poétique d'Aristote, contenant les Regles les plus exactes pour juger du Poëme Herorque, et des Pieces de Theatre, la Tragedie et la Comedie. Traduite en François, avec des Remarques Critiques sur tout l'Ouvrage. Par Mr Dacier, Paris, 1692. By making the Poetics thus universally accessible to the Europe of his time, Dacier re-opened the problem of Aristotle's authority as a lawgiver amongst

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Nicomachean Ethics is mentioned in the letter to Nicolai just quoted, in the preface to the translation of Hutcheson (Schriften, vii, p. 64), and in the Dramaturgie, xc, 115 (note); the Politics in the Leben Sophocles (Schriften, viii, p 312) and with a special reference to H. Conring's edition (1656) in Laokoon, ii (Schriften, 1x, p. 11); the Rhetoric in the review of Curtius (Schriften, v, p. 194), in the letter to Nicolai of April 2, 1757 (Schriften, xvii, p. 98), in the Abhandlung von dem Wesen der Fabel, both in the original and in translation (Schriften, vii, pp. 424, 440, 444 f), in a quotation from himself in the Litteraturbriefe, lxx (Schriften, viii, p. 188), and in the Dramaturgie, LXXV, 40; LXXVII, 93; LXXIX, 29 (and note). In his note to LXXV, 66 (72) Lessing quotes the edition of the Rhetoric by Æmilius Portus, Speyer, 1598, but he does not draw his text from this edition; nor does the passage quoted in a note to LXXVII (100) correspond with Portus, and the latter numbers his chapters differently. In LXX, 127, however, he quotes from Portus's commentary on the Rhetoric (ii, p. 3): 'Solet autem quaerere pugnam in suis libris Aristoteles....Atque hoc facit non temere, et casu, sed certa ratione atque consilio: nam labefactatis aliorum opinionibus....' The *Poetics* is discussed in the review of Curtius (Schriften, v, pp. 194 f.), in the correspondence of 1757, especially in the letter to Nicolai which has been referred to of April 2, 1757; it is quoted both in Greek and in the translation of Dacier in the Leben Sophocles (Schriften, viii, pp. 351 f.) and in Laokoon (Schriften, ix, pp. 4, 140, 144). Lastly the Aristotelian treatise De incessu animalium is referred to, as cited by Erasmus, in the materials for Laokoon (Schriften, xiv, p. 420).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Aristotelis Opera omnia que extant, Grece et Latine, edited by Du Val, appeared at Paris in 1619, 1629, 1639, 1654.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Some clue to Lessing's Aristotelian literature is to be found in his discussion (LXXVI, 94) of the meaning of the word φιλάνθρωπον. See below, pp. 361 f.

poets and men of letters who had no particular classical scholarship. His purpose was not merely to familiarise the modern world with Aristotle's treatise; he also hoped to assist in counteracting 'le desordre où nôtre théatre est tombé depuis quelque temps'. 1 He advanced the movement with which Lessing, too, was identified, whereby critical opinion found its way back from the baroque pseudoclassicism of the seventeenth century to a true, or, at least, a truer classicism. The method, moreover, which Dacier followed in his interpretation of Aristotle was also Lessing's, and the French critic approached his task with no contemptible equipment of learning, both classical and modern. Amongst his predecessors, he pinned his faith in the main to Victorius, 'le plus sçavant, le plus exact et le plus sage', of Aristotle's interpreters,2 and his own commentary is, in many respects, a polemic against the more arbitrary, if often more ingenious, deductions of Castelvetro. Of other critics, Dacier speaks with most respect of Le Bossu, and finds much to approve of in D'Aubignac's Pratique du Théâtre.3

Dacier's attitude towards Aristotle foreshadows—in fact, one might say frankly it suggested—Lessing's. He has the same confident faith in the immutability of Aristotle's authority. The rules of poetry, he maintains, 'sont si certainement celles qu'Aristote nous donne, qu'il est impossible d'y réussir par un autre chemin', and he compares the laws that Aristotle established with those of nature, not of men.<sup>4</sup> Lessing repeatedly expresses a similar unwavering faith in Aristotle. 'Eines offenbaren Widerspruchs', he says (xxxviii, 13), 'macht sich ein Aristoteles nicht leicht schuldig. Wo ich dergleichen bey so einem Manne zu finden glaube, setze ich das grössere Misstrauen lieber in meinen, als in seinen Verstand.' And again (Lxxiv, 3): 'Aristoteles

<sup>1</sup> Preface, ed. cit. p. [iii].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Poétique d'Aristote, ed. cit p. [xxiv], but cp. also pp. 45, 53, 95, 266, etc. Lessing mentions Victorius (xxxvii, 107), but only in a quotation from Dacier; there is no reason to infer that Lessing himself consulted Victorius, whose commentary on the Poetics appeared at Florence in 1560.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Ibid. pp. [xxv f.]; in his commentary he quotes Le Bossu very frequently (pp. 111, 246, 251, 266, 304, etc.); for criticisms of D'Aubignac, cp. pp. 168, 170.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Ibid. pp. [iii, x]. Corneille, too, had said of Aristotle's precepts that they were 'de tous les temps et de tous les peuples' (Avertissement to Le Cid, Œuvres, ed. cit. iii, p. 85). This implicit faith in Aristotle would appear to have been first expressed by Scaliger.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Curtius, too (op cit p. 213), had said: 'Die genaue Überlegung, womit Aristoteles schrieb, erlaubet nicht, einem so grossen Manne einen Widerspruch beyzumessen.'

wurde ihn [den Charakter des Richards] schlechterdings verworfen haben; zwar mit dem Ansehen des Aristoteles wollte ich bald fertig werden, wenn ich es nur auch mit seinen Gründen zu werden wüsste.' And in the famous passage at the close of the *Dramaturgie* he goes so far as to declare that the *Poetics* 'is as unerring a work as the elements of Euclid still are' (CI-CIV, 222):

Ihre Grundsatze sind eben so wahr und gewiss, nur freylich nicht so fasslich, und daher mehr der Chicane ausgesetzt, als alles, was diese enthalten. Besonders getraue ich mir von der Tragodie, als über die uns die Zeit so ziemlich alles daraus gonnen wollen, unwidersprechlich zu beweisen, dass sie sich von der Richtschnur des Aristoteles keinen Schritt entfernen kann, ohne sich eben so weit von ihrer Vollkommenheit zu entfernen.<sup>2</sup>

It is Dacier's mission as well as Lessing's to uphold Aristotle in the face of modern detractors and perverters of his views: and an immediate model for Lessing's anti-Cornelian polemic is to be found in Dacier's sharp attacks on Corneille for his 'accommodating' interpretation of the *Poetics*.<sup>3</sup> But Lessing went a step further than his predecessor and defended Aristotle's opinion in passages where to Dacier he seemed to have nodded.<sup>4</sup> Lastly, Lessing's insistence on interpreting Aristotle by Aristotle was a principle extensively applied by Dacier.<sup>5</sup> Against all this is to be set the fact that he does

- <sup>1</sup> Both these passages recall Batteux (*Principes de la littérature*, v, Pt. ii, chap. iv, Paris ed. of 1764, iii, p. 97): 'Quand un homme, tel qu'Aristote, a prononcé avec assurance et sans intérêt, sur des matieres qui sont véritablement du ressort de l'esprit humain, il faut tenter toutes sortes de voies pour l'expliquer; ou avoir des démonstrations rigoureuses, pour le condamner.'
- <sup>2</sup> Petersen (ed. cst. p. 266) draws attention to two parallel comparisons of Aristotle with Euclid in reviews in the Critische Nachrichten of 1751, which have been ascribed to Lessing: 'Die Geometrie und Poesie haben ganz verschiedene Regeln, und derjenige, welcher den Homer nach dem Euklides beurtheilen wolte, wurde eben so abgeschmackt handeln, als der, welcher den Euklides nach dem Homer beurtheilte'; and '...dass man also hier [in Euclid] die logischen Regeln beysammen antrift, deren Nutzen und Wahrheit so zu reden die Erfahrung vieler Jahrhunderte bestatiget hat, eben wie die Vorschriften in des Aristoteles Poetik von den Mustern hergenommen sind, deren Schonheit eine allgemeine Empfindung erkennet hatte' (Schriften, iv, pp. 217, 240).
  - <sup>8</sup> Poétique d'Aristote, ed. cit. pp. [xxviii], 80, 192 f., 228 f., 233 f., etc.
  - 4 XXXVII, 110, 129; LXXVI, 1.
- <sup>5</sup> Dacier refers especially frequently to the *Rhetoric* (ed. cit. pp. 5, 37, 100, 189, 329, 340, 364, 366 f., etc.). But such cross-references were general even in the early Italian editions; they go back, in fact, in the majority of cases, to that most industrious of Aristotle's commentators, Robortello.

not speak very kindly of Dacier; he calls him a 'Pedant' and, with obvious irony, 'der ehrliche Dacier'; and when he quotes him, it is usually to refute his opinion. But this was an ungenerous trait in Lessing's mentality—his way, perhaps, of interpreting the Quixotian version of the old tag, 'Amicus Plato, sed magis amica veritas'—against which we have to be constantly on our guard if we would estimate fairly his intellectual debts. It is his attitude, for example, to Gottsched, to his old friend Weisse, to Spence in the Laokoon, and to many another. There is no question but that his debt to Dacier is great; indeed, it is hardly a paradox to say that, without the French work, we might never have had Lessing's Aristotelian interpretation at all.

The German translation of Aristotle's Poetics by Michael Conrad Curtius—Aristoteles Dichtkunst, ins Deutsche übersetzet, mit Anmerkungen. und besondern Abhandlungen, versehen—appeared at Hanover in 1753. and, as we have seen, was briefly reviewed by Lessing on August 23 of the same year. The form and general character of Curtius's work were clearly suggested by Dacier's; but it is not by any means a mere copy of the French work. It had benefited, moreover, by the development of critical ideas during the fifty years that lay between the two translations. Curtius is even more interested than Dacier had been in contemporary literature and in the newest theories of his day: indeed, his familiarity with such things is greater than his Greek learning. His opinions are in general less original, being often an echo of Dacier's; and they are not always logically consistent. Dacier was an 'ancient' of the famous controversy; Curtius endeavours to reconcile the 'ancient' standpoint with that of the 'moderns'. He holds loyally to Aristotle, but is in sympathy with the new conception of poetry as a 'vollkommen sinnliche Rede' (enunciated by Baumgarten and Meier) without recognising the difficulties which the new theory put in the way of a wholehearted acceptance of Aristotle. For that matter, he apparently does not recognise the damaging effect of the new aesthetics on Gottschedian classicism. Thus Curtius often flounders helplessly between conflicting opinions; he is ready to accept both Bodmer and Gottsched as authorities, even in matters where they are obviously at variance. He looks up to Corneille and Voltaire as masters of modern tragedy, but he also mentions twice (pp. 114, 115) the name of Shakespeare, whose Julius Caesar he knew from von Borck's translation; in one passage (p. 115) he even calls him 'der englische grosse Shakespear'. Needless to say, this does not mean that he was prepared to defend a form of drama so irregular and so flagrantly in conflict with the classic rules as Shakespeare's!

In the *Dramaturgie* Lessing frequently quotes Curtius's translation; usually, it is true, with the object of contradicting it; and when he cites Aristotle in German, his translation, although not drawn from Curtius, shows a considerable dependence upon him.<sup>2</sup>

Lessing's interpretation of Aristotelian problems is dealt with in the chapters that follow; but it may be said at once here that he arrived at no new discovery and stated no conclusion in his Aristotelian criticism that was new or unknown in his day; and where he did attempt to initiate new interpretations of the Aristotelian text, these have proved, almost without exception, unacceptable to later scholarship. But what he did achieve was to provide extraordinarily brilliant and convincing settings for the views he maintained on the wider aspects of Aristotelian doctrine; here lie his mastery and his strength, not in the novelty or correctness of his views. His interpretation of Aristotle is incomplete and often inconclusive; it will be seen how tantalisingly he has relegated crucial questions, just when a solution seemed within reach, to the unwritten commentary on the Poetics, which, as the Dramaturgie drew to a close, had a foremost place in his plans. Had the commentary been written, we have every reason for believing that it would, in Lessing's own phrase, have 'left Dacier's far behind' (LXXV, 27). Of the unfulfilled promises of Lessing's intellectual life, the failure to redeem this one is surely the most regrettable.

In considering the sources which Lessing had at hand in writing his *Hamburgische Dramaturgie* we have to remember that to a certain degree the invitation to act as critical adviser to the Hamburg Theatre took him by surprise, and found him unprepared. He had to resume a line of thought which had been virtually broken since his correspondence on tragedy with Mendelssohn and Nicolai some ten years before, or at least since his pre-occupation with Diderot in 1759–60. He himself confessed frankly, as we have seen, that his love for the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> XXXVIII, 2, LXXVI, 97; LXXVII, 66; LXXVIII, note to 143; XC, 11. Perhaps Mendelssohn was responsible for freeing Lessing from Curtuus's leading-strings: that critic published in the *Litteraturbriefe*, No. cxlvi, February 19, and No. cxlvii, February 26, 1761 (ed. cit. 1x, pp. 58 ff) a review of Curtuus's Critische Abhandlungen und Gedichte (Hanover, 1760), in which he did not conceal his low opinion of the author.

<sup>2</sup> See below, pp. 385 ff.

theatre had died down.¹ There is thus no reason to infer that his standpoint in matters of dramatic theory when he began his work in Hamburg differed materially from that which he had maintained in the earlier correspondence. Moreover, he had not—as was obviously the case when he was writing Laokoon—a large library at his disposal. When he set out for Hamburg he gathered together from his collection such books as he thought might be useful for his new undertaking—notably all his own earlier writings, including the Litteraturbriefe, and works of reference such as those of Léris and Parfaict—and left the remainder to be sold by auction. Thus his library in Hamburg was comparatively limited; and it is scarcely to be thought that the resources of Hamburg could have materially added to it.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Cp. his letter to Gleim of February 1, 1767; see above, p. 117, note 1.

# CHAPTER XII

# THEORY OF TRAGEDY

#### THE DEFINITION OF TRAGEDY

'It is beyond dispute', says Lessing (LXXVII, 30), 'that Aristotle did not intend to give any strict logical definition of Tragedy. For, without limiting himself to its merely essential characteristics, he introduced various fortuitous ones because the usage of his time had rendered them necessary. Apart from these, however, and after the others had been reduced by linking them up with one another, a perfectly exact explanation remains, namely that Tragedy, in one word, is a poem that excites pity. In respect of its genus it is the imitation of an action, like the epic and comedy; but, in respect of its species, it is the imitation of an action that is worthy of our pity. From these two ideas all its rules may be completely deduced; and even its dramatic form is defined by them'

Aristotle's definition occurs in the sixth chapter of his *Poetics*; and in the text of the editions of Du Val—or indeed, in any text available to the eighteenth century—it is as follows (1449 b):

ἔστιν οὖν τραγφδία μίμησις πράξεως σπουδαίας καὶ τελείας μέγεθος ἐχούσης, ἡδυσμένφ λόγφ χωρὶς ἑκάστφ τῶν εἰδῶν ἐν τοῖς μορίοις, δρώντων ἀλλὰ οὐ δι' ἀπαγγελίας, δι' ἐλέου καὶ φόβου περαίνουσα τὴν τῶν τοιούτων παθημάτων κάθαρσιν.

This had been rendered by Dacier (ed. cit. pp. 72 f.):

La Tragedie est donc une imitation d'une action grave, entiere, et qui a une juste grandeur: Dont le style est agreablement assaisonné, mais differemment dans toutes ses parties, et qui, sans le secours de la narration, par le moyen de la compassion et de la terreur, acheve de purger en nous ces sortes de passions, et toutes les autres semblables.

And Curtius, obviously following Dacier, gave the Germans of his time the definition in the form in which they knew it (op. cit. pp. 11 f):

Das Trauerspiel ist namlich die Nachahmung einer ernsthaften, vollständigen, und eine Grosse habenden Handlung, durch einen mit fremdem Schmucke versehenen Ausdruck, dessen samtliche Theile aber besonders wirken: welche ferner, nicht durch die Erzahlung des Dichters, sondern (durch Vorstellung der Handlungen selbst) uns, vermittelst des Schreckens und Mitleidens, von den Fehlern der vorgestellten Leidenschaften reiniget.

Both translators, Lessing observes (LXXVII, 60), had recognised the difficulty caused by the little word  $d\lambda d$ , and they had endeavoured either to gloss it over, or, by expansion, to explain it. Lessing, however, in his firm faith that the writings of Aristotle are as unassailable as those of Euclid (CI-CIV, 222), endeavours to justify Aristotle's apparent refusal to allow that the narrative form is capable of awakening the kind of pity required by tragedy, and quotes (LXXVII, 100) the following passage from chapter viii of the second book of the *Rhetoric* (his 'neuntes Kapitel' is evidently a slip):

And as sufferings are objects of compassion if they are apparently close at hand, whereas, if they occurred ten thousand years ago or will occur ten thousand years hence, the anticipation or remembrance of them, as the case may be, either excites no compassion at all in us or excites it in a much smaller degree, it necessarily follows that orators are more successful in arousing compassion, if they aid the effect of their words by their gestures, tones, habiliments and dramatic action of any kind.

This, however, is surely a specious argument, for the great narrative poet is quite as able as the dramatist—Homer is as well able as Sophocles—to make past events take on the vivid semblance of being present. But the whole discussion falls to the ground with the now accepted conclusion that the word ållá is an unjustified interpolation of the Aldine text.

### PITY AND FEAR

Throughout the first part of the Hamburgische Dramaturgie Aristotle's

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> I quote from the translation of J. E. C. Welldon, London, 1886, pp. 152 f.

ëλeos and φόβos are translated by Lessing 'Mitleid' and 'Schrecken'.¹ But in St. LXXIV (49, 62), when discussing Weisse's Richard der Dritte, he corrects 'Schrecken' to 'Furcht', and throughout the remainder of the work Aristotle's phrase appears as 'Mitleid und Furcht'.² The correction was probably prompted by consideration of the character of Richard III. Such an unmitigated villain as he is represented to be can only awaken in us 'Schrecken', that is to say (LXXIV, 30) 'das Erstaunen uber unbegreifliche Missethaten, das Entsetzen uber Bosheiten, die unsern Begriff ubersteigen...'. This is not one of the legitimate purposes of Tragedy; indeed, the poets of antiquity attempted to minimise it by attributing responsibility for monstrous crime to fate or an avenging god rather than to the man himself. It is the kind of 'terror' in which Crébillon, 'der Schreckliche', indulges. But, Lessing argues (LXXIV, 49), Aristotle does not say 'Schrecken':

Das Wort, welches Aristoteles braucht, heisst Furcht: Mitleid und Furcht, sagt er, soll die Tragodie erregen; nicht, Mitleid und Schrecken. Es ist wahr, das Schrecken ist eine Gattung der Furcht; es ist eine plotzliche, überraschende Furcht.

As I have already suggested,<sup>3</sup> it is difficult to reconcile Lessing's late discovery of this in the seventy-fourth section, dating from 1768, with the fact that as far back as April 2, 1757 he had written to Nicolai:<sup>4</sup>

Furcht und Mitleiden. Konnen Sie mir nicht sagen, warum so wohl Dacier als Curtius, Schrecken und Furcht für gleich bedeutende Worte nehmen? Warum sie das aristotelische  $\phi \circ \beta$ os, welches der Grieche durchgangig braucht, bald durch das eine, bald durch das andre übersetzen? Es sind doch wohl zwey verschiedne Dinge, Furcht und Schrecken? Und wie, wenn sich das ganze Schrecken, wovon man nach den falsch verstandenen aristotelischen Begriffen bisher so viel geschwatzt, auf weiter nichts, als auf diese schwankende Übersetzung grundete? Lesen Sie, bitte ich, das zweyte und achte Hauptstuck des zweyten Buchs der aristotelischen Rhetorik: denn das muss ich Ihnen beylaufig sagen, ich kann mir nicht einbilden, dass einer, der dieses zweyte Buch und die ganze aristotelische Sittenlehre an den Nicomachus nicht gelesen hat, die Dichtkunst dieses

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> XXXII, 31, 56, 57, 73; XXXVII, 72; XXXVIII, 64, 120; XLVII, 140, XLIX, 52; LI, 130; LXXIV, 6 Also in Lessing's earlier writings; *Theatralische Bibliothek (Schriften*, vi, p. 6); *Das Theater des Herrn Diderot*, Berlin, 1760, i, pp. 235, 282 (unchanged in the edition of 1781).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Eg. LXXIV, 49 ff.; LXXV, 17 ff.; LXXX, 102.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> See above, p. 344.

<sup>4</sup> Schriften, xvii, pp. 97 f.; Petsch, op. cit. pp. 104 f.

Weltweisen verstehen konne. Aristoteles erklart das Wort  $\phi \circ \beta \circ s$ , welches Herr Curtius am oftersten Schrecken, Dacier aber bald terreur, bald crainte ubersetzt, durch die Unlust über ein bevorstehendes Übel, und sagt, alles dasjenige erwecke in uns Furcht, was, wenn wir es an andern sehen. Mitleiden erwecke, und alles dasjenige erwecke Mitleiden, was, wenn es uns selbst bevorstehe, Furcht erwecken musse. Dem zu Folge kann also die Furcht, nach der Meynung des Aristoteles, keine unmittelbare Wirkung des Trauerspiels seyn, sondern sie muss weiter nichts als eine reflectirte Idee seyn. Aristoteles wurde bloss gesagt haben: das Trauerspiel soll unsre Leidenschaften durch das Mitleiden reinigen, wenn er nicht zugleich auch das Mittel hatte angeben wollen, wie diese Reinigung durch das Mitleiden moglich werde; und dieserwegen setzte er noch die Furcht hinzu, welche er fur dieses Mittel hielt Jenes hat seine Richtigkeit; dieses aber ist falsch. Das Mitleiden reiniget unsre Leidenschaften, aber nicht vermittelst der Furcht, auf welchen Einfall den Arıstoteles sein falscher Begriff von dem Mitleiden gebracht hat. Hiervon konnen Sie sich mit Herrn Moses weiter unterreden, denn in diesem Puncte, so viel ich weiss, sind wir einig. Nun behalten Sie, durch die ganze Dichtkunst des Aristoteles, uberall wo Sie Schrecken finden, diese Erklarung der Furcht in Gedanken, (denn Furcht muss es uberall heissen, und nicht Schrecken), und sagen mir alsdann, was Sie von der Lehre des Aristoteles dunkt.

This letter not merely anticipates Lessing's substitution in the Dramaturgie of 'Furcht' for 'Schrecken', but even the reference to the Rhetoric (LXXV, 38) in elucidation of Aristotle's conception of  $\phi \delta \beta os$  is repeated in the later work in terms which (apart from the alteration of the number of a chapter) distinctly recall the letter. It would thus seem likely that Lessing now turned to his old letters to Mendelssohn and Nicolai, which (it will be remembered) he had asked to have sent back to him. The further inference is hardly to be avoided, that until now he had entirely forgotten his previous insistence that 'Furcht' should be substituted for 'Schrecken'.

Lessing was not the first critic to insist upon a discrimination between 'terror' and 'fear'. The practice of his predecessors in interpreting Aristotle's words is worth recording. Heinsius—to go no further back—in his Latin version of the *Poetics* had accepted Victorius's 'misericordia et metus', but in his *De Tragadia constitutione*, which profoundly influenced the eighteenth-century interpretation of the Aristotelian theory, he wrote 'Affectus proprii illius sunt duo: Misericordia, et Horror', and again, 'terrorem...ac miseri-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Letter to Nicolai of April 17, 1757 (Schriften, xvii, p. 102).

cordiam'. Corneille wrote 'crainte', but he clearly meant 'terreur'. 2 Boileau translated φόβος by 'terreur'. Dacier used 'terreur', but in his notes to Aristotle's thirteenth chapter he several times substituted 'crainte'.4 Brumoy, too, wrote both 'terreur' and 'crainte'; mainly, however, the latter, and he would seem to give it the significance claimed for it by Lessing.<sup>5</sup> The older German writers invariably translated 'Schrecken und Mitleiden'.6 As the eighteenth century moved on, the word 'terreur' established itself more firmly in France. It will be found, for instance, in Du Bos' Réflexions critiques sur la poesie et sur la peinture,7 in Batteux' Les Beaux-arts réduits à un même principe, and in the enlarged form of that treatise, Principes de la littérature; 8 and the German translators of these works render 'terreur' by 'Schrecken'.9 Both Rousseau and Diderot use 'terreur'.10 Marmontel in his Poétique françoise, a work with which Lessing was familiar, translated the phrase in Aristotle's definition: 'la terreur et la pitié'; but in the text of his work he also wrote: 'la crainte et la pitié. '11

<sup>1</sup> Aristotelis de poetica, Leyden, 1611, p. 11; De Tragedia constitutione, Leyden, 1643, pp. 10, 19 f. It might be noted in this connexion that La Mesnardière, in his Poétique (Paris, 1640, pp. 22 ff), discussed at great length the difference between 'horror' and 'terror'.

<sup>2</sup> Tross discours (Œuvres, ed. cit. i, pp. 22, 52 ff.). The translation in Lessing's Beytrage zur Historie und Aufnahme des Theaters, ii (pp. 211 ff.), follows Corneille with 'Furcht'.

<sup>3</sup> L'Art poétique, iii, 18.

4 Poétique d'Aristote, ed. cit. 'terreur', pp. 73, 81 f, 185, 187, 197, etc.; 'crainte',

pp. 185, 186, 188 ff.

<sup>5</sup> Théâtre des Grees, Paris, 1730, 1, pp. l1, liii, lv Cp. especially p. l1: 'D'où 1l arrive que la crainte nous est plus naturelle, et nous donne des secousses plus fréquentes que toute autre passion, par le sentiment intime et experimental qui nous avertit toujours que les maux assiégent de toutes parts la vie humaine.'

<sup>6</sup> For instance, Gottsched, Critische Dichtkunst, 2nd ed. Leipzig, 1737, p. 675; Breitinger, Critische Dichtkunst, Zurich, 1740, 1, p. 69, Curtius's translation of Aristotle, ed. cit pp. 25, 26, 28, 185 and passim. Cp. Mendelssohn, Briefe über die Empfindungen and Rhapsodie (Gesammelte Schriften, ed. cit 1, pp. 173, 249).

<sup>7</sup> Cp. Pt. i, Sections xiv and xv (Paris edition of 1755, 1, pp. 106, 110).

8 Principes de la littérature, Paris, 1764, iii, pp. 72 f., 76, 81 f., etc.

<sup>9</sup> It is, however, interesting to note that Ramler, the translator of Batteux, who did not hesitate to bring Batteux up to date or occasionally impute to him views which he could not possibly have held, changed 'Schrecken' to 'Furcht' in editions of the work published subsequently to Lessing's *Dramaturgie* (e.g. 3rd ed. Leipzig, 1769, 11, pp. 273, 274, 277, etc.).

<sup>10</sup> Rousseau, Lettre à M. D'Alembert, Amsterdam, 1758, p. 30; Diderot, Le fils naturel, Second et Troisième Entretiens, Œuvres Complètes, ed. cit. vii, pp. 116, 135.

(Lessing's translation, 1, pp. 235, 282.)

<sup>11</sup> Poétique françoise, Paris, 1763, 11, pp 95, 96, 97, 98, 128 f, etc. Lessing quotes

Lessing had, however, an immediate predecessor for his argument in Louis Racine, who wrote, in the *Traité de la poésie dramatique ancienne et moderne* appended to his commentary to his father's works (1752):<sup>1</sup>

Nous sommes depuis long-temps en usage de rendre ce mot  $\phi \delta \beta os$  par celui de terreur, cependant la terreur est un trouble de l'âme fort différent de celui qui cause la crainte, et  $\phi \delta \beta os$  ne signifie que crainte. L'auteur de l'argument qui est à la tête de l'Agamemnon d'Eschyle, pour dire que le discours de Cassandre excite la terreur et la pitié, emploie ces deux mots  $\tilde{\epsilon}\kappa\pi\lambda\eta\tilde{\epsilon}\nu$   $\kappa al$   $ol\kappa\tau o\nu$ . Metus est le mot dont les interprètes latins d'Aristote se servent ordinairement. Castelvetro s'est servi d'uspavento, et non de terrore; un commentateur espagnol se sert du mot miedo, qui veut dire crainte; enfin Corneille, dans son Discours sur la Tragédie, nommant les deux passions qui en sont l'âme, suivant Aristote, nomme toujours la pitié et la crainte.

Lessing may have read Racine's book; but it should be noted that the passage in question is commented on prominently in a review of the book in the *Mercure de France* of January, 1751 (pp. 75 ff.); and we know that Lessing possessed a set of this periodical down to the year 1758.<sup>2</sup>

When Lessing first interested himself in the meaning of  $\phi \delta \beta os$ , and before he had reason to doubt the correctness of its translation as 'Schrecken', he accepted Mendelssohn's definition of the word. In his letter to Nicolai of November [13], 1756, he wrote: 'Das Schrecken in der Tragodie ist weiter nichts als die plotzliche Überraschung des Mitleides, ich mag den Gegenstand meines Mitleids kennen oder nicht'; which is clearly Mendelssohn's 'dasjenige, was in den Trauerspielen unter dem Namen des Schreckens bekannt ist, ist nichts als ein Mitleiden, das uns schnell uberrascht; denn die Gefahr droht niemals uns selbst, sondern unserm Nebenmenschen, den wir

from this work in his Laokoon, xvii (Schriften, ix, pp. 106 f.; see my note in Modern Language Review, vi, 1911, pp. 216 ff.), and seems to have it in mind in the Dramaturgie, xiv, 43. There is also a reference to Marmontel's book in Lessing's notes for subsequent use in the Dramaturgie (Schriften, xv, p. 44).

<sup>1</sup> Louis Racine, Œuvres, Paris, 1808, vi, pp. 379 f Attention has already been drawn to this by J. Meyer in an article on Lessing und die Franzosen in Alemannia,

xvii (1889), pp 157 ff.

<sup>2</sup> See letter to Gleim, February 1, 1767 (Schriften, xvii, p. 229; cp. also in the same volume, pp. 238, 251, 253, 268, 311). Lessing refers repeatedly to the Mercure in his Collectanea (Schriften, xv, pp. 193, 224, 283, 302, 318); also in Laokoon, iv (Schriften, ix, p. 28).

<sup>3</sup> Schriften, xvii, p. 65; Petsch, op. cit p. 53.

bedauern.'1 It is the first shock which we feel when misfortune suddenly befalls anyone, and which has, as its consequence, the awakening of our pity. But with the more careful consideration of Aristotle's words—which would appear to have taken place between November 13, 1756 and April 2, 1757—Lessing was obliged to discard the word 'Schrecken'. Aristotle defines his φόβος as an emotion awakened by a misfortune overtaking a person like ourselves ( $\pi\epsilon\rho\lambda$ τον ομοιον). This is not the terror which is awakened in us by an unmitigated villain such as Richard III, whom we could never regard as a person like ourselves; it is the fear which we as spectators have, lest we might possibly meet with a similar fate—a fear for ourselves. Or in Lessing's own words in the Dramaturgie (LXXV, 18): '[Aristoteles] Furcht ist durchaus nicht die Furcht, welche uns das bevorstehende Übel eines andern, fur diesen andern, erweckt, sondern es ist die Furcht, welche aus unserer Ähnlichkeit mit der leidenden Person fur uns selbst entspringt...diese Furcht ist das auf uns selbst bezogene Mitleid.'

To illustrate the confusion caused by misunderstanding of the word φόβοs, Lessing quotes (LXXIV, 65) a passage from a work entitled Komisches Theater, von S., Breslau, 1759 (Vorrede, p. 35).<sup>2</sup> This writer, using the word 'Schrecken', points out that Aristotle is wrong in limiting it to 'unsers gleichen'—or rather that the limitation is unnecessary, for we can be equally affected by this emotion in witnessing a monster of wickedness fall into misfortune; in fact this

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Briefe uber die Empfindungen (Gesammelte Schriften, ed. cit. 1, p 173).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The passage (with slight verbal differences) actually occurs on pp. 35 f 'S.', according to F. von Blankenburg, Litterarische Zusatze zu J. G. Sulzers Allgemeiner Theorie der schonen Kunste, 1 (Leipzig, 1796), p. 248, is Straube, presumably G. B. Straube, who had objected to comedies in rhymed verse in Gottsched's Beytrage zur critischen Historie etc. (XXIII, 1740), while the author of the three 'Abhandlungen' which precede the comedies in the Komisches Theater was I. P. Schrader. Cosack, on the other hand (op. cit. p 343), says that 'S.' is Chr. Ernst Schenk, a statement supported by the entry in Kayser, Bucher-Lexicon, vi, Schauspiele (Leipzig, 1836), p. 100. The volume is the subject of a review of some length—which Schroter and Thiele (ed. cst p. 417) claim, not very convincingly, for Lessing himself—in the Bibliothek der schönen Wissenschaften, v, 2 (1759), pp. 335 ff. The critic here has no very high opinion of the introductory 'Abhandlungen': 'Die Folge.. ist, dass der Verfasser bekannte Dinge, die Aristoteles, Hedelin, Batteux, der Verfasser der Briefe über die Empfindung, und andere, schon vor ihm und besser gesagt hatten, auf eine veranderte Art einmal sagt, oder wo er ja etwas neues hinzusetzet, sich mehrentheils irrt. Unter der Larve eines theatralischen Metaphysikers streut er seinen Lesern nur Staub in die Augen.'

limited 'Schrecken' is a kind of 'Mitleid' and need not have been mentioned at all. And from Mendelssohn's Rhapsodie oder Zusatze zu den Briefen über die Empfindungen (1761)¹ Lessing quotes another long passage in which Mendelssohn elaborates his conception of 'Mitleid', also coming to the conclusion that 'theatralisches Schrecken' is 'Mitleiden'. Lessing accepts these views as incontrovertibly correct as far as they go; but he is not prepared to admit that Aristotle is wrong.

In his letter to Nicolai of April 1757, claiming 'Furcht' as the correct equivalent for  $\phi \delta \beta os$ , Lessing had, as we have seen, referred his friend to 'das zweyte und achte Stuck des zweyten Buchs der aristotelischen Rhetorik'; in the *Dramaturgie* (LXXV, 39) he repeats the reference, and in a way which shows that he had the old correspondence before him. Aristotle, he affirms, must always be explained from himself. In chapter v of book ii of the *Rhetoric* Aristotle defines 'fear' as 'a species of pain or disturbance arising from an impression of

In a later edition of the Rhapsodie (in the new edition of his Philosophische Schriften, 1771) Mendelssohn defended his original view against Lessing's attempt to vindicate Aristotle. Aristotle, he says, was of the opinion 'dass wir bei einer jeden tragischen Vorstellung Rucksicht auf uns selbst nehmen. Allein ich für meinen Theil leugne diese Rucksicht auf uns selbst. Wenigstens ist sie nicht nothwendig, wenn wir mit andern sympathisiren sollen. Wie oft ist der Bemitleidete nicht in solchen Umstanden, in welche wir schlechterdings nie gerathen konnen? Dass wir leichter zum Mitleiden bewegt werden, wenn wir in ahnlichen Umstanden sind, ein ahnliches Ungluck ausgestanden oder zu befürchten haben, kann zwar nicht geleugnet werden. Allein dieses kommt nicht, wie Aristoteles zu glauben scheint, aus eigensuchtiger Furcht; denn die Eigensucht ist es gewiss nicht, die unser Herz dem Mitleiden aufschliesst. Es ist vielmehr das lebhaftere Selbstgefühl eines ähnlichen Übels, das unser Mitleiden scharft, indem es uns den Leidenden als desto bedauernswerther betrachten lasst' (Gesammelte Schriften, ed cit. i, p. 250).

<sup>2</sup> To Nicolai he quoted chapters ii and vini, but in the Dramaturgue, v and viii. 'Stl.' in his criticism in Klotz's Deutsche Bibliothek (iv (1769), p 502) reprimanded him for this inaccuracy: 'Die Erklarung dieser Furcht steht nicht im funften und achten, sondern im zehnten, eilften und zwanzigsten Kapitel des zweyten Buchs von Aristoteles Rhetorik. Einem Manne, der anderer Scribenten Allegate mit so grossem Geschrey berichtigt, kann man diesen Dienst ja auch thun.' This seems, however, to be a question of different editions. Lessing's statement (LXXV, 41) that Aristotle's interpreters had not referred to the Rhetoric is wrong. Robortello had done so (pp. 151 ff. of his edition), and Heinsius, De tragediae constitutione (ed. cit. pp. 71 f., 74); also Dacier (ed. cit. p. 189) and L. Racine (Œwres, ed cit. vi, p. 389). In view of this there is no reason, here at least, to claim Heinsius's treatise as a source of Lessing's opinion, as does M. Zerbst (Ein Vorläufer Lessings in der Aristoteles-interpretation, Jena, 1887, pp. 27 f.).

impending evil which is destructive or painful in its nature'; and in chapter viii 'pity' as 'a sort of pain at an evident evil of a destructive or painful kind in the case of somebody who does not deserve it, the evil being one to which we may naturally expect ourselves or some one of our own friends to be liable, and this at a time when it appears to be near at hand'. And later in chapter viii:

Again, we experience a feeling of compassion, when the danger which threatens others approaches ourselves We compassionate those who are like ourselves in age, character, habit of mind, reputation or family, as in all these cases there appears to be a greater probability of the same misfortune happening to ourselves as to them; for in regard to compassion again it is a general principle to be observed that whatever moves us to fear, when it affects ourselves, moves us to compassion, when it affects other people.<sup>1</sup>

The sentence quoted by Lessing in the original in his note (LXXV, 72)<sup>2</sup> is from chapter v of book ii: 'generally speaking too, a thing is formidable to us, if it excites compassion when it happens or threatens to happen to others.'<sup>3</sup>

Thus, Lessing concludes (LXXV, 96), Aristotle's conception of pity necessarily includes fear, for nothing excites our pity save that which at the same time can awaken our fear. Why he found it necessary to mention fear at all is a question to which I return immediately. Meanwhile, it might be noted that this Aristotelian definition of tragic fear as a fear for ourselves was more generally held than the contrary opinion maintained by Mendelssohn. It is implicit, for instance, in Batteux' statement:

La pitié émeut nos entrailles, parce que nous voyons notre semblable malheureux. La terreur nous resserre le cœur, parce que nous craignons pour nous le malheur que nous voyons dans les autres: mais cette crainte est mêlée d'une certaine douceur qui vient de la comparaison secréte que nous faisons de notre état avec celui du malheureux qui souffre.<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> My quotations are again from J. E. C. Welldon's translation, London, 1886, pp. 133, 149, 152

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The comment of Portus (Aristotelis .. Rhetoricæ, libri III, Speyer, 1598, II, x, p. 213) criticised here by Lessing runs in English: 'Finally, to speak simply, things are to be feared which, when they have come into the power of others, or are about to come (Lessing would read 'when they have happened, or are about to happen'), are to be pitied.'

Welldon's translation, p. 136.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Principes de la littérature, v, 11, chap. iii (ed. cit. iii, pp. 73 f.); Ramler's translation (Leipzig, 1762), ii, p. 273.

by the representation of. .bodily pain', 1 might have called forth further speculation on Lessing's part on the subject of sympathy in tragedy: but Laokoon afforded no opportunity of pursuing the matter. In the Dramaturgie (LXXIV, 102) he quotes a long passage containing Mendelssohn's definition of pity, but in the more elaborated form which Mendelssohn had given to it in his Rhapsodie uber die Empfindungen, published in 1761 in his Philosophische Schriften.<sup>2</sup> Referring here to what he had formerly written in the last part of his Briefe uber die Empfindungen 'uber die vermischten Empfindungen, die von Lust und Unlust zusammengesetzt sind', Mendelssohn insists that pity is a mixed feeling, a combination of love for an object and displeasure at the misfortune which overtakes it. But there can be many kinds of pity: pitying fear, pitying terror.... Why should not all kinds of unpleasant feelings, fear, terror, anger, jealousy, desire for vengeance, even envy, not spring from pity? Lessing does not see how anyone even Aristotle—could disagree with this definition of 'Mitleid' (LXXV, 1). How then is Aristotle's credit to be saved? Lessing discovers the means in a passage which he quotes (LXXVI, 86) from the thirteenth chapter of the Poetics. Aristotle there says that a bad man must not be seen passing from misery to happiness, for this is the most untragic form possible; it has not one of the requisites of Tragedy; it does not appeal to the human feeling in us (φιλάνθρωπον) nor does it call forth pity or fear. Nor, on the other hand, should an extremely bad man be seen falling from happiness into misery. Such a story may arouse the human feeling in us, but it will not move us to either pity or fear.

In this 'Philanthropie'—that is to say, disinterested, 'unfearing' sympathy for our fellowmen<sup>4</sup>—Lessing finds the solution to his

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Pt. 1, 11 (4th ed. London, 1774, p. 44). Cp. also p. 43: 'Pain never calls forth any very lively sympathy unless it is accompanied with danger. We sympathize with the fear, though not with the agony of the sufferer. Fear, however, is a passion derived altogether from the imagination, which represents, with an uncertainty and fluctuation that increases our anxiety, not what we really feel, but what we may hereafter possibly suffer.'

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Lessing also refers to this work in his *Laokoon* (xxii, *Schriften*, ix, p. 139). The passage quoted will be found in Mendelssohn's *Gesammelte Schriften*, ed. cit. i, pp. 248 ff.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Cp. Philosophische Schriften, Berlin, 1777, ii, pp. 28 ff; Gesammelte Schriften, ed. cit. i, pp. 248 f.

Lessing passes in review (LXXVI, 94) the various translations of  $\phi\iota\lambda\dot{\alpha}\nu\theta\rho\omega\pi\sigma\nu$  by the commentators. The ordinary Latin translation—that of Du Val—is 'hominibus gratum' (this would appear to go back to Victorius, from whom it was

difficulty of 1756, when he spoke of Aristotle's 'false notion' of 'Mitleid'-false in so far as it prevented the application of the word 'Mitleid' to the feelings awakened in us alike by the just retribution befalling an utterly evil person and the sufferings of a too perfect character. He quotes (LXXVI, 105) Curtius's limitation of the compassionate feeling for the vicious person to cases where his suffering befalls him when he is innocent (ed. cit. p. 191); but as Mendelssohn had shown in a graphic passage, which Lessing quotes (117) (it is the 'Beschluss' to the Briefe uber die Empfindungen, Gesammelte Schriften, ed. cit. i, p. 174), such limitation is not feasible. We do suffer with the evil person under all circumstances. Aristotle, he asserts (LXXVI, 72): betrachtet das Mitleid nach seinen primitiven Regungen, er betrachtet es blos als Affekt.... Mitleidige Regungen, ohne Furcht fur uns selbst, nennt er Philanthropie: und nur den starkern Regungen dieser Art, welche mit Furcht fur uns selbst verknupft sind, giebt er den Namen des Mitleids.' The excessively evil person may be beyond the pale of our pity and yet arouse in us this 'Philanthropie'. So, too, may be the too virtuous hero, by whose undeserved sufferings we (who do

adopted by Antonio Riccoboni), the French '[ce qui] peut faire quelque plaisir' (Dacier, p. 181); the German 'was Vergnugen machen kann' (cp. Curtius, p. 25); Goulston, on the other hand, whom Lessing holds to be alone correct, translates it by 'quod humanitatis sensu tangat' (Aristotelis de Poetica liber, Latine conversus et analytica methodo illustratus, London, 1623, p. 27). Daniel Heinsius has been suggested as Lessing's source here, as in one passage of his translation of the Poetics he renders the Greek word by 'aliquid gratum hominibus' (De Tragædiæ constitutione, ed. cit. p. 269); but the fact that Lessing does not refer to the more picturesque interpretation which Heinsius also gives, 'communi lege ac vinculo humanitatis' (1bid; cp. also Aristotelis de Poetica Liber, Leyden, 1611, p. 28)—an interpretation which surely might have appealed to him, as he seems to have approved of it laterdoes not lend support to the view that he consulted Heinsius when he was writing this section That Lessing was at a later date familiar with Heinsius is to be seen from a letter to Eschenburg of April 25, 1772, concerning the latter's translation of Hurd's Commentary on Horace's Epistles (Schriften, xviii, p. 37): 'Ich wunschte, dass Sie aus der Erklarung des Aristotelischen φιλανθρωπον das Wort Pflichtmassig wegliessen. Sie scheinen es aus dem lege der Heinsiusschen Umschreibung genommen zu haben, wo es aber nicht absolute stehet, sondern auf humanitatis geht, und so viel als vinculo humanitatis seyn soll. Das Pflichtmassige ware, meiner Meinung nach, gerade wieder das  $\phi \iota \lambda \alpha \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma \nu$ . Denn es ware ohnstreitig unsere Pflicht, uns uber das Ungluck eines Bosewichts zu freuen: wenn Pflicht das heisst, was dem positiven Gesetze gemass ist. Aber dieser Pflicht ungeachtet, konnen wir ihn nicht ganz ohne Mitleid lassen, weil dieser Bosewicht doch ein Mensch ist.' But I can find no certain evidence that Heinsius's De Tragadia constitutione was one of Lessing's direct sources when he was engaged on the Dramaturgie. (See above, p. 358, note 2.)

not feel ourselves perfect) are not moved, and in consequence are not tempted to put ourselves in the place of the sufferer. 'We are right', he adds (140), 'when we include "Philanthropie" under the name of pity. But Aristotle was also not wrong when he gave it a special name, in order, as we have said, to distinguish it from the highest degree of compassionate feeling, in which, by the addition of a probable fear for ourselves, it becomes an active emotion.' As will be seen, Lessing returns to these 'philanthropischen Gefuhle' for an explanation of τῶν τοιούτων παθημάτων in the definition of tragedy.

As early as his letter of November [13], 1756, Lessing had expressed the opinion that the only emotion which it is the business of tragedy to awaken in the spectator is pity. 'Kurz, ich finde keine einzige Leidenschaft, die das Trauerspiel in dem Zuschauer rege macht, als das Mitleiden.' He does not, however, deny that it may also awaken both 'Schrecken' and 'Bewunderung'; but 'diese sind keine Leidenschaften, nach meinem Verstande'. He objects, as we have seen, to the inclusion of 'Schrecken', because he had learned from Mendelssohn that this was a mere modification of 'Mitleid'. But then comes the question, if 'Schrecken' is only a form of 'Mitleid' why should Aristotle have expressly mentioned it? Mendelssohn was frankly of opinion that it ought not to have been mentioned, and proposed the substitution of 'Bewunderung'. In April, 1757, when Lessing discovered that he ought to have written 'Furcht', not 'Schrecken', he still had difficulty in explaining its presence in the definition. 'Die Furcht', he said, '... [kann] keine unmittelbare Wirkung des Trauerspiels seyn, sondern sie muss weiter nichts als eine reflectirte Idee seyn'; the only reason he can see for any mention of it by Aristotle is that it was in his opinion the means whereby pity brought about the catharsis. But if this was Aristotle's opinion, he was wrong. 'Das Mitleiden reiniget unsre Leidenschaften, aber nicht vermittelst der Furcht, auf welchen Einfall den Aristoteles sein falscher Begriff von dem Mitleiden gebracht hat.'2 In the Dramaturgie, however, Lessing returns to the matter with increased respect for the infallibility of Aristotle. 'Aristoteles wurde nicht sagen, Mitleiden und Furcht; wenn er unter der Furcht weiter nichts als eine blosse Modification des Mitleids verstunde' (LXXIV, 99). This great 'economist of words' might just as well have said: 'die Tragodie soll durch Erregung des Mitleids die Reinigung unserer Leidenschaft bewirken' (LXXVII, 6).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Schriften, xvii, p. 65, Petsch, op. at p. 52.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Schriften, xvii, p. 98; Petsch, op. cit. p. 105.

The reason which Lessing now offers for Aristotle's mention of fear is that, although it is superfluous in so far as it is included in pity, he wished also to indicate what passions in us tragedy is to purify; and of these fear is one. Fear may be a necessary ingredient of pity, but the converse is not true: when the tragedy is over, our pity ceases, but the fear (for ourselves) remains, and helps to complete the purification of that emotion in us. Thus Lessing returns after all—although with a different justification for Aristotle's mention of 'fear'—to his first conviction that the business of tragedy is to awaken pity and pity only: 'die Tragodie...[ist] ein Gedicht, welches Mitleid erreget' (LXXVII, 36).

Having arrived at these conclusions on the nature of pity and fear as tragic effects, Lessing does not miss the opportunity of attacking Corneille for his 'accommodation' of the Aristotelian definition to his own needs (LXXV, 121). In his Discours de la Tragédie Corneille had argued, in order to justify his own 'martyr tragedy' Polyeucte, that Aristotle's use of the disjunctive—'neither fear nor pity'—implied that it was sufficient for the purpose of tragedy if only one of these were present:

Cependant, quelque difficulté qu'il y aye à trouver cette purgation effective et sensible des passions par le moyen de la pitié et de la crainte, il est aisé de nous accommoder avec Aristote. Nous n'avons qu'à dire que par cette façon de s'énoncer il n'a pas entendu que ces deux moyens y servissent toujours ensemble; et qu'il suffit selon lui de l'un des deux pour faire cette purgation, avec cette différence toutefois, que la pitié n'y peut arriver sans la crainte, et que la crainte peut y parvenir sans la pitié. ... Cette explication se trouvera autorisée par Aristote même, si nous voulons bien peser la raison qu'il rend de l'exclusion de ces événements qu'il désapprouve dans la tragédie. Il ne dit jamais: Celui-là n'y est pas propre, parce qu'il n'excite que de la pitié et ne fait point naître de crainte; et cet autre n'y est pas supportable, parce qu'il n'excite que de la crainte, et ne fait point naître de pitié; mais il les rebute, parce, dit-il, qu'ils n'excitent ni pitié ni crainte, et nous donne à connoître par là que c'est par le manque de l'une et de l'autre qu'ils ne lui plaisent pas, et que s'ils produisoient l'une des deux, il ne leur refuseroit point son suffrage.2

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Lessing is wrong in his statement in a note to St. LXXV (109) that Corneille had written all his plays before he turned his attention to Aristotle. He may have been misled by Corneille's mention of his 'cinquante and de travail' in the first Discours. But see above, p. 102.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Euvres, ed. cst i, pp. 60 f. The translation in the *Dramaturgie* is (in all but a few words) that of the *Beytrage* (ii, pp. 221 ff.), which, it will be remembered, I have claimed for Lessing himself.

Dacier had overlooked this very vulnerable aspect of Corneille's theory (LXXVI, 1); and Lessing's attention may possibly have been drawn to it by Calepio's *Paragone della poessa tragica d'Italia con quella di Francia.* Calepio had stated here (Capo 1, art. i, pp. 5 f.):

[Cornelio] affetta in sembianza di seguire i precetti, che lasciocci Aristotele, e nel mostrar l'utile, che la tragedia ha per proprio fine, allega (Note: Discor. 2) que testi, che stabiliscono consister la perfezione della favola tragica nel muover la compassione, ed il timore per mezzo d'un attore illustre, che cada per qualche errore di felicità in miseria: ma poi veggendo poco corrispondere a tal regola molte delle sue tragedie s'ingegna con sue nuove interpetrazioni di far servire i precetti del greco maestro al sostenimento delle medesime; però soggiunge egli, che Aristotele non giudicando essenziali alla favola tragica le sentenze ed i discorsi instruttivi, nè potendo rinvenire altra ferma utilità, volle sostituirne una la qual non è forse se non imaginaria; perciocche il purgamento delle sopradette passioni non pare che segua nelle tragedie stesse, ove si ritrovano le condizioni che richiede quel Filosofo. Quindi conchiude che la più tolerabile spiegazione che si possa dare a' passi della sua poetica, si è il dire, ch' egli non intenda esser necessarie amendue le commozioni, e che l'una possa bastar senza l' altra.

### ADMIRATION

In the *Dramaturgie* Lessing avoided a controversy on which he had had something to say in 1756, namely, how far 'admiration' ('Bewunderung') is an emotion legitimately called forth by tragedy. The matter is only touched on in passing: 'Wenn heldenmuthige Gesinnungen Bewunderung erregen sollen: so muss der Dichter nicht zu verschwenderisch damit umgehen; denn was man ofters, was man an mehrern sieht, horet man auf zu bewundern' (1, 89); and again (LXXV,49): 'ich mochte wohl horen, was sie [Aristotle's commentators] aus ihrem Kopfe antworten wurden, wenn man sie fragte: warum z. E. die Tragodie nicht eben so wohl Mitleid und Bewunderung,<sup>2</sup> als Mitleid und Furcht, erregen konne und durfe?'

<sup>1</sup> See especially Letters 1 and ii. (Bodmer's correspondence with Calepio was published later, in his *Critische Briefe* (1746).) For other evidence of Lessing's probable familiarity with Calepio's treatise see pp. 172, 292; see also below, pp. 366 (note 3), 367, 420. In his review of F. Braitmaier, *Geschichte der poetischen Theorie und Kritik* (Frauenfeld, 1888), in the *Anzeiger für deutsches Altertum*, xvii (1891), pp. 55 ff, O. F. Walzel discusses the influence of the *Paragone* on Lessing.

<sup>2</sup> This was what Mendelssohn demanded (see below, p. 367). Vossius in *Poeticarum Institutionum libri tres*, II, cap. XIII, § 19 (Amsterdam, 1647, ii, p. 64) was apparently the first to suggest the addition of τὸ θαυμαστόν to the effects of tragedy, he was, no doubt, influenced by Corneille. Cp. C. Arnaud, *Étude sur la Vie et les Œuvres de l'Abbé d'Aubignac et sur les théories dramatiques au* XVII<sup>e</sup> siècle, Paris, 1887, pp. 154 f.

In his Abhandlung vom Trauerspiele Nicolai had said of Corneille's 'heroic tragedies', which excite admiration without terror and pity: 'Wir glauben, ..dass eine solche Gattung, wo nicht unmoglich, dennoch sehr schwer auszufuhren, und deswegen gar nicht anzurathen sey.' Lessing, in his letter of November, 1756, did not object to the inclusion of admiration, but he insisted on the subordination of both admiration and terror to pity—since the real purpose of tragedy is to awaken pity, whereas terror and admiration are, in his view, 'der Anfang und das Ende des Mitleids' He expressed himself still more emphatically a little farther on in the same letter:

Die Bewunderung...ist das entbehrlich gewordene Mitleid. Da aber das Mitleid das Hauptwerk ist, so muss es folglich so selten als möglich entbehrlich werden; der Dichter muss seinen Held nicht zu sehr, nicht zu anhaltend der blossen Bewunderung aussetzen, und Cato als ein Stoiker ist mir ein schlechter tragischer Held. Der bewunderte Held ist der Vorwurf der Epopee; der bedauerte des Trauerspiels.<sup>3</sup>

The last distinction is one that is repeatedly insisted on by Batteux.<sup>4</sup> Finally, in his letter of December 18, 1756, Lessing stated his view in its most complete form, namely, that admiration in tragedy must only be an element in pity, pity itself being an emotion made up of admiration and pain:

Die Bewunderung findet also in dem Trauerspiele nicht als ein besonderer Affekt Statt, sondern blos als die eine Halfte des Mitleids. Und in dieser Betrachtung habe ich auch Recht gehabt, sie nicht als einen besondern Affekt, sondern nur nach ihrem Verhaltnisse gegen das Mitleiden zu erklaren. Und in diesem Verhaltnisse, sage ich noch, soll sie der Ruhepunkt des Mitleidens seyn.<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Petsch, op. cit p. 20. <sup>2</sup> Schriften, xvii, p. 66; Petsch, op. cit. p. 53.

<sup>4</sup> See especially *Principes de la littérature*, iv, ch. v, and v, ii, ch. iii (ed. cit. ii, pp. 198 f., iii, p. 72).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Schriften, xvii, p. 68; Petsch, op. cit. p. 56. Petsch draws attention (p. xxi) to the fact that Lessing's phrases echo the comment of the German translator of Le Bossu (Abhandlung vom Heldengedicht nach der neusten franzosischen Ausgabe übersetzet und mit einigen critischen Anmerkungen begleitet von D. Johann Heinrich Z\*\*\*. Nebst einer Vorrede Hrn Georg Friedr. Meiers, Halle, 1753, see especially pp. 316 f) which Lessing had reviewed (Schriften, v, pp. 193 f.). Calepio had maintained in his correspondence with Bodmer (Briefwechsel von der Natur des poetischen Geschmackes, Zurich, 1736, p. 103) that Cato was not suited to be a tragic hero.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Schriften, xvii, p. 80; Petsch, op. cit. p. 81. 'Ruhepunkt des Mitleidens' is Mendelssohn's phrase in the letter to which Lessing's is a reply (cp. Schriften, xix, pp. 56 f.; Petsch, op. cit. pp. 76, 78).

Mendelssohn and Nicolai, on the other hand, came to an agreement:

dass sowohl Bewunderung als Mitleiden den moralischen Geschmack beschaftigen konnen, und 1ch wunsche mit dem Hrn Nikolai, dass man kunftig statt Schrecken und Mitleiden, Bewunderung und Mitleiden setzen mochte, weil das Schrecken blos eine besondre Modifikation des Mitleidens 1st.<sup>1</sup>

But Mendelssohn also realised that 'Bewunderung ohne Mitleiden, ohne Schrecken ist fur die Dichtkunst uberhaupt, und um so viel mehr fur das Theater, ein gar zu kalter Affect'.<sup>2</sup>

Walzel is inclined to see here the influence of Calepio, who in his Paragone (cap. ii, art. i) had insisted on the subordination of admiration because it introduced into tragedy an untragic moral purpose.<sup>3</sup> But the matter had been put quite trenchantly enough by Dacier, who held that Aristotle would have excluded Corneille's Nicomède from his tragedies, since the poet here

n'a travaillé qu'à exciter l'admiration dans l'ame du spectateur, et...en s'éloignant des préceptes d'Aristote, a crû trouver une maniere nouvelle de purger les passions;...Mais ce n'est nullement le but de la Tragedie, de purger les passions par l'admiration, qui est une passion trop douce pour produire un si grand effet; elle n'employe que la crainte et la pitié, et laisse regner l'admiration dans le Poème Epique auquel elle est plus propre et plus necessaire, et où elle a plus de temps pour agir sur les habitudes et sur les mœurs.<sup>4</sup>

The question of admiration is largely bound up with that of the kinds of characters appropriate to tragedy; and to this I return later. Meanwhile, it is clear that Lessing's views on the subject had undergone no material change between his correspondence of 1756–57 and the *Dramaturgie*; that is to say, he still considered that 'Bewunderung' might be an element in tragic effect, but it must be strictly subordinate

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Schriften, xix, pp. 83 f.; Petsch, op. cit. p. 119.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The statement occurs in a review of Wieland's Clementina von Porretta in the Litteraturbriefe (No. cxxii, August 21, 1760; Gesammelte Schriften, ed. cit. iv, 2, p. 146). Lessing echoes this opinion in Laokoon (i, Schriften, ix, p. 10): 'Sieht man ihn sein Elend mit grosser Seele ertragen, so wird diese grosse Seele zwar unsere Bewunderung erwecken, aber die Bewunderung ist ein kalter Affekt, dessen unthatiges Staunen jede andere warmere Leidenschaft, so wie jede andere deutliche Vorstellung, ausschliesset.'

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Anzerger fur deutsches Altertum, xv11 (1891), pp. 55 ff. (esp. pp. 66 ff.)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Poétique d'Aristote, ed. cit. p. 148. Cp. also Dacier's note to chap. xiii (pp. 185 ff.).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> See below, pp. 415 ff., 423 f.

and sparingly used; for as he had written to Mendelssohn in December, 1756, 'just in the proportion in which admiration on the one hand increases, pity on the other decreases'.

## THE PURPOSE OF TRAGEDY AND THE KATHARSIS

Thus from the first Lessing was emphatically of the opinion that the end or purpose of tragedy is to awaken pity. Nicolai had proposed in his Abhandlung vom Trauerspiele to substitute for 'den Satz...den man dem Aristoteles so oft nachgesprochen hat, es sey der Zweck des Trauerspiels die Leidenschaften zu reinigen oder die Sitten zu bilden' another, namely, 'de[r] Zweck des Trauerspiels [sey] die Erregung der Leidenschaften'. Lessing replied cautiously; he admitted the practical disadvantage of a utilitarian doctrine of tragedy—'das Trauerspiel soll bessern'; and he recognised at least that Nicolai's definition would produce good tragedies; but he was not satisfied that Nicolai was justified in throwing over the old theory. One aspect of it represents the means, the other the end; both are necessary. He wrote:

Das meiste wird darauf ankommen: was das Trauerspiel fur Leidenschaften erregt. In seinen Personen kann es alle mogliche Leidenschaften wirken lassen, die sich zu der Wurde des Stoffes schicken. Aber werden auch zugleich alle diese Leidenschaften in den Zuschauern rege? Wird er freudig? wird er verliebt? wird er zornig? wird er rachsuchtig? Ich frage nicht, ob ihn der Poet so weit bringt, dass er diese Leidenschaften in der spielenden Person billiget, sondern ob er ihn so weit bringt, dass er diese Leidenschaften selbst fühlt, und nicht blos fühlt, ein andrer fühle sie?<sup>3</sup>

This recalls the implications of an argument used by Batteux:

Elles [i e. passions, such as anger, envy, cruelty, despair, etc.] peuvent se trouver dans les acteurs; mais ce ne doit être que pour en produire d'autres, différentes d'elles, dans les spectateurs. Car il faut observer que les sentimens ne sont pas les mêmes dans les uns et dans les autres: l'orgueil dans les acteurs produit l'envie dans les spectateurs: la

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Schriften, xvii, p. 81; Petsch, op. cit p. 83.

Letter of August 31, 1756 (Schriften, xix, p. 40; Petsch, op. cit. p. 47); cp. also Abhandlung, Petsch, op. cit. p. 5. Nicolai's view agrees with that of Mendelssohn in his Briefe uber die Empfindungen, xiii (Gesammelte Schriften, ed. cit. 1, pp. 157 f.): 'Der Zweck des Trauerspiels ist, Leidenschaft zu erregen; und das schwarzeste Laster, das zu diesem Endzwecke leitet, ist auf der Schaubuhne willkommen.'

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Schriften, xvii, p. 65; Petsch, op. cit. p. 52.

cruauté produit l'horreur, la douleur la compassion, la perfidie l'indignation; ainsi du reste. Le sceau qui caractérise la Tragédie est donc l'espèce du sentiment, non qu'elle contient, mais qu'elle produit.<sup>1</sup>

And Batteux' conclusion is that the only passions which tragedy awakens in the spectator are 'la terreur et la pitié'. With this, too, Lessing agreed, or would have agreed, had not Mendelssohn's more comprehensive definition of 'Mitleid' absolved him from the necessity of mentioning 'Schrecken'. But in any case, it was the awakening of pity on which Batteux laid emphasis: 'Vous avez l'idée d'une Tragédie parfaite. Il n'y a point de doute que ce ne soit celle qui touche le plus vivement, et le plus long-temps le Spectateur.' Thus Lessing concluded in 1756, as later in his *Dramaturgie*, that the essential purpose of tragedy was to awaken 'Mitleid'. 'Das Trauerspiel soll so viel Mitleid erwecken, als es nur immer kann.'

When Lessing insists that the object of tragedy is to awaken our pity—to move us—he is expressing a conviction that had been strengthened in him by his predilection, practical as well as theoretical, for the 'burgerliches Trauerspiel'. This same conviction is expressed in the preface which he wrote to the volume of Des Herrn Jacob Thomson sämtliche Trauerspiele (1756), where he says that he would rather be the author of The Merchant of London than of Der sterbende Cato:

Denn warum? Bey einer einzigen Vorstellung des erstern sind, auch von den Unempfindlichsten, mehr Thranen vergossen worden, als bey allen moglichen Vorstellungen des andern, auch von den Empfindlichsten, nicht können vergossen werden. Und nur diese Thranen des Mitleids, und der sich fuhlenden Menschlichkeit, sind die Absicht des Trauerspiels, oder es kann gar keine haben.<sup>4</sup>

And some years later, in the famous seventeenth *Litteraturbrief* (February, 1759), in which he places Shakespeare above the French classics, he states that his tragic quality is the 'Gewalt über unsere Leidenschaften'; the more Shakespeare moves us, the greater he is. Finally, it is unnecessary to point out where the sympathies of the translator of Diderot were likely to lie in this matter.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Principes de la littérature, v, 11, ch. 111 (ed. cit. 111, pp. 74 f.).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Principes de la littérature, I, ii, ch. viii (ed cit. i, pp. 120 f.).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Schriften, xvii, p. 67; Petsch, op. cit. p. 55. See also above, p. 363.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Schriften, vii, p. 68. Even in the Dramaturgie we still hear of the 'angenehmen [Thranen], die das Trauerspiel erregen will' (1, 115).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Schriften, viii, p. 43.

In all this it is noticeable that Lessing has very little to say concerning a function of tragedy on which Corneille had laid emphasis, namely that of affording pleasure to the spectator. Towards the end of the letter to Nicolai of November, 1756, in which he discusses the part of pity in tragedy, we find, however:

Beyder Nutzen, des Trauerspiels sowohl als des Lustspiels, ist von dem Vergnugen unzertrennlich; denn die ganze Halfte des Mitleids und des Lachens ist Vergnugen, und es ist grosser Vortheil für den dramatischen Dichter, dass er weder nutzlich, noch angenehm, eines ohne das andere seyn kann.<sup>1</sup>

And in the *Dramaturgie* (in a passage quoted below<sup>2</sup>) he does speak once of 'the pleasure which a true and lively depiction of manners and characters affords' (xxxv, 97). That it is one of the functions of art to give pleasure was perhaps too obvious a commonplace to require particular emphasis.<sup>3</sup>

On the other hand, it will have been noticed that Lessing was more inclined than his two friends to insist on the moral purpose of tragedy. It is true that he agrees with Batteux in thinking that it is not necessary for tragedy to embody a 'moralische Lehre' (xII, 19):

Ich will nicht sagen, dass es ein Fehler ist, wenn der dramatische Dichter seine Fabel so einrichtet, dass sie zur Erlauterung oder Bestatigung irgend einer grossen moralischen Wahrheit dienen kann. Aber ich darf sagen, dass diese Einrichtung der Fabel nichts weniger als nothwendig ist.<sup>5</sup>

And again, when with reference to his own Abhandlung von dem Wesen der Fabel, he compares the drama with the fable (xxxv, 93), he writes:

Das Drama hingegen macht auf eine einzige, bestimmte, aus seiner Fabel fliessende Lehre, keinen Anspruch; es gehet entweder auf die

<sup>1</sup> Schriften, xvii, p. 67; Petsch, op. cit. pp. 54 f.

<sup>2</sup> See below, p. 371.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> In Laokoon, ii (Schriften, 1x, p. 13) we find: 'Der Endzweck der Kunste hingegen ist Vergnugen; und das Vergnugen ist entbehrlich. Also darf es allerdings von dem Gesetzgeber abhangen, welche Art von Vergnugen, und in welchem Maasse er jede Art desselben verstatten will.'

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Cp. Principes de la littérature, ed. cit. iii, p. 88: 'Nous pouvons cependant dire en général que ce n'est point une maxime comme dans l'Apologue, ni aucune leçon d'instruction, qui s'adresse d'abord à l'esprit pour être ensuite appliquée à la conduite. Si on veut que la Tragédie soit une leçon d'instruction, j'ose dire qu'on va contre son objet.'

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Curtius, in his Abhandlung von der Absicht des Trauerspiels (Aristoteles Dichtkunst, ed. cit. p. 392) had also said: 'Es ist deswegen nicht nothwendig, dass ein jedes Trauerspiel nur eine moralische Wahrheit in sich fasse. Man hat zu geschwinde von der Einheit der Handlung auf die Einheit der Moral geschlossen.'

Leidenschaften, welche der Verlauf und die Glucksveranderungen seiner Fabel anzufachen, und zu unterhalten vermogend sind, oder auf das Vergnugen, welches eine wahre und lebhafte Schilderung der Sitten und Charaktere gewahret.

This view Lessing had already expressed forcibly and unambiguously in his article on Seneca in the *Theatralische Bibliothek* many years before:

Eigentlich halte ich es eben für keine Nothwendigkeit, dass aus der Fabel eines Trauerspiels eine gute Lehre fliessen musse, wenn uns nur einzelne Stellen von nutzlichen Wahrheiten unterrichten Allein so viel wird doch wenigstens nothwendig seyn, dass man auch keine bose Lehre daraus folgern konne....Alles, was man also zur Entschuldigung dieser beyden alten Muster anführen kann, ist dieses, dass sie es für ganz unnothig gehalten haben, an die Moral des Ganzen zu denken, und dass sie ihre Tragodien nicht so gemacht haben, wie sie uns eine sogenannte critische Dichtkunst zu machen lehret. Erst eine Wahrheit sich vorzustellen, und hernach eine Begebenheit dazu zu suchen, oder zu erdichten, war die Art ihres Verfahrens gar nicht.<sup>1</sup>

No great weight need be attached to isolated dicta at the beginning of the *Dramaturgie*, such as that the dramatist 'wenn er sich zu dem Pobel herablasst, lasst sich nur darum zu ihm herab, um ihn zu erleuchten und zu bessern' (1, 136), and that 'das Theater die Schule der moralischen Welt seyn soll' (11, 8); but in St. LXXVII (143) he expresses himself emphatically: 'Bessern sollen uns alle Gattungen der Poesie: es ist klaglich, wenn man dieses erst beweisen muss; noch klaglicher ist es, wenn es Dichter giebt, die selbst daran zweifeln.'

Lessing has often been reproached for this insistence on the moral purpose of poetry; but unfairly, for the conception of an amoral aestheticism was virtually unknown to the eighteenth century. Even Corneille, who insisted that the primary object of tragedy was to give pleasure, had much to say about its 'utilité'; and later eighteenth-century theory in France, from Voltaire to Marmontel<sup>4</sup> and Diderot,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Schriften, vi, pp. 196 f.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Cp. Voltaire's 'la véritable Tragédie est l'école de la vertu' (*Dissertation* prefixed to *Sémiramis*, *Œuvres*, *ed. cit.* ix, p. 31), and Dacier's 'leur Theatre étoit une école où la vertu étoit souvent mieux enseignée que dans les écoles des Philosophes' (*Poétique d'Aristote*, *ed. cit.* Preface, p. [xxiii]).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> For him, as for Lessing, the 'purification' effected by tragedy implies the moral improvement of the spectator. Cp. Arnaud, op cit p 212.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> 'La Tragédie', says Marmontel (*Poétique françoise*, ed cit. ii, pp 99 f.), 'peut avoir deux fins, l'une prochaine, et l'autre éloignée. La première est de plaire en

laid increasing emphasis on the moral end of tragedy.¹ Neither Mendelssohn nor Nicolai, in spite of an apparently exclusive insistence that tragedy should only 'awaken passions', was at variance with Lessing on the question of ultimate moral betterment. They recognised, as clearly as Diderot, that the more the feelings of the spectator were harrowed, the greater the moral effect was likely to be. It was merely a question of keeping distinct the two issues.²

What then is the process whereby pity achieves its end in tragedy? In other words, what is Lessing's interpretation of the Aristotelian  $\kappa d\theta a \rho \sigma is$ ? The eighteenth century had before it a choice between a medical and a religious interpretation. According to the former, the Aristotelian purging is analogous to the medical use of the term. This is the generally accepted view to-day, first convincingly established by Jakob Bernays in 1857. Bernays was under the impression that his interpretation was new. Not only, however, had he an immediate German predecessor in Heinrich Weil, but, as Spingarn has shown, similar views are to be found in Minturno's De Poeta (1559) and L' Arte poetica (1563); and from Minturno the interpretation passed to Milton (preface to Samson Agonistes, 1671). But there is more to be said than this: the interpretation was generally familiar to the whole eighteenth century. It is quite unequivocally expressed by Dacier:

intéressant, et celle-là est indispensable: la seconde d'instruire et de corriger, et celle-ci, quoique moins essentielle au Poeme, en fait l'excellence et le prix.' And again (p. 145): 'Le but de la Tragédie est, selon nous, de corriger les mœurs en les imitant, par une action qui serve d'exemple.'

<sup>1</sup> This has been clearly brought out by F. Gaiffe, Le drame en France au XVIIIe

siècle, Paris, 1910 (see especially pp. 78 ff.).

<sup>2</sup> Cp. Nicolai, Abhandlung vom Trauerspiele (Petsch, op. cit. p. 11): 'Doch folget hieraus nicht, dass das Trauerspiel gar nichts zur Verbesserung der Leidenschaften beytragen, und also gar keinen moralischen Nutzen haben konne; man muss nur diesen entfernten Nutzen des Trauerspiels nicht zu weit ausdehnen und zum Hauptzwecke desselben machen.'

<sup>3</sup> Grundzuge der verlorenen Abhandlung des Arıstoteles uber Wirkung der Tragodue, Breslau, 1857; reprinted in Zwei Abhandlungen uber die Aristotelische Theorie des Dramas,

Berlin, 1880.

<sup>4</sup> H. Weil, Über die Wirkung der Tragödie nach Aristoteles (Verhandlungen der 10. Versammlung deutscher Philologen, Schulmänner und Orientalisten in Basel, Basel, 1848

(see Beilage, pp. 131 ff.)).

<sup>5</sup> See J. E. Spingarn, Literary Criticism in the Renaissance, 2nd ed. New York, 1908, pp. 79 ff. Cp. Minturno, De Poeta, Venice, 1559, i, pp. 61 f., 63 f. and L'Arte poetica, Venice, 1563, ii, p. 77, cp. also I. Bywater, Aristotle on the Art of Poetry, Oxford, 1909, pp. 152 ff., and Journal of Philology, xxvii, No. 54 (1900), pp. 267 ff.

On peut comparer en cette occasion Platon et Aristote à deux Medecins, dont l'un condamneroit une medecine, et l'autre l'approuveroit. ..La Tragedie est donc une veritable medecine, qui purge les passions, puisqu'elle apprend à l'ambitieux, à moderer son ambition; à l'impie, à craindre les Dieux; à l'emporté, à retenir sa colere, et ainsi du reste Mais c'est une medecine agreable, qui ne fait son effet que par le plaisir.¹

This alone was sufficient to give the medical interpretation general currency in Europe.<sup>2</sup> The religious interpretation would appear to go back to Lambin, a French commentator on Aristotle in the sixteenth century, who translated  $\kappa d\theta a \rho \sigma is$  'lustratio seu expiatio', and saw in it a kind of religious purification, a cleansing from spiritual dross.<sup>3</sup> Lambin's interpretation reappears in Heinsius.

Generally speaking, however, the eighteenth century was not interested in the original meaning or implication of  $\kappa \dot{\alpha} \theta \alpha \rho \sigma v_s$ , but was content to accept it in a figurative sense as 'moral purification'. There were two views on the question of the method by which such purification might be achieved. One of these was that the fear and pity engendered by tragedy render us so familiar with these emotions that we cease to shrink from them; that is to say, tragedy works ethically by moderating, damping, or rendering less acute the spectator's emotions. The other maintained that tragic pity and fear excite instead of damping our emotions, and thus by making our souls more sensitive, render us more ready to extend our sympathies and our fears; in other words, they make us more humane. The former of these views comes down from the Italian commentators Robortello, Vettori and Castelvetro; Nicolai mentions it in his Abhandlung, quoting Brumoy's authority in support of it; 4 and it is also Dacier's view. The second interpretation seems to be due to Heinsius. In his De Tragædiæ constitutione he says:

De affectibus autem, ita judicabat Aristoteles. Nec virtutes eos neque vitia esse. Cæterum, habitum quendam, quatenus et quando, gaudendum, dolendum, commiserandum, cæterique, è præscripto rationis, admittendi essent, comparare virum sapientem posse.... Talem è Tragœdiæ repræsentatione nasci.... Ad talem autem habitum reduci posse affectus, multa sunt quæ docent... iisque actionibus horrorem pariter et miserationem

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Poétique d'Aristote, ed. cit p. 83.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Louis Racine compares such explanations of the cathartic action of tragedy to inoculation for small-pox (*Œuvres*, ed cit. vi, p. 395).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Cp J. H. Reinkens, Aristoteles uber Kunst, besonders uber Tragodie, Vienna, 1870, p. 85.

<sup>4</sup> See above, p. 339, and note 1.

mouet. Quæ eosdem in humano animo affectus mitigant aut sedant. et si recte adhibeantur, defectum quoque eorum atque excessum expiant ac purgant. mediocritatem vero, quod hic primum est, relinquunt.

This view was espoused by Batteux in France; and from Batteux it passed to Lessing. In his first letter to Nicolai Lessing says:

Wenn es also wahr ist, dass die ganze Kunst des tragischen Dichters auf die sichere Erregung und Dauer des einzigen Mitleidens geht, so sage ich nunmehr, die Bestimmung der Tragodie ist diese: sie soll unsre Fahigkeit, Mitleid zu fuhlen, erweitern.

And in that to Mendelssohn of December 18, 1756:

Das Trauerspiel soll das Mitleiden nur uberhaupt uben, und nicht uns in diesem oder jenem Falle zum Mitleiden bestimmen...Ich lasse mich zum Mitleiden im Trauerspiele bewegen, um eine Fertigkeit im Mitleiden zu bekommen...ohne Zweisel [ist] derjenige der beste Mensch...der die grosste Fertigkeit im Mitleiden hat.<sup>2</sup>

These thoughts finally reappear in the *Dramaturgie* in the statement (LXXVIII, 116): 'diese Reinigung [beruhet] in nichts anders...als in der Verwandlung der Leidenschaften in tugendhafte Fertigkeiten.' Lessing's opinion would appear to come directly from Batteux. In his chapter 'Qu'elle [sie] peut être la fin morale de la Tragédie' Batteux writes:

On ne peut guéres disconvenir, je crois, que la Tragédie ne soit généralement parlant, un exercice de l'ame par des émotions tristes. Il n'est point de Tragédie qui ne s'annonce ainsi dès le premier vers. Les émotions répétées, doivent, comme tous les autres actes de l'ame, se changer en habitude, et l'effet de cette habitude, vertu ou non, ce que je n'examine pas encore, doit être nécessairement de rendre notre ame plus aisée à remuer et moins facile à abbatre par le malheur: toute habitude ayant pour effet essentiel de rendre plus facile l'exercice de la faculté qui est exercée, et d'accoutumer l'ame à l'objet qui l'exerce.

# And a few pages later he adds:

Mais pour que la Tragédie produisît ces utiles effets, il falloit que la terreur et la pitié fussent des passions vertueuses, et qu'elles ne missent pas un mal à la place d'un autre mal.<sup>3</sup>

Ed. cit. pp. 11 f., 13, 19. Cp. also M. Zerbst, op. cit. pp. 49 f.
 Schriften, xvii, pp. 66, 83; Petsch, op. cit. pp. 54, 84 f.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Principes de la littérature, v, ii, chap. iv (ed. cit. iii, pp. 92, 96). Ramler had translated 'Les émotions répétées...habitude' in the edition of 1769 (ii, p. 291; the chapter is omitted in the edition of 1762-63): 'Wiederholte Bewegungen

Lessing, however, was not content with the phrase 'Verwandlung in tugendhafte Fertigkeiten'; he sought an explanation of the process by which the 'Verwandlung' was effected. All Dacier could tell him in this matter was contained in the statement that the 'Peripateticiens' regarded the expression 'purger les passions' as equivalent to 'emporter l'excez [des passions] par où elles péchent, et les reduire à une juste moderation'. Here again, Batteux was more explicit:

Purger la terreur et la ptié, je crois que c'est les purifier, c'est-à-dire, leur ôter ce qu'elles peuvent avoir ou de trop ou d'étranger, qui les empêcheroit d'être aussi profitables qu'elles le seroient sans cela. On conçoit bien que la ptié et la terreur même peuvent être utiles à l'humanité; mais comment l'une et l'autre peuvent-elles cesser de l'être, faute d'être purifiées, ou purgées, puisque c'est le terme d'Aristote? ... Il faut donc que la terreur et la pitié, pour en faire deux vertus secourables, soient sans mêlange et sans excès. Si la terreur est mêlée d'horreur, elle effarouche l'ame, plutôt qu'elle ne l'affermit; si la pitié est mêlée de foiblesse, elle dégénere en pusillanimité. Si elles sont l'une et l'autre en-deçà d'un certain point, elles ne font qu'effleurer l'ame sans la remuer; si elles sont au-delà, elles l'emportent au loin, ou la pétrifient. Il falloit donc les réduire à leur point juste, les épurer, les dégager de tout ce qui pouvoit altérer leur nature, pour les rendre vraiment utiles à l'humanité.²

mussen, wie alle ubrigen Beschafftigungen der Seele, sich in Fertigkeiten verwandeln.' Batteux' theory is also enunciated by Curtius in his Abhandlung von der Absicht des Trauerspiels (Arıstoteles Dichtkunst, ed. cit p. 390): 'Wenn das Ungluck eines Fremden auf der Buhne uns lebhaft ruhret, so wird das Mitleiden und Erbarmen zu einer Fertigkeit der Seelen, und der Menschenfreund in den Logen und dem Parterre, bey dem die auf der Buhne vorgestellte Begebenheiten das Gefuhl der Menschlichkeit rege gemacht haben, wird auch in den Handlungen seines Lebens sich als ein Menschenfreund erweisen.' Another work might be quoted, Home's Elements of Criticism, which was also familiar to Lessing in the German translation (Grundsatze der Critik, Leipzig, 1763-66, 111, pp 272 f.): 'Ein pathetisches Werk, es mag episch oder dramatisch seyn, wirkt zu einer Fertigkeit in der Tugend, indem es Bewegungen erregt, die gute Handlungen hervorbringen, oder uns von denen abwenden, die lasterhaft und unregelmassig sind. Durch die haufigen Gemahlde von menschlichem Elend macht es die Seele menschlicher, und starkt uns, unsre eignen Unglucksfalle zu ertragen 'Mendelssohn provided a definition of 'Fertigkeit' in his Von der Herrschaft uber die Neigungen, which he sent to Lessing in manuscript in January, 1757 (cp. Schriften, xix, p. 64; Petsch, op. cit. p. 95). He says: 'Durch die Ubung (welche mit der Gewohnheit einerlei Wirkung hat) wird eine jede Fahigkeit in unserer Seele zu einer Fertigkeit. Eine Fertigkeit besteht in einem Vermogen, etwas so geschwind zu verrichten, dass wir uns nicht mehr alles dessen bewusst bleiben, was wir dabei vorgenommen' (Gesammelte Schriften, ed. cit. iv, 1, p. 41, also Petsch, op. cit. p. 131).

<sup>1</sup> Poétique d'Aristote, ed cit. p. 81.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Principes de la littérature, ed. cit. ni, pp. 97 f., 100.

Lessing recalls (LXXVIII, 118) the Aristotelian theory—which lies behind Batteux' statement—that virtue consists in the avoidance of the extremes of passion. It is to be found in book ii of the Nicomachean Ethics. 1 And he may also have remembered what Rapin had written on this particular point. In his Réflexions sur la Poétique d'Aristote et sur les ouvrages des Poètes anciens et modernes (Paris, 1674) that writer had advanced the following argument:

.. Elle [la Tragédie] rend l'homme modeste, en luy representant des Grands humiliés: et elle le rend sensible et pitoyable, en luy faisant voir sur le theatre les étranges accidens de la vie et les disgraces impreveues, ausquelles sont sujettes les personnes les plus importantes. Mais parce que l'homme est naturellement timide, et compatissant, il peut tomber dans une autre extremité, d'estre ou trop craintif, ou trop pitoyable: la trop grande crainte peut diminuer la fermeté de l'ame, et la trop grande compassion peut diminuer l'équité. La Tragedie s'occupe à regler ces deux foiblesses: elle fait qu'on s'apprivoise aux disgraces, en les voyant si frequentes dans les personnes les plus considerables: et qu'on cesse de craindre les accidens ordinaires, quand on en voit arriver de si extraordinaires aux Grands. Mais comme la fin de la Tragedie est d'apprendre aux hommes à ne pas craindre trop foiblement des disgraces communes, et à menager leur crainte, elle fait estat aussi de leur apprendre à menager leur compassion, pour des sujets qui la meritent. Car il y a de l'injustice d'estre touché des malheurs de ceux, qui meritent d'estre miserables.2

<sup>1</sup> Cp. especially chap vi: 'Virtue therefore, since like nature it is more exact and better than any art, must also aim at the mean-virtue of course meaning moral virtue or excellence; for it has to do with passions and actions, and it is these that admit of excess and deficiency and the mean. For instance, it is possible to feel fear, confidence, desire, anger, pity, and generally to be affected pleasantly and painfully, either too much or too little, in either case wrongly; but to be thus affected at the right times, and on the right occasions, and towards the right persons, and with the right object, and in the right fashion, is the mean course and the best course, and these are characteristics of virtue. And in the same way our outward acts also admit of excess and deficiency, and the mean or due amount. Virtue, then, has to deal with feelings or passions and with outward acts, in which excess is wrong and deficiency also is blamed, but the mean amount is praised and is right—both of which are characteristics of virtue' (translation of F. H. Peters, London, 1881, pp. 45 f.).

Rapin, Réflexions, Paris, 1674, pp. 169 ff. (Euvres, Amsterdam, 1709, ii, pp. 159 f.). I am indebted for this reference to G. Kettner (Zu Lessings Hamburgischer Dramaturgie, in Zeitschrift für deutsche Philologie, xxx (1898), pp. 237 ff.), who points out that Lessing shows a familiarity with Rapin in his Critische Briefe (1753), xvi (Schriften, v, p. 80) and in his Anmerkungen uber das Epigramm (Schriften, xi, p. 249). Louis Racine had also quoted Rapin's views in his Traité de la poésie dramatique

(Œuvres, ed. cit. vi, p. 393).

Corneille, Lessing recalls (LXXVIII, 17), had dealt with the Aristotelian  $\kappa \acute{a}\theta a\rho\sigma \iota s$  in his second discourse (De la Tragédie). He quotes (LXXVIII, 22) Corneille's interpretation of Aristotle's dictum that 'pity demands some one who suffers undeservedly while fear requires one of our like'—in the main the translation is that of the Beytrage.¹ The original is as follows

Ainsi la pitié embrasse l'intérêt de la personne que nous voyons souffrir, la crainte qui la suit regarde le nôtre, et ce passage seul nous donne assez d'ouverture pour trouver la manière dont se fait la purgation des passions dans la tragédie. La pitié d'un malheur où nous voyons tomber nos semblables nous porte à la crainte d'un pareil pour nous; cette crainte, au désir de l'éviter; et ce désir, à purger, modérer, rectifier, et même déraciner en nous la passion qui plonge à nos yeux dans ce malheur les personnes que nous plaignons, par cette raison commune, mais naturelle et indubitable, que pour éviter l'effet il faut retrancher la cause <sup>2</sup>

The logical inference from this reasoning, Lessing points out (30), would be that Aristotle meant tragedy to cure by purification all manner of 'passions' or emotions—curiosity, envy, ambition, etc.—but not the two essential ones, pity and fear. Fear would be merely an instrument in the process of purification. He observes that Dacier at least saw the purification of pity and fear to be essential, and translates a passage, the full text of which is in the original:

Voyons presentement comment elle [la tragedie] excite en nous la terreur et la compassion pour les purger; cela n'est pas bien difficile. Elle les excite en nous mettant devant les yeux les malheurs, que nos semblables se sont attirez par des fautes involontaires, et elle les purge, en nous rendant ces mêmes malheurs familiers, car elle nous apprend par là à ne les pas trop craindre, et à n'en être pas trop touchez quand ils arrivent veritablement....Voilà donc le premier effet de la Tragedie, elle purge la terreur et la compassion par elles-mêmes. C'est déja un assez grand bien qu'elle fait aux hommes, puisqu'elle les prépare à supporter courageusement tous les accidens les plus fâcheux, et qu'elle dispose les plus miserables à se trouver heureux, en comparant leurs malheurs avec ceux que la Tragedie leur represente; En quelque état qu'un homme puisse être, quand il verra un Edipe, un Philoctete, un Oreste, il ne pourra s'empêcher de trouver ses maux legers auprés des leurs. Mais la Tragedie n'en demeure pas là. En purgeant la terreur et la compassion, elle purge en même temps toutes les autres passions qui pourroient nous précipiter dans la même misere, car en étalant les fautes qui ont attiré sur ces malheureux les peines qu'ils souffrent, elle nous apprend à nous tenir sur nos gardes pour n'y pas tomber,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Cp. Beytrage, 1i, pp. 212 f. See also above, pp. 102, 364, note 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Discours de la tragédie, Œuvres, ed. cit. i, p. 53.

et à purger et moderer la passion qui a été la seule cause de leur perte. Par exemple, il n'y a personne qui en voyant l'Edipe de Sophocle, n'apprenne à corriger en soy la temerité et l'aveugle curiosité, car ce sont les seules causes de ses malheurs, et non pas ses crimes. Voilà quelle est la pensée d'Aristote, et quel est le but de la Tragedie.¹

This, however, says no more (LXXVIII, 100) than that pity purifies our fear, that is to say, it is only one of the four possible consequences of Aristotle's statement: the purification of pity by pity, of fear by fear, of fear by pity and of pity by fear. And his successors have not advanced the matter; they—and Lessing here instances Curtius<sup>2</sup>—have drawn into the definition of the purpose of tragedy a general instruction in moral virtue which, after all, is common to all kinds of literature.

Lessing, however, finds himself confronted by a difficulty: in stating the emotions which tragedy purifies Aristotle does not say τῶν τούτων παθημάτων, but τῶν τοιούτων παθημάτων. This had given—and still gives—trouble to the commentators. Robortello, for instance, restricted the phrase to fear and pity; so, too, did Castelvetro; while Maffei, in the preface to his Merope, proposed the omission of τοιούτων altogether from the definition. Corneille held that 'all passions' could be cured by the pity and fear excited by tragedy; such was also Dacier's view, but he qualifies his statement. He translates the text: 'achève de purger en nous ces sortes de passions, et toutes les autres semblables', but in his note he enlarges and distinguishes between two functions. The first is that tragedy 'purge la terreur et la compassion par elles-mêmes'. In the second place, he emphasises the purging of other passions.

En purgeant la terreur et la compassion, elle purge en même temps toutes les autres passions qui pourroient nous précipiter dans la même misère, car en étalant les fautes qui ont attiré sur ces malheureux les peines qu'ils souffrent, elle nous apprend à nous tenir sur nos gardes pour n'y pas tomber, et à purger et modérer la passion qui a été la seule cause de leur perte

Here, again, Lessing agrees with Batteux: he says (LXXVII, 124): 'Das τοιουτων bezieht sich lediglich auf das vorhergehende Mitleid

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Poétique d'Aristote, ed. cit. pp. 81 f.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Cp. op. cit. p. 396: '... dass dadurch die Triebe der Menschlichkeit gepflanzet, erwecket und unterhalten, die Liebe zur Tugend und der Hass des Lasters gewirket, und die Leidenschaften verbessert werden.'

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Cp. Proemio, ed. of 1763, p. xciv.

und Furcht', at the same time admitting the possibility of a further purgation (137): 'Zwar konnen sich in der Tragodie auch zur Reinigung der andern Leidenschaften, nutzliche Lehren und Beyspiele finden.' Batteux' words are as follows:

Aristote dans la définition même qu'il donne de la Tragédie, nous dit que ce poeme est fait pour purger la terreur et la pitié qu'elle produit. [And in a note: Il faut faire attention à la lettre du texte. Corneille s'y est trompé, lorsqu'il a dit (Disc. 2) que la pitié purgeoit les passions qui causent les malheurs. C'est la pitié même qui est purgée.]...Il est certain que la terreur et la pitié sont l'effet de la Tragédie; il est certain encore que la terreur et la pitié tragique peuvent être utiles à l'homme dans le sens que nous venons de dire, c'est où je m'arrête. J'ajouterai pourtant que tous les autres effets qu'elle peut produire, toutes les vues politiques qu'on lui donne quelquefois, toutes les allégories, toutes les allusions qu'on peut y trouver, toutes les maximes, toutes les belles sentences, n'y sont, comme dans l'Épopée, que des finesses de l'artiste, et non l'objet de l'art. Une Tragédie avec ces beautés, ou sans elles, n'en sera ni plus ni moins une Tragédie, si elle exerce l'ame au malheur, et qu'elle le conduise par dégré aux deux passions que nous avons dites, et dont on peut faire deux vertus.

As we should expect in view of his attitude to Aristotle, Lessing feels that the  $\tau o \iota o \iota \circ \tau \omega \nu$  must be accounted for in some way. He could not be expected to agree with the common modern acceptance of the word as practically equivalent to  $\tau o \iota \circ \tau \omega \nu$ —an equivalence, however, which is by no means beyond question.<sup>3</sup> So he ingeniously interprets the 'like' passions as the 'philanthropische Gefuhle',<sup>4</sup> which formed a constituent of his own and Mendelssohn's definition of 'Mıtleid', but were excluded from Aristotle's  $\tilde{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon o s$ . I am doubtful whether Lessing put forward this very questionable explanation with much conviction, or, indeed, as anything more than an attempt to justify the word in the interest of Aristotle's infallibility. At least, a little

<sup>1</sup> Principes de la littérature, ed. cit. in, pp. 96 f.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> *Ibid.* pp. 100 f.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Cp. for instance Bywater, ed cit p. 152: 'τῶν τοιούτων παθημάτων, therefore, is a general expression for this whole group of disturbing emotions (enthusiasm, pity, fear etc.) instead of being, as is so often thought, either limited to the two emotions (pity and fear) which appear in the context, or applying to the emotions in general.'

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Petersen (ed. cit p. 247) quotes Lessing's letter to Eschenburg of April 25, 1772 (Schriften, xviii, p. 37). 'Ich wunschte, dass Sie aus der Erklarung des Aristotelischen φιλανθρωπου das Wort Pflichtmassig wegliessen...Das Pflichtmassige ware, meiner Meinung nach, gerade wieder das φιλανθρωπου....' See above, p. 361, note 4.

later (LXXVIII, 70) he states quite unambiguously that the purgation is strictly limited to fear and pity. '[Aristoteles], um es abermals und abermals zu sagen, hat an keine andere Leidenschaften gedacht, welche das Mitleid und die Furcht der Tragodie reinigen solle, als an unser Mitleid und unsere Furcht selbst.'

#### THE UNITIES

In sections XLIV-XLVI of the *Dramaturgie*, in connexion with the *Merope* dramas of Maffei and Voltaire, Lessing discusses the unities of time, place and action—without, as we have seen, mentioning Aristotle's name. In respect of matter and argument he has nothing to add to a controversy that was by no means new, and were it not for the trenchant and brilliant expression he gives to his views, his discussion

<sup>1</sup> The validity of the unities of time and place, for which claims had been made, on insufficient grounds, by the early Italian critics, and notably by Castelvetro, was questioned long before the seventeenth century was out. Cp. H. Breitinger, Les unités d'Aristote avant le Cid de Corneille, 2nd ed. Geneva, 1895; J. Ebner, Beitrag zu einer Geschichte der dramatischen Einheiten in Italien, Erlangen, 1898 (Munchener Beitrage zur romanischen und englischen Philologie, xv, esp. pp 59 ff.), J. E. Spingarn, History of Literary Criticism in the Renaissance, and ed. New York, 1908, pp 89 ff In France, as early as 1628, François Ogier, in his preface to Schélandre's Tyr et Sidon (Paris, 1628), had opposed the unity of time on the ground that it caused too great a number of coincidences, and made it necessary for the poet to narrate, instead of representing, interesting and vivid scenes; and early in the eighteenth century the Abbé Vatry had argued against the unity of time (Histoire de l'Académie des Inscriptions, vii, pp 182 ff) But it was Houdar de la Motte who, in his Premier Discours sur la Tragédie, à l'occasion des Machabées (1721), emboldened eighteenth-century dramatists to disregard the unities in practice. 'Loin que l'unité de lieu soit essentielle,' he wrote (Euvres, Paris, 1754, iv, pp 38 ff), 'elle prend ordinairement beaucoup sur la vraisemblance. Il n'est pas naturel que toutes les parties d'une action se passent dans un même apartement....Je dispenserois donc en bien des rencontres les Auteurs dramatiques de cette unité forcée, qui coûte souvent au Spectateur des parties de l'action qu'il voudroit voir, et ausquelles on ne peut supléer que par des recits toujours moins frapans que l'action même. L'unité de tems n'est pas plus raisonnable, sur tout si on la pousse à la rigueur comme l'unité de lieu: car en ce cas il ne faudroit prendre pour l'action que le tems de la représentation même, et cela par les mêmes principes, sur lesquels on prétend établir l'unité de lieu ...Je ne prétens donc pas anéantir ces regles, je veux dire seulement qu'il ne faudroit pas s'y attacher avec assez de superstition, pour ne les pas sacrifier dans le besoin à des beautés plus essentielles.' There is, of course, no need to claim, as E. Aspelin has claimed (Lamottes Afhandlingar om Tragedin, granskada och jemforda med Lessing, in Acta Soc. Scientiarum Fennicae, xvi (Helsingfors, 1888), pp. 141 ff.), that Lessing was immediately indebted to La Motte.

might well date from the days before he was immediately interested in Aristotle.1

He discusses first the unity of place and quotes with approval (XLIV, 62) a lengthy passage from Johann Elias Schlegel's Gedanken zur Aufnahme des danischen Theaters; it opens with the significant statement, which Lessing made his own: 'Die Wahrheit zu gestehen, beobachten die Englander, die sich keiner Einheit des Ortes ruhmen, dieselbe grossentheils viel besser, als die Franzosen, die sich damit viel wissen, dass sie die Regeln des Aristoteles so genau beobachten.'2 There is, however, no reason to suppose that Lessing would not also have subscribed to Schlegel's final reservation:

Ich will hierdurch die Gewohnheit, die Einheit der Zeit und des Ortes zu beobachten, keinesweges in Verachtung bringen, sondern ich sage es bloss, um einer jeden Regel ihren rechten Werth zu bestimmen, damit man nicht fortfahre, wie viele thun, nach der ausserlichen Form der Schauspiele, ihre innerliche Schonheit zu schatzen.<sup>3</sup>

Schlegel had recommended his readers to turn to D'Aubignac's Pratique du Théâtre for an authoritative explanation of the unities,<sup>4</sup> and to that treatise, then nearly a hundred and ten years old, Lessing also had recourse. D'Aubignac, he found, demanded that the scene should not be greater than the 'espace dans lequel une vue commune peut voir un homme marcher, encore qu'on ne le puisse pas bien reconnoître...'; and to support this, he put forward the following argument:

- Lessing had translated Dryden's observations on the unities in his *Theatralische Bibliothek*: 'Vors erste aber erlauben Sie mir zu sagen, dass die Einheit des Orts, sie [die Alten] mogen sie noch so sehr beobachtet haben, doch niemals eine von ihren Regeln gewesen ist; wir finden sie weder bey dem Aristoteles, noch Horaz, noch bey sonst einem, der von der Kunst geschrieben, und sie ist nur erst neuerlich von den Franzosen zu einer Vorschrift der Buhne gemacht worden. Die Einheit der Zeit hat selbst Terenz, der doch ihr bester und regelmassigster komischer Dichter ist, vernachlassiget.' (Schriften, vi, p. 262)
- <sup>2</sup> J. E. Schlegel, Werke, ed cit. in, p. 294: 'Climenens' in the passage quoted (96) is an obvious misprint, in the edition of Schlegel's works, for 'Celimenens'.
  - <sup>3</sup> Ibid. p. 295.
- 4 'Wer eine aussuhrliche Erklarung derselben verlangt, kann sie nirgends vollkommener und mit mehrerm Verstande abgehandelt finden, als in Hedelins theatralischer Dichtkunst, einem sehr guten Buche, welches zu einer grundlichen Kenntniss des Theaters vorzugliche Dienste leistet' (Werke, ed. cit. 111, p. 293). Elsewhere (ibid. p. 21) Schlegel speaks of D'Aubignac as a critic 'welchen man in den Regeln der Schaubuhne auch für einen Aristoteles gelten lassen muss'.

Si le Poete representoit par son Theatre tous les endroits ensemble d'un Palais, ou tous les quartiers d'une Ville, ou bien toutes les Provinces d'un Etat, il devroit faire voir alors aux Spectateurs, non seulement toutes les choses generalement qui se sont faites dans son histoire, mais encore tout ce qui s'est fait dans le reste du Palais, et dans toute la Ville, ou dans tout cet Etat.<sup>1</sup>

And Lessing recalls (XLIV, 82) how Corneille had said: 'J'accorderois très-volontiers que ce qu'on feroit passer en une seule ville auroit l'unité de lieu', but with the proviso that the scene should not be changed in the course of the same act.<sup>2</sup> With this view of Corneille's Lessing is evidently in agreement (III).

Lessing's main quarrel, however, is with the unity of time.<sup>3</sup> Already in his study of Plautus in the Beytrage he had pleaded for a certain amount of freedom in this matter.<sup>4</sup> Here he showed that the journey which Philocrates makes in the Captiv might have been completed within twenty-four hours; but, he continued, even if this were not credible, it was a 'Vergehen, das er [Plautus] mit hundert alten und neuen Dichtern gemein hat'. Moreover 'Zuschauer, welche keine Kunstrichter sind...lassen sich von der Hitze der Handlung fortreissen, und ich bin gewiss, die meisten Romer werden diese Übereilung des Plautus nicht bemerkt, wenigstens nicht angemerkt haben.' Corneille was willing, as a result of his own practical experience, to extend the time of a dramatic action from twelve or twenty-four hours to thirty; his argument indeed went further:

La représentation dure deux heures, et ressembleroit parfaitement, si l'action qu'elle représente n'en demandoit pas davantage pour sa réalité. Ainsi ne nous arrêtons point ni aux douze, ni aux vingt-quatre heures; mais resserrons l'action du poeme dans la moindre durée qu'il nous sera possible, afin que sa représentation ressemble mieux et soit plus parfaite.<sup>7</sup>

This, as Lessing would have agreed, is not unreasonable; but in connexion with *Mérope* he shows the absurdity (xLV, 1) of crowding together eventful happenings merely in order to keep within the rule.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Pratique du Théâtre, ii, chap. vi (Amsterdam ed. of 1715, i, p. 93).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Discours des Trois Unités, Œuvres, ed. cit. i, pp. 119 f.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> He did not find a strict unity of time unreasonable, however, in criticising Heufeld's *Julu* (IX, 36). He mentions this unity again in enumerating a French critic's objections to Zelmire (XIX, 43).

<sup>4</sup> Beyträge, iv, pp. 583 ff.; Schriften, iv, pp. 187 ff.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Schriften, iv, p. 188.

<sup>6</sup> Discours des Trois Unités, Œuvres, ed. cit. i, pp. 111 f.

<sup>7</sup> Ibid. p. 113.

Corneille had shown, in a somewhat similar way, how unnatural this is in the Agamemnon of Aeschylus and The Suppliant Women of Euripides. One is tempted, however, to think of Scudéry's ironical criticism of Le Cid in 1637:

Mais faire arriuer en vingt-quatre heures la mort d'un pere, et les promesses de mariage de sa fille, auec celuy qui l'a tué; et non pas encor sans le conoistre, non pas dans une rencontre innopinée; mais dans un duel dont il estoit l'appellant; c'est (comme a dit bien agreablement un de mes Amis) ce qui loing d'estre bon dans les vingt-quatre heures, ne seroit pas suportable dans les vingt-quatre ans Et par consequent (ie le redis encor une fois) la regle de la vray semblance n'est point observée, quoy qu'elle soit absolument necessaire. Et veritablement toutes ces belles actions que fit le Cid en plusieurs années, sont tellement assemblees par force en cette Piece, pour la mettre dans les vingt-quatre heures, que les Personnages y semblent des Dieux de machine, qui tombent du Ciel en terre: car enfin, dans le court espace d'un iour naturel, on eslit un Gouuerneur au Prince de Castille; il se fait une querelle et un combat, entre Dom Diegue et le Comte, autre combat de Rodrigue et du Comte; un autre de Rodrigue contre les Mores; un autre contre Dom Sanche; et le mariage se conclut, entre Rodrigue et Chimene; ie vous laisse à iueger, si ne voila pas un iour bien employé, et si l'on n'auroit pas grand tort d'accuser tous ces personn[a]ges de parresse? il est du subiet du Poeme Dramatique, comme de tous les corps Phisiques, qui pour estre parfaicts, demandent une certaine grandeur, qui ne soit ny trop vaste, ny trop resserree 1

It is very doubtful whether Lessing was familiar with this passage; but it is precisely the kind of criticism which he himself uses so effectively and so brilliantly. He sums up the whole matter here in one pregnant sentence (xlv, 60): 'Denn was er [Voltaire] an Einem Tage thun lasst, kann zwar an Einem Tage gethan werden, aber kein vernunftiger Mensch wird es an Einem Tage thun.'

Lessing points out (XLVI, 4) that the unities of time and place were a natural consequence of the unbroken presence of the chorus on the Greek stage. Castelvetro seems to have been the first to make this observation; but the fact is mentioned more than once by D'Aubignac, and it is discussed by Home in his *Elements of Criticism*.<sup>2</sup> Any breach of the unities within the act was prevented in French drama by the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Observations sur le Cid, Paris, 1637, pp. 16 ff.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Cp Pratique du Théâtre, 11, chap vi, vii (ed. cit. 1, pp. 87, 109 f.); Home, Elements of Criticism, chap. xxiii (Edinburgh, 1762, 111, pp. 270 ff; German translation, ed. cit iii, pp. 326 ff.).

rule of 'liaison'. This had been stated by Corneille (xLv, 88) to be not a rule, but an ornament:

La liaison des scènes qui unit toutes les actions particulières de chaque acte l'une avec l'autre,. .est un grand ornement dans un poeme, et qui sert beaucoup à former une continuité d'action par la continuité de la représentation; mais enfin ce n'est qu'un ornement et non pas une régle.¹

But in a note on this passage Voltaire commented: 'Cet ornement de la tragédie est devenu une règle, parce qu'on a senti combien il étoit devenu nécessaire.' 2

In the end, Lessing maintains (xLVI, 4), the only unity that matters is the unity of action: 'Die Einheit der Handlung war das erste dramatische Gesetz der Alten.' But into a discussion of the nature of this unity he does not enter.

In these sections dealing with the unities, Lessing is not so much concerned with pleading for an English freedom from their restrictions as in showing how Voltaire, in his *Mérope*, sins against the Cornelian canon while professing to observe it. He changes the scene within the act; he crowds so many happenings into his play as to reduce the

¹ Discours des Trois Unités, Œuvres, ed cit i, p. 101. Cp. D'Aubignac, Pratique du Théâtre, iv, chap 1 (ed. cit. 1, pp. 252 f): 'Il faut sçavoir, Que tous les Acteurs qui paroissent au Theatre, ne doivent jamais entrer sur la Scéne sans une raison qui les oblige à se trouver en ce moment plûtôt dans ce lieu-là qu'ailleurs; autrement ils n'y doivent pas venir, et en beaucoup d'Acteurs il ne sera pas vraisemblable qu'ils y soient venus....Il en doit être de même de la sortie des Acteurs; car s'ils ne quittent le lieu de la Scéne avec raison, il sera vraisemblable qu'ils y devoient demeurer encore: de sorte qu'il faut toûjours qu'ils se retirent, ou pour quelque affaire qui les oblige de se trouver ailleurs, ou par quelque consideration qui ne leur permette pas de s'arrêter davantage dans le lieu de la Scéne.' It has been observed that Lessing himself in his own dramas shows a fondness for closing acts and scenes with 'Kommen Sie' (K. Heinemann, Vorhang und Drama, in Die Grenzboten, March, 1890, Nos. x, xi (esp. xi, pp. 521 f.); quoted by Petersen, ed. cit. p. 222).

<sup>2</sup> See Œuvres Complètes de Voltaire, Paris, 1877-85, xxxii, p. 364.

<sup>3</sup> D'Aubignac devotes the third chapter of his second book to the unity of action. Nicolai had said of it in his Abhandlung (Petsch, op. cit. p. 14): 'Wenn also endlich die Handlung eines Trauerspiels so wohl von fremden Handlungen ununterbrochen fortdauert, als auch von ihren eigenen Nebenhandlungen nicht verwirrt oder undeutlich gemacht wird, so wird sie die Eigenschaft haben, die die Kunstrichter schon längstens unter dem Namen der Einheit anbefohlen haben; eine Eigenschaft, die einem jeden dramatischen Stücke, das vollkommen schon seyn soll, unentbehrlich ist; denn an einem dramatischen Stücke, dem die Einheit der Handlung fehlet, konnen zwar wohl einzelne Stellen, aber unmoglich das Ganze, Beyfall verdienen.'

unity of time to an absurdity; and while reproving Maffei for not providing satisfactory motives for his 'liaisons', he himself provides inadequate ones. But these observations belong to a criticism of the plays in question rather than to dramatic theory, and have already been considered. In spite of his brave words (xlvi, 57): 'Mochten meinetwegen Voltairens und Maffeis Merope acht Tage dauern, und an sieben Orten in Griechenland spielen! Mochten sie aber auch nur die Schonheiten haben, die mich diese Pedanterieen vergessen machen!' there is no reason to infer that Lessing's own convictions went far beyond those expressed by Nicolai in his Abhandlung von dem Trauerspiele:

Die einzige Pflicht des Dichters wird also nur seyn, sich der Einheit der Zeit und des Orts, so viel moglich, zu nahern, und wenn er um grosserer Schonheiten willen davon abweichen muss, es so einzurichten, dass die Abweichung dem Zuschauer nicht sehr merklich werde.<sup>2</sup>

One can hardly think that the author of Minna von Barnhelm, and later of Emilia Galotti and Nathan der Weise, would really approve, any more than Nicolai, of what the latter called '[die uble] Gewohnheit der meisten Englander, den Schauplatz ohne Noth alle Augenblicke verandern [zu lassen]'.3

## THE BEST FORM OF TRAGIC PLOT

The 'best form of tragic plot' is discussed in St. xxxvii, again in connexion with *Mérope*, the play which was so fruitful in suggesting theoretical speculations. Aristotle, Lessing tells us (70), investigates in the fourteenth chapter of his *Poetics* the question of the precise kind of events by which terror and pity are excited. Lessing does not quote Aristotle in Curtius's translation, but his phraseology shows an unmistakable similarity with that of his predecessor. Curtius translates the passage in question as follows:

Nothwendiger Weise mussen alle Begebenheiten zwischen Freunden, Feinden, oder gleichgultigen Personen vorgehen. Ein Feind, der seinen Feind todtet, erwecket weder bey Ausubung noch Beschliessung der Handlung, ein Mitleiden, ausser demjenigen, was mit Vollbringung des Unglucks verknupfet ist: und auf gleiche Weise verhalt es sich mit gleichgultigen Personen. Wenn aber dergleichen Ungluck sich zwischen Freunden

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See above, p. 218.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Ibid p. 17.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Petsch, op. cit. p. 15.

zutragt, wenn ein Bruder den andern, der Sohn den Vater, die Mutter den Sohn, der Sohn die Mutter ermordet, oder ermorden will, oder eine andere ahnliche Handlung vornimmt; so sind dieses Begebenheiten, die man für das Trauerspiel aufsuchen muss.<sup>1</sup>

The difficulty with which the commentators on Aristotle had been confronted—attention was first drawn to it by Castelvetro—was to reconcile the preference which Aristotle expressed here for a tragic plot in which the tragic issue is prevented by a timely recognition, with the statement in chapter xiii that a good tragic plot should not end happily. In presenting the problem (xxxvii, 70 ff.) Lessing draws upon Curtius:

Die ganze Ordnung, nach welcher Aristoteles die tragischen Handlungen zahlet, ist folgende; von unten auf ist die erste oder unvollkommenste Gattung, wenn man wissentlich ein Verbrechen begehen will, es aber nicht ins Werk richtet: die zweyte, wenn man es wissentlich wirklich begeht: die dritte, wenn man es unwissentlich begeht, aber hernach erkennet: die vierte, wenn man es unwissend begehen will, aber vorher erkennet. Dieses scheint einer andern Stelle des Aristoteles zu widersprechen. Dieser Kunstrichter hat oben im 13. Cap. fest gesetzet, dass ein gutes Trauerspiel sich vielmehr mit dem Unglucke als Glucke der handelnden Personen endigen musse. Hier aber zieht er die vierte Gattung, wo das Verbrechen nicht begangen wird, und das Stuck einen glucklichen Ausgang hat, der dritten vor, worinn der Ausgang unglucklich ist. Die genaue Überlegung, womit Aristoteles schrieb, erlaubet nicht, einem so grossen Manne einen Widerspruch beyzumessen. Dacier hat schon gesuchet, den Aristoteles zu rechtfertigen, allein seine Grunde

<sup>1</sup> Aristoteles Dichtkurst, ed. cit. pp. 28 f. Cp. also the phraseology in St. xxxviii. for πράξεως μίμησις (Dacier's 'imitation d'une action') both Curtius and Lessing write 'Nachahmung einer Handlung'; for σύνθεσις πραγμάτων ('composition des choses'), 'Verknupfung von Begebenheiten', for  $\mu \hat{v} \theta$  os  $\hat{a} \pi \lambda \hat{v} \hat{v}$  and  $\mu \hat{v} \theta$  os  $\pi \epsilon \pi$ λεγμένος ('fable simple', 'fable implexe'), 'einfache Fabeln' and 'verwickelte (in Curtrus sometimes also 'zusammengesetzte') Fabeln'; ἀναγνωρισμός ('reconnoissance'), 'Erkennung' (Curtius also occasionally 'Erkenntniss'); περιπέτεια ('perspétie' or 'changement de fortune'; Batteux' 'pérspétie' or 'révolution') appears in Curtius as 'Peripetie', 'Glückswechsel' or 'Glücksanderung', which is also Nicolai's word; Ramler writes 'Glucksveranderung' and Lessing 'Gluckswechsel'. Finally,  $\pi \acute{a}\theta o_{S}$ , which under French influence ('passion') Curtius had translated 'Leidenschaft', Lessing translates, if only here, 'Leiden'. Dacier, it may be noted, apologised for his use of the word 'passion' (p. 164): 'Je sçay bien que le mot passion, n'est François, en ce sens, que dans les choses de la Religion, et que par tout ailleurs, il signifie les sentimens, ou pour mieux dire, les maladies de l'ame, mais il n'y en a point qui explique si bien ce qu'Aristote a voulu dire.' Lessing's indebtedness to Curtius is further to be seen in the fact that, in enumerating the different kinds of plot here, he follows the order in which Curtius states them.

scheinen nicht zureichend. Er will; Aristoteles rede hier nicht von der Tragodie und ihren Handlungen überhaupt, sondern zeige nur, auf was für Art ein Dichter sich der Verbrechen in bekannten Fabeln bedienen musse.<sup>1</sup>

# And now Lessing turns (107) to the text of Dacier:

Le Scavant Victorius est le seul qui l'ayt veue, mais comme il n'a pas connu de quoy il s'agissoit dans ce Chapitre, et que ce n'est que par-là qu'on peut la résoudre, il n'a pas seulement tenté de l'éclaircir....Ils sles commentateurs] ont tous crû qu'Aristote parloit icy de la constitution des fables en general, mais il ne travaille qu'à enseigner, comment on doit se conduire dans les actions atroces pour ne pas changer les fables, et pour s'en servir comme il faut; voilà le dessein de tout ce Chapitre Par exemple, 1e veux traiter le meurtre de Clytemnestre par Oreste; Aristote me donne icy un plan des quatre differentes manières<sup>2</sup> dont les actions atroces peuvent se passer. Je dois voir celle qui m'accommode. La premiere ne convient point, parce qu'elle est trop horrible. La quatriéme ne convient pas non plus, parce qu'elle est imparfaite et atroce sans passion, et que d'ailleurs elle détruit la fable. La troisième qui seroit la plus propre, est encore inutile, parce qu'elle sauveroit Clytemnestre qui doit necessairement mourir par les mains de son fils. Il n'y a donc que la seconde dont je puisse me servir.3

The only explanation which Curtius can offer (xxxvIII, 7) of Aristotle's apparent self-contradiction is that the philosopher was here less careful than usual.

Lessing's interpretation (XXXVIII, 80) is that Aristotle is considering each of the ingredients of a tragic plot on its own merits.<sup>4</sup> The best  $\pi\epsilon\rho\iota\pi\acute{e}\tau\epsilon\iota a$  is where there is a change from better to worse; whereas the best form of 'suffering' is where the persons concerned do not know one another, and the recognition comes in time to prevent the carrying out of the fatal act. He holds that there is no contradiction, for Aristotle is speaking of two different aspects of the matter. This

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Aristoteles Dichtkunst, ed. cit. p 213.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Cp Corneille, *Discours de la Tragédie (Œuvres, ed. cit* 1, p 67): 'La diverse combination de ces deux manières d'agir forme quatre sortes de tragédies.' Aristotle virtually only discusses three.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Poétique d'Aristote, ed. cit. pp 235 f.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> With Dacier, Lessing holds (60) that 'passion' is the most important ingredient. Cp. Poétique d'Aristote, ed. cit. p. 164. 'La passion est encore plus essencielle au sujet, que la reconnoissance et la péripetie, puisqu'il y a des sujets simples, c'est-à-dire, qui n'ont ny péripetie, ny reconnoissance, et qui ont la passion, comme l'Ajax de Sophocle, l'Hecube d'Euripide.'

explanation, however, has met with no greater acceptance than Dacier's; and it is doubtful whether the most modern interpreters of Aristotle have come any nearer to justifying what in the end has to be frankly recognised as an inconsistency.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> See, for instance, Bywater's note (Aristotle on the Art of Poetry, ed cit p. 225): 'In chap. 13 Aristotle was thinking only of the emotional effect of tragedy as produced by the most obvious means; here he comes to see that the same effect may be produced in a finer form without their aid. It is his somewhat tardy recognition of the necessity of avoiding  $\tau \delta$   $\mu \iota a \rho \delta \nu$  that has caused this change of view.'

## CHAPTER XIII

## COMEDY AND OTHER FORMS OF DRAMA

#### COMEDY

On the subject of Comedy Lessing, like Aristotle, has little to say, and that little is of small importance. Moreover, since most of his observations are in the earlier sections of the *Dramaturgie*, they are if anything more a reproduction of traditional opinion than what he has to say on Tragedy.

The 'purpose' and 'use' of Comedy are dealt with in St. xxvIII and xxIX in connexion with Regnard's Der Zerstreute (xxvIII, 114): 'Die Komodie [sagt man] musse sich nur mit Fehlern abgeben, die sich verbessern lassen. Wer aber von Natur zerstreut sey, der lasse sich durch Spottereyen eben so wenig bessern, als ein Hinkender.' This, as we have seen,¹ is only a translation of a passage quoted by the brothers Parfaict from the Lettres d'un François of the Abbé Leblanc: 'La Comédie ne doit jou[e]r que les défauts qu'elle peut corriger. Les Plaisanteries que l'on fera sur un Boiteux, lui aideront aussi-tôt à marcher droit, que la Pièce de Re[g]nard corrigera un Homme qui est né Distrait.' But Lessing questions the Frenchman's contention that a 'distrait' is not a fair theme for comedy; and even if this were right, why, he asks (133), should comedy be restricted to moral errors and rectifiable bad habits?

He then proceeds (XXIX, 4) to define the 'use' of comedy. Its true general use lies in laughter itself; in developing our faculty of perceiving the ridiculous. In his letter to Nicolai of November [13], 1756, he had already advanced this argument:

[Die Komodie] soll uns zur Fertigkeit verhelfen, alle Arten des Lacherlichen leicht wahrzunehmen. Wer diese Fertigkeit besitzt, wird in seinem Betragen alle Arten des Lacherlichen zu vermeiden suchen, und eben dadurch der wohlgezogenste und gesittetste Mensch werden. Und so ist auch die Nutzlichkeit der Komodie gerettet.<sup>2</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See above, pp. 192 f., and cp. Lettres d'un François (Lettre xi), La Haye, 1745, i, p 88.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Schriften, xvii, p. 66, Petsch, op. cit. p. 54. Curtius in his Abhandlung von den Personen und Vorwurfen der Comodie (Aristoteles Dichtkunst, ed. cit. p. 397) claimed that everyone was agreed 'dass die Vorstellung und Verbesserung des Lacherlichen in

The distinction which Lessing draws in considering the French criticism of *Le Distrait*: 'Die Komodie will durch Lachen bessern; aber nicht eben durch Verlachen', was not foreign to the older definitions.<sup>1</sup> Batteux, for instance, says:

Il faut observer que tout ridicule n'est pas risible. Il y a un ridicule qui nous ennuie, qui est maussade. . . Celui qui se montre sur la scène comique est toujours agréable, délicat: et ne nous cause aucune inquiétude secrette.<sup>2</sup>

Bishop Hurd, too, held, in opposition to the general sentiment, that ridicule is not of the essence of comedy; but I am doubtful whether Lessing knew Hurd's book<sup>3</sup> at this stage.

Lessing recalls (xxvIII, 140) that Rousseau (in his Lettre à M. D'Alembert of March 20, 1758) had complained that Molière makes us laugh at his misanthrope, who is really the honourable man of the piece: 'Vous ne sauriez me nier deux choses: l'une, qu'Alceste dans cette Piece est un homme droit, sincere, estimable, un véritable homme de bien; l'autre, que l'Auteur lui donne un personnage ridicule.' To this D'Alembert replied: 'Quoique le Misantrope divertisse les spectateurs, il n'est pas pour cela ridicule à leurs yeux: il n'est personne au-contraire qui ne l'estime, qui ne soit porté même

den menschlichen Handlungen der Endzweck des Lustspiels sey'. Petersen (ed. cit. p. 210) notes that in the Plautus essay in his Beptrage, Lessing stated the purpose of comedy to be 'Die Sitten der Zuschauer zu bilden und zu bessern' (Beptrage, iv, pp. 588 f), while in the Theatralische Bibliothek (Schriften, vi, p. 52) he concluded that it is a function of true comedy to move us to laughter.

- Lessing's distinction between 'Lachen' and 'Verlachen' is at bottom that which Quintilian establishes between 'risus' and 'derisus' (Inst. vi, iii); and Home (Elements of Criticism, chap. vii, ed. cit. i, p. 341) had distinguished between 'risible' and 'ridiculous'. Cp. the German translation, Grundsatze der Critik, ed. cit. i, p. 415: 'Ein lacherlicher Gegenstand ist bloss lustig; ein belachenswerther ist zugleich lustig und verachtlich. Der erste wirkt eine Bewegung zum Lachen, die ganz ergetzend ist; die Bewegung, die der zweyte erregt, wird durch die Bewegung der Verachtung bestimmt, und die vermischte Bewegung, die daher entspringt, und theils ergetzend, theils verdrusslich ist, kann man die Bewegung des Belachenswerthen nennen.' In 1755, Lessing had a plan for writing an Abhandlung vom Lachen. Cp. his letter to Mendelssohn of [October], 1755 (Schriften, xvii, p 45, note 2, cp. also xix, p 20), and those of August [23], and September 14, 1757 (Schriften, xvii, pp. 118, 120).
  - <sup>2</sup> Principes de la littérature, ed. cit. iii, p. 190.
- <sup>3</sup> Cp. Dissertation concerning the Provinces of the several Species of the Drama, in Q. Horatii Flacci Epistole ad Pisones et Augustum, with an English Commentary and Notes, 3rd ed. Cambridge, 1757, i, p. 279; cp. also pp. 270 f., 273, 276. See also below, pp. 392, 402 ff.

<sup>4</sup> Lettre à M. D'Alembert, Amsterdam, 1758, p. 55.

à l'aimer et à le plaindre.' The argument depends on the definition of 'das Lacherliche'. This Lessing deals with in St. xxvIII. He does not, however, accept Aristotle's definition (*Poetics*, chap. v), which according to Batteux, is as follows:

tout défaut qui cause difformité sans douleur, et qui ne menace personne de destruction, pas même celui en qui se trouve le défaut. Car s'il menaçoit de destruction, il ne pourroit faire rire que ceux qui n'ont pas le cœur bon. Un retour secret sur eux-mêmes leur feroit trouver plus de charmes dans la compassion.<sup>2</sup>

Nor does he take up the polemic into which Corneille had entered, in his first Discours, against Aristotle's definition. He sets up, however, a new definition of 'das Lacherliche' (135): 'Jede Ungereimtheit, jeder Kontrast von Mangel und Realitat, ist lacherlich', which is a modification of what he had already stated in the Laokoon: 'Hasslichkeit ist Unvollkommenheit, und zu dem Lächerlichen wird ein Contrast von Vollkommenheiten und Unvollkommenheiten erfodert.' This in turn comes from Mendelssohn, and is rooted in the Wolff-Baumgarten aesthetic theory. In his Rhapsodie uber die Empfindungen Mendelssohn had written:

[Das Lachen] grundet sich...sowohl als das Weinen, auf einen Kontrast zwischen einer Vollkommenheit und Unvollkommenheit. Nur dass dieser Kontrast von keiner Wichtigkeit sein und uns nicht sehr nahe angehen muss, wenn er lacherlich sein soll. Die Thorheiten der Menschen, die wichtige Folgen haben, erregen mitleidige Zähren; die aber ohne Gefahr sind, machen sie bloss lacherlich. Man nennt einen solchen Kontrast eine Ungereimtheit, und sagt daher, ein jedes Lacherliche setze eine Ungereimtheit zum voraus. Ein jeder Mangel der Übereinstimmung zwischen Mittel und Absicht, Ursache und Wirkung, zwischen dem Charakter eines Menschen und seinem Betragen, zwischen den Gedanken und der Art, wie sie ausgedruckt werden; überhaupt ein jeder Gegensatz des Grossen, Ehrwürdigen, Prachtigen und Vielbedeutenden, neben dem Geringschatzigen, Verachtlichen und Kleinen, dessen Folgen uns in keine Verlegenheitsetzen, ist lacherlich.<sup>4</sup>

In discussing Romanus's modernisation of Terence's Adelphi, Lessing touches upon the question of depicting foreign manners in comedy (XCVI, 126). Is there any necessity, he asks, for the dramatist

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Mélanges de Littérature, d'Histoire et de Philosophie (nouvelle éd.), Amsterdam, 1759, 11, p. 422.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Principes de la littérature, ed. cit. iii, pp. 188 f.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Laokoon, xxiii (Schriften, ix, p. 139). <sup>4</sup> Gesammelte Schriften, ed. cit. 1, p. 256 f.

to be exact and naturalistic in this respect? And he quotes with approval (140) Pope's view that this is not called for in comedy to the degree that is required in tragedy. In point of fact the passage is not Pope's but is, as M. Bernays discovered, in Warburton's commentary on Pope's imitation of Horace's Epistle. Lessing did not find it here, however, but in Hurd's Dissertation concerning the Provinces of the several Species of the Drama in his edition of Horace's Epistolæ ad Pisones et Augustum (ed. cit. i, pp. 268 f.), where the passage is quoted:

Comedy succeeds best when the scene is laid at home, tragedy for the most part when abroad. 'This appears at first sight whimsical and capricious, but has its foundation in nature. What we chiefly seek in comedy is a true image of life and manners, but we are not easily brought to think we have it given us, when dressed in foreign modes and fashions. And yet a good writer must follow his scene, and observe decorum. On the contrary, 'tis the action in tragedy which most engages our attention. But to fit a domestick occurrence for the stage, we must take greater liberties with the action than a well-known story will allow.' (Pope's Works, vol. iv, p. 185.)

To Lessing's views on the kind of characters demanded by comedy and the relation in which these stand to the characters of tragedy, and to his opinions on rhymed comedy, I return later.<sup>2</sup>

#### TRAGI-COMEDY

In his Beschluss der Critik über die Gefangnen des Plautus in the Beyträge, Lessing had discussed Plautus's use of the word 'Tragico-comædia' at some length:

Dass die Alten in der That, diejenigen Stucke, worinne Leute von Stande vorkamen, ob gleich ihr Inhalt vollkommen komisch war, gleichwohl nicht Komödien genennt, ist aus dem Vorredner des Amphitruo deutlich zu beweisen... Es würde sich nicht schicken, spricht Plautus, wenn ich dieses Stück, worinne Gotter und vornehme Leute (denn so ist das Wort Reges hier zu übersetzen) vorkommen, eine Komodie nennen wollte; es wurde sich aber auch nicht schicken, wenn ich ihm den Namen einer Tragodie beylegte, weil auch Personen vom geringen Stande darinne auftreten, ich will es also, um weder auf der einen noch auf der andern Seite zu verstossen, eine Tragikomodie nennen. Wie sehr weicht folglich die Bedeutung, die wir jetzo diesem Worte geben, von der ab, welche die Alten damit zu

Cp. G. Witkowski in *Euphorion*, vi (1899), pp. 338 f.
 See below, pp. 400 ff., 461 ff.

verbinden pflegten. Ich will aber damit nicht sagen, als ob die Neuern nicht Grund gehabt hatten in Benennung ihrer Stucke mehr auf den Inhalt als die Personen zu sehen; sondern ich will nur zeigen, dass die Alten Leute von Stande und wichtigen Bedienungen durchaus aus ihren Lustspielen ausgeschlossen, und sich die niedrigsten Sorten von Menschen darinne aufzufuhren begnugt haben.<sup>1</sup>

D'Aubignac also paraphrases the passage from Plautus and adds:

Après ces paroles fort intelligibles, je ne puis comprendre comment on s'est avisé de dire, que Plaute avoit emploié ce mot de Tragi-Comédie comme nous, car il n'y pensa jamais: c'est une raillerie qu'il fait dans son Prologue, en joignant les noms de ces deux Poemes, comme il en avoit mêlé les Personnages.<sup>2</sup>

In the *Dramaturgie*, Lessing is led to discuss Tragi-Comedy (LV, 91) by a reference to the box on the ear administered to Essex by Elizabeth in Banks's *Earl of Essex*. Voltaire had commented on the famous and much criticised scene in *Le Cid*, in a passage of which I have already quoted the beginning (see above, p. 174):

On ne donnerait pas aujourd'hui un souflet sur la joue d'un héros Les acteurs mêmes sont très-embarrassés à donner ce souflet, ils font le semblant. Cela n'est plus même soufert dans la comédie; et c'est le seul exemple qu'on en ait sur le théatre tragique. Il est à croire que c'est une des raisons qui firent intituler le Cid tragi-comédie. Presque toutes les piéces de Scudéri et de Boisrobert avaient été des tragi-comédies. On avait cru longtems en France qu'on ne pouvait suporter le tragique continu sans mêlange d'aucune familiarité. Le mot de tragi-comédie est très-ancien: Plaute l'employe pour désigner son Amphitrion, parce que si l'avanture de Sosie<sup>3</sup> est comique, Amphitrion est très-sérieusement afligé.<sup>4</sup>

But Voltaire is wrong, Lessing says (LV, 113), in his statement that Le Cid is the only tragedy which contains a box on the ears; and his definition of Tragi-Comedy is incorrect. Lessing himself would define it as 'die Vorstellung einer wichtigen Handlung unter vornehmen Personen, die einen vergnugten Ausgang hat'. This was practically

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Beytrage, 1v, pp. 575 f., Schriften, 1v, p. 182 (note).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Pratique du Théâtre, ii, chap. x (ed. cit. i, pp. 136 f).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> For Lessing's form 'Sosias' cp. Kruger's reference to 'Sosias' quoted below, p. 425 The form Sosia is found in a list of Schroder's rôles (cp. F. L. W. Meyer, op. cit. i, p. 153).

<sup>4</sup> Commentaires sur Corneille, ed. cit. i, pp. 190 f.

D'Aubignac's definition, as it was stated in a passage preceding that which Lessing quotes in his note (132):

Mais ce que nous avons fait sans fondement, est que nous avons ôté le nom de *Tragédie* aux Piéces de Theatre dont la Catastrophe est heureuse, encore que le Sujet et les personnes soient Tragiques, c'est à dire heroiques, pour leur donner celui de *Tragi-Comedies*.<sup>1</sup>

And the brothers Parfaict had defined it similarly,<sup>2</sup> in a passage from which Lessing also makes a quotation (137). He denies, however, their claim that Garnier's *Bradamante* was the first tragi-comedy (Lv, 143): 'ich kenne eine Menge weit frühere spanische und italienische Stucke, die diesen Titel fuhren.' Lessing, however, seems to have dated Garnier's play 1682 instead of 1582,<sup>3</sup> and he could certainly find several Italian tragi-comedies in Riccoboni's list before the former date, if not before the latter; while Montiano in his account of Spanish tragedies<sup>4</sup> deals with Spanish tragi-comedies from *La Celestina* (1499) onwards. In St. LXVIII (153) Lessing comments on the mingling of comic and tragic elements which is characteristic of Spanish drama, and adds: 'ich bin weit entfernt, diese zu verthei-

¹ Pratique du Théâtre, iì, chap. x (ed. cit. i, p. 133). Petersen (ed. cit. p. 229) refers to a letter written by Lessing to Gerstenberg on February 25, 1768, in which he says: '...obgleich eine Tragodie eben so wohl einen glucklichen, als einen unglucklichen Ausgang haben kann, so sehen wir es doch gleich aus der ersten Anlage, welchen von beyden sie haben wird' (Schriften, xvii, pp. 247 f.).

<sup>2</sup> Op. cst. iii, pp. 454 f. I am doubtful whether, as Cosack suggests (op. cst. p. 298), Lessing had in mind the following passage in Hurd's Dissertation concerning the Provinces of the several Species of the Drama when he wrote the last fourteen lines of St. Lv: 'The representation of high life cannot, without offence to probability, be made ridiculous, or consequently be admitted into comedy under this view. And therefore Plautus, when he thought fit to introduce these reverend personages on the comic stage in his Amphitruo, though he employed them in no very serious matters, was yet obliged to apologize for this impropriety in calling his play a Tragicomedy. What he says upon the occasion, though delivered with an air of pleasantry, is according to the laws of just criticism.

"Faciam ut commista sit TRAGICOCOMŒDIA.

Nam me perpetuo facere, ut sit Comcedia

REGES QUO VENIANT ET DII, non par arbitror.

Quid igitur? Quoniam hic servos Quoque partes habet,

Faciam sit, proinde ut dixi, TRAGICOCOMŒDIA."'

(Q. Horatri Flacci Epistola ad Pisones et Augustum, ed. cit. i, pp. 257 f.) It may be noted that Lessing does not quote the Latin text in the form given by Hurd; nor does he use his own earlier form in the Beytrage (iv, p. 576).

3 See Hamburgische Dramaturgie, Hamburg [1769], ii, p. 24.

Translated by d'Hermilly as Dissertation sur les Tragédies espagnoles, Paris, 1754, i, pp. 97 ff. See above, pp. 109, 294, 300.

digen.' He recalls (LXIX, I) that Lope de Vega, while deploring this 'Zwitterton', had perforce to conform to the dramatic tradition of his country and employ it. But he recalls also (34) the opinion expressed by Lope, in his poem Arte nuevo, that nature herself demonstrates to us this diversity, from which she derives some of her beauties. He seizes on the implication of this statement: if it be true—and he is inclined to grant it—then Lope has done more than he set out to do: he has shown that the defect he endeavoured to gloss over is no defect at all: 'denn nichts kann ein Fehler seyn, was eine Nachahmung der Natur ist' (67).

After quoting a long passage concerning Shakespeare from Wieland's Agathon, Lessing makes his own appeal (LXX, 60) for a type of drama in which the farcical and the interesting are mingled. 'Es ist wahr, und auch nicht wahr, dass die komische Tragodie, gothischer Erfindung,¹ die Natur getreu nachahmet; sie ahmet sie nur in einer Helfte getreu nach, und vernachlassiget die andere Helfte ganzlich; sie ahmet die Natur der Erscheinungen nach, ohne im geringsten auf die Natur unserer Empfindungen und Seelenkräfte dabey zu achten.'² In nature (66) everything is linked up with everything else.

#### 'DAS WEINERLICHE LUSTSPIEL'

In considering Nivelle de la Chaussée's Mélanide (VIII, 4), Lessing remembered Voltaire's words in his preface to Nanine: 'ce genre de comédie...qu'on appelle par dérision Comédie larmoyante,' and describes the play as being 'von der ruhrenden Gattung, der man den spottischen Beynamen, der Weinerlichen, gegeben'. Many such pieces, he contends, are more than merely 'weinerlich', if the term be taken in the sense of bringing us near to tears; they cause the sensitive soul to pour out floods of tears; they are more tragic than the mass of French tragedies of the traditional kind. In the Thea-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Petersen (ed. cit. pp. 239 f.) points out that the term 'Mischspiel' (Lxx, 3) (cp. Lxx, 43 'das gothische Mischspiel') was used by Kaspar Stieler as an equivalent of 'Tragico-Comœdia' (Deutscher Sprachschatz, Nurnberg, 1691, 2088 under 'Spiel'); and that Lessing may have found examples of 'Mischspiele' in Gottsched's Nothiger Vorrath (Leipzig, 1757-65, i, pp. 218, 243, 248, ii, pp. 255, 258).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> L. Goldstein (op cit. p. 210 and pp. 45 f) points out the similarity between this view and Mendelssohn's notion of 'idealische Schonheit' in the *Hauptgrundsatze der schonen Kunste und Wissenschaften* (Gesammelte Schriften, ed. cit. 1, pp. 288 f).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Œuvres, ed. cit x, p. 373.

tralische Bibliothek he had claimed that he was the first<sup>1</sup>—presumably in his brief notice of Frau Gottsched's translation of Cénie in the Berlinische privilegirte Zeitung of May 24, 1753<sup>2</sup>—to use the German expression 'weinerliches Lustspiel'.

Namne itself was produced in Hamburg on June 1, and Lessing describes it as a comedy of this type (XXI, 90). But what he has to say here is no more than a paraphrase and translation from Voltaire, who had written in the preface to Nanine:

La Comédie encor une fois peut donc se passionner, s'emporter, attendrir. pourvu qu'ensuite elle fasse rire les honnêtes gens. Si elle manquait de comique, si elle n'était que larmoyante, c'est alors qu'elle serait un genre très-vicieux, et très-désagréable. On avoue, qu'il est rare de faire passer les spectateurs insensiblement de l'attendrissement au rire. Mais ce passage, tout difficile qu'il est de le saisir dans une Comédie, n'en est pas moins naturel aux hommes. On a déjà remarqué ailleurs,3 que rien n'est plus ordinaire que des avantures qui affligent l'ame, et dont certaines circonstances inspirent ensuite une gaieté passagère.... Homère représente même les Dieux rians de la mauvaise grace de Vulcain, dans le tems qu'ils décident du destin du Monde. Hector sourit de la peur de son fils Astyanax, tandis qu'Andromaque répand des larmes. 4 On voit souvent jusques dans l'horreur des batailles, des incendies, de tous les désastres qui nous affligent, qu'une naıveté, un bon mot, excitent le rire jusques dans le sein de la désolation et de la pitié. On défendit à un Régiment, dans la bataille de Spire, 5 de faire quartier; un Officier Allemand demande la vie à l'un des nôtres, qui lui répond: Monsieur, demandez-moi toute autre chose, mais pour la vie il n'y a pas moyen. Cette naiveté passe aussi-tôt de bouche en bouche, et on rit au milieu du carnage. A combien plus forte raison le rire peut-il succéder dans la Comédie à des sentimens touchans? Ne s'attendrit-on pas avec Alemène? Ne rit-on pas avec Sosse 6 Quel misérable et vain travail, de disputer contre l'expérience!

For the intervening passage (99 ff.) Lessing took the hint in Voltaire's own cross-reference and turned to the preface to his *Enfant prodigue*:

Si la Comédie doit être la représentation des mœurs, cette piéce semble être assez de ce caractère On y voit un mélange de sérieux et de plaisanterie, de comique et de touchant C'est ainsi que la vie des hommes est bigarrée; souvent même une seule avanture produit tous ces contrastes. Rien n'est si commun qu'une maison dans laquelle un père gronde, une fille occupée

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Schriften, vi, p. 7. 
<sup>2</sup> Schriften, v, p. 168. See above, pp. 229 f.

The reference is to the preface to L'enfant produgue, Œuvres, ed. cit. x, pp. 83 f. Iliad, i, 599 ff., vi, 466 ff. November 15, 1703.

This is of course a reference to Molière's Amphitryon.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Œuvres, ed. cit. x, pp. 379 f.

de sa passion pleure; le fils se moque des deux: et quelques parens prennent différemment part à la scène. On raille très-souvent dans une chambre de ce qui attendrit dans la chambre voisine; et la même personne a quelquefois ri et pleuré de la même chose dans le même quart-d'heure.

Une Dame très-respectable étant un jour au chevet d'une de ses filles qui était en danger de mort, entourée de toute sa famille, s'écriait en fondant en larmes: Mon Dieu, rendez la moi, et prenez tous mes autres enfans! Un homme, qui avait épousé une de ses filles, s'approcha d'elle, et la tirant par la manche. Madame, dit-il, les gendres en sont-ils? Le sang froid et le comique avec lequel il prononça ces paroles, fit un tel effet sur cette Dame affligée, qu'elle sortit en éclatant de rire; tout le monde la suivit en riant, et la malade ayant sû de quoi il était question, se mit à rire plus fort que les autres.¹

# 'DAS BÜRGERLICHE TRAUERSPIEL'

It is particularly to be regretted that Lessing had so very little to say on the subject of the type of drama which he himself, with his Miss Sara Sampson, had been mainly instrumental in acclimatising in Germany. Even in the Theatralische Bibliothek, when this form of tragedy was of immediate interest to him, he was content to let others speak for him. On July 20, 1756, he wrote to Nicolai, who was busy with his Abhandlung vom Trauerspiele: 'Ich habe eine Menge unordentlicher Gedanken uber das burgerliche Trauerspiel aufgesetzt, die Sie vielleicht zu der bewussten Abhandlung brauchen können.' But unfortunately we have no trace of these.

In connexion with the performance of Miss Sara Sampson on May 6, 1767, Lessing contented himself with quoting two French opinions on the 'tragédie bourgeoise'. The first of these (xiv, 5) is paraphrased from a review of the French translation of his play in the Journal étranger for December, 1761 (pp. 5 ff.):

Les noms des Rois et des Héros sont imposans sur le théâtre; mais tout ce qui impose n'intéresse pas. En fait de revers, il est vrai, l'élevation des personnages contribue au pathétique. Belizaire mendiant excitera la pitié bien plus qu'un homme de la lie du peuple; mais en général, plus les personnages sont près de nous, plus leur situation nous intéresse. Il est dans l'homme de ne s'affecter que de ce qui arrive à ses semblables: or les

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Œuvres, ed. cit. x, pp. 83 f. (A note in the edition of Voltaire's complete works published at Paris, 1877–85, iii (Théâtre II), p. 443, explains that the lady in the anecdote was the Maréchale de Noailles, her daughter Madame de Gondrin, and the husband of the other daughter the Duc de la Vallière.)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Schriften, xvii, p. 59.

à des langues à quantité forte et à accent marqué, à des théâtres spacieux, à une déclamation notée et accompagnée d'instruments; et nous avons abandonné la simplicité de l'intrigue et du dialogue, et la vérité des tableaux.<sup>1</sup>

Lessing maintains emphatically (28) that in this matter we should not allow ourselves to be guided by the practice of the ancients, which was conditioned by the fact that the people spoke in public places surrounded by a curious crowd; they were obliged to choose and measure their words. The moderns have dispensed with the chorus: the action takes place within four walls; thus the whole manner of tragedy must change. It is not difficult to read into this argument a plea for the 'burgerliches Trauerspiel'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Œuvres complètes, ed. cit. vii, p 121 (Lessing's translation, ed. cit i, pp. 247 f.).

## CHAPTER XIV

## CHARACTERS IN DRAMA

#### CHARACTERS IN TRAGEDY AND COMEDY

A significant contrast is drawn in St. LI (124 ff.) between comedy and tragedy in respect of the relative importance of character and situation: '...in der Komodie [sind] die Charaktere das Hauptwerk, die Situationen aber nur die Mittel...jene sich aussern zu lassen.... Umgekehrt ist es in der Tragodie, wo die Charaktere weniger wesentlich sind, und Schrecken und Mitleid vornehmlich aus der Situation entspringt.' Similar situations, Lessing concludes, will thus produce similar tragedies, but not similar comedies; whereas similar characters are likely to produce comedies (but not tragedies) which resemble each other. This argument might well have led directly to a discussion of types of character in drama; but it is not until a later section of the Dramaturgie (LXXXVII-LXXXVIII) that Lessing turns to this question, and considers how far a discrimination may be made between the types which are suitable for tragedy and for comedy. His analysis is based on a discussion of Diderot's practice in his Fils naturel and of the views which he expresses in the third Entretien on that play. Diderot (LXXXVII-VIII, 33) had said:

Le genre comique est des espèces, et le genre tragique est des individus. Je m'explique. Le héros d'une tragédie est tel ou tel homme· c'est ou Régulus, ou Brutus, ou Caton; et ce n'est point un autre. Le principal personnage d'une comédie doit au contraire représenter un grand nombre d'hommes. Si, par hasard, on lui donnait une physionomie si particulière, qu'il n'y eût dans la société qu'un seul individu qui lui ressemblât, la comédie retournerait à son enfance, et degénérerait en satire....Dans le genre sérieux, les caractères seront souvent aussi généraux que dans le genre comique; mais ils seront toujours moins individuels que dans le genre tragique.²

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Petersen (ed. cit. p. 226) refers to Lessing's correspondence with Mendelssohn (cp. letter of December 18, 1756), when Lessing had insisted that in tragedy, by contrast with the epic, the misfortunes of the hero must be a consequence of his character (Schriften, xvii, p. 87; Petsch, op. cit. p. 89).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Trossième Entretien sur le Fils naturel, Œuvres complètes, ed. cit. vii, pp. 138 ff.; the last sentence is quoted by Lessing later in the same section (272).

Diderot's statement, however, Lessing says (LXXXIX, I), is put forward without any proof. Can it be that he believes that the historical characters who appear in tragedy are identical with these characters as history depicts them? This would be in flagrant contradiction of what Aristotle says in his ninth chapter; and Lessing quotes an extract (21) in a translation which he claims as his own (53). Aristotle, he sums up (59), makes no difference, in respect of their universal nature, between the characters of tragedy and those of comedy; in this 'generalisation' alone lies the reason why poetry is more philosophic, and in consequence more instructive, than history. If, as Diderot says, a 'particular' character in comedy would throw the genre back into its infancy, this is surely equally true of tragedy.

The interpreters of Aristotle have moreover failed to understand (83) what the critic means when he says that by the names attached to his characters the poet aims at generalising them. Here Lessing quotes the translations of both Dacier and Curtius.<sup>2</sup> The notes which both translators append to the passage—and Curtius practically repeats what Dacier says—give further proof of this misunderstanding. Curtius says (ed. crt. pp. 150 f.):

Man mochte einwerfen, dass die Dichter, eben sowohl, als die Geschichtschreiber, nur besondere Begebenheiten beschrieben, weil Oedipus, Ulysses, Phormio etc. nur besondere Personen sind. Allein schon oben ist gezeiget, dass in den epischen und dramatischen Gedichten nicht eigentlich Personen, sondern Handlungen, und in den Handlungen Charaktere vorgestellet werden. Die Reden und Handlungen mussen vollkommen mit dem Charakter ubereinstimmen, und folglich allen Personen von gleichem Charakter zukommen. Dieses ist das Allgemeine, welches Aristoteles versteht. So, wie Achilles bey dem Homer spricht, wurde ein Conde, ein zwolfter Karl, unter gleichen Umständen gleichfalls geredet haben, und wozu sich ein Leonidas entschliesst, das wurde auch eines Decius, Fabricius oder Catons Entschluss gewesen seyn. Man muss daher den Charakter von der Person unterscheiden, oder vielmehr, man muss die Begebenheiten, die jemand, zu Folge seines Charakters, treffen, von den Begegnissen unterscheiden, die bloss Wirkungen des Zufalles sind Jene gehoren zu dem Allgemeinen, und konnen in der Dichtkunst Statt finden, diese zu dem Besondern, und gehoren fur die Historie. Dass Alcibiades sich den Hass des Volkes zuzog, dass er wider sein undankbares Vaterland fochte, hernach aber sich wieder auf desselben Seite schlug, dieses waren

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> It is, however, interesting to compare Curtius's version, ed. cit. pp. 19 f. See above, pp. 385 f. (and note to p. 386).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Cp. Dacier, ed. cit. p. 132; Curtius, ed cit. p. 19.

Folgen seines Charakters, und jedermann von seinem Charakter und Umständen wurde ein gleiches gethan und gelitten haben. Dass aber die Niederwerfung der Saulen des Merkurs ihn verjagte, und andere dergleichen Umstande, sind personliche Zufalle. Jenes war etwas allgemeines, dieses gehoret unter das Besondere.

Both Curtius and Dacier miss the fact that, according to Aristotle, the giving of names to characters—not the lack of these names—emphasises the general or universal in them. Nor do they, of course, attempt to explain how this generalisation is effected. Both, Lessing points out (xc, 17), make Aristotle merely assert that the comic poets did not write like the iambic—that is, the satiric—poets; that they did not keep to the particular, but aimed at creating universal characters, to whom they gave any names they pleased ('willkuhrliche Namen', 'tels noms qu'il leur plaît'). But where is Aristotle's ovinallar His meaning must be that the comic poets aimed in this wise (i.e. by giving them arbitrarily chosen names) at endowing their characters with a universal significance. Lessing's conclusion is thus that Aristotle is right and Diderot wrong (xci, 131): 'Die Charaktere der Tragodie mussen eben so allgemein seyn, als die Charaktere der Komodie.'

He now turns (xcII, I) to another 'no less excellent critic...who has thrown most light on this matter'—Richard Hurd, whose edition of Horace's *Epistolæ ad Pisones et Augustum* Lessing had before him.<sup>3</sup> Hurd, like Diderot, appears to contradict Aristotle, and yet he does not actually do so.<sup>4</sup> Sections xcII to xcV are largely taken up with

<sup>1</sup> Dacier in his note to this passage (ed. cst. p. 141) had explained: 'Le Grec dit, et ne font pas, comme les faiseurs d'iambes, c'est-à-dire, (comme je l'ay expliqué), comme les Poetes satyriques, Car le vers iambe étoit consacré à la médisance et à la satyre.' Cp. xviii, 55 and see above, p. 315.

<sup>2</sup> Concerning the practice of the comedy writers of giving their characters names which indicate their characters Lessing quotes (xc, 46) the commentary on Terence

of Ælius Donatus. See also above, p. 315.

- <sup>3</sup> Richard Hurd, Q. Horatii Flacci Epistolæ ad Pisones et Augustum, with an English Commentary and Notes; to which are added Critical Dissertations, 3 vols. London, 1749. Lessing used the third edition published at Cambridge in 2 vols. in 1757. Hurd was born in 1720 and died in 1808.
- <sup>4</sup> J. J. Eschenburg took the hint thrown out by Lessing that this work should be translated, and in 1772 published: *Horazens Episteln an die Pisonen und an den Augustus, mit Kommentar und Anmerkungen nebst einigen kritischen Abhandlungen*. Aus dem Englischen übersetzt und mit eigenen Anmerkungen begleitet, Leipzig, 1772. As Cosack notes (op. cit. p. 413) he took over verbatim the passages translated by Lessing, as well as Lessing's long note (XCIII, 47).

extracts in German translation from the Dissertation concerning the Provinces of the several Species of the Drama in this work. I give the passages in the original text (3rd ed. Cambridge, 1757, 1, pp. 262 ff.):

[xcii, 35 ff.] The same genius in the two dramas is observable, in their draught of characters. Comedy makes all its Characters general, Tragedy, particular. The Avare of Moliere is not so properly the picture of a covetous man, as of covetousness itself. Racine's Nero, on the other hand, is not a picture of cruelty, but of a cruel man.

Yet here it will be proper to guard against two mistakes, which the

principles now delivered may be thought to countenance

The first is with regard to tragic characters, which I say are particular. My meaning is, they are more particular than those of comedy That is, the end of tragedy does not require or permit the poet to draw together so many of those characteristic circumstances which shew the manners, as Comedy. For in the former of these dramas, no more of character is shewn, than what the course of the action necessarily calls forth. Whereas, all or most of the features, by which it is usually distinguished, are sought out and industriously displayed in the latter.

The case is much the same as in portrait painting; where, if a great master be required to draw a particular face, he gives the very lineaments he finds in it; yet so far resembling to what he observes of the same turn in other faces, as not to affect any minute circumstance of peculiarity. But if the same artist were to design a head in general, he would assemble together all the customary traits and features, any where observable through the species, which should best express the idea, whatever it was, he had conceived in his own mind and wanted to exhibit in the picture.

There is much the same difference between the two sorts of dramatic portraits. Whence it appears that in calling the tragic character particular, I suppose it is only less representative of the kind than the comic; not that the draught of so much character as it is concerned to represent should not be general: the contrary of which I have asserted and explained at large elsewhere [Notes on the A. P. ver. 317] <sup>2</sup>

Next, I have said, the characters of just comedy are general. And this I explain by the instance of the Avare of Moliere, which conforms more to the idea of avarice, than to that of the real avaricious man. But here again, the reader will not understand me, as saying this in the strict sense of the words. I even think Moliere faulty in the instance given, though, with some necessary explanation, it may well enough serve to express my meaning.

The view of the comic scene being to delineate characters, this end, I suppose, will be attained most perfectly, by making those characters as universal as possible. For thus the person shewn in the drama being the representative of all characters of the same kind, furnishes in the highest

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In Britannicus.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See below, pp. 405 ff.

degree the entertainment of humour. But then this universality must be such as agrees not to our idea of the possible effects of the character as conceived in the abstract, but to the actual exertion of its powers which experience justifies, and common life allows. Moliere, and before him Plautus, had offended in this; that for a picture of the avaritious man, they presented us with a fantastic unpleasing draught of the passion of avarice. I call this a fantastic draught, because it hath no archetype in nature. And it is, farther, an unpleasing one, for being the delineation of a simple passion unmixed, it wanted all those

—Lights and shades, whose well accorded strife Gives all the strength and colour of our life

These *lights and shades* (as the poet finely calls the intermixture of many passions which, with the *leading* or principal one, form the human character) must be blended together in every picture of dramatic manners; because the avowed business of the drama is to image real life. Yet the draught of the *leading* passion must be as general as this *strife* in nature permits, in order to express the intended character more perfectly.

[St. xcm] All which again is easily illustrated in the instance of painting. In portraits of character, as we may well call those that give a picture of the manners, the artist, if he be of real ability, will not go to work on the possibility of an abstract idea. All he intends, is to shew that some one quality predominates: and this he images strongly, and by such signatures as are most conspicuous in the operation of the leading passion. And when he hath done this, we may, in common speech or in compliment, if we please, to his art, say of such a portrait that it images to us not the man but the passion; just as the antients observed of the famous statue of Apollodorus by Silarion, that it expressed not the angry Apollodorus, but his passion of anger (Note: Non hominem ex ere fecit, sed iracundiam Plin. xxxiv. 8). But by this must be understood only that he has well expressed the leading parts of the designed character. For the rest he treats his *subject* as he would any other; that is, he represents the *concomitant affections*, or considers merely that general symmetry and proportion which are expected in a human figure. And this is to copy nature which affords no specimen of a man turned all into a single passion. No metamorphosis could be more strange or incredible. Yet portraits of this vicious taste are the admiration of common starers, who, if they find a picture of a miser for instance (as there is no commoner subject of moral portraits) in a collection, where every muscle is strained, and feature hardened into the expression of this idea, never fail to profess their wonder and approbation of it.—On this idea of excellence, Le Brun's book of the Passions<sup>1</sup> must be said to contain a set of the justest moral portraits: And the Characters of Theophrastus might be recommended, in a dramatic view, as preferable to those of Terence.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Charles Le Brun, Conférences sur l'expression des différents caractères des passions, Paris, 1667. (This work had appeared in an English translation by J. Williams, London, 1734.)

The virtuosi in the fine arts would certainly laugh at the former of these judgments. But the latter, I suspect, will not be thought so extraordinary At least if one may guess from the practice of some of our best comic writers, and the success which such plays have commonly met with. It were easy to instance in almost all plays of character. But if the reader would see the extravagance of building dramatic manners on abstract ideas, in its full light, he needs only turn to B. Johnson's Every man out of his humour; which under the name of a play of character is in fact, an unnatural, and, as the painters call it, hard delineation of a group of simply existing passions, wholly chimerical, and unlike to any thing we observe in the commerce of real life. Yet this comedy has always had its admirers. And Randolph in particular, was so taken with the design, that he seems to have formed his muse's looking-glass in express imitation of 1t.2

Shakespeare, we may observe, is in this as in all the other more essential beauties of the drama, a perfect model. If the discerning reader peruse attentively his comedies with this view he will find his best-marked characters discoursing through a great deal of their parts, just like any other, and only expressing their essential and leading qualities occasionally, and as circumstances concur to give an easy exposition to them This singular excellence of his comedy, was the effect of his copying faithfully after nature, and of the force and vivacity of his genius which made him attentive to what the progress of the scene successively presented to him: whilst unitation and inferior talents occasion little writers to wind themselves up into the habit of attending perpetually to their main view, and a solicitude to keep their favourite characters in constant play and agitation. Tho' in this illiberal exercise of their wit, they may be said to use the persons of their drama as a certain facetious sort do their acquaintance, whom they urge and teize with their civilities, not to give them a reasonable share in the conversation, but to force them to play *tricks* for the diversion of the company.

In St. xciv and xcv Lessing translates (xciv, 8 ff.) Hurd's comment on ll. 317 f. of the Ars poetica:

Respicere exemplar vitæ morumque jubebo Doctum imitatorem, et vivas hinc ducere voces.<sup>3</sup>

The English text is as follows (ed. cit. i, pp. 220 ff.):

Truth, in poetry, means such an expression, as conforms to the general nature of things; falshood, that, which however suitable to the particular instance in view, doth yet not correspond to such general nature. To attain

- <sup>1</sup> On Lessing's long note on Ben Jonson (xciii, 47) see above, pp. 254 f.
- <sup>2</sup> Thomas Randolph, The Muse's Looking-Glasse, Oxford, 1638.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> 'I shall bid thee regard the example of life and manners, that thou mayst be a skilful imitator and deduce living words from them.' Hurd reads 'veras' in his text and note (ed. of 1757, i, pp. 23, 220), and Lessing quotes the line in this form (XCII, 101).

to this truth of expression in dramatic poetry two things are prescribed: I. A diligent study of the Socratic philosophy; and 2. A masterly knowledge and comprehension of human life. The first, because it is the peculiar distinction of this school ad vertatem vitæ propius accedere [Cic. de Or. i. 51]. And the latter, as rendering the imitation more universally striking. This will be understood by reflecting that truth may be followed too closely in works of imitation, as is evident in two respects. For, 1. the artist, when he would give a Copy of nature, may confine himself too scrupulously to the exhibition of particulars, and so fail of representing the general idea of the kind. Or, 2. in applying himself to give the general idea, he may collect it from an enlarged view of real life, whereas it were still better taken from the nobler conception of it as subsisting only in the mind. This last is the kind of censure we pass upon the Flemish school of painting, which takes its model from real nature, and not, as the Italian, from the contemplative idea of beauty. (Note: In conformity with the Antique. Nec enim Phidias, cum faceret Jovis formam aut Minervæ, contemplabatur aliquem e quo similitudinem duceret: sed ibsius in mente incidebat species pulchritudinis eximia quædam, quam intuens in eaque defixus ad illius similitudinem artem et manum dirigebat [Cic. Orat. 2]). The former corresponds to that other fault objected also to the Flemish masters, which consists in their copying from particular odd and grotesque nature in contra-distinction to general and graceful nature.

We see then that in deviating from particular and partial, the poet more faithfully imitates universal, truth. And thus an answer occurs to that refined argument, which Plato invented and urged, with much seeming complacency, against poetry. It is, that poetical imitation is at a great distance from truth. 'Poetical expression, says the Philosopher, is the copy of the poet's own conceptions; the poet's conception, of things; and things, of the standing archetype, as existing in the divine mind. Thus the poet's expression, is a copy at third hand, from the primary, original truth.' (Plat. De rep. L. x) Now the diligent study of this rule of the poet obviates this reasoning at once. For, by abstracting from existences all that peculiarly respects and discriminates the *individual*, the poet's conception, as it were neglecting the intermediate particular objects, catches, as far as may be, and reflects the divine archetypal idea, and so becomes itself the copy or image of truth. Hence too we are taught the force of that unusual encomium on poetry by the great critic, that it is something more severe and philosophical than history, φιλοσοφώτερον καὶ σπουδαιότερον ποίησις ίστορίας ἐστίν. The reason follows, which is now very intelligible; ή μèν γὰρ ποίησις μᾶλλον τὰ καθόλου, 1 ή δ' ἱστορία τὰ καθ' ἔκαστον λέγει. [ $\pi$ ερ.  $\pi$ ουητ. κ. θ.] And this will further explain an essential difference, as we are told, between the two great rivals of the Greek stage. Sophocles, in return to such as objected a want of truth in his characters, used to plead, that he drew men such as they ought to be, Euripides such as they were. Σοφοκλής έφη, αὐτὸς μὲν οἴους δεῖ ποιεῖν,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Lessing returns to this point in St. xcv (125). See below, p. 409.

Eigenilöns dè olol elol. [ $\pi$ ep.  $\pi$ oint.  $\kappa$ .  $\kappa$ e.] The meaning of which is, Sophocles, from his more extended commer[c]e with mankind, had enlarged and widened the narrow, partial conception, arising from the contemplation of particular characters, into a complete comprehension of the kind. Whereas the philosophic Euripides, having been mostly conversant in the academy, when he came to look into life, keeping his eye too intent on single, really existing personages, sunk the kind in the individual; and so painted his characters naturally indeed, and truly, with regard to the objects in view, but sometimes without that general and universally striking likeness, which is demanded to the full exhibition of poetic truth.

But here an objection meets us, which must not be overlooked. It will be said, 'that philosophic speculations are more likely to render men's views abstract and general than to confine them to individuals. This latter is a fault arising from the *small number* of objects men happen to contemplate: and may be removed not only by taking a view of many particulars, which is knowledge of the world; but also by reflecting on the general nature of men, as it appears in good books of morality. For the writers of such books form their general notion of human nature from an extensive experience (either their own, or that of others) without which their writings are of no value.' The answer, I think, is this. By reflecting on the general nature of man the philosopher learns, what is the tenor of action arising from the predominancy of certain qualities or properties: i.e. in general, what that conduct is, which the imputed character requires. But to perceive clearly and certainly, how far, and with what degree of strength this or that character will, on particular occasions, most probably shew itself, this is the fruit only of a knowledge of the world. Instances of a want of this knowledge cannot be supposed frequent in such a writer, as Euripides; nor, when they occur, so glaring as to strike a common reader. They are niceties. which can only be discerned by the true critic; and even to him, at this distance of time, from an ignorance of the Greek manners, that may possibly appear a fault, which is a real beauty. It would therefore be dangerous to think of pointing out the places, which Aristotle might believe liable to this censure in Euripides. I will however presume to mention one, which, if not justly criticized, will, at least, serve to illustrate my meaning.

[St. xcv] The story of his *Electra* is well known. The poet had to paint, in the character of this princess, a virtuous, but fierce, resentful woman; stung by a sense of personal ill treatment; and instigated to the revenge of a father's death, by still stronger motives. A disposition of this warm temperament, it might be concluded by the philosopher in his closet, would be prompt to shew itself. *Electra*, would, on any proper occasion, be ready to avow her resentment, as well as to forward the execution of her purpose. But to what lengths would this resentment go? i.e. what degree of fierceness might *Electra* express, without affording occasion to a person widely skilled in mankind, and the operation of the passions, to say, 'this is improbable?' Here abstract theories will be of little service. Even a moderate acquaintance with real life will be unable to direct us. Many

individuals may have fallen under observation, that will justify the poet in carrying the expression of such a resentment to any extreme. History would, perhaps, furnish examples, in which a virtuous resentment hath been carried even farther than is here represented by the poet. What way then of determining the precise bounds and limits of it? Only by observing in numerous instances, i.e. from a large extensive knowledge of practical life, how far it usually, in such characters, and under such circumstances, prevails. Hence a difference of representation will arise in proportion to the extent of that knowledge. Let us now see, how the character before us, hath, in fact, been managed by Euripides.

In that fine scene, which passes between Electra and Orestes, whom as yet she suspects not to be her brother, the conversation very naturally turns upon Electra's distresses, and the author of them, Clytæmnestra, as well as on her hopes of deliverance from them by means of Orestes. The dialogue upon this proceeds:

Or. What then of Orestes, were he to return to this Argos?

- El. Ah! wherefore that question, when there is no prospect of his return at all?
- Or. But supposing he should return, how would he go about to revenge the death of his father?
- El. In the same way, in which that father suffered from the daring attempts of his enemies.
- Or. And could you then dare to undertake with him the murder of your mother?
  - El. Yes, with that very steel, with which she murdered my father.
- Or. And am I at liberty to relate this to your brother, as your fixed resolution?
- El. I desire only to live, till I have murdered my mother. The Greek is still stronger:

May I die, as soon as I have murdered my mother! Now that this last sentence is absolutely unnatural, will not be pretended. There have been doubtless many examples, under the like circumstances, of an expression of revenge carried thus far. Yet, I think, we can hardly help being a little shocked at the fierceness of this expression. At least Sophocles has not thought fit to carry it to that extreme. In him, Electra contents herself with saying to Orestes, on a similar occasion.

'The conduct of this affair now rests upon you. Only let me observe this to you, that had I been left alone, I would not have failed in one of these two purposes, either to deliver myself gloriously, or to perish gloriously.'2

Whether this representation of Sophocles be not more agreeable to truth, as collected from wide observation, i e. from human nature at large, than

<sup>2</sup> Hurd's quotations are from Euripides's *Electra*, ll. 274 ff.; from that of Sophocles, ll. 1318 ff.

<sup>1</sup> Lessing inserts here (xαν, 55) the Greek line: θάνοιμι μητρὸς αἶμ' ἐπισφάξασ' ἐμῆς.

that of Euripides, the capable reader will judge. If it be, the reason I suppose to have been, that Sophocles painted his characters, such, as, from attending to numerous instances of the same kind, he would conclude they ought to be, Euripides, such, as a narrower sphere of observation had persuaded him they were 1

Lessing now sums up (xcv, 86) the conclusions he wishes to draw from this long extract. Hurd, like Diderot (86), shows that 'particular' characters belong to tragedy, 'universal' only to comedy; but Hurd argues that this does not contradict Aristotle's demand for the 'universal' in all poetic characters. The tragic character, Hurd says, must admittedly be particular, or less universal than the comic; that is, it must less obviously represent the 'kind' to which it belongs; at the same time, whatever the poet finds it appropriate to let us see of the tragic character must be envisaged in the light of the universal, as Aristotle requires.

An apparent inconsistency between Diderot's view and those of Hurd and Aristotle is explained by the fact that they use the expression 'allgemein'—'général' or 'universal'—in two different senses. Where Diderot and Hurd refuse its application to tragic characters, they are not thinking of 'universal' as Hurd uses it when he is applying it to such characters. In the first case it means a composite or 'overladen' character combining the elements of many individuals, the personified idea of a character rather than a characterised person; in the second sense it means no more than that the character strikes a certain average of the features observable in many individuals: it is an 'ordinary' character, not in the sense that the character is per se ordinary, but that the degree and proportion in which the person is characterised is ordinary.<sup>2</sup>

Lessing approves (xcv, 125) Hurd's interpretation of Aristotle's  $\kappa\alpha\theta\delta\lambda\omega\nu$  as 'general' in the second sense. But if Aristotle demands this kind of universality in both tragic and comic characters, how can they at the same time be overladen and ordinary? Even if they are not as overladen as are Ben Jonson's characters, would they not still be more extraordinary than is consistent with Aristotle's demand for universality? With this point of interrogation Lessing breaks off:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In St. xciv (102, note) Lessing expresses his preference for Hurd's interpretation of Aristotle's statement that Sophocles depicted men as they should be, while Euripides drew them as they were, as compared with Dacier's explanation of the same passage. (Cp. Hurd, ed. cit., p. 222, and Poétique d'Aristote, ed. cit., pp. 457 f.)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The passage from Hurd to which Lessing refers in his note (xcv, 102) is to be found *ed. cit.* i, pp. 222 f.

what he offers, he says apologetically (141), is not logical conclusions but only 'fermenta cognitionis'.1

The whole argument is obviously one that has little interest for us to-day; no one would now seriously discriminate between tragedy and comedy in respect of the kind of character which may be introduced. At most we may say that Aristotle's distinction is clear and comprehensible enough, while the attempts of Diderot and Hurd (and we might add, of Lessing himself) to justify the Greek writer are rendered futile and nugatory by the disappearance of the distinction between tragedy and comedy in this respect.

Lessing had dealt more specifically with the characters of comedy in the sections immediately preceding those which have just been discussed. He recalls (LXXXVI, I) Diderot's assertion concerning comic characters in the third Entretien sur le Fils naturel:

[Moi.]...Il n'y a, dans la nature humaine, qu'une douzaine, tout au plus, de caractères vraiment comiques et marqués de grands traits.

[DORVAL.] Je le pense.

[Moi.] Les petites différences qui se remarquent dans les caractères des hommes, ne peuvent être maniées aussi heureusement que les caractères tranchés.

[Dorval.] Je le pense. Mais savez-vous ce qui s'ensuit de là?...Que ce ne sont plus, à proprement parler, les caractères qu'il faut mettre sur la scène, mais les conditions. Jusqu'à présent, dans la comédie, le caractère a été l'objet principal, et la condition n'a été que l'accessoire; il faut que la condition devienne aujourd'hui l'objet principal, et que le caractère ne soit que l'accessoire. C'est du caractère qu'on tirait toute l'intrigue. On cherchait en général les circonstances qui le faisaient sortir, et l'on enchaînait ces circonstances. C'est la condition, ses devoirs, ses avantages, ses embarras, qui doivent servir de base à l'ouvrage. Il me semble que cette source est plus féconde, plus étendue et plus utile que celle des caractères.²

To this Palissot had replied (23) in his Petites lettres sur de grands Philosophes (ii, Le Fils naturel):

Non, Madame, non. Les caractères ne sont point aussi épuisés qu'il le dit. Ecoutez parler Moliere lui-même dans une de ses Comédies. Voici comme il répond à quelqu'un qui pensait, comme M. Diderot, que les sources du comique allaient lui manquer. Remarquez combien ce grand homme était loin de deviner les Comédies de condition. [Palissot then

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See above, p. 130, note 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Œuvres complètes, ed. cst. vii, pp. 149 f.; Lessing's translation, i, pp. 321 f.

quotes in his text the passage from L'Impromptu de Versailles which Lessing reproduces in his note.]...Je croirais en trouver encore un [sujet de Comédie] dans ce vers du Méchant:

Des protégés si bas, des protecteurs si bêtes.

L'homme déplacé; l'homme fin, dont la finesse échoue toujours contre la naiveté d'un homme simple; le faux Philosophe; l'homme singulier, manqué par Destouches; le Tartuffe de société, comme on a fait celui de religion: voilà, ce me semble, des sujets qui n'attendent que des hommes, et qui valent bien le Frere, la Sœur, l'Epoux etc.¹

Even, Palissot says (46), if there are so few comic characters, would the factors of rank or profession ease the situation? He argues against Diderot's whole theory of 'conditions':

Une idée qui est entierement de l'Auteur, mais qui est bien aussi la chose la plus singuliere que l'on ait dite, c'est ce qu'il appelle des Comédies de Condition. Jusqu'à présent on a fait, dit-il, des pieces de caractères, et les caractères sont épuisés. Nous avons des financiers dans nos Comédies, mais le financier n'est pas fait....En vérité je ne sais plus de quel nom appeller ce délire d'imagination. Si je choisis un de ces sujets, le Magistrat, par exemple, il faudra bien que je lui donne un caractère: il sera triste ou gai, grave ou frivole, affable ou brusque, et ce sera ce caractère qui en fera un personnage réel, qui le tirera de la classe des abstractions métaphysiques. Voilà donc le caractère qui redevient la base de l'intrigue et de la morale de la piece, et la condition qui n'est plus que l'accessoire.²

A man's position in life or society is thus only an accidental factor. Diderot might answer that only a certain type of character is consistent with a certain profession: a judge, for instance, must necessarily be serious and suave. But here (88) he approaches the rock of the 'perfect' character. Mendelssohn had discussed the question of the perfect character, not merely in the passage which occurs in his criticism of *Codrus* and which has already been quoted,<sup>3</sup> but also in an article in the *Litteraturbriefe*, No. lxvi, of November 8, 1759.<sup>4</sup> The

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Théâtre et Œwres dwerses, London and Paris, 1763, 1i, pp. 153 ff. This edition had been noticed in the Bibliothek der schonen Wissenschaften, x (i), 1763, pp. 180 ff. Charles Palissot de Montenoy (1730–1814) was involved in a bitter feud with Diderot and the Encyclopaedists, against whom the Petites lettres were directed. The passage quoted from Molière's Impromptu de Versailles (50) is from sc. 1v, not 111. Le Méchant is a comedy by Gresset, and the line quoted is from Act Π, sc. 111; L'homme singulier is a comedy by Destouches.

Théâtre et Œuvres diverses, ed cit. ii, pp. 151 f.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See above, pp. 146 f.

<sup>4</sup> Gesammelte Schriften, ed. cit. iv, 1, pp. 579 ff.

ancients, he said here, do not scruple to hold up perfect characters to mortals for imitation (p 581):

Aber sie hatten mehr als stoisch gesinnt seyn mussen, wenn sie ihren vollkommenen Weisen für alles in allem, und sogar für die geschickteste dramatische Person gehalten hatten. Ich weiss kein einziges dramatisches Stuck von den Alten, in welchem vollkommen tugendhafte Personen vorkommen sollten.

Lessing rightly thinks that Diderot has not sufficiently avoided the difficulty (97), and instances an observation made by him on the characters of Demea and Micio in Terence's *Adelphi*. If the characters, Lessing argues, must always be completely suited to the rank or profession of the person, where would comedy be?

#### CONSISTENCY AND PURPOSE IN CHARACTER

In connexion with Favart's Soliman II, Lessing considers the qualities of character-drawing which may be expected from the poet of genius (XXXIV, 43), and selects two essentials: 'Übereinstimmung', consistency, and 'Absicht', purpose. The former of these requires that the personages of a drama should be presented with uniformity and harmony; they may express themselves with greater or less emphasis as circumstances may dictate; but such expression should never be of a kind that will turn black into white. And in an earlier passage, where he is discussing Corneille's attitude to history in his Comte d'Essex (XXXII, 40),2 he insists that it is not enough for the poet to base his characters merely on the testimony of history; he must endeavour to present the occurrences which set them in action as a natural chain of cause and effect, and so to colour and regulate the emotions of the characters that they appear to arise in the most natural way and take their natural course. A person in a drama must not act or speak in a way which would leave the impression on the ordinary intelligence that a man of his character would be unlikely to behave thus; in other words, he must not fall out of his rôle. If the poet achieves this end he cannot altogether fail. And still more emphatically Lessing insists in his criticism of Mérope (xLvi, 61):

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> It had been the criticism (xxxrv, 136) of the *Journal encyclopédique* that this 'Wahrscheinlichkeit' was lacking in the characters of *Soliman II*. See above, pp. 236 f.

<sup>2</sup> See below, pp. 440 f.

'Die strengste Regelmassigkeit kann den kleinsten Fehler in den Charakteren nicht aufwiegen.'

This demand had also been emphasised by Lessing's predecessors. Johann Elias Schlegel, for instance, had said in his Gedanken zur Aufnahme des danischen Theaters:

Sowohl in der Wahl, Verschiedenheit und Feinigkeit, als auch der genauen Bestimmung der Charaktere, zeiget sich besonders die Grosse des Meisters Je grosser der Meister ist, desto mehr wird man den Charakter der Person, die er vorstellt, fast aus jedem Worte erkennen In ihren Leidenschaften, in ihren Entschlussen, in ihren vernunftigsten Reden, und so gar in ihren Complimenten wird sie ihre schwache Seite verrathen.... So bald ein Poet sich bemuht, seine Charaktere vom Anfange bis zu Ende wohl auszudrucken, so wird auch sein Ausdruck schon seyn. Und der geringste Fehler im Ausdrucke wird auch ein Fehler im Charakter seyn.

But Shaftesbury had already formulated this requirement. In discussing dialogue, in his Advice to an Author (1710) he wrote:

Nor is it enough that the persons introduced speak pertinent and good sense at every turn. It must be seen from what bottom they speak; from what principle, what stock or fund of knowledge they drew, and what kind or species of understanding they possess. For the understanding here must have its mark, its characteristic note, by which it may be distinguished. It must be such and such an understanding; as when we say, for instance, such or such a face; since Nature has characterised tempers and minds as peculiarly as faces. And for an artist who draws naturally, 'tis not enough to show us merely faces which may be called men's: every face must be a certain man's. Now as a painter who draws battles or other actions of Christians, Turks, Indians, or any distinct and peculiar people, must of necessity draw the several figures of his piece in their proper and real proportions, gestures, habits, arms, at least with as fair resemblance as possible, so in the same manner that writer, whoever he be, among us moderns, who shall venture to bring his fellow-moderns into dialogue, must introduce them in their proper manners, genius, behaviour and humour.<sup>2</sup>

Elsewhere in the *Dramaturgie*, in different connexions, Lessing emphasises the need for naturalness in character-drawing. His discussion of Clorinde's sudden conversion to Christianity in Cronegk's *Olint und Sophronia* (II, I) leads him to insist that all that appertains to a dramatic character must arise from the most natural causes. Miracles may be tolerated in the physical world, but in the moral

<sup>1</sup> Werke, ed. cit. 111, pp. 288 ff.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Characteristics, ed. J. M. Robertson, London, 1900, i, pp. 132 f.

world everything must take its proper course.<sup>1</sup> The motive of every decision (9), of every change of thought or opinion, must be minutely weighed in the light of the character of the personage, and such motives must never lead to consequences for which they cannot in the strictest truth be held responsible. So, too, Diderot had said:

Il arrive quelquesos à l'ordre naturel des choses, d'enchaîner des incidents extraordinaires. C'est le même ordre qui distingue le merveilleux du miraculeux. Les cas rares sont merveilleux; les cas naturellement impossibles sont miraculeux: l'art dramatique rejette les miracles.<sup>2</sup>

It is the function of the theatre, Lessing affirms (11, 8), to be the school of the moral world.3

The test of consistency in character-drawing must be, of course, what the characters say. But dramatists may put into the mouths of their tragic characters maxims or moral sentiments which are too often the 'langweiligen Ausbeugungen eines verlegenen Dichters' (II, 96).4 These sentiments must in any case correspond (123) with the character of the speaker. They need not have the stamp of absolute truth; it is enough if they are poetically true. Lessing sees that a certain limit must be set to the naturalness of dramatic characters; their poetic reality can only approximate to absolute truth. He takes umbrage (108) at the line in Cronegk's play: 'Der Himmel kann verzeihn, allein ein Priester nicht'-which presumably the poet regarded as natural and consistent with the character of Ismenor—on the ground that, although Ismenor may be a cruel priest, all priests are not Ismenors (197). He objects to the utterance of such wrong sentiments from the stage. Is it surprising, he asks (146), that there should in return be priests who attack the theatre as 'die grade Heerstrasse zur Holle!'5

¹ Du Bos argues on somewhat similar lines in discussing 'la vraisemblance en Poesie': '...Je n'entends pas ici par impossible ce qui est au-dessus des forces humaines, mais ce qui parôit impossible, même en se prétant à toutes les suppositions que le Poete sçauroit faire' (Refléxions, ed cit. i, p. 228).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Œuvres complètes, ed. cit vii, p. 329 (Lessing's translation, ed. cit. ii, p. 293).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> But see also above, pp. 370 ff

<sup>4</sup> Cp. D'Aubignac, Pratique du Théâtre, 1v, chap. v (ed. cit. i, p. 295): 'Premierement, ces Maximes generales, ou Lieux-communs, doivent être attachées au Sujet, et appliquées par plusieurs circonstances aux Personnages et aux affaires du Theatre; en sorte qu'il semble que celui qui parle, ait plus presens à l'esprit les interêts du Theatre, que ces belles veritez.'

There appeared in London in 1767 an anonymous pamphlet of 43 pp, entitled The Stage the High Road to Hell, which was noticed in the Monthly Review, xxxv1 (1767),

The other essential of dramatic characters mentioned by Lessing in St. xxxiv is 'Absicht', or purpose. As purposive action (100) distinguishes man from other living creatures, so to create and imitate with purpose distinguishes the writer of genius from the minor versewriter. In his Betrachtungen uber die Quellen und Verbindungen Mendelssohn had said: 'Das Genie erfordert eine Vollkommenheit aller Seelenkrafte, und eine Übereinstimmung derselben zu einem einzigen Endzwecke.' Lessing's conception of 'Absicht' here does not, however, correspond with the wider aesthetic conception of Mendelssohn's 'Endzweck', but solely with moral purpose, that is, the inculcation of love for the good and the proper, and a hatred for the bad and the ridiculous <sup>2</sup>

## THE HERO OF TRAGEDY

Lessing devotes considerable space to discussion of the kind of hero suitable for tragedy. The matter arises in St. LXXIV, in connexion with Weisse's Richard III, a play which offends seriously against the Aristotelian canon that the hero of tragedy must neither be a wholly virtuous man nor a complete villain. As we have seen, this led Lessing to define, as a preliminary step, the meaning of  $\tilde{\epsilon}\lambda \epsilon os$  and  $\phi \delta \beta os$ . In St. LXXIX he returns to Richard III and condemns in his most vigorous and trenchant manner the introduction into tragedy of an unmitigatedly bad character. The fullest discussion of the character of the tragic hero, is, however, reserved for St. LXXXII and LXXXIII, where it resolves itself into a polemic against Corneille.

Lessing recalls (LXXXII, I) Anistotle's statement in chapter xiii of his *Poetics* that an entirely good man cannot be allowed to end unhappily in tragedy without any fault of his own, for this would be odious to us. The word used is  $\mu\iota\alpha\rho\delta\nu$ , which Lessing translates 'grasslich' and Curtius by the words 'wurde Abscheu erwecken'. Corneille had translated it 'tout à fait injuste', adding: 'Quelques interprètes poussent la force de ce mot grec  $\mu\iota\alpha\rho\delta\nu$ , qu'il fait servir

p. 326; xxxvii (1767), p. 64 In reviewing the New Lustspiele of J. L. Schlosser, who was a clergyman, a critic in the Deutsche Bibliothek, ii (vii), 1768, p. 391—possibly remembering this passage of Lessing's—wrote. 'Gewiss, nicht wenig Muth wird dazu erfordert, einen Weg zu betreten, den die meisten Hoch- und Wohlerwurdigen Confratres für die Heerstrasse zur Holle ausschrein.'

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Bibliothek der schonen Wissenschaften, 1 (11), 1757, p. 238. This essay was published later as Abhandlung uber die Hauptgrundsatze der schonen Wissenschaften und Kunste. The above passage is quoted by L. Goldstein, op. cit. p. 15.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Cp. also above, pp. 370 ff.

d'épithète à cet événement, jusqu'à le rendre par celui d'abominable.' Lessing's criticism of Corneille's interpretation of  $\mu$ iapóv savours somewhat of hair-splitting. Aristotle had said (25) that a misfortune of this kind is odious or abominable in its very nature, and consequently untragic; while Corneille argues that it is only untragic in so far as it may appear to us to be abominable; that it is consequently legitimate to introduce such a motive into tragedy if the poet is able to avoid giving the beholder the impression that it is abominable.

Lessing's criticism that Corneille 1s merely twisting Aristotle's doctrine to justify his own tragedies (32) 1s, however, entirely pertinent. Corneille says in his Discours de la Tragédie:

Il ne veut point qu'un homme tout à fait innocent tombe dans l'infortune, parce que, cela étant abominable, il excite plus d'indignation contre celui qui le persécute que de pitié pour son malheur; il ne veut pas non plus qu'un très-méchant y tombe, parce qu'il ne peut donner de pitié par un malheur qu'il mérite, ni en faire craindre un pareil à des spectateurs qui ne lui ressemblent pas; mais quand ces deux raisons cessent, en sorte qu'un homme de bien qui souffre excite plus de pitié pour lui que d'indignation contre celui qui le fait souffrir, ou que la punition d'un grand crime peut corriger en nous quelque imperfection qui a du rapport avec lui, j'estime qu'il ne faut point faire de difficulté d'exposer sur la scène des hommes très-vertueux ou très-méchants dans le malheur. En voici deux ou trois manières, que peut-être Aristote n'a su prévoir, parce qu'on n'en voyait pas d'exemples sur les théâtres de son temps.

La première est, quand un homme très-vertueux est persécuté par un très-méchant, et qu'il échappe du péril où le méchant demeure enveloppé, comme dans Rodogune et dans Héraclius, qu'on n'auroit pu souffrir si Antiochus et Rodogune eussent péri dans la première, et Héraclius, Pulchérie et Martian dans l'autre, et que Cléopatre et Phocas y eussent triomphé. Leur malheur y donne une pitié qui n'est point étouffée par l'aversion qu'on a pour ceux qui les tyrannisent, parce qu'on espère toujours que quelque heureuse révolution les empêchera de succomber....²

To this Lessing replies (56) that whereas Corneille pretended that Aristotle had forgotten this kind of plot (of which the *Odyssey* is an example), in point of fact he regarded it as more suitable for comedy than for tragedy. The passage which he has in mind is the following:

After this comes the construction of Plot which some rank first, one with a double story (like the *Odyssey*) and an opposite issue for the good and the bad personages. It is ranked as first only through the weakness of the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Discours de la Tragédie, Œuvres, ed. cit. i, pp. 55 f.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Eurres, ed. cst. i, pp. 63 f. Cp. the version in the Beytrage, ii, pp. 224 f.

audiences; the poets merely follow their public, writing as its wishes dictate But the pleasure here is not that of Tragedy It belongs rather to Comedy, where the bitterest enemies in the piece (e.g., Orestes and Aegisthus) walk off good friends at the end, with no slaying of any one by any one.<sup>1</sup>

Lessing then quotes (67) Corneille's description of the second 'manière', which in the original is as follows:

Il peut arriver d'ailleurs qu'un homme très-vertueux soit persécuté, et périsse même par les ordres d'un autre, qui ne soit pas assez méchant pour attirer trop d'indignation sur lui, et qui montre plus de foiblesse que de crime dans la persécution qu'il lui fait. Si Félix fait périr son gendre Polyeucte, ce n'est pas par cette haine enragée contre les chrétiens, qui nous le rendroit exécrable, mais seulement par une lâche timidité, qui n'ose le sauver en présence de Sévère, dont il craint la haine et la vengeance après les mépris qu'il en a faits durant son peu de fortune. On prend bien quelque aversion pour lui, on désapprouve sa manière d'agir; mais cette aversion ne l'emporte pas sur la pitté qu'on a de Polyeucte, et n'empêche pas que sa conversion miraculeuse, à la fin de la pièce, ne le réconcilie pleinement avec l'auditoire.<sup>2</sup>

# Again, Aristotle had said in the same chapter:

The second [form of plot—i.e., the passing of a bad man from misery to happiness] is the most untragic that can be; it has no one of the requisites of Tragedy; it does not appeal either to the human feeling in us, or to our pity, or to our fears.<sup>3</sup>

# Here Corneille's comment is:

Le malheur d'un homme fort méchant n'excite ni pitié, ni crainte, parce qu'il n'est pas digne de la première, et que les spectateurs ne sont pas méchants comme lui pour concevoir l'autre à la vue de sa punition; mais il seroit à propos de mettre quelque distinction entre les crimes. Il en est dont les honnêtes gens sont capables par une violence de passion, dont le mauvais succès peut faire effet dans l'âme de l'auditeur. Un honnête homme ne va pas voler au coin d'un bois, ni faire un assassinat de sangfroid; mais s'il est bien amoureux, il peut faire une supercherie à son rival, il peut s'emporter de colère et tuer dans un premier mouvement, et l'ambition le peut engager dans un crime ou dans une action blâmable. Il est peu de mères qui voulussent assassiner ou empoisonner leurs enfants de peur de leur rendre leur bien, comme Cléopatre dans Rodogune; mais il en est assez qui prennent goût à en jouir, et ne s'en dessaisissent qu'à regret et le plus tard qu'il leur est possible. Bien qu'elles ne soient pas

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Chap. xiii, 1453a; Bywater, ed. cit. p. 37.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Œuvres, ed. cit. 1, p. 64, cp. Beytrage, 11, pp. 225 f.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Bywater, ed. cit. p. 35.

capables d'une action si noire et si dénaturée que celle de cette reine de Syrie, elles ont en elles quelque teinture du principe qui l'y porta, et la vue de la juste punition qu'elle en reçoit leur peut faire craindre, non pas un pareil malheur, mais une infortune proportionnée à ce qu'elles sont capables de commettre. Il en est ainsi de quelques autres crimes qui ne sont pas de la portée de nos auditeurs.¹

Lessing disposes of the fallacy of Corneille's argument (111) by recalling his proof that fear and pity in tragedy are inseparable.

Corneille would justify the introduction of wholly evil personages as the chief characters in tragedy, not merely as subsidiary and unimportant ones. Du Bos, on the other hand, in his chapter 'Des personnages de Scélérats qu'on peut introduire dans les Tragédies', writes:

Il ne faut point encore que le principal intérêt de la piéce tombe sur les personnages de scélérats. Le personnage d'un scélérat ne doit point être capable d'intéresser par lui-même; ainsi le spectateur ne sçauroit prendre part à ses aventures, qu'autant que ces aventures seront les incidens d'un événement où des personnages d'un autre caractere auront un grand intérêt. Qui fait une grande attention à la mort de Narcisse dans Britannicus?<sup>2</sup>

To this Lessing adds that if so little importance is attached to such subsidiary characters, the less they are introduced the better.

The foremost quality which Aristotle demands of the characters of tragedy (chap. xv) is that they should be 'good' ( $\chi\rho\eta\sigma\tau\dot{a}$ ). Again Corneille is obliged to put an 'accommodating' interpretation on this statement if his own tragedies are not to be condemned as transgressing the Aristotelian canon. Lessing quotes his argument (LXXXIII, 3):

Je ne puis comprendre comment on a voulu entendre par ce mot de bonnes, qu'il faut qu'elles soient vertueuses. La plupart des poemes, tant anciens que modernes, demeureroient en un pitoyable état, si l'on en retranchoit tout ce qui s'y rencontre de personnages méchants, ou vicieux, ou tachés de quelque foiblesse qui s'accorde mal avec la vertu....Il faut donc trouver une bonté compatible avec ces sortes de mœurs; et s'il m'est permis de dire mes conjectures sur ce qu'Aristote nous demande par là, je crois que c'est le caractère brillant et élevé d'une habitude vertueuse ou criminelle, selon qu'elle est propre et convenable à la personne qu'on introduit. Cléopatre, dans Rodogune, est très-méchante; il n'y a point de parricide qui lui fasse horreur, pourvu qu'il la puisse conserver sur un trône qu'elle préfère à toutes choses, tant son attachement à la domination

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Œuvres, ed. cit. i, pp. 59 f.; cp. Beytrage, ii, pp. 220 f.

Réservons critiques sur la Poesie et sur la Peinture, 6th ed. Paris, 1755, i, p. 112.

est violent; mais tous ses crimes sont accompagnés d'une grandeur d'âme qui a quelque chose de si haut, qu'en même temps qu'on déteste ses actions, on admire la source dont elles partent J'ose dire la même chose du *Menteur*. Il est hors de doute que c'est une habitude vicieuse que de mentir, mais il débite ses menteries avec une telle présence d'esprit et tant de vivacité, que cette imperfection a bonne grâce en sa personne, et fait confesser aux spectateurs que le talent de mentir ainsi est un vice dont les sots ne sont point capables.<sup>1</sup>

Lessing, who maintains that Aristotle's word can only imply 'moral goodness' (13), holds that Corneille's interpretation will only lead to the negation of all truth, illusion and moral value in tragedy; with his own firm conviction of the moral purpose of tragic drama, he emphasises particularly this evil effect of Corneille's misinterpretation. As he admits (60), Corneille had already been attacked on this vulnerable point. Dacier in his anti-Cornelian criticism had seized upon it, though on different grounds; indeed, Lessing's indebtedness to Dacier here is obvious. In his note to the passage in Aristotle, Dacier says:

Dans tout ce Livre il n'y a rien de plus clairement expliqué que cette premiere condition des mœurs, qu'elles soient bonnes. Cependant on s'y est trompé, car on a crû qu'Aristote veut qu'elles soient vertueuses. M Corneille a solidement réfuté cette explication, qui condamneroit également tous les Poemes anciens, tant les Poemes Epiques que les Tragiques, où l'on voit beaucoup de personnages vicieux, et il a fort bien vû qu'il falloit chercher une bonté qui fût compatible avec les mœurs moralement mauvaises, et avec celles qui sont moralement bonnes; mais c'est cela même qu'il n'a pû trouver, l'explication qu'il donne à ces paroles d'Aristote, n'étant pas meilleure que l'autre; Pour moy, dit il, je croy que c'est le caractére brillant et élevé d'une habitude vertueuse ou criminelle, selon qu'elle est propre et convenable à la personne qu'on introduit; mais outre que cette explication condamneroit encore beaucoup de caractéres que les anciens Poetes ont faits, et qui n'ont ny cette grandeur d'ame, ny cette élevation que M. Corneille demande, il est certain que cette qualité ne conviendroit pas toûjours avec les deux autres, qui sont la ressemblance et la convenance....Ce qu'Aristote dit, que les mœurs douvent être bonnes, c'est ce qu'Horace traduit, notandi sunt tibi mores, comme je l'ay expliqué, c'est-à-dire, qu'il faut que les mœurs soient bien marquées, soit qu'on introduise un personnage moralement vicieux, ou un personnage moralement bon...L'Auteur du Traité du Poeme Epique a admirablement traité toute cette matiere, on ne peut rien voir de plus judicieux, que tout ce qu'il en a écrit, aussi a-t-il toûjours pris Aristote pour guide.<sup>2</sup>

<sup>2</sup> Poétique d'Aristote, ed. cit. pp. 245 f.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Discours du poème dramatique, Œuvres, ed. cit. i, pp. 31 f.; cp. Beytrage, i, pp. 74 f.

The views of Le Bossu to which Dacier refers will be found in chapters iv-vi of the fourth book of his Traité du Poème épique (Paris, 1675). Here the critic insists on a distinction between 'bonté morale' and 'bonté poétique', and considers the mark of poetic goodness to be that 'les mœurs [soient] bien exprimées'. The portrait of a vicious man can equally well show this poetic goodness: 'Elle ne consiste que dans l'addresse du Poete à bien faire connoître les inclinations de ceux qu'il fait parler, et qu'il fait agir dans son Poeme.'2 Brumoy, in his Discours sur l'origine de la Tragédie, mentions two interpretations of Aristotle's statement: that goodness signifies a 'probité commune' (the quality in a character which lays claim to our sympathy), or—and here he observes that the passage is ambiguous that 'les mœurs soient bien marquées' (Dacier's phrase).3 Lessing's German predecessor Curtius accepts the view expressed by Cornelle, Dacier and Le Bossu that Aristotle cannot have meant moral goodness; and he mentions Vossius and Calepio as upholders of a contrary opinion.4 It has already been seen that Lessing had read Calepio's Paragone with sympathetic interest, and knew Bodmer's Critische Briefe, and he may again have been influenced by Calepio here; but Batteux had put the matter cogently enough. After condemning Corneille's pronouncement, Batteux proceeds:

D'autres enfin pensent que la bonté dont il s'agit ici est une bonté légale, c'est-à-dire, la conformité des mœurs avec la loi naturelle, qui commande la vertu et proscrit le vice. Le terme d'Aristote semble signifier particulierement cette espèce de bonté. C'est une certaine droiture d'ame, qui porte l'homme à l'équité, et à la bienveillance: mais droiture qui peut se rencontrer avec des fautes considérables, même avec des crimes, pourvû que ce soit des crimes où l'on tombe par imprudence, par foiblesse, par emportement. Il n'y a pas un héros d'Homère qui soit méchant ou vicieux par caractère ou par principe. Cependant il n'y en a pas un qui n'ait quelque défaut...Qu'en général les personnages poetiques soient bons, mais d'une bonté qui souffre quelque écart ou quelque excès passager, dans le genre de la vertu qui fait la base des mœurs.<sup>6</sup>

<sup>2</sup> Ibid. ii, p. 35.

<sup>3</sup> Théâtre des Grecs, ed. cit. i, p. lxxxvi.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Traité du Poeme épique, Paris, 1675, 11, pp. 32, 35.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Aristoteles Duchtkunst, ed. cit. p. 219. Cp. also Vossius, Poeticarum Institutionum libri tres, 1, cap. v, § 3, ed. cit. i, pp. 52 f.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> See above, p. 365; and cp. Calepio, *Paragone*, cap. 1, 2 (ed. cit. pp. 9 ff.); Bodmer, Critische Briefe, Zurich, 1746, p. 48.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Principes de la littérature, ed. cit. 11, pp. 275 f., 277 f.

Another critic of Aristotle with whose work Lessing was familiar, Marmontel, had stated the position perhaps still more acceptably. Marmontel speaks of 'une bonté morale, c'est-à-dire, un fond de bonté naturelle qui perce à travers les erreurs, les foiblesses, les passions'.¹ And he emphasises the fact that Aristotle is only concerned with the chief character in the drama—'le personnage intéressant'. He would have him endowed with a mixture of virtues and vices; he wished him to be unfortunate by reason of a fault which any of us might commit, but in order to accommodate his theory to the practice of the Greek theatre he imagined the solution—which is no true solution—of an involuntary fault.²

It is to be regretted that at this point Lessing abruptly breaks off his discussion (LXXXIII, 74), presumably reserving a statement of his considered views for the commentary on Aristotle which he hoped to write. He himself no doubt felt that his 'schlechterdings eine moralische Gute' could not stand without some more weighty support; and his hint that the justification must depend on the nature of the προαίρεσιs or intention is vague and obscure. He may have hoped for more light on this point after he had made a thorough study of the Aristotelian Ethics and Rhetoric.

## 'CHRISTIAN' TRAGEDY

If Weisse's Richard III, in Lessing's view, sinned against Aristotle's precepts by introducing a hero who was unmitigatedly bad, Corneille with his martyr-tragedy Polyeucte sinned in the opposite direction by writing a tragedy with a wholly blameless hero. Lessing is led to speak of Polyeucte at the very beginning of the Dramaturgie (II, 35), since the first play of the repertory, Olint und Sophronia, was a 'Christian tragedy' of the same class. Beyond pointing out that the Christian with his 'tranquil placidity' and his 'unchangeable meekness' is not well adapted to the purpose of tragedy—the purification of passions by passions—Lessing has nothing to say here of the theoretical aspects of this type of play.<sup>3</sup> He merely recommends the

<sup>1</sup> Poétique Françoise, ed cit ii, p 179.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Marmontel, *Poétique Françoise*, ed. cit. 11, p 181. 'Ce personnage étoit le seul qu'il eût en vûe; et en effet, voulant qu'il fût malheureux par une faute involontaire, il n'avoit pas besoin de lui opposer des méchans les dieux et les destins en tenoient lieu dans les sujets conduits par la fatalité '

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See above, pp. 365 ff, for Lessing's earlier views on 'admiration' as a tragic effect.

dramatist to avoid the martyrs who figure in Christian tragedies, or, if he cannot avoid them altogether, to see that the motives which lead them to seek death are of the strongest; to the theatre he offers the advice  $(\pi, 52)$ : 'Better leave all the Christian tragedies that have so far been written unperformed.' Similarly, Curtius—who, however, defended Corneille—recognised the unsuitability of martyrs as subjects for modern tragedies (ed. cit. pp. 187 f.):

...die christlichen Martyrer konnen also, überhaupt betrachtet, ohngeachtet der Regel des Aristoteles, Vorwurfe der Tragodie seyn, wenn nicht einige andere Betrachtungen, in Ansehung der Sitten, und Denkungsart des itzigen Jahrhunderts, uns anriethen, dieselbe zwar nicht von der Buhne zu verbannen, aber doch auch nicht oft aufzufuhren

The admissibility of martyr tragedies was a frequent theme of discussion among the critics of the time. Saint-Evremond, for instance, in his essay De la Tragédie ancienne et moderne (1672), had already put the matter in a form which suggests Lessing's criticism:

L'esprit de nôtre Religion est directement opposé à celui de la Tragédie. L'humilité et la patience de nos Saints sont trop contraires aux vertus des Heros que demande le Théatre. Quel zele, quelle force, le Ciel n'inspiret-il pas à Néarque et à Polyeucte; et que ne font pas ces nouveaux Chrétiens pour répondre à ces heureuses inspirations?...Polyeucte a plus d'envie de mourir pour Dieu, que les autres hommes n'en ont de vivre pour eux Néanmoins ce qui eût fait un beau Sermon, faisoit une misérable Tragédie, si les entretiens de Pauline et de Sévére, animés d'autres sentimens et d'autres passions, n'eussent conservé à l'Auteur la réputation que les Vertus Chrétiennes de nos Martyrs lui eussent ôtée. Le Théatre perd tout son agrément dans la représentation des choses saintes, et les choses saintes perdent beaucoup de la religieuse opinion qu'on leur doit, quand on les représente sur le Théatre.¹

Possibly Lessing had this passage in mind, but it is hardly likely.<sup>2</sup> A. G. Kastner, again, had published, in Schwabe's Belustigungen des Verstandes und des Witzes for August, 1742,<sup>3</sup> Gedanken über die christlichen Tragödien: but this essay hardly touches upon the particular points in which Lessing is interested. Mendelssohn, on the other hand, repeatedly expressed, with reference to Shaftesbury, his objection to 'perfect characters'. He was inclined, however, to make one

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Euvres, Amsterdam, 1739, 111, pp. 175 f.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Saint-Évremond is mentioned by Lessing in St. LXXX (53) as author of the comedies Sir Politick Would-be and Les Opéra, but only in a quotation from Voltaire.

<sup>3 2</sup>nd ed. Leipzig, 1744, pp. 116 ff.

exception: 'Ich weiss nur einen Fall, da die vollkommenen Charaktere auf der Buhne ertraglich sind; dieser ist: wenn die tugendhaften Personen unglucklich werden, wenn sie durch ihre Tugend selbst einen Raub des Neides und der Verfolgung abgeben, und mit ihrem Schicksale in einem bestandigen Kampfe leben mussen. Alsdann erregen sie unser Mitleid, und schlagen desto tiefere Wunden in unser Gemuth, je mehr Liebe, Hochachtung und Bewunderung sie sich durch ihre moralische Vollkommenheit erworben. Sobald der Tugendhafte aber das Ungluck überkommt, wird er gleichgultig.' But if outside suggestion is to be sought for Lessing's criticism of the 'Christian' hero of tragedy, I do not think that we need look beyond Dacier. After justifying Aristotle in his exclusion from tragedy of the misfortunes of a very virtuous man, he proceeds—the passage is in his first note to Aristotle's thirteenth chapter:

M. Corneille voyant que cette maxime bannit les Martyrs du Theatre, cherche des autoritez pour défendre son Polyeucte, par d'autres endroits que par ses grands succez, et il trouve enfin un Minturnus qui examine dans son Traité du Poete, Si la Passion de Jesus-Christ et les Martyres des Saints, doivent être exclus du Theatre, à cause de leur vertu, et qui décide en sa faveur....Le succez justifie assez le Poete; mais je ne sçay s'il seroit aisé de justifier ce succez. Je ne parle icy que du sujet dont peu de gens jugent, car d'ailleurs c'est peut-être la piece de M. Corneille la mieux conduite, elle est pleine de beaux sentimens et a de parfaitement beaux caractéres, où les mœurs sont marquées admirablement. Il n'y a personne qui ne s'interesse pour Pauline et pour Severe, et qui ne soit touché de leur malheur, et c'est ce qui fait réussir la piece; mais ce sujet n'est nullement propre au Theatre, qui ne doit exposer ny le bonheur ny le malheur d'un homme tres vertueux. De quelque manière qu'on regarde le martyre, ou comme un mal ou comme un bien, il ne peut exciter, ny la pitié ny la crainte, et par consequent il ne purgera pas les passions, ce qui est l'unique but de la Tragedie, comme on l'a déja vû. Cette regle d'Aristote fait encore le procez à beaucoup d'autres pieces qui n'ont pas laissé de plaire, mais elles ont plû par d'autres endroits que par le sujet, et par des endroits qui étant conformes aux regles, ont toute la beauté qu'ils peuvent avoir.2

It might be added that Lessing had already expressed himself unequivocally on *Polyeucte* in his letter to Mendelssohn of December 18, 1756:<sup>3</sup>

In eben dem Verhaltnisse, in welchem die Bewunderung auf der einen Seite zunimmt, nimmt das Mitleiden auf der andern ab. Aus diesem

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Gesammelte Schriften, ed. cit. iv, 2, p. 146, cp. also iv, 1, pp. 496, 579 ff. and iv, 2, pp. 237 f.

<sup>2</sup> Poétique d'Aristote, ed. cit. p. 186.

<sup>3</sup> Schriften, xvii, pp. 81 f.; Petsch, op. cit. p. 83.

Grunde halte ich den Polyeukt des Corneille fur tadelhaft; ob er gleich wegen ganz anderer Schonheiten niemals aufhoren wird zu gefallen Polyeukt strebt ein Martyrer zu werden; er sehnet sich nach Tod und Martern; er betrachtet sie als den ersten Schritt in ein überschwenglich seliges Leben, ich bewundere den frommen Enthusiasten, aber ich musste befurchten, seinen Geist in dem Schoosse der ewigen Glückseligkeit zu erzürnen, wenn ich Mitleid mit ihm haben wollte.

# THE HARLEQUIN

The formal expulsion of the Harlequin from German tragedy in 1737 by Karoline Neuber 'sub Auspiciis Sr. Magnificenz, des Herrn Prof. Gottscheds' (xvm, 22) was an incident of considerable importance in the rise of the German theatre to literary recognition. But Lessing points out that it was something of an exaggeration to say that the Harlequin had been 'banished'; he only appeared (26) under a new name as 'Hannschen' or 'Peter'. In point of fact, Karoline Neuber's

<sup>1</sup> See above, pp. 7 f.

<sup>2</sup> Two comedies by Marivaux in the Hamburg repertory of Lessing's time, Due falschen Vertraulichkeiten—which gives occasion for the present discussion—and Der unvermuthete Ausgang, have a 'Peter' in the German versions; a servant of this name also appears in Destouches' Gespenst mit der Trommel and in Lessing's own Die alte Jungfer (cp. Petersen, ed cit. p. 199). In the German translations of Delisle's Le Faucon and Timon (XVIII, 43), which had been in Schonemann's repertory since 1747 and were printed in his Schaubuhne (vols. ii and iii, see H. Devrient, op. cit. pp. 128 f.), the Harlequin appears. For references to these two plays cp. Lessing's Theatralische Bibliothek (Schriften, vi, p. 334; cp. also v, p. 151). 'Hannschen' (XVIII, 30) is the name of 'Lisanders Bedienter' in the version of L'Affichard's La Famille, which was played on the same evening as Die falschen Vertraulichkeiten. F. L. Schroder as a young man excelled in rôles of this kind '...als Schauspieler gefiel Schroder ungemein, und ward, nach dem Bedienten des Bramarbas... uberall Peter genannt' (Meyer, op. cit 1, p. 116). In the list of Schroder's rôles, 'Peter' occurs in Holberg's Bramarbas and in Lowen's Der Liebhaber von Ohngefahr; 'Johann' (or 'Hans') in Lessing's Freygeist, Weisse's Die Poeten nach der Mode and Die Haushalterunn, Marivaux' Der Bauer mit der Erbschaft, Schlegel's Der Gehemmissvolle, as well as in other comedies of later date (cp. Meyer, op. cit. ii, 2, pp. 139 ff.). Cp. also an article by Mylius, Von der nothigen Wahrscheinlichkeit bey Vorstellung der Schauspiele in Gottsched's Beyträge zur critischen Historie der deutschen Sprache, Poesie und Beredsamkert, St. xxx (1743), pp. 312 f. 'Was soll 1ch aber von dem ın etlichen Schauspielen vorkommenden Peter, oder dem sogenannten Crispin sagen? Was sind diese doch fur Geschopfe? Und in welcher moglichen Welt gehoren sie zu Hause? Sie sollen Diener vorstellen: aber welcher Herr ist so thoricht, dass er seinen Diener eine solche närrische Librey giebt? Und was sind die zwo holzernen Degen, die Peter in der Tasche führt, für unwahrscheinliche Dinge? In Wahrheit, so abgeschmackt jemals Harlekin und Skaramutz gewesen, so ungereimt sind auch Peter und Crispin.' Cp. also P. Schlenther, Frau Gottsched und die burgerliche Komödie, Berlin, 1886, pp. 110 f.

aim had been rather to reform him, to free him from his licentious ribaldry and incongruous impromptu jesting <sup>1</sup> In his earlier attacks on Gottsched Lessing had stigmatised this reform as retrograde 'Er liess den Harlequin feyerlich vom Theater vertreiben, welches selbst die grosste Harlequinade war, die jemals gespielt worden.' But this is what Gottsched had to say in the *Critische Dichtkunst* about Harlequin:

Die Kleidungen der Personen mussen nach ihrem Character und Stande eingerichtet seyn. Nur der Harlekin hat hier, ich weis nicht warum, eine Ausnahme. Er soll zuweilen einen Herrendiener bedeuten Allein, welcher Herr wurde sich nicht schamen, seinem Kerle eine so buntscheckigte Lieberey zu geben? Der Scapin hat eine spanische Tracht; und das kann man in einem spanischen Stucke schon gelten lassen; allein bey uns schickt sichs nicht. Den Scaramutz, Pantalon, Anselmo, Doctor und Capitain, Pierrot und Mezetin, und wie die narrischen Personen der italienischen Comodien mehr heissen, konnen wir auch entbehren. Denn warum soll man immer bey einerley Personen bleiben? Die Namen dorfen auch in einer Comodie nicht aus der Historie genommen werden. So bald die Personen neue Charactere haben, mussen sie auch neue Namen bekommen: Um die Verwirrung zu vermeiden, die sonst bey dem Zuschauer vieler Comodien entstehen konnte.3

When Lessing suggests that the parasite of ancient drama was only a Harlequin under another name (52), he is repeating an idea which had been expressed in the Critik uber die Gefangnen des Plautus,<sup>4</sup> the immediate source being probably the preface to Krüger's translation of Marivaux: 'Wer mir aber diese Art der Arlequine aus dem Grunde verwirft, weil Plautus und Terentius ihre Sosias nicht Arlequins genannt haben...der wird es mir nicht verargen, wenn ich ihn auf folgende Art einem Geizhalse an die Seite setze.' But Lessing's criticism was in substance prompted, as he implies (58), by Justus Moser's little book: Harlekin, oder Vertheidigung des Groteske-Komischen,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See above, pp. 7 f; and cp. F. J. von Reden-Esbeck, Caroline Neuber, Leipzig, 1881, p 211; W. Creizenach, Zur Entstehungsgeschichte des neuern Lustspiels, Halle, 1879, pp. 18 ff; G. Waniek, Gottsched und die deutsche Litteratur seiner Zeit, Leipzig, 1897, pp. 341 ff, and Petersen's note, ed cit p. 199.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Litteraturbriefe, No. xvii, February 16, 1759; Schriften, viii, p. 42.

<sup>3</sup> Critische Dichtkunst, ed of 1737, pp. 708 f

<sup>4</sup> Beytrage, 1v, p. 588.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Sammlung einiger Lustspiele aus dem Franzosischen des Herrn von Marwaux übersetzt. Hanover, 1747–49, i, pp. 3-4 This quotation was transcribed by the kindness of the authorities of the Universitäts-Bibliothek, Marburg, which possesses a copy of the translation. Cp. also W. Wittekindt, J. C. Kruger, Berlin, 1898, pp. 105 ff. (where the general argument of the Preface is summarised).

published in 1761.<sup>1</sup> The following extracts from this work are elucidatory for Lessing's text:

Ich [Harlekin] schmeichle mir, in der besten komischen Welt ein nothwendiger und angenehmer Burger zu seyn; und hoffentlich wird man mich auch nicht aus einer andern Welt verbannen, worin so viele Thoren zum grossten Dienst der Weisen geduldet.. . An dem Titel Komodie, ist mir ohnedem wenig gelegen....Meine komischen Vorstellungen mogen kunftig immer Harlekinaden heissen, und meinen Namen, so wie ehemaals eine Pflanzstadt ihren Stifter, verewigen. Vielleicht ist es mir auch weit ruhmlicher, ein eignes Thier in meiner Art zu bleiben, als wie der Lowe zum Katzengeschlecht gezahlt zu werden. Diese meine aufrichtige und jedem Redner gegen seine. kritische Obrigkeit wohlanstandige Demuth erlaubet mir aber nicht, denen zu schmeicheln, welche die komischen Vorstellungen blos auf die eigentliche Komodie und hochstens auf das ruhrende oder sogenannte weinerliche Lustspiel einschranken wollen. Die Sphare des menschlichen Vergnugens lasst sich noch immer erweitern.... Wenn ich also auch gleich kein Redner fur meine eigne Sache ware: so wurde mich dennoch ein blosses warum nicht? womit Fontenelle so viele unentdeckte Welten bevolkert, von der Moglichkeit mehrerer komischen Arten uberzeugen. Ich will hier nicht untersuchen, ob die furchterlichen Alten eine andre Art, als die Terenzische, gekannt haben. Sonst liesse sich vielleicht aus einigen Scenen des Aristophanes und Plautus zeigen, dass diese grossen Meister, eben wie Terenz und Moliere, von meinen Vorfahren manche schone Stellung geborgt, und solche mit ihren geschickten Pinseln originalisirt hatten. Vernunftige Leser werden mir ohnedem glauben, dass den Satyren, diesen ersten Schauspielern der Griechen, der Bocksfuss nicht edler, als mir mein buntschackigtes Kleid gestanden, wozu alle Stande in der Welt, sowohl geist- als weltliche, ihre Lappchen hergegeben haben.2

It may be recalled that in the review of Moser's book which appeared in 1761 in the *Litteraturbriefe*, the following passage occurs:

Und nun; ehe sie es sich versehen, giebt Harlekin Hr. Lessingen einen Schlag, tritt gleich darauf vor ihn hin, und macht ihm eine tiefe Verbeugung. "Herrr Lessing, spricht er, ein Mann, der Einsicht genug besitzet, um dermaleins mein Lobredner zu werden, wurde mir vielleicht

<sup>2</sup> Harlekin, oder Vertheidigung des Groteske-Komischen, 2nd ed. Bremen, 1777,

pp. 13 ff.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> J. Moser, Sammtliche Werke, herausg von B. R. Abeken, Berlin, 1842–43, 1x, pp 63 ff. The little book on the Harlequin had been reviewed in the Bibliothek der schonen Wissenschaften, vii (ii), 1762, pp. 334 ff., and in the Litteraturbriefe, Nos. cciv-cevi, December 17–31, 1761, ed. cit. xii, pp. 331 ff., also in the French Journal encyclopédique, vii, October 15, 1762, pp. 47 ff.

hier einwenden, dass die Übertreibung der Gestalten ein sicheres Mittel sey, seinen Endzweck zu versehlen, indem die Zuschauer dadurch verfuhret wurden, zu glauben, dass sie weit über das ausschweisende lacherliche der Thorheit erhaben waren. Allein meine gelehrten Feinde urtheilen hier abermal nach ihrer gebesserten Empfindung, und denken nicht, dass mancher einen Geruch kaum empfinde, welcher dem andern schon die schwersten Kopsschmertzen verursachet. Sie erwagen nicht, dass es hinter ihnen noch ansehnliche Classen von Thoren gebe, für deren Empfindungen sie nicht burgen konnen "Deucht Ihnen nicht, dass Harlekin Recht habe?"

<sup>1</sup> Litteraturbriefe, No ccv, December 24, 1761, ed. cit xii, pp. 345 f The quotation is from Harlekin, oder Vertheidigung des Groteske-Komischen, ed cit pp. 46 f. In this (revised) edition of 1777 Moser added the following footnote to this passage: 'Herr Lessing hat mich in seiner Dramaturgie aufgefodert, ihm diese Vermuthung zu erweisen. Ich kann aber weiter nichts sagen, als dass ich etwas, das mich zu dieser Vermuthung berechtigte, in den von ihm mit beforderten Beytragen zur Historie und Aufnahme des Theaters, wovon im Jahr 1750, vier Stuck zum Vorschein kamen, gelesen zu haben glaubte; beym Nachschlagen finde ich aber, dass ich mich geirret habe.'

## CHAPTER XV

# IMITATION AND ILLUSION

#### IMITATION

Fundamental for the aesthetics of the eighteenth century was the dictum that art is an 'imitation' of reality. This was the 'même principe' to which Batteux had led back all literary art. D'Aubignac had dealt with it in book ii, chapter ii of his Pratique du Théâtre; Madame Dacier had assumed it in the preface to her translation of the Odyssey; Du Bos had devoted sections xxviii and xxix of his first volume to 'la vraisemblance en Poesie' and to the question 'si les Poetes Tragiques sont obligés de se conformer à ce que la Géographie, l'Histoire et la Chronologie nous apprennent positivement'; Gottsched had stated the principle of imitation, Breitinger had given considerable space to it in his Critische Dichtkunst, and Johann Elias Schlegel had written a long essay Von der Nachahmung. The principle is assumed, of course, in all eighteenth-century commentaries on the Poetics and discussions of Aristotle's theory.

How is the artist to imitate nature and life? Is his imitation what we should now call a photographic process—or, as it would have been described in the eighteenth century, the way of the camera obscura (LXXIII, 90)—or is it governed by certain laws and limitations which the artist must observe? The ancients had accepted the fundamental principle of imitation; but they had only touched the fringe of the problem of the means. From Horace had come down a dogma enshrined in the words 'ut pictura poesis', which by unconsidered application—such, at least, was Lessing's view—had injuriously affected both poetry and painting; and he wrote his Laokoon to prove its dangers. The problem of that treatise had been to determine the

<sup>2</sup> Pratique du Théâtre, ed. cit. i, pp. 66 ff.

<sup>5</sup> Critische Dichtkunst, ed. cit. pp. 89 f, 136 (and passim).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Cp. Principes de la littérature, ed. cit. 1, pp. 4 ff., 17 ff. (and passim).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> L'Odyssée d'Homère, traduite en François, avec des Remarques. Par Madame Dacier, Paris, 1716, 1, pp. viii, xxvi f., lii ff. (and passim).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Réflexions critiques sur la Poèsie et sur la Peinture, ed. cit. i, pp. 227, 233.

<sup>6</sup> Critische Dichtkunst, Zurich, 1740, i, pp. 7, 53-77 (and passim).
7 Werke, ed. cit. iii, pp. 107 ff.

conditions which govern the pictorial and plastic artist on the one hand, and the poet on the other. Similarly, in the Dramaturgie, Lessing is not concerned with the general question whether art is or is not an imitation—perhaps because the proposition was so clearly established as to need no asseveration. Here he applies his analytic method to the drama, discriminating between the different modes of dramatic imitation. But in contrast to Laokoon, the Dramaturgie shows a tendency on Lessing's part to discover community of purpose in the various kinds of dramatic composition. He finds common ground in Sophocles and Shakespeare; he insists that former reasons for discriminating between the characters of tragedy and comedy have little justification; and he confesses to a certain personal sympathy with the mixed forms of drama. Caught up by the new demand for a greater truth to nature and life, he applied this criterion to the drama of the past and of his own day. His clear, logical mind, together with his predilection for the new English tragedy of common life, made him lay stress on truth to reality—on a closer imitation of reality than was to be found in the older poets. We have already seen the effect of this in his critical approach to dramas concerned with contemporary life. Here Lessing is quite definitely a realist: he maintains that this kind of drama, if it is to enlist the sympathies of the audience, must be 'true'. Such plays are criticised unfavourably when they do not represent life as it is, or appears to be.2 This principle governs many of the judgments of the Dramaturgie.

Thus, although the word 'Nachahmung' does not occur frequently in this work, and although Lessing made no pretence of investigating its scope as Schlegel had done—rather he accepted Batteux' doctrine as an established matter of fact—much of the concrete criticism of plays in the *Dramaturgie* consists in applying the measuring-tape of 'reality'; in judging dramas by their greater or less approximation to truth in their imitation.<sup>3</sup> But what is this 'truth'? Scrutinised more closely, the imitation of reality is seen to be something other than the simple transference of life to the theatre. To begin with, if a dramatic action had to be kept always within the three hours' traffic of the stage which it would occupy in reality—had to present, as was sometimes proclaimed, a slice of life exactly as it was lived—this would curtail enormously the scope and power of the drama as an art.<sup>4</sup> Moreover, what purpose would be served if it succeeded in

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Cp. xxII, 6, xcVII, 23, 60.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Cp. xII, 93.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Cp. xlii, 22.

<sup>4</sup> Cp. LXX, 78.

thus identifying itself with actuality? That a drama should be 'true', of course, does not imply merely the presentation of a meaningless slice of life, but also the use of such a presentation to express a higher truth, an interpretation of the ways of man to man and God to man. Lessing does not lose sight of this, although he has little to say of it in criticising the drama of contemporary life. What is required in the theatre is that the spectator should be deceived—not of course unwillingly—into the belief that he is witnessing reality in the presenting of a case that is not 'real'. Success depends thus on something quite other than the reproduction of reality; it depends on illusion—an illusion whereby the spectator is led to believe that he is witnessing something actual. It behoves us therefore to look at what Lessing meant by illusion.

### ILLUSION

The attitude of the dramatist towards facts was a question which had exercised the minds of many theorists of the drama. How far must a dramatic work be true, how far might it be invented, and merely an illusion? Lessing discusses on more occasions than one the nature of such illusion, or 'Tauschung'. In the period of his correspondence with Mendelssohn and Nicolai on tragedy he had refused to countenance the idea that illusion is essential to the aesthetic effect.<sup>1</sup> To Mendelssohn he wrote on December 18, 1756:

Wenn Sie Ihre Gedanken von der Illusion mit dem Hrn. Nicolai aufs Reine bringen werden, so vergessen Sie ja nicht, dass die ganze Lehre von der Illusion eigentlich den dramatischen Dichter nichts angeht, und die Vorstellung seines Stucks das Werk einer andern Kunst, als der Dichtkunst, ist. Das Trauerspiel muss auch ohne Vorstellung und Akteurs seine vollige Starke behalten; und diese bey dem Leser zu aussern, braucht sie nicht mehr Illusion als jede andre Geschichte.<sup>2</sup>

But by the time he came to write *Laokoon*, where he had necessarily much to say on illusion, he had thought more deeply on the subject; and in the *Dramaturgie* he has plainly accepted Mendelssohn's views.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In this connexion cp. Du Bos, Réflexions critiques sur la Poesie et sur la Peinture, i, section xliui: 'Que le plaisir que nous avons au Théâtre, n'est point produit par l'illusion' (ed. cit. i, pp. 411 ff.).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Schriften, xvii, p. 87; Petsch, op. cit. p. 90. Cp. also Schriften, xvii, pp. 90 f., 96; Petsch, op. cit. pp. 99 f., 103.

In the passages where he discusses or refers to illusion, there is no essential disparity between his standpoint and that of his friend.

In the first section (23) he analyses the art of the dramatist, who instead of describing passions must make them arise before the eyes of the spectator in 'such illusory continuity' that the latter must sympathise, whether he will or no. In St. v (72), however, he reminds us that the actor must not carry the appearance of actuality to the extreme of illusion (78), and in St IX (65) he expresses a warning against carrying pantomime to such revolting lengths of realism as the display of blood. Similarly in Laokoon he had written: 'Hierzu fuge man, dass der Schauspieler die Vorstellung des korperlichen Schmerzes schwerlich oder gar nicht bis zur Illusion treiben kann.'2 Any exaggeration of gesture or action, in fact, is apt to disillusion the spectator (LVI, 82). In like manner, Lessing deprecates the bad custom, still known in the French provinces, of admitting spectators to the stage, on the ground that all illusion is thereby destroyed (x, 141). In the eleventh section, a more important statement of the dramatist's purpose (35) arises from the discussion of ghosts upon the stage: 'er will uns tauschen, und durch die Tauschung ruhren... ohne Tauschung [können] wir unmöglich sympathisiren.' Whatever we may think in ordinary life—if the poet has the power of convincing us, we believe in the theatre what he wants us to believe (73). Nevertheless, Lessing quotes (XIX, 43), and accepts, the comment made on Zelmire by a French reviewer that the action of that play is a tissue of coincidences, compressed into twenty-four hours and 'aller Illusion unfahig'. Illusion is vital to tragedy: 'Der tragische Dichter sollte alles vermeiden, was die Zuschauer an ihre Illusion erinnern kann; denn sobald sie daran erinnert sind, so ist sie weg' (XLII, 103); comedy, on the other hand (109), need not produce so great a degree of illusion for the attainment of its purpose. But in either case the illusion is stronger than that of a mere narrative (XXXV, 144); for this reason our interest in the characters of drama is incomparably greater. It is interesting to note Lessing's argument that indigenous customs, by the fact of their familiarity, assist in the creation of illusion both in tragedy and comedy. Characteristically, Lessing fits his disparaging comment on Voltaire's acceptance of his call before the curtain into the general theory of illusion (xxxvi,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Cp. 1, 23; v, 77, x, 141, x1, 35, 74; x1x, 43; xxxv, 144, xxxv1, 124, xLII, 103; LVI, 84; xcVII, 28, 34.

<sup>2</sup> See below, p. 485.

124): 'Die Tauschung muss sehr schwach seyn, man muss wenig Natur, aber desto mehr Kunsteley empfinden, wenn man so neugierig nach dem Kunstler ist.'

It is of some interest to compare with these observations of Lessing the following opinions expressed by Mendelssohn. The most complete statement which the latter has left us of his theory is to be found in the Rhapsodie oder Zusatze zu den Briefen uber die Empfindungen:

Es ist wahr, die sinnliche Erkenntniss und Begehrungskrafte der Seele werden durch die Kunst getauscht, und die Einbildungskraft so mit fortgerissen, dass wir zuweilen aller Zeichen der Nachahmung vergessen, und die wahre Natur zu sehen wahnen. Allein dieser Zauber dauert nur so lange, als nothig ist, unserm Begriffe von dem Gegenstande das gehorige Leben und Feuer zu geben. Wir haben uns gewohnt, zu unserm grossern Vergnugen, die Aufmerksamkeit von allem, was die Tauschung storen konnte, abzulenken, und nur auf das zu richten, wodurch sie unterhalten wird Sobald aber die Beziehung auf den Gegenstand unangenehm zu werden anfangt; so erinnern uns tausend in die Augen fallende Umstande, dass wir eine blosse Nachahmung vor uns sehen. Hierzu kommt, dass die mannigfaltigen Schonheiten, womit die Vorstellung durch die Kunst ausgeziert wird, die angenehme Empfindung verstarken und die unangenehme Beziehung auf den Gegenstand mildern helfen.<sup>1</sup>

Moreover, in the section 'Von der Illusion' in his Von der Herrschaft über die Neigungen (which, although it was not published until 1831, Lessing had an opportunity of reading in 1757) he wrote:

Soll eine Nachahmung schon seyn, so muss sie uns asthetisch illudiren; die obern Seelenkrafte aber mussen uberzeugt seyn, dass es eine Nachahmung, und nicht die Natur selbst sei.<sup>2</sup>

With this may be compared the following passage in Mendelssohn's essay Über die Hauptgrundsätze der schönen Kunste und Wissenschaften (which appeared in the Philosophische Schriften of 1761):

Die Gegenstande [der kunstlerischen Vorstellung] konnen entweder in der Natur anzutreffen, oder erdichtet seyn. In beeden Fallen muss der Ausdruck, dessen sich die Kunst bedienet, unsere Sinne tauschen. Das heisst, wir mussen eine solche Menge von Merkmalen auf einmal warnehmen, dass wir die Sache selbst uns lebhafter vorstellen, als die ausdruckenden

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Philosophische Schriften (verbesserte Auflage), Berlin, 1777, ii, pp. 20 f.; Gesammelte Schriften, ed. cit. i, pp. 244 f. The passage is quoted by Goldstein, op. cit. p. 132.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Gesammelte Schriften, IV, I, p. 44. Cp. Petsch, op. cit. p. 134. Cp. also J. Heinemann, Moses Mendelssohn. Sammlung theils noch ungedruckter, theils in andern Schriften zerstreuter Aufsatze und Briefe von ihm, an und über ihn, Leipzig, 1831, p. 55.

Zeichen, und zwar um so viel lebhaffter, dass unsere Sinne, wenigstens einen Augenblick, die Sachen selbst vor sich zu sehen glauben. Dieses ist der hochste Grad der anschauenden Erkenntnis, den man die asthetische Illusion nennet. Man siehet hieraus, dass in dem Falle, wann die Gegenstande in der Natur anzutreffen sind, der Ausdruck auch getreu seyn musse, dass heisst, er muss uns alle Theile des Gegenstandes so abbilden, wie wir sie an ihm selbst vermittelst der Sinne wargenommen haben wurden. Die Abbildung eines Gegenstandes, die mit allen seinen Theilen genau übereinstimmt, wird eine Nachahmung genannt; daher ist die Nachahmung in diesem Falle eine nothwendige Eigenschaft der schonen Kunste und Wissenschaften.<sup>1</sup>

In the Dramaturgie, Lessing appeals more than once to the authority of Diderot when he is advocating naturalism in the drama—that is, an illusion that shall succeed in illuding. In sections LXXXIV and LXXXV he adduces a long extract from Les bijoux indiscrets, a satiric romance, ascribed to Diderot, which was published anonymously in 1748.<sup>2</sup> This romance was translated into German,<sup>3</sup> and in a recent reprint Lessing's possible authorship of this translation is discussed.<sup>4</sup> But even if this conjecture (based on the identity of the preface to the translation with Lessing's account of Les bijoux indiscrets in the Dramaturgie (LXXXIV)) is on the whole unlikely, the extract quoted in the Dramaturgie bears a considerable resemblance to this translation. It is from chapter v of the second volume of the novel<sup>5</sup> in the original text, which runs as follows:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This passage is quoted by Goldstein, op. cit. p. 130, who notes that it was inserted by Mendelssohn in the second version of the essay in the *Philosophische Schriften* (Berlin, 1761, 11, pp. 77 f). It is quoted above from the edition published separately as *Abhandlung uber die Hauptgrundsatze der schonen Wissenschaften und Kunste*, [Berlin], 1771 (pp. 10 f); but it does not appear in the 1777 edition of the *Philosophische Schriften*, where a further revision was made.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Les bijoux indiscrets Au Monomotapa [Paris, 1748]. Cp. Œuvres Complètes de Diderot, ed. cit. iv, pp. 135 f.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Die Verrather. Nach Diderot. Monomotapa. A translation with this title, by F L W. Meyer, is recorded in Kayser, Vollständiges Bucher-Lexicon (vi, Leipzig, 1836, s. Romane, p. 31) as having been published at Braunschweig in 1793, cp. also K. Rosenkranz, Diderots Leben und Werke, Leipzig, 1866 (1, pp. xviii, 61), who gives the date as 1792.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Die geschwatzigen Kleinode, Munchen, 1921, pp. viii ff. Erich Schmidt asserts that the style of the extract in the Dramaturgie shows that Lessing used an early version of his own (Diderot und Lessing in Die Gegenwart, xxi, 1882, No. 9, D. 135)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Les Bijoux indiscrets. Au Monomotapa [Paris, 1748], 11, pp. 43 ff.; cp. Œuvres Complètes, ed. cit. 1v, pp. 282 ff. (chap. xxxvIII).

Je crois, monsieur, que vous vous trompez, répondit Ricaric à Sélim.¹ L'académie est encore le sanctuaire du bon goût; et ses beaux jours ne nous offrent ni philosophes, ni poetes, auxquels nous n'en ayions aujour-d'hui à opposer. Notre théatre passoit, et peut passer encore pour le premier théatre de l'Afrique. Quel ouvrage que le Tamerlan de Tuxigraphe!² C'est le pathétique d'Eurisopé et l'élévation d'Azophe ³ C'est l'antiquité toute pure.

J'ai vu, dit la favorite, la premiere représentation de Tamerlan, et j'ai trouvé, comme vous, l'ouvrage conduit, le dialogue élégant, et les con-

venances bien observées.

Quelle différence, madame, interrompit Ricaric, entre un auteur tel que Tuxigraphe, nourri de la lecture des anciens, et la plupart de nos modernes!

Mais ces modernes, dit Sélim, que vous frondez ici tout à votre aise, ne sont pas aussi méprisables que vous le prétendez. Quoi donc! ne leur trouvez-vous pas du géme, de l'invention, du feu, des détails, des caracteres, des tirades? Et que m'importe à moi des regles, pourvu qu'on me plaise? Ce ne sont assurément ni les observations du sage Almudir et du savant Abaldok, in la poétique du docte Farcadin, que je n'ai jamais lues, qui me font admirer les pieces d'Aboulcazem, de Mubardar, d'Albaboukre, et de tant d'autres Sarrazins. Y a-t-il d'autre regle que l'imitation de la nature? et n'avons-nous pas les mêmes yeux que ceux qui l'ont étudiée?

La nature, répondit Ricaric, nous offre à chaque instant des faces différentes. Toutes sont vraies, mais toutes ne sont pas également belles C'est dans ces ouvrages dont il ne paroît pas que vous fassiez grand cas, qu'il faut apprendre à choisir. Ce sont les recueils de leurs expériences et de celles qu'on avoit faites avant eux. Quelque esprit que l'on ait, on n'apperçoit les choses que les unes après les autres; et un seul homme ne peut se flatter de voir dans le court espace de sa vie, tout ce qu'on avoit découvert dans les siecles qui l'ont précédé. Autrement il faudroit avancer qu'une seule science pourroit devoir sa naissance, ses progrès et toute sa perfection à une seule tête; ce qui est contre l'expérience.

Monsieur Ricaric, repliqua Sélim, il ne s'ensuit autre chose de votre raisonnement, sinon que les modernes jouissant des trésors amassés jusqu'à leur tems, doivent être plus riches que les anciens; ou, si cette comparaison vous déplaît, que, montés sur les épaules de ces colosses, ils doivent voir plus loin qu'eux. En effet, qu'est-ce que leur physique, leur astronomie, leur navigation, leur méchanique, leurs calculs, en comparaison des

- <sup>1</sup> Sélim has been identified with the Maréchal de Richelieu, and Ricaric with La Motte. The Emperor Mangogul is Louis XV; the favourite Mirzoza probably Madame de Pompadour (cp. Œuvres Complètes, ed. cit. iv, pp. 137 f., p. 280).
- <sup>2</sup> Variously identified with Lanone's Mahomet le Second, Voltaire's Mahomet, and Marmontel's Denys le Tyran.
  - 3 Probably Euripides and Sophocles (cp. Œuvres Complètes, ed. cit. 1v, p. 283).
  - <sup>4</sup> Perhaps Fontenelle and La Motte or D'Aubignac.
  - <sup>5</sup> Boileau. <sup>6</sup> Corneille, Racine and probably Crébillon.

nôtres? Et pourquoi notre éloquence et notre poésie n'auroient-elles pas aussi la supériorité?

Sélim, répondit la sultane, Ricaric vous déduira quelques jours les raisons de cette différence. Il vous dira pourquoi nos tragédies sont inférieures à celles des anciens; pour moi, je me chargerai volontiers de vous montrer que cela est. Je ne vous accuserai point, continua-t-elle, de n'avoir pas lu les anciens. Vous avez l'esprit trop orné, pour que leur théatre vous soit inconnu. Or, mettez à part certaines idées relatives à leurs usages, à leur[s] mœurs et à leur religion, et qui ne vous choquent que parce que les conjonctures ont changé, et convenez que leurs sujets sont nobles, bien choisis, intéressans; que l'action se développe comme d'ellemême; que leur dialogue est simple et fort voisin du naturel; que les dénouemens n'y sont pas forcés; et que l'intérêt n'y est point partagé, ni l'action surchargée par des épisodes Transportez-vous en idée dans l'isle d'Alındala; examınez tout ce qui s'y passe; écoutez tout ce qui s'y dit, depuis le moment que le jeune Ibrahim et le rusé Forfanti y sont descendus ; approchez-vous de la caverne du malheureux Polipsile; ne perdez pas un mot de ses plaintes, et dites-moi, si rien vous tire de l'illusion. Citez-moi une piece moderne qui puisse supporter le même examen, et prétendre au même degré de perfection, et je me tiens pour vaincue.

De par Brama! s'écria le sultan en bâillant, madame a fait une dissertation académique.

Je n'entends point les regles, continua la favorite, et moins encore les mots savans dans lesquels on les a conçues; mais je sais qu'il n'y a que le vrai qui plaise et qui touche. Je sais encore que la perfection d'un spectacle consiste dans l'imitation si exacte d'une action, que le spectateur trompé sans interruption, s'imagine assister à l'action même. Or, y a-t-il quelque chose qui ressemble à cela dans ces tragédies que vous nous vantez?

En admirez-vous la conduite? Elle est ordinairement si compliquée, que ce seroit un miracle qu'il se fût passé tant de choses en si peu de tems. La ruine ou la conservation d'un empire, le mariage d'une princesse, la perte d'un prince, tout cela s'exécute en un tour de main. S'agit-il d'une conspiration? on l'ébauche au premier acte; elle est liée, affermie au second; toutes les mesures sont prises, tous les obstacles levés, les conspirateurs disposés au troisieme, il y aura incessamment une révolte, un combat, peut-être une bataille rangée; et vous appellerez cela, conduite, intérêt, chaleur, vraisemblance! Je ne vous le pardonnerois jamais, à vous qui n'ignorez pas ce qu'il en coûte quelquefois pour mettre à fin une misérable intrigue, et combien la plus petite affaire de politique, absorbe de tems en démarches, en pour-parlers, et en déliberations.

Il est vrai, madame, répondit Sélim, que nos pieces sont un peu chargées, mais c'est un mal nécessaire; sans le secours des épisodes, on se morfondroit.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Obviously the *Philoctetes* of Sophocles is the drama meant; thus Alindala is Lemnos; Ibrahim, Forfanti and Polipsile respectively Neoptolemos, Odysseus and Philoctetes.

ni d'usage; qui connoisse un peu la cour des princes, les maneges des courtisans, les jalousies des ministres, et les tracasseries des femmes, et à qui je dise en confidence "Mon ami, il se fait dans le serrail des mouvemens terribles. Le prince, mécontent de son fils, en qui il soupçonne de la passion pour la Manimonbanda,1 est homme à tirer de tous les deux la vengeance la plus cruelle, cette aventure aura, selon toutes les apparences, des suites fâcheuses.2 Si vous voulez, je vous rendrai témoin de tout ce qui se passera" Il accepte ma proposition, et je le mene dans une loge grillée, d'où il voit le théatre, qu'il prend pour le palais du sultan. Croyez-vous que, malgré tout le sérieux que j'affecterois, l'illusion de cet homme durât un instant? Ne conviendrez-vous pas au contraire qu'à la démarche empesée des acteurs, à la bizarrerie de leurs vêtemens, à l'extravagance de leurs gestes, à l'emphase d'un langage singulier, rimé, cadencé, et à mille autres dissonances qui le frapperont, il doit m'éclater au nez dès la premiere scene, et me déclarer ou que je me joue de lui, ou que le prince et toute sa cour extravaguent?

Je vous avoue, dit Sélim, que cette supposition me frappe, mais ne pourroit-on pas vous observer qu'on se rend au spectacle avec la persuasion que c'est l'imitation d'un événement, et non l'événement même qu'on y verra?

Et cette persuasion, reprit Mirzoza, doit-elle empêcher qu'on n'y représente l'événement de la maniere la plus naturelle?

#### DRAMA AND HISTORY

The problems of imitation and illusion assume a more complicated form in the case of dramas dealing with historical themes. Here there can be little question of deceiving the spectator by realism into thinking that he is viewing an actual happening. Nor is it always possible, Lessing argues, for the dramatist to be 'true' to history, concerning which we are often so ill-informed (XIX, 23); and even if it were possible, it would not always be desirable. The dramatic poet is no historian (XI, 29); he does not describe what has happened or what is believed to have happened; he reconstructs the course of events before our eyes—not merely for the sake of historical truth, but with a higher purpose.<sup>3</sup> In St. XIX, during the discussion of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Probably Marie, the wife of Louis XV (cp. Euvres Complètes, ed. cit. iv, p. 138).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The situation resembles that of Racine's Phèdre, Act iv.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Cp. on this subject D'Aubignac, Pratique du Théâtre, 11, chap. 1 (ed. cit. 1, p. 58). '[Les Poetes] prennent de l'Histoire ce qui leur est propre, et y changent le reste pour en faire leurs Poemes, et c'est une pensée bien ridicule d'aller au Theatre apprendre l'Histoire. La Scene ne donne point les choses comme elles ont été, mais comme elles devoient être....'

De Belloy's Zelmire, Lessing reminds his readers (15) that Aristotle had decided long ago how far the poet had to concern himself with history: he asks no more from it than will provide him with a suitable plot in which he can embody his purpose. Aristotle's statement had, in fact, left no room for any ambiguity of interpretation. Batteux had already made this clear:

Aristote compare la Poesie avec l'Histoire. Leur différence, selon lui, n'est point dans la forme ni dans le style, mais dans le fonds des choses Mais comment y est-elle? L'Histoire peint ce qui a été fait: la Poesie, ce qui a pu être fait. L'une est liée au vrai, elle ne crée ni actions, ni acteurs. L'autre n'est tenue qu'au vraisemblable. elle invente: elle imagine à son gré: elle peint de tête. L'Historien donne des exemples tels qu'ils sont, souvent imparfaits. Le Poete les donne tels qu'ils doivent être. Et c'est pour cela que, selon le même Philosophe, la Poesie est une leçon bien plus instructive que l'Histoire.<sup>2</sup>

# And Dacier had remarked in a note to his Poétique d'Aristote:

L'Historien ne fait pas sa matiére, il ne dit que ce qu'il sçait, et on n'en demande pas d'avantage, pourvû qu'il s'attache uniquement à la verité. Il n'en est pas de même du Poete, comme c'est luy qui est l'Auteur de sa matiére, il ne suit que la necessité ou la vray-semblance, c'est-à-dire, que tout ce qu'il dit a pû ou dû arriver, comme il le dit, et si quelquefois il tire quelque chose de l'Histoire, ce n'est qu'autant que l'Histoire peut l'accommoder, et qu'elle luy fournit des sujets, comme il auroit pû les feindre, car autrement il y change tout ce qui ne l'accommode pas.<sup>3</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Chap. ix of the *Poetics*; Lessing's own translation will be found in St. LXXXIX (21 ff.).
- <sup>2</sup> Principes de la littérature, i, i, chap. iii (ed. cit. i, pp. 28 f). In another passage, in the section on the epic, iv, chap. i (ed. cit. ii, pp. 179 f.), we find: 'L'Histoire est consacrée à la vérité. C'est un témoin qui dépose, qui présente les faits tels qu'ils sont, sans les altérer, in les embellir. L'Epopée au contraire ne vit que de mensonges: elle invente tout ce qu'elle raconte, et ne connoît d'autres bornes que celles de la possibilité...L'Histoire présente les faits sans songer à plaire par la singularité des causes, ou des moyens. C'est le portrait des temps et des hommes; par conséquent l'image de l'inconstance et du caprice, de mille variations, qui semblent l'ouvrage du hazard et de la fortune. L'Epopée ne raconte qu'une action, et non plusieurs. Cette action est essentiellement intéressante: ses parties sont concertées. ses causes sont vraisemblables: ses acteurs ont des caractères marqués, des mœurs soutenues: c'est un tout, entier, proportionné, ordonné, parfaitement lié dans toutes ses parties....'
- <sup>3</sup> Ed. cst. pp. 136 f. Curtius virtually repeats this note (op. cst. pp. 148 f.) Cp. also the section 'Du plan de la Tragédie' in Diderot, De la poésie dramatique (Œuvres complètes, ed. cst. vii, pp. 327 ff.; Lessing's translation, ed. cst. ii, pp. 289 ff.).

If, Lessing continues (XIX, 21), the historical facts happen to suit the poet's purpose, he will use them with satisfaction, but it is not necessary for him to search for facts, or to be bound by them; it will avail him little to turn to his history books. Lessing's statement of the case here was occasioned by the review of De Belloy's Zelmire in the Journal encyclopédique for July 1, 1762 (pp. 101 ff.), where the critic had expressed a predilection for historical tragedy. He had written (pp. 123 f.):

Nous aimerions bien mieux un sujet historique. Les annales du monde si fécondes en crimes fameux, sont un champ inépuisable pour Melpomène La Tragédie n'est faite que pour proposer à l'admiration et à l'imitation des Citoyens, les actions des grands hommes C'est en même tems payer le tribut que la postérité doit à leurs mânes, et embraser nos Contemporains de la noble ardeur de les suivre. Ainsi pensoient les Grecs; ainsi ont pensé presque toujours nos illustres modernes. Qu'on ne dise point que Zarre, Alzire et Mahomet, sont des sujets de pure fiction. Les noms des deux premières pièces sont imaginés; mais le fond des faits est historique. Il y a eu en effet des Croisades, où les Chrétiens et les Ottomans tantôt vaincus, tantôt vainqueurs, se sont hais et égorgés pour la gloire d'un Dieu leur pere commun. La conquête du Pérou a réellement fait naître ces contrastes si heureux et si sublimes des mœurs des Européans et des Americains, du Fanatisme et de la véritable Religion. Pour la Tragédie de Mahomet, elle est le précis, osons le dire, l'esprit de toute la vie de ce fourbe; elle est encore le fanatisme mis en action, et la plus belle, la plus philosophique peinture qui fut jamais de ce dangereux monstre.

And in the same journal for May 15, 1765, with reference to Le Siège de Calais, the view had been expressed:

M. de B[elloy] assure, avec raison, que c'est en excitant la vénération de la France pour les Grands hommes qu'elle a produits, qu'on parviendra à inspirer à la nation une estime et un respect pour elle même, qui seuls peuvent la rendre ce qu'elle a été autrefois C'est par là que les tragiques Grecs entretenoient le patriotisme et l'amour de la liberté dans l'ame de leurs Spectateurs; ils n'alloient chercher leurs sujets que dans leur histoire....<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> C. B. Boxberger, Enzelheiten uber Voltaire bei Lessing, Dresden, 1879, p. 29, suggests that possibly Lessing was here thinking of a remark in Voltaire's Dissertation prefixed to Sémiramis (see Œuvres, ed. cit. ix, p. 18): '...un sujet de pure invention, et un sujet vrai, mais ignoré, sont absolument la même chose pour les spectateurs; et comme notre scène embrasse des sujets de tous les tems et de tous les pays, il faudrait qu'un spectateur allât consulter tous les livres, avant qu'il sût si ce qu'on lui représente est fabuleux ou historique: il ne prend pas assurément cette peine.' <sup>2</sup> Journal encyclopédique, May 15, 1765, p. 100.

This argument Lessing cannot accept (XIX, 32): the purpose of the theatre is not to perpetuate the memory of great men. In the theatre we are not to learn what this or that individual has done in the past, but what every individual of a certain character in certain given circumstances will do. The purpose of tragedy (37) is, as Aristotle had said in the ninth chapter of the *Poetics*, much more philosophical than the purpose of history. Moreover, he argues in a later section (XXXII, 2), it is a mistake to think that the tragedy of Greece was invented to revive the memory of great and strange events; that its business was to step in the footsteps of history, and look neither to right nor left. Thespis was quite unconcerned with historical accuracy.

Lessing's criticism of Thomas Corneille's Graf von Essex (XXII-XXV) is almost exclusively concerned with this question of the attitude of the tragic poet to history; and we have seen how he waxes indignant over Voltaire's carping criticism of the poet for his lack of historical accuracy.¹ Corneille's ignorance of history, he argues, is really of no importance; his tragedy is not one whit better or worse as a tragedy because he has used historical names. Why, Lessing asks (XXIII, 118), does a poet use real names at all for his characters? Does he choose them because the characters which history associates with these names correspond to the needs of his story? Does the story come first or do the characters? If it is the characters who come first, the attitude of the poet to historical truth is clear: he may depart from the actual facts of history as widely as he likes. 'Nur die Charaktere sind ihm heilig; diese zu verstarken, diese in 1hrem besten Lichte zu zeigen, ist alles, was er von dem Seinigen dabey hinzuthun darf; die

¹ See above, pp. 183 ff. and cp below, p. 444. Lessing is, however, unjust to Voltaire's criticism of Corneille; for Voltaire was no stickler for absolute historical accuracy on the part of the poet. He demanded adherence to the events of history in a case such as that of the Comte d'Essex, where the facts—this at least was his contention—were too well known to be violated with impunity. Cp Thêâtre de Pierre Corneille, avec des Commentaires, ed. cit x, p. 259: 'Il n'est pas permis de falsifier à ce point une histoire si récente, et de traiter avec tant d'indignité des hommes de la plus grande naissance et du plus grand mérite...'; but also ibid. pp. 262 f.: 'On demande jusqu'à quel point il est permis de falsifier l'histoire dans un poème? Je ne crois pas qu'on puisse changer sans déplaire, les faits ni même les caractères connus du public.... Mais quand les événemens qu'on traite sont ignorés d'une nation, l'auteur en est absolument le maître. Presque personne en France du tems de Thomas Corneille n'était instruit de l'histoire d'Angleterre; aujourd'hui un poète devrait être plus circonspect.'

geringste wesentliche Veranderung wurde die Ursache aufheben, warum sie diese und nicht andere Namen fuhren.'1

Some years previously Lessing had expressed a similar opinion in a review in the *Litteraturbriefe*.

Der Dichter ist Herr uber die Geschichte; und er kann die Begebenheiten so nahe zusammen rucken, als er will....Ich meinte, nur der Verfasser der Parisischen Bluthochzeit [Gottsched] stehe in dem schulerhaften Wahne, dass der Dichter an einer Begebenheit, die er auf die tragische Buhne bringen wolle, weiter nichts andern durfte, als was mit den Einheiten nicht bestehen wolle, ubrigens aber genau bey den Charakteren, wie sie die Geschichte von seinen Helden entwirft, bleiben musse <sup>2</sup>

The question of the use of historical names is discussed by Aristotle in his ninth chapter (Lessing quotes the passage in St. LXXXIX (43 ff.) with reference to Diderot's view of the alleged difference between the characters of tragedy and comedy). As Petersen has pointed out (ed. cit. p. 205), Lessing inclines not a little in St. XXIII to the notorious recipe for writing tragedy in the following passage of Gottsched's Critische Dichtkunst (ed. of 1737, p. 674):

Der Poet wählet sich einen moralischen Lehrsatz, den er seinen Zuschauern auf eine sinnliche Art einpragen will. Dazu ersinnt er sich eine allgemeine Fabel, daraus die Wahrheit eines Satzes erhellet. Hiernachst sucht er in der Historie solche beruhmte Leute, denen etwas ähnliches begegnet ist: Und von diesen entlehnet er die Namen, fur die Personen seiner Fabel, um derselben also ein Ansehen zu geben. Er erdenket sodann alle Umstande dazu, um die Hauptfabel recht wahrscheinlich zu machen, und das werden die Zwischenfabeln, oder Episodia genannt. Dieses theilt er dann in funf Stucke ein, die ungefehr gleich gross sind, und ordnet sie so, dass naturlicher Weise das letztere aus dem vorhergehenden fliesset: Bekummert sich aber weiter nicht, ob alles in der Historie so und nicht anders geheissen haben.

Lessing returns to this matter of names a few pages later: in St. xxiv (59) he insists that tragedy is not history in dialogue: for the purposes of tragedy history is nothing more than a storehouse of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> A critic in the Journal encyclopédique for July 15, 1764, reviewing Voltaire's edition of Corneille, had said with reference to Le Comte d'Essex (pp 36 f) 'Conservés l'unité dans le caractère, mais variés le par mille nuances, tantôt par des soupçons, par des craintes, par des espérances, par des réconciliations et des ruptures, tantôt par un incident qui donne à tout une face nouvelle.'

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Litteraturbriefe, No. lxiii, October 18, 1759, ed cit. iv, pp 246, 250; Schriften, viii, pp. 168, 170; also referred to by Petersen, ed. cit. p. 206.

names with which we are wont to associate certain characters. If the poet finds in history circumstances suitable for the adornment or individualisation of his theme, let him by all means make use of them. But this should no more be regarded as a merit than should the ignoring of the facts of history be regarded as a crime. On another occasion (LVII, 9) Lessing finds that Banks in his Earl of Essex has kept too closely to history: 'Ein Charakter, der sich so leicht vergisst, ist kein Charakter, und eben daher der dramatischen Nachahmung unwurdig. In der Geschichte kann man dergleichen Widerspruche mit sich selbst, für Verstellung halten, weil wir in der Geschichte doch selten das Innerste des Herzens kennen lernen: aber in dem Drama werden wir mit dem Helden allzuvertraut, als dass wir nicht gleich wissen sollten, ob seine Gesinnungen wirklich mit den Handlungen, die wir ihm nicht zugetrauet hatten, ubereinstimmen, oder nicht. Ja, sie mogen es, oder sie mogen es nicht: der tragische Dichter kann ihn in beiden Fallen nicht recht nutzen. Ohne Verstellung fallt der Charakter weg; bey der Verstellung die Wurde desselben.'

Lessing's dictum that characters must be much more sacred to the poet than facts is further developed in St. xxxIII, where Favart's Soliman II is compared with the tale by Marmontel of which it is a dramatisation. The French reviewer of Favart's play in the Journal encyclopédique of January 15, 1762 had asked (p. 80): 'Un Poète, un Conteur, quelque licence qu'on leur ait donnée, peuvent-ils l'étendre sur les caractères connus? Maîtres de changer dans les faits, ont-ils le droit de peindre Lucrèce coquette, et Socrate galant?' Lessing agrees that Marmontel (and, in consequence Favart, who adopts his faults, but for different reasons) can hardly be defended (XXXIV, 24 ff., 136 ff.): instead of making the facts of the story appear as naturally resulting from the characters, both writers reverse the process. Characters are 'more sacred' than the actions in which they are involved, first, because if the characters are thoroughly understood, their actions—the facts—cannot be very different, whether in history or in fiction; while on the other hand, the same kind of fact or action can be deduced from different characters. Secondly, we learn nothing from a mere statement of facts, but only from our knowledge that such and such characters under such and such circumstances do or think certain things-must indeed do or think them. The poet has thus to make his choice between the different kinds of actions for which a given character under given circumstances is responsible, whether they be provided by history or be merely in accordance with

the moral purpose of his fiction. If he choose other than historical characters, he should avoid giving them historical names; it is better to attribute the known facts to quite unknown persons than to impose on known persons characters, actions or motives which may not have been theirs. The facts then are merely adventitious and accidental; they may be common to different persons; but the characters are essential; they brook no contradiction or inconsistency (XXXIII, 159).

This statement receives considerable elucidation from a passage in Johann Elias Schlegel's *Vergleichung Shakespears und Andreas Gryphs*, which Lessing may well have had in mind:

Man kann den Charakter einer Person, die in der Historie bekannt ist, zwar in etwas andern, und entweder hoher treiben, oder etwas weniger von seinen Tugenden und Lastern in 1hm abbilden, als die Geschichte 1hm zuschreibt Aber wenn man weiter gehen wollte, so wurde man mit seiner Menschenmacherey mehr zum Romanenschreiber, als zum Dichter, werden, und es wurde lacherlich seyn, so oft einem ein Fehler vorgeworfen wird, den man wider den Charakter begangen hat, sich damit zu entschuldigen, dass man seine Menschen selber macht. Man wird mir erlauben, dass ich, um den Werth dieser grossen Tugend des Shakespear recht ins Licht zu setzen, eine Ausschweifung auf andre Nationen mache, welche sich zuweilen nicht undeutlich zu ruhmen scheinen, dass ihre theatralischen Personen zwar die Namen der historischen Personen fuhren, aber von denselben ganz unterschieden sind. Denn sind es Namen, die in der Historie bekannt sind; so wird einem Zuschauer, der nicht ungelehrt ist, indem er diesen Namen hort, auch dieser Charakter bevfallen. Und an statt, dass er ein Vergnugen über die Aehnlichkeit, die der nachgeahmte Held mit dem wahren hat, empfinden sollte; so wird er ein Misvergnugen uber die Unahnlichkeit empfinden. Dieses wird nicht so leicht geschehen, wenn der Charakter in den Hauptumstanden ahnlich, und nur in Nebenumstanden verandert wird. Man pflegt, auch von den grossten Helden, nur ihre Haupttugenden und ihre Hauptlaster im Gedachtnisse zu behalten. Unähnlichkeiten, die nicht merklich sind, sind in Absicht auf unsre Empfindung keine Unahnlichkeiten Dennoch ist es nicht zu laugnen, dass ein Charakter, welcher auch die kleinsten Zuge des historischen nachmalet, deswegen hoch zu schatzen sey, weil er auch die genauesten Kenner der Geschichte, welche die Aehnlichkeit am besten beurtheilen konnen, befriedigen wird. Hingegen wird ein selbstgemachter Held den grossten Vortheil darinnen haben, dass die Zuge desselben viel verwegner scheinen. Aber je kunstlicher die Bildung seines Charakters ist, desto gefahrlicher wird sie auch seyn; weil man leicht Dinge, die nicht seyn konnen, malen wird, wenn man Dinge malt, die nicht sind. Man findet die Gemuthsbewegungen viel heftiger und ausgedruckter in den Gesichtern abgebildet,

<sup>1</sup> Werke, ed. cit. ni, pp. 48 ff.

die der Maler selbst gedichtet hat. Ein Contrefey, welches nach einem Menschen gemacht ist, zeiget hingegen mehrentheils Gelassenheit, oder doch nur gelinde Gemuthsbewegungen. Es ist also eine erlaubte Kuhnheit, seine Helden selbst zu machen, wenn sie nur die Geschichte nicht offenbar Lugen strafen. Es ist keine Kunst, seiner Einbildung den Zugel schiessen zu lassen, und sein Hirngespinst alsdann unter dem ersten Namen zu verkaufen, der einem in den Mund kommt. Und es ist eine lobenswurdige Muhsamkeit, die innersten Winkel der Geschichte zu durchstoren, und den alten Helden wieder lebendig zu machen. Wer das erste thut, der wird leicht unwahrscheinlich, wer das andre thut, ist es schon; und wer den dritten Weg erwahlet, der ist sicher, es nicht leicht zu werden.

It is not historical truth, Lessing argues (xix, 28) that makes a poetic theme or a fiction credible, but its 'inner verisimilitude'; and again we are reminded of Aristotle's statement that the purpose of tragedy is more philosophical than that of history. In his vigorous defence of Corneille against Voltaire (XXXI, 136) Lessing gives trenchant expression to his conviction: 'Allerdings durfte Corneille mit den historischen Umstanden nach Gutdunken verfahren. Er durfte, z. E. Rodogunen so jung annehmen, als er wollte; und Voltaire hat sehr Unrecht, wenn er auch hier wiederum aus der Geschichte nachrechnet, dass Rodogune so jung nicht könne gewesen seyn; sie habe den Demetrius geheyrathet, als die beiden Prinzen... noch in ihrer Kindheit gewesen waren. Was geht das dem Dichter an? Seine Rodogune hat den Demetrius gar nicht geheyrathet; sie war sehr jung, als sie der Vater heyrathen wollte...Voltaire ist mit seiner historischen Controlle ganz unleidlich. Wenn er doch lieber die Data in seiner allgemeinen Weltgeschichte dafur verificiren wollte!'

In the further discussion of *Rodogune* Lessing deals (XXXII, 37) with the poet's attitude to the improbable in history. If he be a true poet, he will make it his business to convert the improbable into the probable by arranging the events and moulding the characters in such a way that what happens not only may, but must happen. If he follow this course, he cannot fail. As Johann Elias Schlegel had said: 'So bald ein Poet sich bemuht, seine Charaktere vom Anfange bis zu Ende

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Curtius in his Abhandlung von der Wahrscheinlichkeit (Aristoteles Dichtkunst, ed. cit. p. 401) had defined this: 'Die innerliche Wahrscheinlichkeit beruhet auf den Grunden, welche die Sache wirklich in sich hat. Je starker diese Grunde sind, desto näher granzet der Satz an die Wahrheit, und desto grosser, ist die innerliche Wahrscheinlichkeit.'

wohl auszudrucken, so wird auch sein Ausdruck schon seyn.'1 He need have no fear of failing to fill his five acts; on the contrary, they may prove too short for all they must contain. On the other hand (68), the inferior poet rejoices in improbabilities of plot—as does Corneille in Rodogune; he heaps improbability upon improbability, and in a few weeks his tragedy is finished, to be read or forgotten, to be admired or buried; 'denn "et habent sua fata libelli"'.2 It is characteristic of Lessing's whole attitude to the problems of imitation and illusion that his inveterate antagonism to the tragédie classique should be based, not on a dislike of offences against historical accuracy, but on the conviction that a type of tragedy governed by artificial laws and restrictions offends against the ordinary human sense of how things happen in the world. He defends Thomas Corneille, as we have seen, against Voltaire's trivial hunt for historical errors in Le Comte d'Essex; and the lack of historical truth in Rodogune does not disturb him. But tragedies of this kind, he contends, cannot successfully create illusion. Events are absurdly crowded together to satisfy meaningless unities of time and place; the poet offers us a complicated and obviously manufactured intrigue which goes beyond all reasonable probability.

#### THE SUPERNATURAL IN DRAMA

Consideration of the dramatist's attitude to history leads to another of the problems of imitation and illusion: the poet's attitude to the supernatural. The student of older artes poetica is accustomed to the large amount of space devoted to 'le merveilleux'—its legitimacy and its poetic function. Batteux, for instance, states that whereas history is 'le récit véritable d'actions naturelles', the epic is distinguished from it by being 'le récit poetique d'une action merveilleuse'. From the preliminary invocation to the muse of the poet onwards, the supernatural was regarded as a peculiar adjunct of the epic. But Lessing is not concerned with such wider questions; the problem

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Gedanken zur Aufnahme des danischen Theaters (Werke, ed. cit. 111, p. 291).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Cosack points out (op. cit. p 205) that this is a reminiscence of Voltaire's letter to Maffei (Preface to Mérope, Œuvres, ed. cit. viii, pp. 220 f) '..cependant elle [Amasis, by de la Grange] n'eut pas d'abord un succès éclatant, et habent sua fata libelli.' The original passage—it is from Terentianus Maurus—has no 'et', it reads 'pro captu lectoris habent sua fata libelli' (1 1286; Terentiani Mauri De litteris syllabis et metris liber, ed. C Lachmannus, Berlin, 1836, p. 44).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Principes de la littérature, ed cit. 11, p. 181.

arises in connexion with a concrete case—Voltaire's Sémiramis. In his preface to this play, in which he brought a ghost upon the stage, Voltaire raised the general question. He defended his ghost (x1, 5) not as a poetic expedient, but on historical grounds; for all antiquity, he said, believed in the existence of ghosts (Œuvres, ed. cit. ix, pp. 25 f.):

...on disait et on écrivait de tous côtés, que l'on ne croit plus aux revenans, et que les apparitions des morts ne peuvent être que puériles aux yeux d'une Nation éclairée. Quoi! toute l'Antiquité aura cru ces prodiges, et il ne sera pas permis de se conformer à l'Antiquité? Quoi! notre Religion aura consacré ces coups extraordinaires de la Providence, et il serait ridicule de les renouveller?

Les Romains Philosophes ne croyaient pas aux revenans du tems des Empereurs, et cependant le jeune *Pompée* évoque une ombre dans la *Pharsale*. Les Anglais ne croyent pas assurément plus que les Romains aux revenans; cependant ils voyent tous les jours avec plaisir dans la Tragédie d'*Hamlet*, l'ombre d'un Roi qui paraît sur le Théâtre dans une occasion à peu près semblable à celle où l'on a vû à Paris le spectre de *Ninus*.

The question of the admissibility of ghosts in tragedies had also been discussed by Curtius in his *Critische Abhandlungen und Gedichte* (Hanover, 1760). Curtius does not approve of Voltaire; he says:

Die Dichter des Alterthums folgeten den Vorurtheilen, und der Denkungsart ihrer Zeiten: was ist billiger, als dass sich unsere Dichter nach den Vorurtheilen des Alters und der Nation richten, in welchem, und fur welche sie schreiben.

And, like Lessing, he makes an exception in the case of the ghost in *Hamlet*, because 'diese Erscheinung aber hat sonst so viele Schönheiten, dass man dem Dichter fur seine Abweichung von den gewohnlichen Regeln danket'.<sup>1</sup>

Lessing admits (xI, 22) that the ancients believed in ghosts, and that the Greek dramatists had consequently a right to introduce them; but has the modern dramatist the same right, he asks, when he takes his themes from those more superstitious times? This is the claim which Du Bos makes in a section 'De la vraisemblance en Poésie':

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Ed cst. pp 23, 24 The critic of Curtius's book in the Bibliothek der schonen Wissenschaften, vii (i), 1761, p. 106, defended both Hamlet and Sémiramis: 'Die Umstande aber, mit denen sie im Hammlet und in der Semiramis begleitet ist, sind so beschaffen, dass gewiss noch kein vernunftiger Mensch in dem Augenblicke der Vorstellung, seine Vernunft um Rath gefragt, ob es Gespenster gabe.'

Un fait vraisemblable est un fait possible dans les circonstances où on le fait arriver.... Comme le Poete est en droit d'exiger de nous que nous trouvions possible tout ce qui paraissoit possible dans les tems où il met sa scène, et où il transporte en quelque façon ses lecteurs, nous ne pouvons point, par exemple, l'accuser de manquer à la vraisemblance, en supposant que Diane enleve Iphigénie pour la transporter dans la Tauride, dans le moment qu'on alloit sacrifier cette Princesse. L'événement étoit possible, suivant la théologie des Grecs de ce tems-là.

But Du Bos also recognised the difficulties of employing the supernatural; for he goes on:

Après cela, que des personnes plus hardies que moi, osent marquer les bornes entre la vraisemblance et le merveilleux, par rapport à chaque genre de Poesie, par rapport au tems où l'on suppose que l'événement est arrivé; enfin par rapport à la credulité, plus ou moins grande, de ceux pour qui le Poeme est composé Il me paroît trop difficile de placer ces bornes. Il ne me paroît donc pas possible d'enseigner l'art de concilier le vraisemblable et le merveilleux Cet art n'est qu'à la portée de ceux qui sont nés Poetes et grands Poetes. C'est à eux qu'il est réservé de faire une alliance du merveilleux et du vraisemblable, où l'un et l'autre ne perdent pas leurs droits.¹

Lessing, rejecting in the main the argument of historical justification, stresses these poetic possibilities. The true poet (xi, 67) has full liberty to draw on this source of terror and pathos, if he can only develop the seed of belief in the supernatural which is implanted in us all—if he can, in fact, create illusion. So Shakespeare is exalted, at the expense of Voltaire (76), because Shakespeare, in *Hamlet*, introduces his ghost in the right way. As has already been pointed out, Lessing is rather less than fair to Voltaire in the comparison.<sup>2</sup>

In his approach to this problem Lessing was again clearly influenced by Mendelssohn. The latter had written in the *Litteraturbriefe*:

Je grosser die Gewalt ist, mit welcher der Dichter durch die Poesie in unsere Einbildungskraft wurkt, desto mehr ausserliche Action kann er sich erlauben, ohne der Poesie Abbruch zu thun, desto mehr muss er anwenden, wenn er die Tauschungen seiner Poesie machtig genug unterstutzen will. Sie kennen den Shakespear. Sie wissen wie eigenmachtig er die Phantasie der Zuschauer gleichsam tyrannisirt, und wie leicht er sie, fast spielend aus einer Leidenschaft, aus einer Illusion in die andere wirft. Aber wie viel Ungereimtheiten, wie viel mit den Regeln streitendes ubersiehet man ihm auch in der ausserlichen Action, und wie wenig

<sup>2</sup> See above, pp. 224 f.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Réflexions critiques sur la Poesie et sur la Peinture, 1, xxviii (ed cit. 1, pp. 228 ff).

merkts der Zuschauer, dessen ganze Aufmerksamkeit auf eine andere Seite beschaftiget ist! Wer ist in England noch der *incredulus*¹ gewesen, der an der Erscheinung des Geists im Hamlet gezweiffelt hatte? .Wer das Gemuth so zu erhitzen, und in einen solchen Taumel von Leidenschaften zu sturzen weis, als Shakespear, der hat die Achtsamkeit seines Zuschauers gleichsam gefesselt, und kann es wagen, vor dessen geblendeten Augen die abendtheuerlichsten Handlungen vorgehen zu lassen, ohne zu befahren, dass solches den Betrug stohren werde. Ein nicht so grosser Geist aber, der uns auf der Buhne noch Sinne und Bewusstseyn lasst, ist alle Augenblick in Gefahr Unglaubige anzutreffen...²

The root of the matter, both for Mendelssohn and for Lessing, is thus the creation of poetic illusion.

<sup>1</sup> A reference to Horace, De Arte Poetica, 188.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Litteraturbriefe, No. lxxxiv, February 14, 1760, ed. cit. v, pp. 111 f.; Gesammelte Schriften, ed. cit. iv, 2, pp. 16 f. Cp. Goldstein, op. cit. p. 181.

# CHAPTER XVI

# GENIUS AND GOOD TASTE. SOME POINTS OF FORM AND TECHNIQUE

#### GENIUS

An adequate history of the word 'genius' has not yet been written.¹ But it would cover a very important section of the aesthetics of the eighteenth century, it would have to deal with the interpretations of the idea that came down from antiquity and the 'génie' of the first French translators of the Arabian Nights, through the contrast of 'wit' and 'genius' in Warton's Essay on Pope, to the conception of creative genius in Shaftesbury and Young, and the aesthetic anarchism of the German 'Geniezeit'. Lessing, standing as he did between the rationalistic era and the individualist revolt which swept across Germany before he died, had some part in the development of the idea.

The word 'Genie' occurs with considerable frequency in the Hamburgische Dramaturgie; it is used, in the double sense which German, in common with other European languages, permits, for the quality of genius<sup>2</sup> and the possessor of that quality.<sup>3</sup>

The first thing which Lessing postulates of genius is the power of achieving its end (1, 26) 'ohne es zu wissen, ohne es sich langweilig zu erklaren'. This spontaneity of genius had been emphasised by Du Bos; in a passage which is quoted by Mendelssohn in a review of Sulzer's treatise on genius in the Latteraturbriefe,<sup>4</sup> he had said:

On appelle génie, l'aptitude qu'un homme a reçu de la nature, pour faire bien et facilement certaines choses, que les autres ne sçauroient faire que très-mal, même en prenant beaucoup de peine. Nous apprenons à faire les choses pour lesquelles nous avons du génie, avec autant de facilité que nous en avons à parler notre langue naturelle.<sup>5</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See H. Wolf, Versuch einer Geschichte des Geniebegriffs in der deutschen Ästhetik des 18. Jahrhunderts, 1 (Von Gottsched bis auf Lessing), Heidelberg, 1923.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Eg., 11, 49; v, 52; [vi, 32]; xii, 101, xviii, 101; xxvi, 127; xxx, 51, 66; xxxii, 60; xxxiv, 6, xxxvi, 127, xlii, 9; [xliii, 14]; xliv, 68; lix, 122.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> E g., 1, 26, vii, 15, xi, 46; xiv, 48, xviii, 126; xxx, 38, 41, 42, 64; xxxiii, 102, xxxiv, 33, 35, 44, 47, 102, 109, xlii, 12, 26.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Litteraturbriefe, No. xc11, April 3, 1760, Gesammelte Schriften, ed cit 1v, 2, p. 47.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Réflexions critiques sur la Poesie et sur la Peinture, 11, 1 (ed. cit. 11, p. 6).

And to this might be added a passage from a later article in the Litteraturbriefe:

Warum wird einem Genie sein ihm angemessenes Geschaft leicht? Weil die Thatigkeit seiner Seelenkraft sich grade bey diesem Gegenstande am wirksamsten erzeigt, weil alle andre Federn der Kraft so gesetzt und gespannt sind, dass sie harmonisch zu einem gemeinschaftlichen Zwecke wirken; weil diese grossere Thatigkeit, diese Harmonie, die Seele nach wenigen Versuchen schnell zu einer Fertigkeit erhebt, in dieser ihr allein recht naturlichen Richtung zu wirken.<sup>1</sup>

In the first section of the *Dramaturgu* Lessing goes on to contrast (28) the genius with the wit ('der witzige Kopf').<sup>2</sup> genius achieves 'what the mere wit tortures himself in vain to imitate'. And in St. xxx (38) he discusses the superiority of genius. For a genius, he says, there is nothing lacking for a tragic drama in the facts concerning *Rodogune*; for a bungler ('Stumper') everything. 'Da ist keine Liebe, da ist keine Verwicklung, keine Erkennung, kein unerwarteter wunderbarer Zwischenfall; alles geht seinen naturlichen Gang.'

It is a distinctive characteristic of genius that it works in harmony with nature (41). The natural course of things acts as a stimulus to genius; it scares the inferior poet. Genius can only concern itself with happenings which are based on one another, with chains of causes and effects. To trace the latter to the former, to weigh the effect with its cause, to exclude the fortuitous, to make everything that takes place happen in such a way that it could not happen in any other way: this is the task of genius, if it is working in the field of history to transmute the treasures of memory into nourishment for the mind.3 On the other hand, the 'wit' is not interested in the interdependence of happenings, but merely asks whether they are similar or dissimilar; if he attempts works which should be reserved for genius alone, he dwells on events which have nothing more in common than simultaneity. To link these events with one another, to weave their threads together and confuse them, so that we are unable to keep them apart and are plunged from one surprise into another: this (56) is all that mere wit can do. This is perhaps Lessing's most important pro-

Litteraturbriefe, No. cccxvii, March 7, 1765, ed. cit. xxii, p. 27.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> This phrase occurs again in x, 28; xxiv, 24; xxx, 64; xxxii, 69; Lxxxiv, 40.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Cp. also LXXIX, 66 ff. for a similar description of the poet's function.

nouncement on the nature of genius; it is at all events the one in which, as far as I can see, he is least specifically indebted to suggestion from outside.

Later in the Dramaturgie (LXX, 66) Lessing returns to this question of the attitude of genius towards the process of nature: 'In der Natur ist alles mit allem verbunden. .. Um endliche Geister an dem Genusse desselben Antheil nehmen zu lassen, mussten diese das Vermogen erhalten, ihr Schranken zu geben, die sie nicht hat; das Vermogen abzusondern, und ihre Aufmerksamkeit nach Gutdunken lenken zu konnen... Die Bestimmung der Kunst ist, uns in dem Reiche des Schonen dieser Absonderung zu überheben, uns die Fixirung unserer Aufmerksamkeit zu erleichtern.' This is an essential opinion of Mendelssohn's The latter's most complete statement of it is to be found in Über die Hauptgrundsatze der schonen Kunste und Wissenschaften:

Man siehet hieraus, in welchem Falle es der Kunst zukomme, die Natur zu verlassen, und die Gegenstande nicht vollig so nachzubilden, wie sie im Urbilde anzutreffen sind. Die Natur hat einen unermesslichen Plan. Die Mannigfaltigkeit desselben erstreckt sich vom unendlich Kleinen bis ins unendlich Grosse, und seine Einheit ist über alles Erstaunen hinweg. Die Schonheit der ausserlichen Formen überhaupt, ist nur ein sehr geringer Theil von ihren Absichten, und sie hat dieselbe zuweilen grossern Absichten nachsetzen mussen. Ist es also wohl moglich, dass der eingeschrankte Raum, welchen wir von der Natur betrachten konnen, dass dieser Raum in so fern er uns in die Sinne fallt, alle Eigenschaften der idealischen Schonheit erschopfen sollte?

Der menschliche Kunstler hingegen wahlt sich einen Umfang, der seinen Kraften angemessen ist. Seine Absichten sind so eingeschrankt, als seine Fahigkeiten, Sein ganzer Endzweck ist, die Schonheiten, die in die menschliche Sinne fallen, in einem eingeschrankten Bezirke vorzustellen Er wird also den idealischen Schonheiten naher kommen konnen, als die Natur in diesem oder jenem Theile gekommen ist, weil ihn keine hoheren Absichten zu Abweichungen veranlassen. Was sie in verschiedenen Gegenständen zerstreuet hat, versammelt er in einem einzigen Gesichtspunkte, bildet sich ein Ganzes daraus, und bemuhet sich, es so vorzustellen, wie es die Natur vorgestellt haben wurde, wenn die Schonheit dieses begranzten Gegenstandes thre einzige Absicht gewesen ware. Nichts anders als dieses bedeuten die gewohnlichen Ausdrucke der Kunstler: die Natur verschonern, die schone Natur nachahmen u.s.w. Sie wollen einen gewissen Gegenstand so abbilden, wie ihn Gott geschaffen haben wurde, wenn die sinnliche Schonheit sein hochster Endzweck gewesen ware, und ihn also keine wichtigeren Endzwecke zu Abweichungen hatten veranlassen konnen. Dieses ist die vollkommenste idealische Schonheit, die in der Natur nirgend anders, als ım Ganzen anzutreffen, und in den Werken der Kunst vielleicht nie vollıg zu erreichen ist.

Der Kunstler muss sich also uber die gemeine Natur erheben, und weil die Schonheit sein einziger Endzweck ist; so steht es ihm frey, dieselbe allenthalben in seinen Werken zu koncentriren, damit sie uns starker ruhre.<sup>1</sup>

The result of Lessing's discussion of genius in St. xxx is the conclusion that Corneille, in his handling of the theme of *Rodogune*, has shown himself not a genius, but a 'witziger Kopf' (63): 'Das Genie liebt Einfalt; der Witz, Verwicklung.' So, too, Diderot had insisted on simplicity as characteristic of the work of genius: 'O mon ami, que la simplicité est belle!'<sup>2</sup>

The contrast of genius and wit was of English origin. Gustav Kettner is doubtless correct in tracing it to Joseph Warton's Essay on the Genius and Writings of Pope, which had been translated into German in 1763 in the sixth part of the Sammlung vermischter Schriften zur Beforderung der schonen Wissenschaften und der freyen Kunste (pp. 1 ff.). Warton's Essay was also reviewed at length by Mendelssohn in the Bibliothek der schonen Wissenschaften, and it is quoted by Lessing himself in the Litteraturbriefe. The passage in Warton which has some bearing on the present question is the following (it occurs on p. 2 of the 1763 version, but I quote from the translation in Mendelssohn's article, which was no doubt Lessing's immediate source):

In der Zueignungsschrift an Dr. Young sagt unser Verfasser: "Ich habe Hochachtung fur das Andenken eines Pope, ich verehre seine Talente; aber ich glaube nicht, dass er die Vollkommenheit in seiner Kunst erreicht habe....Man giebt, wie es scheinet, auf den Unterschied nicht genug Achtung zwischen einem witzigen Kopfe, einem sinnreichen Schriftsteller, (a man of sense) und einem wahren Dichter."

<sup>2</sup> De la poésse dramatique, Œuvres complètes, ed. cit. v11, p. 339; Lessing's translation, 11, p. 324.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Philosophische Schriften, Berlin, 1777, ii, pp. 114 ff.; Gesammelte Schriften, ed. cit. i, pp. 288 f. (The passage occurs, in slightly different forms, in the earliest version of the essay (see above, p. 415, note 1), and in the separate edition of 1771, pp. 14 ff.)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Cp. G. Kettner, Lessing und Shakespeare, in Neue Jahrbucher fur das klassische Altertum, Geschichte und deutsche Literatur und fur Padagogik, xix, 1907, p. 272.

<sup>4</sup> iv (i), 1758, pp. 500 ff. and IV (ii), 1759, pp. 627 ff.; Gesammelte Schriften, ed. cit iv, 1, pp. 388 ff.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Litteraturbriefe, No ciii, May 8, 1760; Schriften, viii, p. 230.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Bibliothek der schonen Wissenschaften, iv (i), 1758, p. 502; Gesammelte Schriften, ed. cit. iv, 1, p. 389.

A little later in the *Dramaturgie* Lessing contrasts the genius with the 'kleine Kunstler' (xxxiv, 101): 'Mit Absicht dichten, mit Absicht nachahmen, ist das, was das Genie von den kleinen Kunstlern unterscheidet.' Here he may possibly have been thinking of Mendelssohn's dictum in his *Betrachtungen uber die Quellen und Verbindungen der schonen Kunste und Wissenschaften*: 'Das Genie erfordert eine Vollkommenheit aller Seelenkrafte, und eine Übereinstimmung derselben zu einem einzigen Endzwecke' Again, in his discussion of Maffei (xlii, 6 ff.), Lessing contrasts 'das Genie und der Dichter' with the 'Litterator' and 'Versificateur'. On this contrast, a passage from a review by Lessing in the *Litteraturbriefe* of May 8, 1760,<sup>2</sup> may supply a commentary:

Ich habe gezweifelt, ob man dem Herrn Cramer ein poetisches Genie zugestehen konne. Ich habe aber mit Vergnugen bekannt, dass er der vortrefflichste Versificateur ist. Ich nehme beyde Ausdrucke so, wie sie die feinsten Kunstrichter der Engländer und Franzosen nehmen "Ein poetisches Genie, sagt einer von den ersten (Note: Der Verfasser des Essay on the Writings and Genius of Pope. S. 111), den ich eben vor mir liegen habe, "ist so ausserordentlich selten, that no cou[n]try in the succession of many ages has produced above three or four persons that deserve the title. The man of rhymes may be easily found; but the genuine poet, of a lively plastic imagination, the true Maker or Creator, is so uncommon a prodigy....Ist denn ein Versificateur nichts als ein Reimer? Kann man der vortrefflichste Versificateur seyn, ohne ein Mann von vielem Witze, von vielem Verstande, von vielem Geschmacke zu seyn? Diderot, der neueste, und unter den neuen unstreitig der beste franzosische Kunstrichter, verbindet keinen geringern Begrif mit dem Namen eines Versificateurs. Quelle difference entre le Versificateur et le Poete! Cependant ne croyez pas que je méprise le premier: son talent est rare ...3

Genius must create; indeed, it may create a world entirely its own—a world different from ours, in which causes and effects (xxxiv, 30) may indeed follow another order but yet move equally towards a general effect of good: 'in short, the world of a genius, who—if I may be permitted to designate the nameless Creator by his noblest work—who, I say, in order to imitate the highest genius in little, transposes, interchanges, diminishes, intensifies...and thereby creates a whole of his own which embodies his own purposes.' Above all, Lessing lays

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Bibliothek der schonen Wissenschaften, 1 (11), 1757, p. 238 See above, p. 415.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Litteraturbriefe, No ciii, ed cit. vi, pp 297 ff.; Schriften, viii, pp. 229 f <sup>3</sup> The passage from Diderot is in De la poésie dramatique, Œwres complètes, ed. cit. vii, p. 332; Lessing's translation, ii, pp. 303 f.

stress on this quality of purpose in the work of genius. He may possibly have remembered Sulzer's statement of the matter in his *Untersuchung des Genies*: <sup>2</sup>

Ist Witz erforderlich, in den Werken des Genies alles, was mit einem Vorwurfe in Beziehung steht, zu entdecken, so muss man auch nothwendig noch eine andere Fahigkeit damit verbinden, namlich die Grundlichkeit des Urtheils, um die Grossen solcher Beziehungen zu schatzen. Man erlaube mir diesen Ausdruck, der zwar ein wenig zu geometrisch ist. sich aber gut hieher zu schicken scheint. Ich erklare mich deutlicher Ein Ding ist gemeiniglich mit unzahligen andern verbunden, die Bande aber. die sie mit einander vereinigen, sind nicht alle gleich stark Eins ist unmittelbarer und wesentlicher mit einer Sache verbunden, als das andere... Nun ist es klar, dass das Urtheil über diese Grossen der Beziehung eine sehr wesentliche Eigenschaft des Genies ist Diese Eigenschaft bringt in den Werken des Genies das hervor, was das schatzbarste ist. Die Grundlichkeit, Ordnung und Schonheit des Plans und der Anordnung eines Werks; die Wirksamkeit der Mittel; die Richtigkeit und Leichtigkeit der Verbindungen; die Subordination der Theile, welche den gleichen Fortgang hervorbringt, den Geist in einer bestandigen, aber mannigfaltigen Beschaftigung erhalt, und die grossen wesentlichen Schönheiten sowohl als die geringern und untergeordneten gleich angenehm und nothwendig macht; sind Folgen dieser Eigenschaft. Durch sie erhalt jede Sache und jeder besondere Zug seinen gehorigen Platz, um die Wirkung des Ganzen fühlbarer zu machen. Durch sie endlich kann man in seinen Werken zu der edlen Einfalt gelangen, welche alles überflussige verwirft, und nur wenige Mittel braucht, die grossen Wirkungen hervorzubringen, die fur einige Werke der grossten Genies des Alterthums unsere Bewunderung erwecken.3

Another characteristic, or rather privilege, of genius is to be able to commit mistakes. 'It is vouchsafed to genius (xxxrv, 6) to ignore thousands of things which every schoolboy knows; not the acquired stores of memory, but what he is able to bring forth from himself, from his own feelings, constitutes his wealth; what he may have

<sup>1</sup> See above, pp. 415, 450.

<sup>3</sup> Cp. also Mendelssohn's review of Sulzer's treatise cited below, p. 455.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> I quote this article as it was translated from the French in the Sammlung vermischter Schriften zur Beforderung der schonen Wissenschaften und der freyen Kunste in 1762 (v, i, pp 137 ff. The passage quoted occurs on pp. 146 f.). The idea may perhaps be traced to Shaftesbury.

<sup>4</sup> Here Lessing refers to Pindar's ode, Olymp. 11, 94: σοφὸς ὁ πολλὰ εἰδως φυᾶ. μαθόντες δὲ λάβροι παγγλωσσία, κόρακες ως, ἄκραντα γαρύετον Διὸς πρὸς ὅρνιχα θεῖον. 'Wise is he who knows many things through himself. The learners chatter boldly and unceasingly like ravens against the divine bird of Zeus.'

heard or read either he has forgotten again, or he is content to ignore it except in so far as it may suit his plan. He thus transgresses, sometimes from self-assurance, sometimes from pride, sometimes intentionally and sometimes not, and so frequently, so crudely, that we other good people cannot be enough surprised.'

This aspect of genius may have been suggested to Lessing by Mendelssohn, who in a review of Sulzer's treatise on genius<sup>1</sup> had quoted a passage from the Abbé Trublet expressing a similar idea:

Der Abt Trublet hat hiervon einige sehr artige Gedanken "Wie kommts, fragt er, dass in manchem Werke, sehr matte Stellen und grobe Fehler, neben sehr grosse Schonheiten anzutreffen sind?—Die Antwort ist leicht Der Verfasser war ein Genie Dieselbe Ursache erzeuget seine Fehler und seine Schonheiten. Man kann ihm das Talent, jene zu vermeiden, nicht geben, ohne ihm das wichtigere Talent, diese hervorzubringen, zu benehmen. Was er von Seiten der Kunst und des Geschmackes gewinnen wurde, das wurde er von Seiten der Einbildungskraft und des Genies, wieder verlieren —Wenn ein Werk ohne Fehler moglich ware; so musste es einen mittelmassigen Menschen zum Verfasser haben."<sup>2</sup>

And in another review—of Flogel's Vermischte Beyträge zur Philosophie und den schönen Wissenschaften (Breslau, 1762) which may also have been written by Mendelssohn—we find:

Warum sind viele Genies in fremden Fächern ausser dem ihrigen oft so stumpf, den Dummkopfen so ähnlich?—Ihre Seele lebt nur in der Richtung, wohin sich ihre Thatigkeit aussert, in allen andern Richtungen ist sie gleichsam todt....Wie geht es sonst zu, dass man manche Genies gekannt hat, (und wie viele unterdruckte bleiben uns unbekannt!) die in dem Fache, dahinein sie gezwungen worden, elende Stumper waren, und nun auf einmal ihr rechtes Feld finden, und sich als Meister darin zeigen?<sup>3</sup>

For the development of aesthetic theory, however, perhaps the most significant claim for genius which Lessing makes is that it may rise superior to rules. In St. vii (15) he says: 'Das Genie lacht uber alle die Grenzscheidungen der Kritik'; in St. xi (45) he refers to 'Beyspiele...wo das Genie aller unserer Philosophie trotzet'; and in

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Analyse du Génie, published in Histoire de l'Académie Royale des Sciences et Belles Lettres, Année 1757 (Berlin, 1759, pp. 392 ff.).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Litteraturbriefe, No. xcii, April 3, 1760, ed. cit. vi, p. 223; Gesammelte Schriften, ed. cit. iv, 2, p 51. The original of the passage translated will be found in Abbé N. C. J. Trublet, Essais sur divers sujets de littérature et de morale, Paris, 1754-60, iii (1754), pp. 131 f.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Litteraturbriefe, No. cccxvii, March 7, 1765, ed. cit. xxii, pp. 27 f.

St. XXXIII (100) he speaks contemptuously of 'alle die mechanischen Gesetze, mit denen sich kahle Kunstrichter herumschlagen, und deren Beobachtung sie lieber, dem Genie zum Trotze, zur einzigen Quelle der Vollkommenheit eines Drama machen mochten'.

This privilege of genius, which had been warmly defended by Mendelssohn, had been given general currency by Young's Conjectures on Original Composition. Here the critic had boldly asserted:

For unprescribed Beauties, and unexampled Excellence, which are Characteristics of *Genius*, lie without the Pale of *Learning's* Authorities, and Laws; which Pale, Genius must leap to come at them.<sup>1</sup>

But even before Young, Trublet had insisted that genius should be free from the tyranny of rules. In the *Essais sur divers sujets* he had written:

Les regles qui sont un secours pour les esprits médiocres, sont quelquefois un obstacle pour les génies supérieurs. Nous devons les regles aux premiers ouvrages, et ceux-ci au génie seul Nous devons les seconds ouvrages, en partie aux premiers, en partie aux regles, mais en plus grande partie encore au génie. Un homme ordinaire fait un ouvrage conforme aux regles conues. Un grand homme en fait un qui donne lieu à de nouvelles regles.<sup>2</sup>

It is probable that Trublet helped to mould the opinions of Mendels-sohn—and possibly also those of Young; we find Mendelssohn, before he could have known Young's essay, writing in the Bibliothek der schonen Wissenschaften:

Der Kunstrichter hat sich...fur dem sehr schadlichen Vorurtheile zu huten, als wenn die Regeln des Ganzen allezeit das vornehmste waren. Hat der Dichter Genie genug, die Fehler der Anlage durch die Gewalt der Leidenschaften, die er erregt, unserer Bemerkung zu entziehen; so macht sich der Kunstrichter lacherlich, wenn er seine Empfindungen verlaugnet, und nach Regeln urtheilet, uber die sich der Dichter weit hinweg gesetzt hat. Es ist hier der Ort nicht, diese Materie auszufuhren. Unsers Wissens haben die Kunstrichter noch sehr wenig daran gedacht, die Grenzen der Regeln und des Genies aus einander zu setzen.<sup>3</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Conjectures on Original Composition, in a Letter to the Author of Sir Charles Grandison, London, 1759, pp. 27 f.; for the German translation, see Gedanken uber die Originalwerke, Leipzig, 1760, reprint by K. Jahn, Bonn, 1910, pp. 15 f.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Essais sur divers sujets de littérature et de morale, ed. cit. ii, pp. 138 f.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Bibliothek der schonen Wissenschaften, iv (ii), 1759, p. 786; Gesammelte Schriften, ed. cit. 1v, 1, p. 485.

And again in the sixtieth Litteraturbrief he writes:

Der Herr Professor Sulzer sagt irgendwo. "Wenn in der Republik der Gelehrten Gesetze konnten gegeben werden, so sollte dieses eines der ersten seyn; dass sich niemand unterstehen sollte ein Schriftsteller zu werden, der nicht die vornehmsten griechische und lateinische Schriften der Alten, mit Fleiss, und zu wiederholten malen durchgelesen" Mich wunderts, dass dieser warhaftig denkende Kopf, gegen die sich selbst bildende Genies hat so unbillig seyn konnen. Sein Gesetz hatte uns ja um alle Werke des Schakespears bringen konnen! Wenn es moglich ware, so sollte man lieber den Leuten, die nicht selbst denken, das Schriftstellerhandwerk legen, und wenn sie auch die Alten mit noch so viel Fleiss durchgelesen hatten! Das Genie kann den Mangel der Exempel ersetzen, aber der Mangel des Genies ist unersetzlich.

Still another passage might be quoted from a later review in the Litteraturbriefe:

Young sagt: die Regeln sind Krucken, welche nur der Kranke gebraucht, der Gesunde hingegen wegwirft —Wenn nun diese Vergleichung gleich nicht vollig richtig seyn solte, so ist es doch gewiss, dass nichts schadlicher ist, als Regeln die das Genie einschränken, und es so zu sagen, hindern auf seine eigene Fusse zu treten. Nirgend sind solche Regeln haufiger als in Systemen, die Leute von seichter Einsicht bauen. Weil sie selbst nicht weit sehen, so sind ihre vornehmste Satzungen, Verbote an alle die nach ihnen folgen, auch nicht weiter zu sehen. So sind viele Regeln beschaffen, durch welche Hedelin und sein treuer Abschreiber Gottsched,—zwey Leute, welche beyde mit gleich schlechtem Erfolge fur die Schaubuhne gearbeitet haben—die dramatische Schriftsteller gern fesseln, und sie zwingen möchten, nicht besser zu schreiben als sie geschrieben haben.<sup>2</sup>

It might be added that Lessing himself in his Laokoon had said of Sophocles: '...nurindem er sich uber [die Theorie] hinwegsetzet, hat er Schönheiten erreicht, von welchen dem furchtsamen Kunstrichter, ohne dieses Beyspiel, nie traumen wurde.' And in St. xlvin of the Dramaturgie he defends Euripides's neglect of the element of surprise in tragedy in a similar way (135): 'wenn ein Genie, höherer Absichten wegen, mehrere derselben [Gattungen] in einem und eben demselben

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Litteraturbriefe, No. lx, October 11, 1759, Gesammelte Schriften, ed cit. 1v, 1, pp. 569 f. Both this and the preceding passage are quoted by Goldstein, op. cit. pp. 12 ff.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Litteraturbriefe, No. cciv, December 17, 1761, ed. cit. xii, p 327 The image of the crutches is used by Lessing ci-civ, 57. See above, pp. 131 f.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Laokoon, iv; Schriften, ix, p. 24. Cp G. Kettner, Lessing und Shakespeare, in Neue Jahrbucher für das klassische Altertum etc. xix, 1907, p. 278.

Werke zusammenfliessen lasst, so vergesse man das Lehrbuch und untersuche blos, ob es diese hohere Absichten erreicht hat.'

But in demanding for genius freedom from the shackles of the rules. Lessing did not anticipate all the consequences which his demand might bring with it. In this his position was similar to that of Voltaire -who, it will be remembered, lived to repent bitterly of his early championship of Shakespeare. The warmth of his brother Karl's admiration for Gotz von Berlichingen found no response in Lessing:1 and in St. xcvi of the Dramaturgie we find him crying a halt to those who give their approval to the lawlessness of genius—that is, to a disobedience to the traditional laws which he himself had advocated throughout his work. 'Wir haben', he says (67), '...itzt ein Geschlecht selbst von Critikern, deren beste Critik darinn besteht-alle Critik verdachtig zu machen. "Genie! Genie! schreien sie. Das Genie setzt sich über alle Regeln hinweg! Was das Genie macht, ist Regel!"' This outburst, however, may have been provoked not so much by writers who foreshadowed the coming 'Sturm und Drang' as by the misuse which critics of the Klotzian school had made of such opinions.2

# GOOD TASTE

The activity of the genius is thus not guided by rules, but by his own taste; and the critic who judges him must possess taste. In the earlier part of the *Dramaturgie* Lessing expresses a few opinions on the subject of this 'Geschmack'. But these do not show any deeper interest or preoccupation with the question, and may well have been echoes of the *Critische Dichtkunst* of Gottsched: indeed, even for Gottsched the day of the 'buon gusto' was past—the dust of controversy which it raised had been laid. In the *Ankündigung* (55) Lessing, thinking of the public to which the theatre was to appeal, speaks of 'true taste' as being the general taste 'which is extended to beauties of every kind, but expects from none more pleasure and delight than it is in its

<sup>2</sup> Cp. Petersen, ed. cit. p. 261. See also Grimm, Deutsches Worterbuch, under Genie (especially (9) and (10), 3412-3428) for numerous examples of the use of the word by Lessing and his contemporaries.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Cp. letter to Karl Lessing of April 30, 1774 (Schriften, xviii, p. 109). It is usual also to refer to Goethe Lessing's often quoted words: 'Er fullt Darme mit Sand, und verkauft sie für Stricke. Wer? Etwa der Dichter, der den Lebenslauf eines Mannes in Dialogen bringt, und das Ding für Drama ausschreit?' (Schriften, xvi, p. 535).

nature to afford'. And he adds (75) that taste can be instilled into a 'man of healthy understanding simply by explaining to him why something has not given him pleasure'. This almost corresponds to Gottsched's statement: 'Man darf ihnen nur was Schones zeigen, und sie aufmerksam darauf machen. So werden sie es gewahr.' Again, at the end of St. 1 (131), where Lessing affirms that the good writer has always in view the best and most enlightened minds of his age and country, and that if he descends to the level of the 'Pobel', it is only 'um ihn zu erleuchten und zu bessern', one thinks of Gottsched's principle:

So mussen sich denn die Poeten niemals nach dem Geschmacke der Welt, das ist, des grossen Haufens, oder des unverstandigen Pobels richten. Dieser vielkopfigte Gotze urtheilt oft sehr verkehrt von Dingen. Er muss vielmehr suchen, den Geschmack seines Vaterlandes, seines Hofes, seiner Stadt zu lautern: Es ware denn, dass dieses schon vor ihm geschehen ware.<sup>2</sup>

A further parallel can be drawn between Lessing's statement in St. xix (12): 'Der wahre Kunstrichter folgert keine Regeln aus seinem Geschmacke, sondern hat seinen Geschmack nach den Regeln gebildet, welche die Natur der Sache erfodert', and Gottsched's conviction that 'Die Schonheit eines kunstlichen Werkes beruht nicht auf einem leeren Dunkel; sondern sie hat ihren festen und nothwendigen Grund in der Natur der Dinge.' One might also perhaps compare a passage interpolated by Ramler in the 'Vorerinnerung' to Part II of his translation of Batteux' Principes de la Littérature:

Man muss also diese Fragen einmal fur allemal studiert und untersucht haben; man muss die Grundsatze, die daraus herfliessen, erkannt und eingesehen haben; und wenn man sie einmal wohl begriffen hat: so wird der Geschmack seinen Weg weit gewisser und zuversichtlicher gehn; ja er wird noch weiter gehn, er wird im Stande seyn, ein richterliches Urtheil zu fallen, ohne Furcht zu fehlen und in Irrthum zu gerathen.<sup>4</sup>

All the statements by Lessing which have been quoted above are obviously based on a traditional conception of 'taste', and take no account of the reservations which would follow from those statements about genius, and its freedom from the rules, which are made elsewhere in the *Dramaturgue*. But it will be noticed that they all occur in the earlier sections of the work.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Critische Dichtkunst, 2nd ed. Leipzig, 1737, p. 134. <sup>2</sup> Ibid. pp 129 f

<sup>4 2</sup>nd ed. Leipzig, 1762-63, 1i, p. 7.

#### DRAMA AND NARRATIVE

Among what might be called the technical questions discussed in the Dramaturgie is the distinction between the methods of narrative and dramatic writing. To some extent the pigeon-holing process of Laokoon is continued here. Lessing has an opportunity of dealing with the question at the very outset of his journal, for the first play of the repertory was a dramatisation of an episode in Tasso's Gerusalemme liberata. He observes (i, 16 ff.) that the merely mechanical problem of inventing complications or elaborating emotions in scenes is not difficult; but to maintain the interest of the spectator, to avoid improbabilities, to transfer oneself from the standpoint of the narrator to that of the dramatic character—all this does present peculiar difficulties. Passions must not be described, but must spring into existence before our eyes, if the poet is to create that illusion which compels our sympathy. Only genius can solve this problem.

Such difficulties had already been commented upon by Mendelssohn. In discussing Wieland's Clementina von Porretta, and a similar plan of his own, in the Litteraturbriefe he had said:

Dem ersten Anblicke nach, sollte nichts leichter scheinen, als die Verwandelung einer ruhrenden Episode in ein burgerliches Trauerspiel, und der Vortrag des Herrn Richardson kömmt hier diesem Betruge sehr zu Statten. Denn da er so naturlich dialogirt, und so sorgfaltig die Gebehrden seiner unterredenden Personen beschreibet, so wird man verfuhrt zu glauben, er habe nicht nur die Erfindung, sondern auch den grossten Theil der theatralischen Ausfuhrung, bis auf die Pantomime so gar, die der Schauspieler zu beobachten hat, über sich genommen.—Dieses glaubte ich, und fing an den Plan zu entwerfen Allein ich ward gar bald inne, dass die Dichtungsarten sich so schwehr, als die Arten der Natur, eine in die andere umschmelzen lassen. Jede hat ihre wesentliche Bestandtheile, die gleichsam in Rauch aufgehen, so bald man eine gewaltsame Verwandelung mit ihnen vornimmt.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Litteraturbriefe, No. cxxIII, August 21, 1760, ed. cit. vii, p. 114; Gesammelie Schriften, ed. cit. iv, 2, pp 141 f. Cp. also Lessing's letter to Gerstenberg of February 25, 1768 (Schriften, xvii, p. 247) concerning the latter's dramatisation from Dante in his Ugolino: 'Bey dem Dante horen wir die Geschichte als geschehen: bey Ihnen sehn wir sie als geschehend. Es ist ganz etwas anders, ob ich das Schreckliche hinter mir, oder vor mir erblicke. Ganz etwas anders, ob ich hore, durch dieses Elend kam der Held durch, das überstand er: oder ob ich sehe, durch dieses soll er durch, dieses soll er überstehen. Der Unterschied der Gattung macht hier alles.' (Quoted also by Petersen, ed. cit. p. 178.)

Lessing returns to this matter in criticising Heufeld's dramatisation of Rousseau's Nouvelle Héloise Here the problem is clearly stated (IX, 24). We wish to see on the stage what people are, and can only learn this from what they actually do. We cannot be interested in the good qualities which are ascribed to them by others; we must be convinced of the existence of these qualities by actions, and actions such as can be concentrated in the brief duration of a play. A third discussion of the problem is to be found in Lessing's comparison of Favart's Soliman II with the story by Marmontel on which it was based (XXXIII, 87). He has nothing but praise for the skill with which Favart has accomplished the dramatisation; but he is chiefly concerned in these sections with the qualities which should distinguish dramatic characters. In St. XXXV (80) he turns to the comparison of drama and moral fable. Finally, in St. XXXVI, he discusses in passing the Matron of Ephesus of Petronius and its dramatisations.

# DRAMAS IN VERSE AND PROSE

In his criticism of Schlegel's Die stumme Schönheit (XIII, 51) Lessing turns to the general question whether comedies should be written in rhymed verse or in prose. The play in question is in verse—'as fluent as it is elegant'—but Lessing considers it fortunate that Schlegel did not always carry out his theories, and that he did not write his greater comedies in verse. Schlegel's advocacy of the verse form for comedy is to be found in an article, Schreiben uber die Comodie in Versen, published in Gottsched's Beytrage zur critischen Historie der Deutschen Sprache for 1740.3 This was itself a reply to an article by G. B. Straube, Versuch eines Beweises, dass eine gereimte Comodie nicht gut seyn konne, which had appeared in the previous number. The controversy, no doubt originally prompted by Houdar de la Motte's plea for tragedy in prose, was continued in subsequent numbers of the journal. In his Gedanken

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See also above, pp. 157, 382, note 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See above, pp. 235 ff.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Beytrage zur critischen Historie der Deutschen Sprache, Poesie und Beredsamkeit, St. xxiv (1740), pp. 624 ff. Reprinted in Schlegel's Werke, ed cit. iii (1764), pp. 65 ff., and ed of Antoniewicz, pp. 9 ff.

<sup>4</sup> Beytrage zur critischen Historie, St. xxiii (1740), pp. 466 ff.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> In my Genesis of Romantic Theory (Cambridge, 1923, pp. 214 f.) I have shown that this plea was probably of Italian inspiration.

zur Aufnahme des danischen Theaters, however, Schlegel expressed a somewhat modified view:

Man hat viel eher Komodien in Versen, als in Prose, gehabt, und diese letztern sind eine ganz neue Erfindung. Nur sind der Komodie die guten Verse sehr schwer; und es ist gleichwohl besser, eine Komodie in guter Prose, als in schlechten Versen, anzuhoren; denn schlechte Verse verderben den Nachdruck der Gedanken, an statt ihn zu erheben.<sup>1</sup>

To this view Lessing would certainly have assented. He himself refers to Houdar de la Motte's view that verse is a 'childish constraint' (XIX, 105), although he does not share it. Here he is obviously drawing on Voltaire's preface to the 1730 edition of his own *Edipe*:<sup>2</sup>

Mr. de la Motte prétend, qu'au moins une scène de Tragédie mise en prose ne perd rien de sa grace ni de sa force. Pour le prouver il tourne en prose la première scène de Mithridate, et personne ne peut la lire. Il ne songe pas que le grand mérite des vers est qu'ils soient aussi naturels, aussi corrects que la prose. C'est cette extrême difficulté surmontée<sup>3</sup> qui charme les connaisseurs. Réduisez les vers en prose, il n'y a plus ni mérite ni plaisir.

Mais, dit-il, nos voisins ne riment point dans leurs Tragédies. Cela est vrai; mais ces piéces sont en vers, parce qu'il faut de l'harmonie à tous les peuples de la Terre. Il ne s'agit donc plus que de savoir, si nos vers doivent être rimés ou non...Les Italiens et les Anglais peuvent se passer de rime, parce que leur langue a des inversions, et leur Poesie mille libertés qui nous manquent. Chaque langue a son génie déterminé par la nature de la construction de ses phrases, par la fréquence de ses voyelles ou de ses consonnes, ses inversions, ses verbes auxiliaires, etc. Le génie de notre langue est la clarté et l'élégance; nous ne permettons nulle licence à notre Poesie, qui doit marcher comme notre prose dans l'ordre précis de nos idées. Nous avons donc un besoin essentiel du retour des mêmes sons, pour que notre Poesie ne soit pas confondue avec la prose.

This was also Schlegel's argument for the use of verse.4

But Lessing observes (xm, 60) that the difficulties which Schlegel clearly encountered in practice outweighed the advantages which

1 Werke, ed. cit. iii, p. 291, ed. Antoniewicz, p. 220.

<sup>2</sup> Œuvres, ed. cst. vii, pp. 19 f. Cp. also Œuvres complètes, Paris, 1877-85, 1i, pp. 9, 55 f. La Motte's argument is to be found in his Quatrième Discours à l'occasion

de la Tragédie d'Œdipe, Œuvres, Paris, 1754, iv, pp. 390 ff.

<sup>3</sup> Cp. 'der uberstandenen Schwierigkeit' (xxx, 117); cp. also 'aus diesen überstiegenen Schwierigkeiten' (xxx, 63). Antoniewicz, in his Introduction to Schlegel's aesthetic writings (ed. cit. p. cxliv) compares Rémond de St Mard, Eures, Amsterdam, 1749, iv, p. 44; the passage is as follows: 'Après avoir ainsi rendu justice à la rime, je dis hardiment qu'elle a grand besoin du surcrôit de plaisir que lui donne la convention et le mérite de la difficulté vaincue.'

<sup>4</sup> Cp. Werke, ed. cit. iii, pp. 88 ff.; cp. also Antoniewicz, ed. cit. p. cxliii.

Genius and Good Taste. Some Points of Form and Technique 463 seemed so irrefutable in his theory. Possibly there is an echo here of Schlegel's preface to his translation of Destouches' Le Glorieux:

Diejenigen kennen also die Natur der Poesie besser, welche die Comodie in Versen aus dem Grunde angreifen, dass es allzuschwer, ja gar unmoglich sey, die dialogische Art, zu reden, mit dem Zwange des Sylbenmaasses zu verbinden, ohne sie undialogisch zu machen, dass also die kleinre Anmuth, namlich die, so das Sylbenmaas dem Werke ertheilt, der grossren Anmuth, nämlich der, welche die dialogische Art, zu reden, einem Lustspiele giebt, weichen musse. Auf den Beweis und auf die Widerlegung dieses Grundes kommt entweder die Verdammung oder die Lossprechung der Comodie in Versen an.<sup>1</sup>

Lessing's criticism of the French contempt for prose comedies (65) again recalls Schlegel's Schreiben uber die Comodie in Versen:

Wir beobachten aber, dass...bey den Franzosen, da vorher die Unwissenheit der Komodianten, so lange der schlechte Zustand und das barbarische Alter der Komodien gedauert hatte, prosaische Komodien eingefuhret, die Verse nach und nach wiederum in ihr altes Recht gesetzet worden, und wie Voltaire in der Vorrede vor seinem Brutus versichert, selbst die Lustspiele des Moliere, welche er in ungebundener Rede gemacht hatte, noch nach seinem Tode in Verse gesetzt werden mussen ... 2 Die Englander aber konnen hierinnen ebenfalls nichts gultiges bezeigen,<sup>3</sup> weil ihre Komodien auch noch nicht in dem Stande sind, dass man sie eigentlich Komodien nennen könnte....Aber, werden Sie sagen, man wurde doch unrecht thun, wenn man die Deutschen in diesen Dingen micht auch für Leute rechnen wollte, deren Urtheile von einiger Folge waren. Gleichwohl empfinden viele, theils grosse Leute, insonderheit welche sich in der Kritik umgesehen haben, bey Vorstellung unserer Komodien in Versen dieses Vergnugen nicht, und befinden es viel naturlicher, wenn eine Komodie in ungebundener Rede aufgefuhret wird.4

But Lessing is by no means a convert to the Houdartian view of verse as a childish constraint. La Motte, he says (XIX, 110), had French verse in mind, which is only a 'titillation of the ears'; whereas German verse has more of the quality of Greek verse, which by its rhythm is able to indicate the passions it describes.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Ed. Antoniewicz, pp. 164 f., cp. also *ibid*. Introduction, pp. cxxxiii ff., and especially pp. cxl f.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Voltaire, Discours sur la Tragédie, prefixed to Brutus, Œuvres, ed. cit. vii, p. 194: '.. nous avons des Comédies en prose du célèbre Molière, que l'on a été obligé de mettre en vers après sa mort, et qui ne sont plus jouées que de cette manière nouvelle.' See above, p 180.

<sup>3</sup> Lessing's statement (xiii, 68): 'Den Englander hingegen wurde eine gereimte Komodie aus dem Theater jagen' is a rather sweeping generalisation.

<sup>4</sup> Werke, ed. cit. iii, pp. 83 ff.; cp. ed. Antoniewicz, pp. 19 ff.

### PROLOGUE AND EPILOGUE

The first performance of the Hamburg 'Enterprise' gave Lessing an opportunity of expressing his views on the purpose, and propriety, of providing plays with prologues and epilogues—a practice which he knew to be firmly established in England (VII, 99). But he points out that the English do not use the Prologue, as did the ancients, for the purpose of exposition; nor do they imitate Plautus in using the Epilogue to provide information which could not be put into the fifth act.2 Their prologues tell the audience about the poet or the subject of the play, and plead for indulgent criticism of the author or the actor; while their epilogues are used to point the moral of the play, or to criticise it or its performance—all this in the most humorous tone, even in the case of tragedies. Whether Lessing knew more than the prologues and epilogues of Thomson (119)3 and some of Dryden's (126), it is difficult to decide. He would approve (121) of the adoption of prologues and epilogues for new plays in Germany; but, like Thomson, he would prefer serious epilogues—'unserm deutschen Ernste angemessener'-for tragedies.

- ¹ D'Aubignac, for instance, had said (Pratique du Théâtre, in, chap. 1, ed. cit. i, pp. 145 f.): 'Les premiers et les plus ordinaires Prologues de la Tragédie Grecque sont ceux que faisoit l'un des principaux Acteurs qui venoit expliquer aux Spectateurs, non pas l'Argument de la Pièce, mais tout ce qui s'étoit passé de l'histoire concernant le Theatre jusqu'au point que s'en faisoit l'ouverture et que l'action Theatrale commençoit.' Cp. Critik uber die Gefangnen des Plautus in Lessing's Beytrage (iv, p. 582; Schriften, iv, p. 146): 'Der Prolog mag also bey den Alten ein nothwendiges Theil der Komodie seyn oder nicht....'
- <sup>2</sup> This is characteristic of the epilogues to three of Plautus's comedies, *Casina*, *Cistellaria* and *Menechmi* (Schroter and Thiele, ed. of 1895, p. 98).
  - <sup>3</sup> Cp. the Epilogue to Agamemnon (Works, London, 1750, iii, p. 205):

'Our bard, to modern epilogue a foe,
Thinks such mean mirth but deadens generous woe;
Dispels in idle air the moral sigh,
And wipes the tender tear from pity's eye:
No more with social warmth the bosom burns,
But all th'unfeeling selfish man returns.'

or that to Tancred and Sigusmunda (ibid. iv, pp. 201 f.):

'Hence with your flippant epilogue, that tries To wipe the virtuous tear from British eyes; That dares my moral, tragic scene profane, With strains—at best, unsuiting, light and vain. Hence from the pure unsully'd beams that play In you fair eyes where virtue shines—Away!' D'Aubignac had censured Euripides for his use of the prologue in the following terms:

Les Tragiques Grecs, ou pour le moins Euripide (car nous n'en avons point d'autres exemples) ont fait une autre espece de Prologue bien plus vicieux, sçavoir quand ils y emploioient quelqu'un de leurs Dieux. car souvent ils faisoient que ce Dieu, qu'on presupposoit sçavoir tout, expliquoit non seulement les choses passées, mais aussi les futures; ils ne se contentoient pas d'instruire les Spectateurs de l'histoire precedente, necessaire à l'intelligence de la Piéce; mais ils en faisoient encore sçavoir le Dénouement et toute la Catastrophe; de sorte qu'ils en prévenoient tous les evenemens. ce qui étoit un défaut très-notable du tout contraire à cette attente ou suspension qui doit toûjours regner au Theatre, et détruisant tous les agrémens d'une Piéce, qui consiste presque toûjours en la surprise et en la nouveauté.¹

Lessing translates the latter part of this passage (XLVIII, 97) and defends Euripides on the ground that the factor of surprise did not enter into his conception of tragedy; in thus disclosing at the outset the coming events he was pursuing a higher purpose, even if that purpose be not allowed for in the text-books on tragedy.<sup>2</sup>

Lessing's more detailed argument in favour of the prologues to Euripides's *Ion* and *Hecuba* in the following section (XLIX, 10 ff.)—that they detract in no way from the tragic purpose of the poet and that he could with a stroke of the pen have omitted them had he thought they would do so—recalls a passage in his *Philologischer Nachlass*:

Von dem Prolog dieses Stucks [Ion] muss ich noch anmerken, dass das Stuck ohne ihn vollkommen bestehen kann, und vollkommen verstandlich ist. Warum hat ihn Euripides gleichwohl für nothig erachtet? Wenn wir aus ihm nicht gelernt hatten, wer Ion eigentlich ware, wurde unsre Neugierde nicht weit besser unterhalten werden? Wurden wir nicht weit starker überrascht werden, wenn ihn Creusa nun endlich für ihren Sohn erkennt? Recht. Aber dafür wurden wir uns auch weniger entsetzt,

<sup>1</sup> Pratique du Théâtre, iii, chap. i (ed. cit. 1, p. 147).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Lessing may also have had in mind the following passage from Corneille. When discussing the employment of the prologue to communicate information, the latter says (Discours du poeme dramatique, Œuvres, ed. cit. i, pp. 44 f.): 'Euripide en a usé assez grossièrement, en introduisant, tantôt un dieu dans une machine, par qui les spectateurs recevoient cet éclaircissement, et tantôt un de ses principaux personnages qui les en instruisoit lui-même...' And a little further on, Corneille speaks of this 'désordre d'Euripide' (p. 45). In the essay on Seneca in the Theatralische Bibliothek (Schriften, vi, p. 229) Lessing himself had admitted that such prologues had defects. (Cp. Petersen, ed. cit. p. 225.)

weniger für den Ion und die Creusa gezittert haben, wenn wir nicht gewusst hatten, dass diese in jenem ihren eignen Sohn umzubringen Gefahr laufe. Dem Euripides war es also weit wichtiger, und das mit Recht, das Herz des Zuschauers zu beschaftigen, als seine Neugierde.<sup>1</sup>

Towards the end of his long discussion of *Mérope*, Lessing had already raised the general question how far 'surprise' is a legitimate dramatic motive (XLVIII, 3); and with the support of Diderot (from whose essay *De la poésie dramatique* he quotes a long passage in his own translation), had asserted that the Euripidean plan, whereby all the incidents of the plot were familiar to the audience before they witnessed the play, is preferable to the modern reliance on surprise.

- <sup>1</sup> Schriften, xv, p. 430.
- <sup>2</sup> See above, p. 220.
- <sup>3</sup> The quotation from Diderot (34 ff.) is as follows in the original (Œwres complètes, ed. cst. vii, pp. 341 ff.; cp. Lessing's translation, ii, pp. 329 ff.):

'O faiseurs de règles générales, que vous ne connaissez guère l'art, et que vous avez peu de ce génie qui a produit les modèles sur lesquels vous avez établi ces règles, qu'il est le maître d'enfreundre quand il lui plaît!

On trouvera, dans mes idées, tant de paradoxes qu'on voudra, mais je persisterai à croire que, pour une occasion où il est à propos de cacher au spectateur un incident important avant qu'il ait lieu, il y en a plusieurs où l'intérêt demande le contraire.

Le poete me ménage, par le secret, un instant de surprise; il m'eût exposé, par la confidence, à une longue inquiétude.

Je ne plaindrai qu'un instant celui qui sera frappé et accablé dans un instant. Mais que deviens-je, si le coup se fait attendre, si je vois l'orage se former sur ma tête ou sur celle d'un autre, et y demeurer longtemps suspendu?...

Que tous les personnages s'ignorent, si vous le voulez; mais que le spectateur les connaisse tous.

J'oserais presque assurer qu'un sujet où les réticences sont nécessaires, est un sujet ingrat; et qu'un plan où l'on y a recours est moins bon que si l'on eût pu s'en passer. On n'en tirera rien de bien énergique; on s'assujettira à des préparations toujours trop obscures ou trop claires. Le poeme deviendra un tissu de petites finesses, à l'aide desquelles on ne produira que de petites surprises. Mais tout ce qui concerne les personnages est-il connu? J'entrevois, dans cette supposition, la source des mouvements les plus violents....

Pourquoi certains monologues ont-ils de si grands effets? c'est qu'ils m'instruisent des desseins secrets d'un personnage; et que cette confidence me saisit à l'instant de crainte ou d'espérance.

Si l'état des personnages est inconnu, le spectateur ne pourra prendre à l'action plus d'intérêt que les personnages: mais l'intérêt doublera pour le spectateur, s'il est assez instruit, et qu'il sente que les actions et les discours seraient bien différents, si les personnages se connaissaient. C'est ainsi que vous produirez en moi une attente violente de ce qu'ils deviendront, lorsqu'ils pourront comparer ce qu'ils sont avec ce qu'ils ont fait ou voulu faire.'

#### THE TITLES OF PLAYS

The choice of a suitable title for a play was a matter which had considerable interest for Lessing. It is first mentioned in a mild protest (XIV, 112) against the alteration which had been made in the title of his own Freygeist, which in Hamburg was called Der beschamte Freygeist. Lessing points out with justice that Adrast is not the only character of the play who is a 'Freygeist'. But, he adds, 'was liegt an dem Titel? Genug, dass die Vorstellung alles Beyfalls wurdig war.' In St. 1x (136) he comments on Plautus's choice of titles for his plays; 2 in xxix (106) he quotes an extract from Corneille's apology for not giving to his tragedy of Rodogune the name of Cléopâtre.3 He agrees (114) with Corneille's argument that the ancients regarded the title of a play as quite unimportant; 'they did not believe in the least that it must indicate the content of the drama'.4 At the same time, he does not think that Sophocles would have called his tragedy (the Trachine) Desanira. At least, he contends, the Greek poet did not choose a misleading title—one which would suggest a wrong emphasis. A similar argument is used in St. LXXXVII-LXXXVIII (5) where Lessing discusses Palissot's objection to the title of Le Fils naturel,5 and Diderot's justification of his choice.

The lengthiest discussion of the use of titles, however, is to be found in the section on Voltaire's Nanine (xxi, 23). Lessing sets out from a criticism of that play which had appeared in La Bigarure and which he had already translated in his Beytrage zur Historie und Aufnahme des Theaters:6

Cette Comedie est intitulée Nanine, titre ridicule, et vague, qui n'annonce rien, et qui d'ailleurs ne convient nullement a une Piéce Comique, mais bien aux Tragedies; parce que ces dernieres s'annoncent toujours par

3 For the original passage in full see above, pp. 167 f

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Similarly, after recording an objection to the rendering of *Le Distrait* by *Der Zerstreute* (xxviii, 70) he adds 'Man versteht sie [diese Worte] nunmehr, und das ist genug'.

<sup>2</sup> See above, p. 331.

In a letter to his brother Karl of February 10, 1772, discussing his Emilia Galotti, Lessing wrote (Schriften, xviii, p. 18): 'Weil das Stuck Emilia heisst, ist es darum mein Vorsatz gewesen, Emilien zu dem hervorstechendsten, oder auch nur zu einem hervorstechenden Charakter zu machen? Ganz und gar nicht. Die Alten nannten ihre Stucke wohl nach Personen, die gar nicht aufs Theater kamen.' In his discussion of the probable subject of Euripides's Cresphontes Lessing asks (xxxix, 100): 'Hat man jemals gehort, dass ein Trauerspiel nach einer Person benennet worden, die gar nicht darinn vorkommt?' 5 See above, p. 234.

<sup>6</sup> Theatralische Neuigkeiten aus Paris, Beytrage, 11, p. 287. See above, p. 104.

le nom du Personage qui en fait le principal sujet.¹ C'est ainsi que par de simples noms un Lecteur, ou un Spectateur, connoit d'abord les Tragedies de Mithridate, Cinna, Pompée, Polieucte, Andromaque, Phedre, Iphigenie, Britannicus, etc. La Comédie, du moins la véritable, étant une image divertissante et risible de la vie et de la conduite des hommes, de leurs vices, de leurs défauts, de leurs imperfections et de leurs ridicules, elle doit toujours s'annoncer de même par quelqu'un de ces endroits. C'est ainsi, du moins, que l'ont pratiqué jusqu'à ce jour tous ceux qui ont réussi dans cette pémble cariere.²

'A title', says Lessing, 'must not be a menu.' The less it betrays of the contents of a play, the better. In discussing L'Affichard's Ist er von Familie, he complains (XVII, 62) that, although a title need neither give nor exhaust the contents of a piece, this one is misleading; whereas the title of Hippel's play, Der Mann nach der Uhr (XXII, 63) gives away too much. The ancients, Lessing contends (xxi, 27), seldom gave their comedies other than titles that meant nothing; there are hardly three or four within his knowledge which name the chief characters or betray anything of the story. And of these, one is Plautus's Miles gloriosus, which the author himself simply called Gloriosus. Even if the French dramatists have exhausted such descriptive character-titles, he argues, there is no copyright in them; and there is no real reason why a later dramatist should not write another Misanthrope. But Nanine (XXI, 72) has a sub-title, which gives additional information; this sub-title has two variants: l'homme sans préjugé, and le préjugé vaincu. Voltaire, Lessing comments, does not seem to have made up his mind; but the two variants, after all, mean very much the same thing. A comedy, he says later (XXII, 72), may very well have a double title; but in that case, each should mean something different. Hippel's Der Mann nach der Uhr, oder der ordentliche Mann is an example of redundancy.

#### TRANSLATION

As we have seen, Lessing has a good deal to say about the merits and demerits of the German translations of the French plays that appeared on the Hamburg stage. These observations I have dealt with in

Lessing translates this sentence: '...ein lacherlicher und unbestimmter Titel, welcher nichts ankundiget, und welcher sich zwar zu Trauerspielen, aber gar nicht zu einem komischen Stuck schickt; weil man die letztern allemal durch den Namen der Hauptpersonen ankundiget.' By reversing the position of 'Trauerspielen' he destroys the sense of the original. The translation of the passage is otherwise a literal one.

<sup>2</sup> La Bigarure, i (1749), No. 6, p. 55.

discussing his criticism of the plays; it only remains here to review his general opinions on the subject of translation.

With his prejudice in favour of the use of prose in the drama, it was natural that he should not approve the practice of translating French verse dramas into German verse. In dealing with Zelmure he asks (XIX, 76): 'wer wird nicht lieber eine kornichte, wohlklingende Prosa horen wollen, als matte, geradebrechte Verse?' And he adds: 'Unter allen unsern gereimten Übersetzungen werden kaum ein halbes Dutzend seyn, die ertraglich sind. Und dass man mich ja nicht bey dem Worte nehme, sie zu nennen!'

To translate good verses into good prose requires more than accuracy (viii, 27)—or, at least, something different from accuracy. Too great literalness makes for stiffness; for what is natural in one language is not so in another The original becomes in the translation 'wassrig und schielend'. For where is the 'Versificateur' who is not obliged by the necessities of verse to say a little more than he would say in plain, straightforward prose? And under the constraint of metre and rhyme, he necessarily says things differently; he uses metaphors and similes where in prose he would be content with the simple truth. It needs some taste and courage on the part of the translator to excise the metaphors and ellipses which the writing of verse demands, but which are out of place in prose. Here, perhaps, some remarks of Mendelssohn may have had weight with Lessing. In reviewing a German translation of Pope's works (the first volume of which was published at Altona in 1758), that writer had said:

Die einzige Sorge des Uebersetzers (wenn ja ubersetzt werden soll) muss also dahin gehen, den Verstand, die scharfsinnigen Sentenzen, und die philosophischen Betrachtungen seiner Urschrift beyzubehalten, und sie in einen fliessenden prosaischen Discurs zu verwandeln. Ist er aber, wie der gegenwartige Uebersetzer der popischen Schriften, sklavisch genug, sich an die Worte der Urschrift zu binden; so wird er einen wohlgestalten Korper in eine elende Misgeburt verwandeln, der Wohlklang verschwindet, die Wiederholungen, welche in einem gereimten Gedichte angenehm sind, werden in der Uebersetzung eckelhaft, die uneigentlichen Worter, die der grosste Dichter, wenn er reimen muss, nicht vermeiden kann, werden hier unertraglich, und jeder feurige Schwung von einem Gedanken auf den andern, der dem Dichter erlaubt ist, wird eine unverantwortliche Lucke, von welcher der Leser einer prosaischen Schrift Rechenschaft fordern wird <sup>1</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Bibliothek der schonen Wissenschaften, iv (11), 1759, p. 628; Gesammelte Schriften, ed. cit. iv, 1, pp. 411 f.

Is it really worth while, Lessing asks (xix, 92), to expend so much trouble in putting French verse into German verse? The French poet [of Zelmire] (82) 'war schon nicht der grosste Versifikateur, sondern stumperte und flickte; der Deutsche war es noch weniger'. Little is gained by the production of watery, cold, correct verses, and were all the poetic ornament of the French transferred to German prose, it would hardly become poetic thereby. German verse translations, moreover, create difficulties for the actor instead of helping him. On the other hand, he refers to the mongrel tones ('Zwitterton') which are to be found in German prose translations of English plays—was Lessing thinking of Wieland's Shakespeare?—where the effect of the boldest tropes and figures, deprived of their metrical cadence, reminds one of drunken men dancing without music.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Cp. also Lessing's judgment on Banks's style, pp. 274 f above. Petersen (ed. cit. pp. 201 f.) notes however that in a review [published in the Berlinische privilegirte Zeitung of December 19, 1752 (Schriften, v, pp. 17f)] he made severer demands, and reproached the translator of Crébillon's Idoménée for not having translated into rhymed verse.

#### CHAPTER XVII

# THE ART OF THE ACTOR. MUSIC IN THE THEATRE

No aspect of the Hamburgische Dramaturgie falls more disappointingly short of expectations than that which is concerned with the actor's art. In his Ankundigung Lessing had declared (83) that one of the touchstones of a dramatic critic's capacity lay in his ability to determine what share of the success or failure of a play was to be ascribed to the poet, and what share to the actor. 'To blame the one for the shortcomings of the other means spoiling both; the former will lose courage, and the latter become self-assured. In particular, the actor has a right to look for the strictest freedom from bias. The poet can be justified at any time, for his work remains and can be laid before us again; but the art of the actor is in its effect transitory.'1 Unfortunately the actors themselves did not see eye to eye with Lessing in this matter; and from the first, any real and honest criticism was out of the question. Susanna Mecour, as we have seen, made it a condition that she should not be criticised at all; while the chief actress of the company, Friederike Hensel, resented Lessing's criticism so emphatically that after the twenty-fifth part he decided to abandon entirely that part of his plan which was concerned with how the plays were performed. But it was also clear from the first that he must necessarily be hampered by his official connexion with the theatre; and it would be rash to describe even such criticism as he offers of the actors in the first twenty-five sections as completely unbiased; after all, those in power, and particularly Ekhof, had to be handled with kid gloves.2

Lessing's first interest in the actor's art was historical and theoretical

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See below, p. 482.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See above, pp. 30 f., 34 ff. Petersen (ed cit. p. 207) quotes from Viertelyahrschrift fur Litteraturgeschichte, ii (1889), p. 137, a letter from Weisse to Ramler of June 20, 1797: 'als Lessing mir die ersten Bogen seiner Dramaturgie zuschickte, schrieb er mir ', Meiner Absicht nach sollten diese Blätter hauptsachlich der Kritik der Schauspieler gewiedmet seyn ich sehe aber wohl, dass mit diesem Volke nichts anzufangen ist; sie nehmen Privaterinnerungen übel, was wurden sie bey einer offentlichen Ruge thun. ich werde es also wohl die Autoren mussen entgelten lassen."'

rather than practical.¹ He approached it as a classical scholar with a view to winning the recognition of the University of Gottingen. A fragment of the treatise he planned with this object in view, Von den Pantomimen der Alten, has been preserved, and Muncker, who dates it 1749-50, has shown that it is largely based on Nicholas Calliachius's De ludis scenicis mimorum et pantomimorum (published in A. H. de Sallengre's Novus thesaurus antiquitatum romanarum, The Hague, 1716-19, ii, 699-768).² And in the third part of the Theatralische Bibliothek (1755) he published a translation of the third and final volume of Du Bos' Réflexions critiques, which deals with the 'représentations théâtrales des Anciens'.

There are a few echoes of these early studies on the theatre of the ancients in the *Dramaturgie*, where, in particular, he occasionally makes use of the volume of Du Bos.<sup>3</sup> But already in the *Beytrage* the art of acting had been approached also from a more practical angle. It was, indeed, an important part of the programme of that periodical. In his preface Lessing wrote:

Wer sieht also nicht, dass die Vorstellung ein nothwendiges Theil der dramatischen Poesie sey? Die Kunst dieser Vorstellung verdienet derohalben unsrer Aufmerksamkeit eben sowohl, als die Kunst der Verfassung. Sie muss ihre Regeln haben, und diese wollen wir aufsuchen. Es sind uns einige neue Schriftsteller hierinne schon vorgegangen, und wir werden uns ihrer Arbeit auf eine erlaubte Art zu bedienen wissen. Diese Regeln erstrecken sich nicht allein auf die Schauspieler, sie konnen allen nutzen, welche die Beredsamkeit des Korpers brauchen.<sup>4</sup>

The Beytrage opens with a contribution by Mylius, Versuch eines Beweises, dass die Schauspielkunst eine freye Kunst sey. Lessing would at this time have had little difficulty in subscribing to Mylius's conclusion that 'Wahrscheinlichkeit' was of more importance to the actor's success than the observance of artificial rules. The new writers whose

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See above, p. 94.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Schriften, xiv, p. 144. Lessing also reviewed in 1749 Samuel Geissler's Abhandlung von den Pantomimen. See above, p. 94; and cp. also below, pp. 479 ff.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Cp. for example LXXXII, 127 ff.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Schriften, iv, p. 54. Mylius had published earlier Eine Abhandlung, worinnen erwiesen wird: dass die Wahrscheinlichkeit der Vorstellung, bey den Schauspielen eben so nöthig ist, als die innere Wahrscheinlichkeit derselben (in Gottsched's Beytrage zur critischen Historie der Deutschen Sprache, Poesie und Beredsamkeit, St. xxx (1743), pp. 297 ff.). Cp. H. Oberlander, Die geistige Entwicklung der deutschen Schauspielkunst im 18. Jahrhundert, Hamburg and Leipzig, 1898 (Theatergeschichtliche Forschungen, xv), pp. 98, 206.

work the preface promised to introduce were François Riccoboni, son of a more famous father, and Sainte Albine—although room was not found for the latter until the publication of the *Theatralische Bibliothek*.

Lessing's translation of Riccoboni's Art du Théâtre (Paris, 1750) appears in the fourth part of the Beytrage as Die Schauspielkunst. This treatise supports the emancipation of acting from the stiff classicism of the earlier eighteenth century: Riccoboni seeks to mediate between the old tradition and the new demand for verisimilitude. The actor may indeed yield to the emotion which his part awakens in him, but he must always remain master of himself; it may be necessary for him to exaggerate a little, however, if he is to have the right effect upon his audience. Riccoboni accepts the approximation between the art of presenting tragedy and that of presenting comedy which was called for by the comédie larmoyante, and has much to say that is valuable on the subject of pantomimic action.

Amongst Lessing's papers is a skeleton plan of a work which was to have been entitled Der Schauspieler: Ein Werk worinne die Grundsatze der ganzen korperlichen Beredsamkeit entwickelt werden.¹ On the ground of its similarity to Riccoboni's book Danzel is inclined to date the plan 1750;² but Muncker would date it a few years later, when Lessing was engaged in translating Sainte Albine's Le Comédien. It may be noted in favour of the later date that the sketch of Der Schauspieler bears evidence of having been influenced by Hogarth's Analysis of Beauty, a work with which Lessing probably first became acquainted through Mylius's translation in 1754.³ It may well be the 'kleines Werk uber die körperliche Beredsamkeit' which Lessing promised the readers of his Theatralische Bibliothek.⁴

Rémond de Sainte Albine's Le Comédien was published in 1747, three years before François Riccoboni's work. But it may have only come later into Lessing's hands, even if his translation of extracts in the Theatralische Bibliothek was one of the contributions left over from the Beyträge. In many respects Sainte Albine's book represents a more advanced stage in the theory of acting than Riccoboni's. Not being an actor himself, Sainte Albine was more interested in theoretical questions. He made greater demands than Riccoboni for 'natural-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Schriften, xiv, pp. 179 ff.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Danzel and Guhrauer, Lessing, ed. cit. 1, p. 185.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Cp. H. Oberlander, op. cit. p 99.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Theatralische Bibliothek, i, p. 266; Schriften, vi, p. 152.

Thus (III, 5) 'Alle Moral muss aus der Fulle des Herzens kommen, von der der Mund ubergehet.'

Such is Sainte Albine's view, and Lessing, when he has stated it, proceeds in a long parenthesis (26–78) to modify it by maintaining that the identification of the actor with the feelings he has to express is not enough; he must have acquired the art of giving expression to them; that, in fact, this art is more essential than his subjective response to them.<sup>1</sup> This had been precisely his standpoint in the Theatralische Bibliothek:

Der Herr Remondvon Sainte Albine setzet in seinem ganzen Werke stillschweigend voraus, dass die ausserlichen Modificationen des Korpers naturliche Folgen von der innern Beschaffenheit der Seele sind, die sich von selbst ohne Muhe ergeben Es ist zwar wahr, dass jeder Mensch ungelernt den Zustand seiner Seele durch Kennzeichen, welche in die Sinne fallen, einigermaassen ausdrucken kann, der eine durch dieses, der andre durch jenes. Allein auf dem Theater will man Gesinnungen und Leidenschaften nicht nur einigermaassen ausgedruckt sehen; nicht nur auf die unvollkommene Weise, wie sie ein einzelner Mensch, wenn er sich wirklich in eben denselben Umstanden befände, vor sich ausdrucken wurde; sondern man will sie auf die allervollkommenste Art ausgedruckt sehen, so wie sie nicht besser und nicht vollstandiger ausgedruckt werden konnen. Dazu aber ist kein ander Mittel, als die besondern Arten, wie sie sich bey dem und bey jenem ausdrucken, kennen zu lernen, und eine allgemeine Art daraus zusammen zu setzen, die um so viel wahrer scheinen muss, da ein jeder etwas von der seinigen darinnen entdeckt. Kurz, ich glaube, der ganze Grundsatz unsers Verfassers ist umzukehren. Ich glaube, wenn der Schauspieler alle ausserliche Kennzeichen und Merkmale, alle Abanderungen des Korpers, von welchen man aus der Erfahrung gelernet hat, dass sie etwas gewisses ausdrucken, nachzumachen weis, so wird sich seine Seele durch den Eindruck, der durch die Sinne auf sie geschieht, von selbst in den Stand setzen, der seinen Bewegungen, Stellungen und Tonen gemass ist. Diese nun auf eine gewisse mechanische Art zu erlernen, auf eine Art aber, die sich auf unwandelbare Regeln grundet, an deren Daseyn man durchgangig zweifelt, ist die einzige und wahre Art die Schauspielkunst zu studiren.<sup>2</sup>

Thus, in spite of the deepening and widening of the problem by Diderot, Lessing's standpoint in 1767 would appear to be still that of 1754.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This was François Riccoboni's standpoint (L'Art du Théâtre, Paris 1750, pp. 36 ff.). Cp H. Oberlander, op cit. pp. 102 ff. Later, about 1774, Mendelssohn expressed his views on this subject in his Beantwortung einiger Fragen in der Schauspielkunst (Gesammelte Schriften, ed. cit. 1v, 1, pp. 26 ff.).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Theatralische Bibliothek, 1, pp. 264 f; Schriften, vi, pp. 151 f.

The discussion in St. v (65 ff.) whether an actor can have too much fire again goes back to Sainte Albine. Chapter iii of book i of Le Comédien is entitled: 'Un Comédien peut-il avoir trop de Feu?' The following passages have some bearing on Lessing's text:

Il est des Acteurs, qui en criant et en s'agitant beaucoup, s'efforcent de remplacer par une chaleur factice le *Feu* naturel, qui leur manque. Il en est plusieurs, à qui la foiblesse de leur constitution et de leurs organes ne permet pas d'user de cette ressource. Ces derniers, ne pouvant entreprendre d'en imposer à nos sens, se flattent d'en imposer à notre esprit, et ils prennent le parti de soûtenir que le *Feu* chez les gens de leur Art est plûtôt un défaut qu'une perfection.

Les uns sont de faux monnoyeurs qui nous donnent du cuivre pour de l'or les autres, des foux qui prétendent nous persuader que les frimats sont des beautés de la Nature, parce qu'elle couvre de neige pendant la plus

grande partie de l'année le pays qu'ils habitent.

Ne soyons point les dupes de l'artifice des premiers, ni des sophismes des seconds. Ne prenons point les cris et les contorsions d'un Comédien pour de la chaleur, ni la glace d'un autre pour de la sagesse, et bien loin d'imiter certains amateurs du Spectacle, qui recommandent soigneusement aux Débutantes dont les succès les interressent, de modérer leur Feu, annonçons aux personnes de Théâtre, qu'elles ne peuvent trop en avoir; que plusieurs d'entr'elles n'ont le malheur de déplaire au Public, que parce que la nature ne leur a pas accordé cette qualité, ou parce que leur timidité les empêche d'en faire usage; qu'au contraire quelques-uns des Acteurs qui sont applaudis, jouiroient d'une réputation encore plus générale et moins contestée, s'ils étoient plus animés de cette précieuse flamme, qui donne en quelque sorte la vie à l'action Théâtrale.

On ne révoquera point en doute ces propositions, lorqu'on cessera de confondre la véhémence de la déclamation avec le Feu du Comédien, et lorsqu'on voudra faire réflexion, que le Feu dans une personne de Théâtre n'est autre chose que la célérité et la vivacité, avec lesquelles toutes les parties, qui constituent l'Acteur, concourent à donner un air de verité à

son action.

Ce principe posé, il est évident qu'on ne peut apporter trop de chaleur au Théâtre, puisque l'action ne peut être jamais trop vraie, et que par consequent l'impression ne peut être jamais trop promte ni trop vive, et

l'expression répondre trop tôt ni trop fidélement à l'expression.

Vous serez critiqués justement, lorsque votre action ne sera pas convenable au caractere et à la situation du personnage que vous représentés, ou lorsqu'en voulant montrer du Feu, vous ne nous ferez voir que des mouvemens convulsifs, ou entendre que des cris importuns. Mais alors les personnes de goût, bien loin de vous accuser d'avoir trop de Feu, se plaindront de ce que vous n'en avez pas assez; comme au lieu de trouver avec le Public trop d'esprit à certains Auteurs, elles trouvent qu'ils en manquent.

Un Auteur dans une Comédie prête le langage d'un bel Esprit à un

Valet ou à une Suivante: il met des madrigaux ou des épigrammes dans la bouche d'un Acteur agité d'une passion violente, et l'on dit qu'il a trop d'esprit. Il seroit plus exact de dire qu'il n'a pas celui de connoître la nature, et de l'imiter. En jouant un rôle, vous vous livrez à l'emportement dans des endroits qui n'en demandent pas; ou si votre emportement n'est pas hors de propos, il n'est pas naturel. Vous tombez dans ces fautes, non par excès, mais par défaut de chaleur. Dès lors vous ne sentez, vous n'exprimez point ce que vous devez sentir et exprimer Ainsi ce n'est pas du Feu, c'est de la déraison et de la maladresse que nous appercevons en vous 1

Once only in his Dramaturgie does Lessing refer to Sainte Albine by name. It is at the end of his discussion of Zayre (xvi, 147), where he says that Ekhof so completely fulfils all that Sainte Albine demands of the actor that he alone might have been the model the critic had in view. Lessing had in mind, as his foot-note tells us, the tenth chapter of the Second Part of the French work, which is entitled: 'Dans lequel, aux principes déja établis sur la verité de la Récitation et de l'Action, on ajoûte quelques préceptes importans', and he was evidently thinking more particularly of the section of this chapter which deals with 'l'art de nuer les passages d'un mouvement à l'autre.' It is as follows:

La Scene sixieme du quatrieme Acte de Zaïre² me fournira un exemple de l'usage qu'ils doivent faire de ce talent. Dans cette Scene, l'Acteur qui joue le rôle d'Orosmane doit se rappeller, que ce Sultan s'annonce comme assez genereux, pour sacrifier sa passion, s'il découvre que Zaire soit entrainée vers quelque autre par un penchant invincible, mais qu'il veut lire dans le cœur de cette Belle; qu'il desire que si elle lui refuse son amour, elle lui accorde sa confiance; qu'il peut consentir de n'être pas favorisé comme Amant, mais qu'il ne peut se résoudre à n'être pas distingué comme ami, et qu'il seroit plus offensé de la dissimulation que de l'indifférence.

Ces dispositions étant supposées dans Orosmane, il est évident qu'il n'écoute tout son ressentiment, que lorsqu'il croit être convaincu de l'obstination de Zaire à le tromper par une feinte tendresse. Non seulement il ne cede qu'alors à son courroux, mais même auparavant il est un instant, dans lequel on s'imagine qu'un seul mot de la bouche de Zaire va calmer l'orage qui gronde sur sa tête, et à cette occasion il est à propos de remarquer qu'il se fait successivement deux métamorphoses dans le cœur d'Orosmane; que d'abord il passe de la fierté à l'attendrissement, et qu'ensuite ce dernier mouvement fait place au plus violent dépit.

Il est donc à présumer que le Sultan prend d'abord le ton de Souverain,

<sup>1</sup> Le Comédien, ed. cit. pp. 41 ff.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> A note adds the comment quoted above (p. 209): 'On peut citer après Phedre une Piece que l'amour lui-même semble avoir dictée.'

non d'un Souverain irrité, (il auroit à craindre d'effrayer Zaire, et de la détourner par là de l'aveu qu'il veut tirer d'elle) mais d'un Monarque

déterminé à pardonner, pourvû qu'elle se reconnoisse coupable.

Quelque penchant qu'il ait à la clémence, il est sensible au tort prétendu de sa Maîtresse, et s'il a la force de ne pas lui montrer de ressentiment, du moins il affecte de lui parler avec froideur. Insensiblement, en la regardant, il sent son amour se rallumer, et bientôt entraîné par sa foiblesse il lui dit avec un tendre emportement,

Ta grace est dans mon cœur. Prononce. Elle t'attend

Ayant donné cette assurance à Zaire, il ne doute pas qu'elle n'use avec lui de la sincerité qu'il demande. Comme les premiers discours de cette jeune Beauté ne répondent pas d'une façon précise à la question qu'il lui a faite, il demeure incertain pendant quelque tems, s'il doit ceder à l'amour ou à la haine. Sa colere se ranime, lorsqu'il entend Zaire prononcer,

Je jure que Zaire, à soi-même rendue, Des Rois les plus puissans détesteroit la vue; Que tout autre après vous me seroit odieux.

Plus Zaire met de tendresse dans son expression, plus il la soupçonne de fausseté, et plus elle lui paroît indigne de pardon. Ainsi il s'irrite plus, à mesure qu'elle se passionne davantage, et cette protestation,

Si mon cœur fut coupable, Ingrat, c'étoit pour vous.

Cette protestation, dis-je, qui devroit désarmer un Amant moins prévenu, acheve de porter au plus haut point l'indignation d'Orosmane. Le mépris chez lui se joint à l'indignation. Il dédaigne de faire éclatter le transport qui l'agite.

Un reste d'amour vient combattre encore dans le cœur du Sultan. Il est tenté de faire un nouvel effort, pour obliger Zaire de renoncer à sa dissimulation. Il lui adresse de nouveau la parole, et il prononce le nom de cette infortunée avec un courroux mêlé de trouble et de tendresse. Mais enfin son ressentiment l'emporte. Les preuves, qu'il croit avoir de la trahison de sa Maîtresse, se présentant à lui dans toute leur horreur, il ne voit plus en elle qu'une Parjure, qui mérite le plus cruel supplice.

L'art de passer adroitement d'un mouvement à l'autre est difficile. Il l'est sur-tout, lorsque ces mouvemens se détruisent l'un l'autre avec une extrême rapidité, ainsi que dans ces endroits de la même Tragédie de

Zaïre,

O nuit, nuit effroyable! Peux-tu prêter ton voile à de pareils forfaits?...¹

Again, Lessing's description of Madame Hensel's declamation of a passage in Act III, sc. iii of Olint und Sophronia (IV, 116) is clearly

<sup>1</sup> Le Comédien, ed. cit. pp. 208 ff.

suggested, as I have already pointed out, by Sainte Albine's discussion of how Act II, sc. v of *Phèdre* should be interpreted by the actors.<sup>1</sup>

That Lessing should have been influenced by what Diderot has to say about the actor's art in the Entretiens accompanying his plays—the famous Paradoxe sur le Comédien was not printed until 1830—was only natural. And Diderot, as we have seen, was indebted to Sainte Albine in this matter. But there is perhaps less direct influence of Diderot on the Dramaturgie than might have been expected. It is chiefly to be traced in the observations on gesture and pantomime, to which Diderot attached great importance; this indeed was a subject in which Lessing had long been interested. The use of gesture ('gestus')<sup>2</sup> by the actor is discussed at the close of St. III and in St. IV.

In the sketch of *Der Schauspieler* Lessing had already noted for discussion: 'Die Lehre von der Bewegung der Hande hiess bey den Alten die Chironomie. Deutsch vielleicht die Hande-Sprache.' This heading was, no doubt, suggested by Du Bos' *Dissertation sur les représentations théâtrales des anciens*, translated for the *Theatralische Bibliothek*, where the sixteenth section deals with 'Des Pantomimes, ou des Acteurs qui jouoient sans parler'. In section xiii, Du Bos had said concerning 'la Saltation, ou...l'Art du Geste':

Suivant Athénée, Thélestes avoit été l'inventeur de cette espéce de jeu muet, ou de danse sans saults et sans pas élevés, et laquelle nous appellerons ici le plus souvent l'art du geste. Nous ne ferons en cela que lui donner le même nom que lui donnoient souvent les Anciens. Ils l'appelloient souvent *Chironomie*, et ce mot traduit littéralement, signifie la regle de la main.<sup>3</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Le Comédien, ed. cit. pp. 198 ff. (part II, chap. x). See above, p. 34, note 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Batteux defines the word 'gestus' as follows (Principes de la littérature, ed. cit. 1, p. 319): 'Nous entendons par geste, les mouvemens extérieurs et les attitudes du corps' Gestus, dit Ciceron, est conformatio quedam et figura totius oris et corporis.' At the end of his criticism of Ekhof as Dorimond in Ceme, Lessing quotes Tot lingue, quot membra viro!—a quotation which he found in Du Bos' Réflexions critiques sur la Poesie et sur la Peinture (xvi, Des Pantomimes, 6th ed. Paris, 1755, in, p. 265; Lessing's translation, Theatralische Bibliothek, in, p. 262). 'Le Commentateur de Sidonius rapporte même à ce sujet l'Epigramme ancienne qu'on va lire, et dont on ne connoît point l'Auteur: Tot lingue.... Tous les membres du corps d'un Pantomime sont autant de langues, à l'aide desquelles il parle sans ouvrir la bouche.' (Also cited by Petersen, ed. cit. p. 203)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Réflexions, iii, xiii (ed. cit. iii, pp. 210 f.); cp. Theatralische Bibliothek, iii, p. 209.

### And further:

Nous avons dit ci-dessus que l'art du geste étoit composé de gestes naturels et de gestes d'institution. On peut bien croire que les Pantomimes se servoient des uns et des autres, et qu'ils n'avoient pas encore trop de moyens pour se faire entendre. Aussi, comme le dit Saint Augustin, tous les mouvemens d'un Pantomime significient quelque chose. Tous ses gestes étoient des phrases, pour ainsi dire, mais seulement pour ceux qui en avoient la cles.

Lessing realised (IV, 14) that the art of the pantomime and that of the actor must be measured by different standards; a greater restraint was imposed upon the latter—or as Du Bos had put it: 'Comme les Pantomimes étoient dispensés de rien prononcer: et comme ils n'avoient que des gestes à faire, on conçoit aisément que toutes leurs démonstrations étoient plus vives, et que leur action étoit beaucoup plus animée que celle des Comédiens ordinaires.'8 But Lessing will not countenance the actor who uses conventional and artificial gestures. It is true, he says (IV, 35), that Hogarth recommended the actor to move his arms in beautiful waving lines, but with every possible variety, and not as a constant mannerism. Hogarth's Analysis of Beauty (published in 1753) had been translated into German by Lessing's friend Mylius under the title of Zergliederung der Schonheit, die schwankenden Begriffe von dem Geschmack festzusetzen (London [and Hanover], 1754); and for the second edition (Berlin, 1754) Lessing had provided a preface The following is the passage from the original which comes into question here:

From what has been said of habitually moving in waving lines, it may possibly be found that if stage-action, particularly the graceful, was to be studied lineally, it might be more speedily and accurately acquired by the help of the foregoing principles than the methods hitherto taken. It is known that common deportment, such as may pass for elegant and proper off the stage, would no more be thought sufficient upon it than the dialogue of common polite conversation, would be accurate or spirited enough for

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Petersen notes (ed. cst. p. 183) that Lessing had intended to discuss in the second part of Laokoon the difference between 'naturliche' and 'willkuhrliche' signs (the terms used by Lessing in his translation of this passage. See Theatralische Bibliothek, iii, p 259). And in his Collectanea we find a beginning of a collection of 'die verabredeten Gebehrden und Zeichen...durch welche bey den Alten die Kunst der Pantomime sehr erleichtert wurde' (Schriften, xv, p. 336).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Réflexions, iii, xvi, ed. cst. iii, p. 262; Lessing's translation, Theatralische Bibliothek, iii, p. 259. A note in the Réflexions (ibid.) adds the reference S. Aug. de Doctr. Chr. l. 2.

<sup>8</sup> Réflexions, ed. cst. iii, pp. 276 f.

the language of a play. So that trusting to chance only will not do The actions of every scene ought to be as much as possible a compleat composition of well varied movements, considered as such abstractly, and apart from what may be merely relative to the sense of the words. Action consider'd with regard to assisting the authors meaning, by enforcing the sentiments or raising the passions, must be left entirely to the judgment of the performer, we only pretend to shew how the limbs may be made to have an equal readiness to move in all such directions as may be required.

Lowen, in his Kurzgefasste Grundsatze von der Beredtsamkeit des Leibes (Hamburg, 1755), had recommended the actor to study Hogarth's work. Concerning the use of the arms and hands he says, 'diess nannte er eine Schlangenlinie oder eine wellenformige Bewegung'. Lessing, however, will have no artificial 'Portebras' of meaningless gesture (IV, 44).

Lessing resists the temptation (56) of entering further into the distinction of 'bedeutend', 'mahlerisch' and 'pantomimisch' in gesture. In the *Collectanea* he speaks of these—'Mahlende und bedeutende Gebehrden und Gesten, die allgemein oder doch in gewissen Gegenden allgemein verstandlich sind'—as a matter for further consideration.<sup>4</sup>

Hogarth had referred to 'what Shakespear calls, continually sawing the air', 5 and to Hamlet Lessing now turns in his further discussion of the performance of Olint und Sophronia (v, 47 ff.). He quotes Hamlet's advice to the players (but not, be it noted, in Wieland's translation):

Speak the speech, I pray you, as I pronounced it to you, trippingly on the tongue: but if you mouth it, as many of your players do, I had as lief the town-crier spoke my lines. Nor do not saw the air too much with your hand, thus, but use all gently: for in the very torrent, tempest, and, as I may say, the whirlwind of passion, you must acquire and beget a temperance that may give it smoothness.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Analysis of Beauty, London, 1753, p. 151 (Mylius's translation, London, 1754, pp. 127 f.).

The same terms are used in an interesting passage (quoted by Petersen, ed. at. p. 183) from J. C. Brandes's Lebensgeschichte (2nd ed. Berlin, 1802, i, p. 169), in which Brandes relates how the ballet-master of Schonemann's company instructed him in deportment—among other things in the 'Schlangenlinie' or 'wellenformige Bewegung'.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> I have failed to find this word in any French source. May it be a contraction of 'porte de bras'? François Riccobom, discussing the word 'grace', says that the actor in scenes of great emotion may forget all rules of gesture and 'porter ses bras jusqu'au-dessus de sa tête' (L'Art du Théâtre, ed cit. pp 13 f.).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Schriften, xv, p. 154. <sup>5</sup> Analysis of Beauty, ed. cit. p. 152.

The art of the actor, Lessing says in St. v (95), stands midway between the graphic arts and poetry. It is transitory (Ank. 93); a 'transitory painting' (v, 97). As visible painting, beauty must be its highest law; as transitory painting, it need not always give its positions that calm which is so impressive in ancient works of art. These thoughts form one of the links between Laokoon and the Dramaturgue; in the 'Vorrede' of the earlier treatise he had quoted Simonides's statement that painting was a 'stumme Poesie'—a phrase which he uses here of acting.1 Lessing has high praise for Ekhof's delivery of 'moral apophthegms and general sentiments, these tedious evasions of an embarrassed poet' (II, 95).2 What is the secret of his success in delivering even the commonest of 'moral utterances'? Such sentiments must come from the heart (III, 5); they must, moreover, be well memorised, so that the actor may deliver them with complete fluency and mastery, and they may appear inspired by the immediate situation.

This matter had been under discussion ten years earlier between Lessing and Mendelssohn. On August 11, 1757, the latter expressed the opinion, in discussing the performance of Miss Sara Sampson, that philosophical passages were not suitable for declamation:

Wenn die Philosophie sich in ihrer ganzen Starke zeigt, so will sie mit einer gewissen Monotonie ausgesprochen werden, die sich auf dem Theater nicht gut ausnehmen kann.<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Sainte Albine more than once compares the actor with the painter: 'Le Peintre ne peut que représenter les évenemens. Le Comédien en quelque sorte les reproduit' (Le Comédien, ed cit. Introduction, pp. 14 f.); and 'Le Comédien est Peintre ainsi que le Poete' (ibid. part I, chap. i, ed. cit. p. 24). I have not succeeded in tracing the source of the allusion to 'das Wilde eines Tempesta, das Freche eines Bernini' (v, 100). Tempesta is Antonio Tempesta of Florence (1555–1630), famous as a painter of battle pieces and as the illustrator of Tasso; Lorenzo Bernini (1598–1680) was most widely known as an architect. Lessing mentions him in his Collectanea (Schriften, xv, pp. 154 f.). An allusion to the independence and self-confidence of Bernini occurs in a review of Mémoires de Charles Perrault, Avignon [Paris?], 1759, in the Bibliothek der schonen Wissenschaften, vii (1), 1761, pp. 127 f.

<sup>2</sup> Cp. D'Aubignac, Pratique du Théâtre, iv, chap. v (ed. cit. i, pp. 289 f.): 'Il faut poser pour assûré, Que tous ces Discours Instructifs, sont ordinairement defectueux sur le Theatre, parce qu'ils sont de leur nature froids et languissans.' And again (p. 295): 'Premierement, ces Maximes generales, ou Lieux-communs, doivent être attachées au Sujet, et appliquées par plusieurs circonstances aux Personnages et aux affaires du Theatre; en sorte qu'il semble que celui qui parle, ait plus presens à l'esprit les interêts du Theatre, que ces belles veritez....'

<sup>3</sup> Gesammelte Schriften, ed. cit. v, p. 121; cp. Schriften, xix, p. 104.

To this Lessing replied on September 14:

Der Grundsatz ist richtig: der dramatische Dichter muss dem Schauspieler Gelegenheit geben, seine Kunst zu zeigen. Allein das philosophische Erhabne ist, meines Erachtens, am wenigsten dazu geschickt, denn eben so wenig Aufwand, als der Dichter, es auszudrucken, an Worten gemacht hat, muss der Schauspieler, es vorzustellen, an Geberden und Tonen machen . . . Ich berufe mich, statt des besten Beweises, auf den Unterschied, der unter den Gebehrden des Schauspielers ist. Einen Theil der Gebehrden hat der Schauspieler jederzeit in seiner Gewalt; er kann sie machen, wenn er will, es sind dieses die Veranderungen derjenigen Glieder, zu deren verschiednen Modifikationen der blosse Wille hinreichend ist Allein zu einem grossen Theil anderer, und zwar gleich zu denjenigen, aus welchen man den wahren Schauspieler am sichersten erkennt, wird mehr als sein Wille erfordert, eine gewisse Verfassung des Geistes nehmlich, auf welche diese oder jene Veranderung des Korpers von selbst, ohne sein Zuthun, erfolgt. Wer ihm also diese Verfassung am meisten erleichtert, der befordert ihm sein Spiel am meisten.1

In so far then as moral sentiments are general deductions, Lessing now concludes (III, 85), they must be spoken with calmness and a certain coldness; yet in so far as they are not abstract 'symbolical conclusions', but expressive of generalised feeling, a certain fire and enthusiasm are called for. The actor must vary his delivery of such sentiments as the one or the other of these considerations predominates. If the situation is calm he must put warmth and enthusiasm into his tone; if the situation is violent, the moral sentiment must have a calming effect. Ekhof—and Lessing is writing under the immediate effect of his acting in the small rôle of Evander in Olint und Sophronia—shows up, by his just observance of these principles, the defects of most actors who reverse the process and spoil everything by excessive gesture.

Madame Hensel's impressive acting in the death of Miss Sara Sampson<sup>2</sup> suggests some theoretical considerations on the representation of death in the theatre which may find a place here. Following J. Adolf Schlegel in his translation of Batteux,<sup>3</sup> and J. Elias

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Schriften, xvii, p. 121. This is also quoted by Petersen (ed. cit. p. 182), and by S. Rindskopf, Der sprachliche Ausdruck der Affekte in Lessings dramatischen Werken (Diss. Wurzburg, Dresden, 1901, p. 7). This dissertation deals with Lessing's mode of indicating emotion in his dramas.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See above, pp. 34 f.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Einschrankung der schonen Kunste auf einen einzigen Grundsatz, note to part 11, chap. v (3rd ed. Leipzig, 1770, i, pp. 110 ff.).

Schlegel, Mendelssohn had already demanded restraint in presentation:

Die ausserliche Handlung eines Sterbenden z. B. muss nur der Vorstellung, die wir vom Sterben haben, nicht widersprechen. Durch ein gelindes Hauptneigen, durch eine matte unterbrochene Stimme, kann sie der Einbildungskraft zu Hulfe kommen, die itzt in der grossten Bereitwilligkeit ist, sich betrugen zu lassen. Das Hauptwerk aber, den grossten Antheil an dem Betruge, muss sie der Poesie überlassen, die in dem Trauerspiele die herrschende Kunst ist. So bald der Sterbende rochelt, schaumt, die Augen verdrehet, und die Glieder verzuckt; so verdunkeln diese gewaltsame sinnliche Handlungen durch ihre Gegenwart alle Tauschungen der Dichtkunst.<sup>2</sup>

No doubt it was with a view to his description of Madame Hensel as Sara that Lessing wrote to his brother Karl, asking him to send him a medical treatise from his library: Von dem Zupfen der Sterbenden. 'Ich weiss nicht, wie der Verfasser heisst, auch kann ich mich auf den lateinischen Tittel nicht besinnen: Du wirst sie aber bald erkennen, und sie muss zuverlassig da seyn. Schicke mir sie gleich.'3

Lessing's comment on the delivery of Madame Lowen is that it shows a correct, but not too noticeable accentuation (vIII, 52). If it tends to monotony, she makes up for this by a 'delicacy' which he misses in most actors. He explains this by comparing it to that which in music is called 'Mouvement'4—that is, not the bar itself, but the degree of quickness or slowness with which it is played. Here Lessing appears to have had in mind a passage in Diderot's second Entretien sur le Fils naturel:

Dans les cantabile, le musicien laisse à un grand chanteur un libre exercice de son goût et de son talent; il se contente de lui marquer les intervalles

<sup>1</sup> Von der Unahnlichkeit in der Nachahmung, Werke, ed. cit. iii, pp. 174 f.

<sup>2</sup> Litteraturbriefe, No. lxxxiv, February 14, 1760, ed. cit v, pp. 109 f.; Gesammelte

Schriften, ed. cit. iv, 2, pp. 15 f.

<sup>3</sup> Schriften, xvii, p. 232. In the Beytrage zur critischen Historie etc., St. xv (1736), pp. 390 ff., there is an Abhandlung von denen auf der Schaubuhne sterbenden Personen; in sofern man sie nemlich vor den Augen der Zuschauer solle sterben oder ihren Tod erzahlen lassen. But it is not relevant to the present passage, though the representation of death by poison is somewhat superficially discussed (pp. 402 ff.).

4 'Les tons et les gestes,' Batteux had said (Principes de la littérature, ed. cit. i, p. 347), 'ne sont pas aussi libres dans les Arts, qu'ils le sont dans la Nature.... Tout est calculé, 1º par la Mesure, qui régle la durée de chaque ton et de chaque geste; 2º par le Mouvement, qui hâte ou qui retarde cette même durée, sans augmenter in diminuer le nombre des tons, ni celui des gestes, ni en changer la qualité....'

Sainte Albine also uses the word 'mouvement' in connexion with acting.

principaux d'un beau chant. Le poete en deviait faire autant, quand il connaît bien son acteur. Qu'est-ce qui nous affecte dans le spectacle de l'homme animé de quelque grande passion? Sont-ce ses discours? Quelquefois Mais ce qui émeut toujours, ce sont des cris, des mots inarticulés, des voix rompues, quelques monosyllabes qui s'échappent par intervalles ... C'est l'acteur qui donne au discours tout ce qu'il a d'énergie C'est lui qui porte aux oreilles la force et la vérité de l'accent.<sup>1</sup>

But Lessing insists that the actors must show a certain 'decency' in representing violent scenes (ix, 65): 'Die Pantomime muss nie bis zu dem Eckelhaften getrieben werden.' Here again, not merely a passage in *Laokoon* might be quoted—'Hierzu fuge man, dass der Schauspieler die Vorstellung des korperlichen Schmerzes schwerlich oder gar nicht bis zur Illusion treiben kann'2—but also one by Mendelssohn in the *Litteraturbriefe*, which Lessing makes use of elsewhere (v, 74; xxvii, 30). Here Mendelssohn wrote:

...die Pantomime muss sich auf der tragischen Schaubuhne, sowohl als die Musik in den Schranken einer Hulfskunst halten, und sich huten zum Nachtheil der Hauptkunst, der dramatischen Poesie, ihre Zauberkunste zu verschwenden....Solche ausserliche Handlungen nehmlich, die durch das Schreckliche, das Wunderbare, das Ungeheuere, oder das Niedrige, das ihnen, nicht als Zeichen der Gedanken, sondern blos als Pantomime anhangt, die Aufmerksamkeit der Zuschauer von der poetischen Illusion ablocken konnen, die mussen von der Buhne entfernt...werden.<sup>3</sup>

Finally, the careful actor must preserve the 'Costume' of his rôle (1, 59; cp. also XLII, 58, 61). This technical term is defined by Du Bos as follows:

La vraisemblance poetique consiste...à observer dans son tableau ce que les Italiens appellent il Costumé, c'est-à-dire, à s'y conformer à ce que nous sçavons des mœurs, des habits, des bâtimens et des armes particulieres des peuples qu'on veut représenter.<sup>4</sup>

Lessing accepted Lowen's view of the 'Principal' or actor-manager who had degraded a free art to a handicraft (Ank. 30). But he was fully aware of the practical exigences of conducting a theatre; he admitted that there must be plays of a mediocre character that provided opportunities for the actors (78). He realised that if out of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Eurres complètes, ed cit vii, pp. 105 f.; Lessing's translation, ed. cit i, pp. 207 f.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Section iv; Schriften, 1x, p. 24.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Litteraturbriefe, No. lxxxiv, February 14, 1760, ed. cit. v, pp. 109 f.; Gesammelte Schriften, ed. cit. iv, 2, pp. 15 f. See also above, p. 484.

<sup>4</sup> Réflexions critiques sur la Poesie et sur la Peinture, i, xxx, ed cit. i, p. 245.

four or five persons some were excellent, one must be content; the theatre where even the candle-snuffer is a Garrick<sup>1</sup>  $(\pi, 89)$  belongs only to the realms of the imagination.

What Lessing has to say about the employment of music in the theatre is not of much consequence. The matter is discussed mainly in St. XXVI—XXVII, with particular reference to the music which Johann Friedrich Agricola<sup>2</sup> had written for the performance of Semiramis.

The modern theatre orchestra, Lessing says (xxvi, 18) has taken the place of the ancient chorus. It is hardly necessary to seek a source for so obvious an opinion; but the following from Parfaict's Histoire du Théâtre françois (ed. cit. iii, p. 455—this is a page from which Lessing cites in St. Lv³) may be quoted. The author, in discussing the use of the chorus in old French drama, observes:

L'embarras, et la dépense de ces Chœurs les firent disparoître du Théatre. A la place du chant, on y substitua des joueurs d'instrumens, qui furent d'abord placés sur les ailes du Théatre, où ils exécutoient différens airs, avant le commencement de la piéce, et de chaque Acte. Ces Symphonistes, dans la suite, changerent de place, on les mit au fond des troisiémes loges, ensuite aux secondes, et enfin à l'Hôtel, où les Comédiens représentent actuellement, on jugea qu'ils seroient mieux entre le Théatre et le Parterre; et l'Acteur des Chœurs qui déclamoit, fut remplacé par les confidens ou confidentes.

Lessing then proceeds to quote *Der critische Musikus*, a journal published by Johann Adolf Scheibe (1708–76), who had been settled in Copenhagen since 1744. Originally published in 1737, *Der critische Musikus* appeared in a 'neue vermehrte und verbesserte Auflage' at Leipzig in 1745. The following quotation from St. 67 (December 8, 1739, ii (Hamburg, 1740), pp. 319 ff.) will illustrate Lessing's introductory remarks (xxvi, 22):

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The esteem which Garrick enjoyed on the continent in the eighteenth century was very high; in fact, almost mythical. In his *Laokoon* (section iv) Lessing says (*Schriften*, ix, p. 33): 'Wenn ich fande, dass es unsere Schauspieler nicht konnten, so musste ich erst wissen, ob es auch ein Garrik nicht vermogend ware.'

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> J. F. Agricola, born in 1720, studied music under Sebastian Bach, and became Court composer to the theatre in Potsdam; from 1759 he was musical director to Frederick the Great, his death took place in 1774. He was a personal friend of Lessing. Johann Wilhelm Hertel (mentioned xxvi, 153) was born at Eisenach in 1727, and from 1757 on was Court composer in Mecklenburg. He died in 1789.

<sup>3</sup> See above, p. 394.

Die zwote Gattung theatralischer Sinfonien gehoret eigentlich zu den Tragodien und Comodien Es darf sich inzwischen niemand wundern, dass ich diese Sinfonien besonders zu untersuchen, für gut befinde Vielleicht ist noch niemand sonderlich darauf gefallen, dass es eine nothwendige Sache ist, zu den Comoedien und Tragoedien besondere Sinfonien zu verfertigen Vielleicht kann ich mir nicht unbillig schmeicheln, dass ich der Erste bin, der dieser Sache ordentlich nachgedacht, und sie bereits durch offentliche Proben zur Ausubung gebracht hat... Nachdem ich aber ganz leicht einsehen konnte, dass nach der Verschiedenheit der Schauspiele, auch die Music dazu verschieden sevn muste, und dass also ein jedwedes Schauspiel seine besondere und eigene musicalische Begleitung zwischen den Aufzugen oder Handlungen erfoderte. .. Diese meine Meynung überlegte ich hernach mit einigen guten Freunden, und sonderlich sprach ich mit einigen geschickten Leuten der Neuberischen Gesellschaft, und auch selbst mit der Frau Neuberin davon, die denn sammtlich meinem Vorschlage beyfielen, und auch um eine Probe davon ersuchten. Ich entwarf also anfangs die Sinfonien zu dem vortreflichen Trauerspiele Polyeuctes, und kurz darauf auch die Sinfonien zum Trauerspiele Mithridates, die denn auch beyde im Jahre 1738 zu erst all hier und hernach auch in Leipzig und in Kiel aufgeführet worden sind.

The lengthy quotation (xxvi, 34 ff.) from *Der critische Musikus* (ii, St. 67 (Hamburg, 1740), pp. 322 ff.) does not correspond altogether with the text, there being frequent small changes and some omissions.<sup>1</sup>

In St. LXXX (113) Lessing has something to say on the part played by 'Verzierungen' in the theatre—a matter suggested by Aristotle's remark, in the fourteenth chapter of his *Poetics*, on the arousing of fear and pity by spectacular means. And he points to the case of Shakespeare as showing how inessential are such decorations. He quotes here from Cibber's Life of Sir William Davenant (*Lives of the Poets of Great Britain and Ireland*, ed. cit. ii, pp. 78 f.) a passage which is concerned with the performance of *The Siege of Rhodes* at the Duke's Theatre in 1663. Before the words at the end of the extract quoted by

<sup>1</sup> Schutze (op. cst. pp. 233 f.) refers to the Hamburg practice as follows: 'Scheibe machte die für die Kunst wichtige Entdeckung. dass die vor und zwischen den Stucken zu spielende Musik mit dem Inhalt der Stucke übereinstimmen, dass jedes Stuck seine eigne, ihm angepasste musikalische Begleitung haben musse. Lessing giebt in seiner Hamb. Dramaturgie (im 26. Stucke) eine Stelle aus Scheibens kritischen Musikus, die sich darauf bezieht und fügt sein Kennerurtheil hinzu. Wie wenig ist dieser lehrreiche Wink das Orchester zu verbessern in der Folge auch in Hamburg benutzt! Wie wenig hat man Poesie und Musik in nahere Verbindung zu setzen gewusst oder gewollt! Wie oft unpassende Angloisen vor Trauerspielen, Adagios vor Lustspielen geigen lassen!'

Lessing—'The spirit and judgment of the actors supplied all deficiencies, and made as some would insinuate, plays more intelligible without scenes, than they afterwards were with them' (p. 80)—there occurs in Cibber's text (p. 79) the sentence: 'In Shakespear's time so undecorated were the theatres, that a blanket supplied the place of a curtain.'

#### CONCLUSION

About the beginning of the present century, when Germany was overhauling her school curricula, a considerable controversy was stirred up in respect of the suitability of the Hamburgische Dramaturgie for educational purposes, with the result that this work was deposed from the prominent position which it held last century in the German schools.

This is a conclusion which has generally been admitted to be reasonable. That the *Dramaturgie* is a work whose criticism is directed for the most part to long-forgotten plays, which no schoolboy could ever be expected to read, necessarily eliminates as desirable reading for schools a large part of its contents. And even its dramatic theory can only lay claim to historical interest. Lessing's interpretation of Aristotle has not stood the test of time—indeed, as we have seen, it is for the most part out of date and misleading. And his theory of tragedy, hindered by the narrow 'moral' demands of the age of enlightenment, has long faded in the light of the new aesthetic theories which have developed in Europe under Romantic stimulus in the last century and a half. Much—very much—of Lessing's treatise has gone by the board.

The value of the Dramaturgie may even have been further diminished in modern eyes by the foregoing investigations, which have deprived Lessing's criticism of individual plays and his dramatic theory, to a degree not hitherto suspected, of the credit of originality and initiative. Admitting, as we have always admitted, that the valuable kernel of the treatise lies in its exposition of the theory of tragedy, it is at once obvious that a periodical journal, criticising from day to day the work of a theatre dependent for the most part on mediocre plays, was the least suitable medium for the presentation of a system of dramatic aesthetics. Views expressed under journalistic pressure, on the spur of the moment and in respect of the chance occasion offered by the play under consideration, might indeed provide valuable material for a treatise on what we now call 'dramaturgy'—though Lessing hardly envisaged it as this; but they could not constitute such a treatise. The work suffers, as the Laokoon also had suffered, in that it

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Cp. F. Seiler, Der Gegenwartswert der Hamburgischen Dramaturgie, Berlin, 1901 (2nd ed. Berlin, 1912).

490 Conclusion

is a collection of disjointed materials; it is not the complete work that we should have liked to receive from the pen of so great a critic. Lessing's views here do not form a clearly thought-out system; indeed they are not always to be reconciled with one another. Finally, the disheartening conditions of the whole theatrical enterprise—doomed almost before ever it had begun—cast a shadow on the work which it is difficult to forget.

In the end, those who wish to maintain the lasting value of the Dramaturgie have been driven to the last ditch of style. In this respect. claims for 'present-day' value certainly can be made good, although I note that even here the argument has been used that it is not wise to offer Lessing to young German scholars, lest they be tempted to write as he wrote. His style is old-fashioned—but so, for that matter, is Goethe's—and cumbered, as eighteenth-century German was, with Latinisms; it indulges unnecessarily in foreign words; it is, perhaps, more French than German in its antitheses and witty persiflage. But if I may venture the opinion, I doubt whether these objections are valid—even for the school. Perhaps, indeed, just in these days when, under the influence of new literary theories, German critical writing is falling back into old failings—nebulosity, unclear thinking, the wrapping-up of thought in a deluge of words—there could be worse lessons for German style than those which Lessing has to teach. In any case the positive value of his style is not likely to escape the admiration of the foreign reader; we in England have always rejoiced in his clear-cut phrases: his precise concrete thinking: even his often misdirected persiflage.

What Lessing has to teach us may not be as original as we used to think it was; but his way of teaching has driven the lessons home as his predecessors were unable to do. Others before him had attacked the rule-bound artificiality of the tragédie classique, but none was able to dethrone it so effectively as he, with his brilliant reduction of the plot of Rodogune to absurdity; the rules had been discredited, even in France, long before Lessing—but who has put the whole problem they involve more brilliantly and cogently than he?

Again, when it comes to concrete criticisms of individual writers, he may be manifestly unfair to his great opponent Voltaire; but who can overlook the wit of the rapier thrusts with which he demolishes him? And from no work of the eighteenth century does a more vivid portrait of the greatest of Lessing's contemporaries emerge than from the *Hamburgische Dramaturgie*. Lastly, as we have seen, Lessing's

Conclusion 491

championship of the supreme master of the drama is much less than has often been supposed; there were other critics of the eighteenth century before him—even men such as Gerstenberg—who had a more whole-hearted appreciation and understanding for the greatness of Shakespeare's art and mind than he, and whose eyes were less blinkered by eighteenth-century theories and eighteenth-century morality; but, none the less, it was Lessing who by phrases and winged words achieved more for the growing appreciation of Shakespeare in Germany than any other before the outbreak of the Sturm und Drang. The deep value of Lessing's mind as expressed in his style is certainly not to be gainsaid.

But still, in the end, we may agree that the amount of the Hamburgische Dramaturgie utilisable for pedagogic purposes might be reduced to comparatively few pages. These considerations, however, are not such as concern us here. Did we attach weight to them, they would place an embargo on the study of all treatises of the past on taste or aesthetics, from Aristotle onwards. As a historical document, a compendium of aesthetic theory, the Hamburgische Dramaturgie is of the first importance. For the understanding of the drama of the eighteenth century—and that not only in Germany—its study is obligatory. For it is, without question, the greatest treatise on the theory of drama which that century produced. Its value in this respect is not diminished by a weakness which has emerged more fully in the course of this study—its lack of originality. Rather indeed is its historical value increased: for we are able to see how a first-class critical mind viewed the doctrines which dominated the eighteenthcentury theatre and the theoretical conceptions of the drama. It sums up, draws together the threads, as it were, of all that the eighteenth century thought on this subject; and pronounces judgments—often, it may be, shortsighted, but all logically justifiable which do not affect German drama alone. It is not merely, in all essentials, the greatest dramaturgic text-book of its century: it represents in general the most advanced thinking which Europe had attained at the close of the third quarter of that century. We may not be able to learn from it much knowledge which we can apply to the drama of to-day—though often, amidst the vagaries of the so-called expressionist drama of the last generation, I have felt what a godsend would have been the cold clear light of Lessing's translucent mind. But even so, we can and do learn from it the best that the eighteenth century thought about the drama of its own age.



## BIBLIOGRAPHICAL NOTE

The following editions of Lessing's works and commentaries on the *Hamburgische Dramaturgie* have been chiefly used:

- G. E. Lessing, Samtliche Schriften, ed. K. Lachmann, 3rd ed. revised by F. Muncker, Stuttgart, 1886–1924 (cited throughout as Schriften).
- Lessings sammtliche Schriften, Berlin, 1771–94.
- Lessings Werke, Berlin [1868-79] (referred to as "Hempel edition").
- Lessings Werke (Goldene Klassiker-Bibliothek), ed. J Petersen and W. v. Olshausen, Berlin [1925-9], Anmerkungen zu Teil i bis 7, containing commentary on Hamburgische Dramaturgie (cited as Petersen, ed. cit.).
- Lessings Hamburgische Dramaturgie, ed. J. Petersen, Berlin [1915].
- Lessings Hamburgische Dramaturgie, ed. F. Schroter and R. Thiele, Halle, 1878 (cited as Schroter and Thiele, ed. cit.).
- W. Cosack, Materialien zur Hamburgischen Dramaturgie, 2nd ed., Paderborn, 1891.
- Beytrage zur Historie und Aufnahme des Theaters, Stuttgart, 1750 (cited as Beytrage).
- Theatralische Bibliothek, Berlin, 1754-8.
- Briefe, die neuste Litteratur betreffend, Berlin, 1759-65 (cited as Litteratur-briefe).
- Das Theater des Herrn Diderot, Berlin, 1760.
- Lessings Briefwechsel mit Mendelssohn und Nicolai über das Trauerspiel, ed. R. Petsch (Philosophische Bibliothek, 121), Leipzig, 1910.

# INDEX OF SPECIFIC REFERENCES TO SECTIONS OF THE HAMBURGISCHE DRAMATURGIE

- Ankindigung: 20 (note 2), 24, 25, 49, 50, 120, 130, 133, 160, 458, 459, 471, 474, 482, 485
- St. I: 54, 143, 144 (and note 1), 145, 146 (and notes 2 & 4), 147, 157, 331 (note 5), 343 (note 5), 365, 369 (note 4), 371, 431 (and note 1), 449 (and note 3), 450, 459, 460, 485
- St. II: 30, 54, 55, 126, 143, 145, 147, 148, 149 (and note 2), 174, 211, 308, 310 (note 1), 343 (note 5), 371, 413, 414, 421, 422, 449 (note 2), 482, 486
- **St. III:** 30, 54, 55, 474, 475, 479, 482, 483 **St. IV:** 30, 34, 54, 55, 478, 470, 480
- **St. IV:** 30, 34, 54, 55, 478, 479, 480,
- St. V: 32, 34, 54, 55, 58, 143, 195, 253, 431 (and note 1), 449 (note 2), 476, 481, 482 (and note 1), 485
- St. VII: 37 (note 1), 54, 261, 449 (note 2) St. VII: 54, 57, 144, 147 (note 1), 147 (note 4, on p. 148), 148, 253, 256,
- 260, 261, 449 (note 3), 455, 464

  St. VIII: 37 (and note 1), 58, 59, 156, 157 (and note 3), 158, 228, 279, 395, 469, 484
- St. IX: 30, 59, 60, 139, 156, 157, 197, 279, 330, 331, 382 (note 3), 431, 461, 467, 485
- St. X: 39, 60, 61, 159, 164, 180, 197, 202, 211 (note 4), 221, 308, 431 (and note 1), 450 (note 2)
- St. XI: 61, 221, 224, 251, 431 (note 1), 437, 446, 447, 449 (note 3), 455
- St. XII: 31 (note 6), 33, 37, 61, 62, 63, 197, 221, 224, 225, 226, 227 (and note 3), 257, 258, 259, 260, 279, 280, 343 (note 5), 370, 429 (note 2), 449 (note 2)
- St. XIII: 35, 37, 61, 64, 65, 66, 134, 136 (and note 3), 139, 180, 201, 230, 461, 462 (and note 3), 463 (and note 3)

- St. XIV: 31, 63, 66, 67, 68, 69, 139, 140 (and note 2), 141, 142, 155, 180 (note 4), 181, 191, 195, 228, 234, 355 (note 11, on p. 356), 397, 398, 449 (note 2), 467
- St. XV: 69, 174 (note 3), 207, 208, 209, 210, 248, 254 (and note 3), 256, 257, 258, 259 (note 2), 288
- St. XVI: 30, 69, 208, 210, 211, 258, 259 (and note 3), 280, 477
- St. XVII: 30 (and note 1), 63, 70, 71, 72, 193, 194, 200, 228, 229, 257, 468
- St. XVIII: 4 (note 2), 7 (note 1), 33, 73, 134, 160, 202, 203 (and note 2), 204, 239, 240, 315, 331 (note 6), 424 (and note 2), 425, 449 (notes 2 & 3)
- St. XIX: 33, 73, 240, 248 (note 1), 343 (note 4), 382 (note 3), 431 (and note 1), 437, 438, 439, 440, 444, 459, 462 (and note 3), 463, 469, 470
- **St. XX:** 30 (and note 2), 35, 37, 38, 64, 74, 75, 76, 151, 228, 229, 230
- St. XXI: 76, 77, 180 (note 4), 203 (note 1), 208 (note 2), 225, 226 (and note 1), 229, 307 (note 2), 318 (note 3), 331, 396, 467, 468
- St. XXII: 59, 69, 78 (and note 2), 79, 135, 156, 181, 182, 343 (note 4), 429 (note 1), 440, 468
- St. XXIII: 79, 166, 181, 183, 184, 185, 186, 187, 206, 207, 236, 440, 441
- St. XXIV: 79, 165 (note 3), 181, 184, 187, 188, 189, 207, 440, 441, 450 (note 2)
- **St. XXV:** 31, 35, 36, 37, 79, 168, 181, 188, 189, 190, 440
- St. XXVI: 7 (note 1), 56, 62, 80 (and note 1), 134 (and note 5), 165 (note 3), 180 (note 4), 192 (note 1), 203 (note 1), 211 (note 4), 449 (note 2), 486 (and note 2), 487 (and note 1)
- St. XXVII: 62, 485, 486

St. XXVIII: 77, 80, 81, 138 (note 4), 180, 192 (and note 2), 202 (and note 2), 389, 390, 391, 467 (note 1)
St. XXIX: 82, 159, 160 (note 1), 165,

166, 167, 168, 180 (note 4), 307 (note 6), 309 (note 2), 389, 467

St. XXX: 82, 168, 169 (and note 1), 449 (notes 2 & 3), 450 (and note 2), 452

St. XXXI: 82, 169, 170, 171 (and note 1), 207, 309 (note 2), 343 (note 4), 444

St. XXXII: 82, 170 (note 1), 171, 172 (and note 4), 291, 307 (note 6), 308, 353 (note 1), 412, 440, 444, 445, 449 (note 2), 450 (note 2)

**St. XXXIII**: 84, 235, 236, 442, 443, 449 (note 3), 456, 461

St. XXXIV: 84, 236 (and note 2), 237, 307 (note 3), 412 (and note 1), 415, 442, 449 (notes 2 & 3), 453, 454

St. XXXV: 84, 237, 238, 343 (note 5), 370, 431 (and note 1), 461

St. XXXVI: 69, 78, 84, 86, 118 (note 1), 132, 142, 180, 207, 211, 212 (and note 2), 213, 228 (note 1), 238, 280, 307 (note 2), 331, 332, 431 (and note 1), 432, 449 (note 2), 461

St. XXXVII: 86, 211, 214, 281, 307 (note 5), 310, 311, 343, 346 (note 2), 347 (note 4), 353 (note 1), 385, 386, 387

St. XXXVIII: 86, 211, 281, 309 (note 2), 310, 346, 349 (note 1), 353 (note 1), 386 (note 1), 387

St. XXXIX: 86, 150 (note 2), 151 (note 1), 206, 211, 214, 281, 285, 307 (notes 5 & 6), 310, 311, 312 (and note 3), 313 (and note 2), 314 (and note 2), 344

St. XL: 86, 211, 281, 285, 310, 314 St. XLI: 86, 207, 211, 215, 216, 279, 281, 284, 287, 289 (and note 2), 310,

331 (notes 5 & 6)

St. XLII: 86, 211, 216, 281, 282 (note 1), 283, 286, 289, 290, 292, 307 (note 2), 310, 429 (note 3), 431 (and note 1), 449 (notes 2 & 3), 453, 485

**St. XLIII:** 86, 211, 281, 282 (and note 1), 290, 291, 310, 449 (note 2)

St. XLIV: 86, 207, 211, 217, 218, 281, 291, 310, 344, 380, 381 (and note 2), 382, 449 (note 2)

**St. XLV:** 86, 211, 218, 281, 310, 344, 380, 382, 383, 384

St. XLVI: 86, 211, 218, 219, 281, 282 (note 1), 310, 344, 380, 383, 384, 385,

St. XLVII: 86, 211, 219, 281, 310, 317, 344 (note 1), 353 (note 1)

**St. XLVIII:** 86, 165 (note 3), 211, 220, 281, 309, 310, 315, 457, 465, 466

**St. XLIX:** 86, 211, 220, 262, 281, 309, 310, 344 (note 1), 353 (note 1), 465

**St. L:** 86, 130, 211, 220, 221, 281, 344 (note 1)

**St. LI:** 61, 63, 197, 198, 353 (note 1), 400

**St. LII:** 87, 136, 137, 138, 180

St. LIII: 75, 79, 88, 176, 177, 178, 179, 180, 231 (and note 4)

St. LIV: 37 (note 1), 77, 80, 181, 182, 262, 264, 267

**St. LV:** 165, 174, 181, 206, 267, 393, 394, 486

St. LVI: 175, 181, 185, 207, 268, 431 (and note 1)

St. LVII: 181, 190, 268, 442

St. LVIII: 181, 268, 274

St. LIX: 165 (note 3), 181, 254 (note 3), 274 (and note 1), 275, 276, 277, 278, 331 (note 6), 398, 399, 449 (note 2)

St. LX: 181, 301, 302

St. LXI: 181, 303

**St. LXII:** 181, 296, 297, 298, 303, 304

St. LXIII: 181, 299, 304

St. LXIV: 181, 304, 305

St. LXV: 181, 305 St. LXVI: 181, 305

St. LXVII: 181, 305, 306

St. LXVIII: 181, 294, 295, 296, 297, 306, 394

St. LXIX: 181, 248 (and note 2), 253 (note 4), 299, 307 (note 2), 318 (note 3), 331 (note 4), 395

- St. LXX: 88, 89, 154, 180 (and note 4), 181, 207, 318, 344 (note 1), 345 (note 1), 395 (and note 1), 429 (note 4), 451
- St. LXXI: 88, 154, 206, 318, 319, 320 (and notes 1 & 3), 321 (note 2)
- St. LXXII: 88, 154, 318, 320, 321
- St. LXXIII: 66, 88, 89, 90, 91, 141, 150 (note 2), 151, 152, 153, 154, 202, 224, 228, 249, 250, 307 (note 2), 318, 428
- St. LXXIV: 91, 112, 152, 153, 165 (note 3), 228, 253 (note 4), 344, 346, 353 (and notes 1 & 2), 357, 361, 363, 415
- St. LXXV: 91, 102 (notes 4 & 6), 152, 174, 344 (note 3), 345 (note 1), 349, 353 (note 2), 354, 357, 358 (and note 2), 359, 361, 364 (and note 1), 365
- St. LXXVI: 91, 152, 345 (note 3), 347 (note 4), 349 (note 1), 361 (and note 4), 362, 363, 365
- St. LXXVII: 91, 152, 345 (note 1), 349 (note 1), 351, 352, 363, 364, 371, 378, 379
- **St. LXXVIII:** 91, 152, 349 (note 1), 374, 376, 377, 378, 380
- **St. LXXIX**: 91, 152, 153, 345 (note 1), 450 (note 3)
- **St. LXXX:** 91, 133, 152, 353 (note 2), 422 (note 2), 487
- St. LXXXI: 91, 134, 152, 165 (note 3), 228 (and note 1), 242, 245, 249, 308, 309 (note 2)
- St. LXXXII: 91, 102 (note 6), 152, 165 (note 3), 174 (note 3), 415, 416, 417, 418, 472 (note 3)
- St. LXXXIII: 71, 91, 92, 102 (note 6), 138 (and note 4), 152, 195, 226, 344 (note 2), 415, 418, 419, 421

- **St. LXXXIV:** 93, 232, 433, 450 (note 2)
- St. LXXXV: 93, 233, 433
- St. LXXXVI: 93, 180, 234, 318, 410, 411 (and note 1), 412
- St. LXXXVII-LXXXVIII: 93, 234, 316, 318, 322, 323, 324, 325 (and notes 4 & 5), 326 (and note 1), 327 (and note 1), 400, 467
- St. LXXXIX: 93, 401, 438 (note 1),
- St. XC: 93, 307 (note 6), 315, 316, 317, 318, 345 (note 1), 349 (note 1), 402 (and note 2)
- St. XCI: 93, 307 (notes 2 & 4), 315, 316, 402
- St. XCII: 180 (note 4), 254, 331 (note 7), 402, 403, 405 (note 3)
- St. XCIII: 249, 254 (and note 3), 255, 331 (note 7), 402 (and note 4), 404
- St. XCIV: 254, 307 (note 4), 309 (and note 2), 331 (note 7), 402, 405, 409 (note 1)
- St. XCV: 130, 254, 309 (note 2), 331 (note 7), 402, 405, 406 (note 1), 407, 408 (note 1), 409 (and note 2), 410
- St. XCVI: 88, 154, 162, 163 (and note 2), 307 (note 5), 317, 327, 391, 392, 458
- St. XCVII: 88, 154, 309, 318, 320 (note 1), 327, 429 (note 1), 431 (note 1)
- St. XCVIII: 88, 154, 155, 318, 328 (and note 1)
- St. XCIX: 88, 154, 155, 318, 328, 329 (and note 1)
- St. C: 88, 318, 330
- St. CI-CIV: 117, 118, 119 (and note 1), 120 (and note 1), 121 (and note 1), 123, 124, 130, 131, 165 (note 3), 242, 280, 344 (note 2), 347, 352, 457 (note 2)

# GENERAL INDEX

Ackermann, Charlotte	Aeschylus
16, 33, 38, 39, 64, 80	286, 309, 356, 383
Ackermann, Dorothea	AGRICOLA, J. F. A.
16, 33, 38-9, 64, 80, 85, 281	486 (and note 2); symphony to
Ackermann, Charlotte of Dorothea	Semiramis 62, 486
(appearing on playbills as 'Mlle	Alexandrine Verse
Ackermann')	Ekhof's declamation of 28, 30
55, 58, 64, 65, 66, 68, 69, 71, 76, 77,	Lessing's view of 241, 244
78, 81, 82, 91, 93, 140 (note 1)	
Ackermann, Konrad Ernst	Die stumme Schonheit in 65, Olint und
9, 11, 13 (and note 1), 14, 16, 17, 18,	Sophronia in 143; Pfeffel's Der
	Schatz in 69; Zelmire in 241
19, 20, 26 (and note 3), 27, 29, 32,	use of, in Germany 5, 6, 7, 9, 14, 30,
33, 34, 36, 38, 39, 40, 43 (note 1),	47-8; in translation of Démocrite
56, 58, 93, 116	amoureux 72, of L'Aveugle clair-
as actor 13-4, 14 (note 1), 16, 33, 76,	voyant 92, of Le Comte d'Essex 79,
79, 92	of Le Distrait 82, of Le Philosophe
repertory of 14 (and note 2), 16, 48,	marié 62, of Mérope 86, of Rodogune
49, 50, 60, 63, 64, 66, 69, 73–4, 75,	82, of Sémiramis 61, of Zayre 70
76, 79, 83, 85, 86, 88, 90, 92, 234,	Allacci, Leone
260 (and note 3), 280, 281	La Drammaturgia 120-1, 122, 279,
rôles of, in Lessing's time 33, 63, 64,	285 (note 2)
66, 69, 71, 73, 85	first modern use of word in 120
and Lowen 17-8, 19, 33, 116, 118,	ALLGEMEINE DEUTSCHE BIBLIOTHEK
159-60	57, 82, 248 (note 1)
Ackermann, Madame	Almanach Historique et Chrono-
16, 27–8	logique de tous les Théâtres
ACTING, ART OF	DE PARIS
31-2, 110, 115, 253, 320-1, 335, 431,	121
471-85	Appianus Alexandrinus
(See also under DIDEROT, HILL,	166, 167
Lessing, F. Riccoboni, Sainte	ARISTOPHANES
ALBINE)	315-6, 426
Actor, Profession of	edition of, used by Lessing 316 (and
1, 2-3, 6, 22-3, 24, 32	note 2)
Actor-Managers (See under 'Prin-	ARISTOTLE
CIPALS')	115, 120, 153, 171, 213, 214, 245,
Actors, English	246, 295, 307, 311, 315, 344, 357
in Germany 2, 3	(note 2), 380, 381 (and notes
Actors, French	1 & 4), 389, 407, 409 (and note
ın Germany 5	1), 410, 421, 422, 428, 489,
Addison, Joseph	491
71, 97 (and note 1), 256, 257	authority of, in European criticism
Lessing's opinion of 257	345-6, 347 (and notes 1 & 2)
Cato 6, 256 (and note 2), 340	definition of comedy 391; Corneille
The Drummer 200	on 391

ARISTOTLE (cont.) definition of tragedy 153, 242, 246, 339, 351, 352, 353-4, 369, 378 Corneille on 339, 355, 356, 364, 365, 377, 378, Lessing on 153, 351, 352-4, 356-9, 360, 361-4, 366, 378-9, 380, 418; Mendelssohn and 355 (note 6), 356-7, 358 (and note 1), 359, 360, 361, 362, 363, 365 (note 2), 367, 369, 379; Nicolai on 339, 367, 368 (and note 2), 372 (and note 2) differing interpretations of 339, 352, 361-2, 364, 365 translation of, by Curtius 351-2, 353, 354, 355 (note 6), by Dacier 351, 353, 354, 355 (and note 4) άλλά, differing translations of 351-2 κάθαρσις, differing interpretations of 339, 352, 372-80; Lessing's view of 371 (note 3), 372, 374, 377, 378-9, 379-80; method by which achieved 385, various views on 373-80; virtue consisting in avoidance of extremes of passion 376 (and note 1) 'pity and fear έλεος, differing interpretations of 352, 353, 358 (and note 1), 359, 360, 361, 362-3, 366, 379, 415 φόβος, differing translations of 343, 344, 35<sup>2</sup>, 353<sup>-6</sup>, 357, 415; Lessing's change of view on 153, 343, 344, 353, 354, 356-7, 358, 363 various interpretations of 'fear' 356, 357-9, 363, 364 various interpretations of φιλάν- $\theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma \nu$  345 (note 3), 361 (and note4), 362-3, 379 (and note4) laws of, better observed by Shakespeare than by French dramatists 245, 246 Lessing's view of, as law-giver 245, 246, 337, 346-7, 347 (note 2), 352, 363, 379 on Cresphontes 213, 310, 311-2, 313 (and note 2, on p. 314), 315; on Euripides 311, 312

views on characters of tragedy and comedy 401, 402, 409, 410; on tragedy and history 401, 438, 440, 444, Lessing on 343 (note 4), 401, 438 (and note 1), 440, 444, on tragic hero 153, 360, 361, 362, 415-6, 418, 420, 421, 423, Corneille on 415-6, 417-9, 420; on tragic plot 343, 361, 385, 386, 387 (and note 2), 388 (and note 1), 416-7, on use of historical names in tragedy 401. 402, 441, 442, in comedy 316, Dacier on 316, 317 Didaskalia 120 (and note 1) Nicomachean Ethics 421

references to by Lessing 344 (note 3), 345 (and note 1), 353, 376 (and note 1)

Poetics 115, 116, 310, 336, 337, 342, 343, 344, 345 (and note 1), 347, 351, 353, 354, 360, 361, 385, 401, 415, 416-7, 428, 440, 487

Curtius and 115, 336, 337 (and note 2), 339, 348, 351-2, 353, 354, 385-6, 386 (and note 1), 387, 401-2, 415, 420, 438 (note 3)

Dacier and 316, 336, 345-8, 351, 353, 354, 386 (and note 1), 387 (and note 4), 388, 401, 402 (and note 1), 409 (note 1), 419, 420, 423, 438

edition of, possibly used by Lessing 345 (and note 2)

Lessing's knowledge of 336-7, 344, 345, through Curtius's translation 115, 336, 385-7, 401 (note 1), through Dacier's 336 (and note 2), 344, 348, 387 (and note 4); few references to, in early works 115, 336 (and note 4), 343; first study of Poetics 336, 337 (and note 2); serious study of 336, 343, 344; study of Greek text 337, 343, 345 (note 1); references to, in Hamburgische Dramaturgie 152, 312, 337, 343 (and note 4), 344

(and notes 1 & 2), 351, 380;	Banks, John
translations of extracts from,	262-75, 276
among Lessing's papers 337	The Earl of Essex 190, 257, 262-75,
(note 2)	277, 393, 398
Lessing's project of commentary	adaptations from, by other play-
on 344 (and note 2), 349, 421	wrights 275, 276-8, composite
Politus, references to by Lessing 345 (and note 1)	production in Vienna 275 (note 4)
Rhetoric, 421; references to by Lessing	Lessing's comparison of, with
344 (note 3), 345 (and note 1),	sources 263, 267-8, 442
352, 353, 354, 358 (and note 2),	scene quoted from 268-74; trans-
359 (and note 2), by Dacier	lated by Lessing 268, 274, 275
347 (note 5)	(and note 3), 398
Ascensius, Jodocus Badius	source of, as given in Companion to
323 (and note 4)	the Theatre 264-7, version of, by
Ayrenhoff, C H. von	Lessing 264, 267
Hermann und Thusnelda 44	style of 274-5, 398, 470 (note 1)
Ayrer, Jakob	superiority of, to Le Comte d'Essex
2	262, 267, 268, 274
D D. E.	BARLANDUS, ADRIAN
BAKER, D. E.	323 (and note 4)
Companion to the Playhouse 253 (and	BARON (BOYRON), MIGHEL
note 3), 274-5 BALLETS (and PANTOMIMES)	L'Andrienne 78 (note 2) L'École des Pères 88, 155, 322 (and
16, 26 (and note 3), 39, 42, 43 (and	note 1)
note 1), 49, 50, 78, 80, 81, 85,	BATTEUX, CHARLES
88	357 (note 2)
Admetus und Alceste 42 (and note 3),	influence of, on Lessing's aesthetic
52, 53, 78	theory 115, 335-6, 341, 347
Arlekın eın Sklave in der Turkei 43	(note 1), 429, 484 (note 4);
Armide 42, 53, 84	on Lessing's views on tragedy
Der Wirth auf dem Berge 51, 52, 59, 67	336, 366, 368-9, 374, 378-9
Die Heu-Erndte 51, 66	on gesture 479 (note 2), 484 (note
Die Geburt des Harlekins aus einem Er 43	4); on imitation 428, 429; on
Die Kornerndte 16	'the marvellous' in epic 445
Die Jager 54	and Aristotle 347 (note 1), 355, 359,
Die verwandelten Zittern 51, 52, 53, 54	368-9, 374 (and note 3), 375,
(note 1), 62, 64, 72, 87	376, 379, 386 (note 1), 391,
Die Zigeuner in ihrem Lager 52, 53, 72,	420, 438 (and note 2)
75, 80	Les Beaux-Arts réduits à un même principe
Ein neues Gartner-Ballet 52, 77 Ein serieuses Ballet 52, 53, 70, 72, 74,	335, 355, 428 translation of, by J. A. Schlegel
86	115, 252, 335, 483 (and note
Harlekıns Grabmal 43	3)
Il Giocatore e la Bachettone 43	Principes de la littérature 335, 347
La Giardiniera Contessa 43	(note 1), 355, 359 (and note 4),
La Pace campestre 43	366 (note 4), 368–9, 370 (and
La Serva Padrona 43	note 4), 374 (and note 3), 375
Reise des Harlekin und Pierot 43	(and note 2), 390, 391 (and
20	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,

•	
BATTEUK, GHARLES (cont.)  note 2), 420 (and note 6), 428, 438 (and note 2), 445, 484 (note 4)  translation of, by Ramler 335-6, 355 (and note 9), 374 (note 3), 386 (note 1), 459  BAUMGARTEN, A. G.  334, 338, 348, 391  BAYLE, P.  influence of, on Lessing 208  BEAUMARCHAIS, P. A. CARON DE  Eugénie 45, 49  BEAUMONT AND FLETCHER  The Bride, German translation of 248 (note 3)  BEHRMANN, FRIEDRICH GEORG  Die Horatier 102 (note 2)  Timoleon 102 (note 2)  translation of Corneille's Trois Discours 102 (note 2)  BELLOY, P. L. BUYRETTE DE  160, 161, 239-41, 470  Le Siège de Calais 240, 439  Titus 239  Zelmire 16, 40, 44, 48, 52, 73-5, 239-41, 244, 382 (note 3), 438, 469, 470  French review of 240-1, 431, 439  German translations of 73; Lessing on 469, 470  notice of, from Bibliothek der schönen Wissenschaften 74-5, 241 (note 1)  synopsis of 74  BENTLEY, RICHARD  321, 324, 325 (notes 4 & 5)  BERLIN  as centre of theatrical activity 11  BERLINISCHE PRIVILEGIRTE ZEITUNG  94, 104, 106 (and note 1), 113 (note 2, 0n p. 114), 115, 203, 204, 229-30, 321 (note 2), 337, 396, 470 (note 1)  BERNARDON (J. F. KURZ)	BERNINI, LORENZO references to, by Lessing 482 (note 1) BIBLIOTHEK DER SCHONEN WISSENSCHAFTEN UND DER FREYEN KUNSTE 23 (and note 1), 24 (note 2), 57, 67, 74, 78 (note 2), 122 (note 1), 132, 139 (note 4), 142, 147 (notes 1 & 4), 150 (note 1), 166, 197, 198, 210 (note 1), 212 (note 3), 233, 234 (note 1), 241 (note 1), 248 (note 1), 250 (note 4), 277 (and note 2), 357 (note 2), 411 (note 1), 415 279 (note 6), 280 (note 3), 338, (note 1), 426 (note 1), 446 (note 1), 452, 456, 469 (and note 1), 482 (note 1) Neue Bibliothek der schonen Wissenschaften und der freyen Kunste 82, 160 BIELFELD, J. F. VON Progrès des Allemands 31, 140 (note 3), 141-2 BIERLING, F. J. translator of Molière 67, 88; of Cérou 67 BIGARURE, LA 467-8 use of, by Lessing 95, 104 (and note 3), 105, 467, 468 (and note 1) BOCK, JOHANN MICHAEL 32, 38 rôles played by 31, 32, 55, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 86, 87, 88, 90, 91, 92, 93 BOCK, SOPHIE 38, 76, 77, 78, 80, 81, 82, 85, 86, 87, 88, 91, 92 BODE, J. J. C. translation of L'Écossaise 63-4; of The Jealous Wife 260 (note 3) BODMER, JOHANN JAKOB 95 (and note 3), 334, 337, 348, 365 (note 1), 366 (note 3), 420 BOILEAU (NICOLAS BOILEAU-DÉSPREAUX)
BERLINISCHE PRIVILEGIRTE ZEITUNG	The Jealous Wife 260 (note 3)
2, on p. 114), 115, 203, 204, 229–30,	95 (and note 3), 334, 337, 348, 365
321 (note 2), 337, 396, 470 (note 1)	
37-8, 39, 40, 55, 64-5, 139 (note 4) Bernays, Jakob	100, 217 (and note 4), 337, 355, 434 (note 5)
interpretation of Aristotelian $\kappa \dot{\alpha}\theta a \rho \sigma u s$	Boissy, Louis de
372 (and note 3)	239 (and note 1)

Les Dehors Trompeurs ou L'Homme du	BRUMOY, PIERRE
jour (Der Mann nach der Welt) 45	213
Paméla 225	interpretation of Aristotle's definition
Borchers, David	of tragedy 339 (and note 1),
32-3	355 (and note 5), 360, 373
rôles played by 55, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62,	Théâtre des Grecs 96, 111, 360 (and
63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71,	note 1), 420
72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80,	influence of, on Lessing's Beytrage
81, 82, 83, 86, 88, 90, 91, 92, 93	96, 100; on Theatralische Biblio-
Borck, C. W. von	thek 111, 112
translation of Julius Caesar 246, 348	Lessing's argument against, con-
Borkenstein, H.	cerming Seneca 111
Der Bookesbeutel, popularity of in	Bubbers, Adolf Siegmund
Hamburg 81	
Boyer, C	17, 19 Burger, der
French play on Essex 182, 263	'Festspiel' performed in Hamburg 46
	'Burgerliches Trauerspiel', or 'tra-
Brandes, J C.	
plays of, in Hamburg repertory 45, 47	gedy of common life'
Der Graf von Olsbach 45	32, 108, 115, 141, 205, 206, 340, 369,
Der Schein betrugt 45	397–9, 429, 460
Lebensgeschichte 481 (note 2)	French opinions on, quoted by Les-
Brawe, J. W. von	sing 141, 397–8
142 (and note 4), 161	importation of, from England 49,
Der Freygest 69, 139 (note 4), 147	101, 205
(note I)	prose form of 275 (and note 3), 398
Breitinger, Johann Jakob	Burke, Edmund
334, 355 (note 6), 428	338
Bressand, F. C.	Enquiry into the Origin of our Ideas of the
translation of Rodogune 82, 174	Sublime and Beautiful, translation of,
Brockes, B. H.	planned by Lessing 338
59	~ .
Brooke, Henry	CALDERÓN
author of 'Essex' play 275 (and	293, 296, 297
note 4), 276, 277 (and note 2),	CALEPIO, PIETRO DI
277-8	influence of, on Lessing's dramatic
Gustav Wasa 276, 277	theory 292 (note 2), 365 (and
Brosse, N. DE	note 1), 420
L'Aveugle clair-voyant, and Le Grand	on tragedy 101, 365, 366 (note 3),
196	367, 420
Brueys, D. A. de	Paragone della Poesia tragica d'Italia con
plays of, in Hamburg repertory 45,	quella di Francia 172, 188 (note 4),
48	292, 365, 367, 420 (and note 5)
L'Avocat Patelin (Der Advocat Patelin)	Calprenède, Gauthier de Costes,
31, 41, 44 (note 1), 45, 51, 52,	Seigneur de la
53, 68–9, 78, 87 (note 1), 195	French play on Essex 181, 182, 263, 268
German translations of 68, 195	CAMPISTRON, J. G. DE
Brueys, D A. de, and Palaprat, J.	Le Jaloux désabusé 198–200
48, 195	and Destouches' Le Philosophe marié
Le Muet (Der Stumme) 45	198 (and note 2)

J 1	
Casaubonus, Isaac	Prologue to English translation of
120 (note 1)	Zayre 210
Castelvetro, L.	CIBBER, THEOPHILUS
346, 356, 373, 378, 380 (note 1), 383,	259 (note 3)
386	Lives of the Poets 108, 109, 113, 114,
Cecchi, G. M.	261, 263 (and note 3), 275, 276-7,
La Dote 279	332, 487–8
Cérou	CICERO
variants in name of 67, 68 (note 1)	203 (note 2), 311, 406, 479 (note 2)
L'Amant auteur et valet (Der Liebhaber,	cited by Lessing 327 (note 1), 331
	CLOWN
als ein Schriftsteller und Bedienter)	
46, 51, 67–8, 228	English, in German theatre 4
French review of 67	CLUDIUS
German translations of 67–8;	34
variants of title in 67, 68 (note 1)	rôles played by 55, 62, 70
Cervantes	Coello, Antonio
and Spanish drama 298 (and note 2)	301
CHAMFORT, S. R. N.	authorship of Spanish 'Essex' play
Die junge Indianerin 46	300-1
Chancel de la Grange, Joseph de	Dar la vida por su Dama ó El Conde de
445 (note 2)	Sex 295, 296, 298, 299, 301 (and
on Voltaire and Maffer 214 (note 3)	note 2)
	'Glosas' in 299
CHAPELAIN, J.	
and French national drama 5	References to, in Hamburgische Dra-
and Allacci's La Drammaturgia 122	maturgue, with notes on original
CHARITON Aphrodisiensis	301-6
De Chærea et Callirhæ amatoriarum	Collet de Messine, J. B.
Narrationum libri viii 219, 317–8	L'Isle déserte (Die wuste Insel) 46, 53,
French edition of 318; French	90 (note 2)
review of, in Journal étranger 318	translated by Ekhof 31, 90 (note 2)
German translation of 317–8;	Colman, George
review of, by Lessing (?) 318	259–60
(and note 1)	on Baron and Terence 322 (note 1);
synopsis of 318	on Heautontimorumenos 323; on
CHASSIRON, P. M. M. DE	Terence and Menander 330; on
105, 108 (and note 2), 334	Terence and Molière 319, 322
CHEVRIER, F. A. (DE)	(note 1); on Voltaire's view of
L'Épouse suivante (Die Frau als Magd) 46	Terence 319-20
Observateur des Spectacles 164-5, 165	adaptation of L'Écossaise (The English
	Manhant Con Con Con Con Con Con
(note 1), 198, 231 (and notes	Merchant) 227, 257, 259-60,
1, 3 & 4)	criticism of, in Monthly Review
quotations from 231	260
CHRISTIAN VII, King of Denmark	translation of Terence 260 (note 1),
visit of, performances during 53	319, 320 (and note 1), 330
(note 3), 83, 85	The Jealous Wife 46, 260
CIBBER, COLLEY	German translation of 260 (note 3)
97 (note 1), 246, 259 (note 3)	COMEDY
adaptation of Richard III, and Weisse	and Tragedy 177, 179, 392, 400, 410,
152 (note 3)	429
- ' - '	• •

characters in 234, 392, 400-5, 409, 410, 420 close apparently required of, in modern times 328 comédie larmovante 226, 228, 229, 334, 395-7, 473 native and foreign customs in 154. 226, 391-2, 431 purpose of, in Lessing's view 101, 389-90 suitability of subjects for 191, 192-3, 195, 327 (note 1), 389, 390 use of 389 verse form of 180, 392, 461-3 COMMEDIA DELL' ARTE abolition of fixed types of 7 COMPANION TO THE PLAYHOUSE (See under BAKER, D. E.) COMPANION TO THE THEATRE 264, 274 quotation from 264-7 CONGREVE, WILLIAM 97 (note 1), 246, 258 (and note 1) CORDIER DE SAINT-FIRMIN, EDMOND 212 (and note 3) Zaruckma 212 (note 3) CORMARTEN, CHRISTOPHORUS German translation of Corneille's Polyeucte 3 CORNEILLE, PIERRE 2, 96 (note 4), 102, 112, 137 (note 2), 165-75, 181, 205, 212 (and note 2), 228 (note 1), 242, 247, 308, 309 (note 2), 313, 343, 348, 364 (note 1), 366, 391, 434 (note 6), 444, 452 attacks on, by Dacier 347, 419 attitude to three Unities 101, 344, 382, 383, 384 implicit faith in Aristotle's precepts 346 (note 4) Lessing's criticism of 165-75, 205, 241, 242, 347, 364 (and note 1), 377, 382, 415, 416, 418, 419, 444, 445, 452, 490; superiority of Shakespeare to 205-6, 242, 245, 247, 249 on Aristotle's definition of tragedy 339, 355, 356, 364, 365, 371

(note 3), 377, 378, of comedy on tragic hero 415-6, 417-9, 420 on use of prologue by Euripides 465 (note 2) plays of, in Hamburg repertory 44, theory of drama, Lessing and 101, 347, 364 (and note 1), 377, 382, 384, 391, 415, 416, 417, 418, theory of tragedy 242, 339, 356, 364, 365 (and note 2), 370, 371 (and note 3), 377, 378, 379, 387 (note 2) Cinna 173, 436 (note 1), 468 in translation, played in Nurnberg 1731 7, 222 Hérachus 171, 416 Le Cid 5, 28, 174, 175, 177, 207, 257, 268 criticism of, by Lessing 174, 175; by Scudéry 383; by Voltaire 174-5, 393 Le Menteur 419 Nicomède 367 Polyeucte 3, 145, 156, 171, 173, 174 (and note 3), 209, 364, 417, 421, 422, 423–4, 468 Lessing on 145, 174, 421, 423-4 played in Leipzig 1660 3; in 1738 Rodogune 16, 40, 44, 48, 53, 82-4, 165-74, 207, 241, 292, 416, 417, 444, 445, 450, 452, 490 Calepio's criticism of 292 comparison of, with source 165, 166-71 Corneille's defence of 167-8,170-1, 418-9, 467 German translations of 82-3, 174; new translation of (1769) 48, 82, 83, 165, 168, 174, authorship of 83-4, preface to 83 Maffei's observations on 292 only play of Corneille's performed during 'Enterprise' 174 Sertorius 243 Trois Discours 355 (and note 2), 391

Codrus 23 (note 1), 44, 145, 146, 147 CORNEILLE, PIERRE (cont.) (and note 1), 149 German translations of 102 (and note 2), 103, 334 Lessing on 147 (note 1) Lessing's share in translation of, in Lowen on 147 (note 2) Mendelssohn's view of 145-7, 411 Beytrage 102, 334, 364 (note 2) Der Misstraussche 138, 145 (note 1) Discours de la Tragédie 313 (note 2), 356, 364, 377, 387 (note 2), 416 Mendelssohn's view of 138, 145 (note 1) CORNEILLE, THOMAS Die spanische Buhne 294. 137 (note 2), 181-91 Olint und Sophronia 30, 34, 38, 40, 44, Le Comte d'Essex (Der Graf von Essex) 51, 54-6, 142-50 30, 35, 37, 40, 41, 44, 48, 52, 53, 79-80, 166, 168, 171, 181-91, completion of, by Roschmann 262, 263, 340, 412, 441 (note 1), 54, 143, 148-9; by Gotter 148 (note 3) 445 Calepio on 188 (note 4) first performance of, in Vienna 54-5 comparison of, with other Essex French criticism of 149 French translation of 144 (note 2). dramas 181 German translation of 79 149 (note 3) large place of, in Hamburgische Lessing's criticism of 142-50, 413, Dramaturgie 181 414, 421 Lessing's comparison of, with his-Mendelssohn's view of 143 (and torical accounts 182-3, 184, note 1), 145, 146, 149 (note 3) performance of, at opening of 185, 412, 440; with Banks's play Hamburg 'Enterprise' 55-6, 190, 262, 267, 268, 274 preface to 182 142, 421, 460, 464, 478, 481, 483 Prologue and Epilogue to, at synopsis of 80 Voltaire's criticism of 183-91, opening performance in Ham-440 (and note 1), 445; attacked burg 56-8, 261 by Lessing 183, 184, 186, 187, relation of, to Tasso's epic 143, 440 (and note 1), 445 144, 145, 146, 149, 460 CRÉBILLON, CLAUDE PROSPER JOLYOT synopsis of 56 CURIONI DE ballet-master in Hamburg theatre 228 CRÉBILLON, PROSPER JOLYOT DE 39, 59, 62, 66, 70, 72, 77, 78 95, 105, 112, 227, 228 (and note 1), CURIONI, MADAME 242, 249, 308, 434 (note 6) 64, 65, 70, 73 characterisation of, as 'der Schreck-CURTIUS, MICHAEL CONRAD liche' 112, 228 (and note 1), 353 comparison by, of Shakespeare and Atrée et Thyeste, Lessing's criticism of Voltaire 251, 446 in Theatralische Bibliothek 112 faith in Aristotle's authority 346 Catılına, Lessing's notice of 94 (note 5), 348 Idomenée 115; Lessing on German interest in new critical theories 348 on Aristotle's definition of tragedy translation of 470 (note 1) Cronegk, Johann Friedrich von 339, 351-2, 353, 354, 355 (note 6), 362 (and note), 378 (and 142-50 Lessing's view of 142, 143, 147 (and note 2); on Aristotle's view of note 4), 148, 161 dramatic characters 401-2, 420, plays of, in Hamburg repertory 44, 422, of tragic plot 387; on martyr tragedies 422 51

and Shakespeare 348-9, 446 cross-references 347 (and note Abhandlung vom Erhabenen in 5), used also by Lessing Dichtkunst 251 347 Abhandlung von den Personen und on admiration as a tragic emotion Vorwurfen der Comodie 389 (note and Aristotle's definition of tragedy Abhandlung von der Wahrscheinlichkeit 351, 353, 354, 355 (and note 4), 444 (note 1) 362 (note), 367, 377–8; interpretation of κάθαρσις 372-3, Critische Abhandlungen und Gedichte 373, 375, 377-8, 378, Lessing on 251, 446 (and note 1) reviewed by Mendelssohn 349 377, 378 and Corneille 171, 174 (note 3), 347, (note 1) translation of Aristotle's Poetics (Aris-365, 367, 419, 423 and D'Aubignac 346 (and note 3) toteles Duchtkunst) 115, 171 (note 1), 308, 311, 336 (and note 3), and Le Bossu 346 (and note 3), 419, 337 (and note 2), 339 (and note 3), 343, 345 (note 1), 348, 351translation of Aristotle's Poetics, with 2, 355 (note 6), 362 (note), a commentary (La Poétique 385-6, 386 (and note 1), 387, d'Aristote) 120, 171 (and note 1), 401 (and note 1), 401-2, 415, 203 (note 2), 309 (and notes 1 & 438 (note 3) 3), 311, 312 (notes 2 & 3), 313 influence of Dacier on 348, 386 (and note 2), 315, 316, 317, 336 (and note 2), 344, 345 (and (note 1), 401, 438 (note 3) Lessing's knowledge of 115, 336 note 1), 346, 348, 349, 351, 358 (note 2), 362 (note), 367 (and (and note 3), 337, 345 (note 1), 348 note 2), 371 (note 2), 373 (and Lessing's use of, in Hamburgische note 1), 377-8, 386 (note 1), Dramaturgie 349 (and note 1), 387 (and note 4), 388, 401, 402 385, 386 (and note 1) (and note 1), 409 (note 1), 419, Abhandlung von der Absicht des importance of, for 18th century Traverspiels appended to 339 345-6 (note 1), 370 (note 5), 374 (note DACIER, MADAME 3, on p. 375) translation of Homer 307 (note 2), 428 (and note 3) Dacier, André aim of, in translating Aristotle's translation of Plautus 99 Poetics 346 translation of Terence 320 (note 1), attacks on Corneille's interpretation 321, 322-3, 324, 330 (note 1), of Aristotle 347, 365, 419 Lessing on 321 attitude towards predecessors 346 D'Alembert, J. LE ROND (and notes 2 & 3) on Le Misanthrope 390-1 and Rousseau 231-2, 390-1 confidence in Aristotle's authority DANIEL, SAMUEL 346 influence of, on Lessing 171 (and Philotas 254 (note 3), 263 (and note note 1), 346 (and note 2), 347, 348, 387 (and note 4), 419, 423; 2) DANTE on Curtius 348, 386 (note 1), Gerstenberg's Ugolino and 460 (note 401, 438 (note 3) method of interpreting Aristotle by 1)

65

D'ARGENS, JEAN BAPTISTE DE BOYER, MAROUIS Réflexions historiques et critiques sur le goût 203 (note 2) D'Aubignac, Hédelin, Abbé 165, 337, 344, 357 (note 2), 383, 384 (note 3), 434 (note 4), 457 controversy with Ménage on Terence 112, 324-5 and Italian drama 103 Pratique du Théâtre 5, 103, 309 (note 1), 324, 336, 346 (and note 3), 381 (and note 4), 382, 384 (note 1), 393 (and note 2), 394 (and note 1), 414 (note 4), 428, 437 (note 3), 464 (note 1), 465 (and note 1), 482 (note 2) Projet pour le réétablissement du théâtre français 5 DAVENANT, SIR WILLIAM 487 Cibber's Life of, cited by Lessing 487-8 Delisle, L. F. de la Drevetière Arlequin Sauvage (Der Wilde) 45 Le Faucon, German translation of 424 (note 2) Timon le Misantrope, German translation of 424 (note 2) Deschamps, F. M. C. Caton d'Utique 6

Destouches, Philippe Néricault

(and notes 2 & 5)

influence of, on Lessing 196-7 Lessing's comparison of, with Mohère

German translation of works of 197

Life of, in Theatralische Bibliothek

plays of, in Hamburg repertory 45,

popularity of, in Germany 196 (and

La fausse Agnès ou le Poète campagnard

(Der Poet vom Lande, or Der

poetische Dorfjunker) 31, 35, 45, 51,

German translation of 65, 134,

107, 115, 196-202, 244

180, 202

110, 197

note 1)

46, 48, 197

65, 197, 201-2

(note 2) Le Tresor caché 197 (and note 5) German translation of 197 (note 5) Le triple Mariage (Die dreyfache Heyrath) 46 L'Homme singulier 411 (and note 1) L'obstacle imprévu (Das unvermuthete Hinderniss) 40, 45, 51, 60-1, 197 German translation of 60 D'HERMILLY, A. Dissertation sur les tragédies espagnoles 109, 294, 300, 394 (and note 3); used in Theatralische Bibliothek 100. 294, 300 (note 4) DIDEROT, DENIS 23, 122, 140 (note 2), 206, 232–4, 235, 244, 349, 402, 411 (note 1), 452, 453, 475 demand of, for naturalism in drama 32, 232-3, 398, 414, 433 influence of, in Germany 232, 341, on Lessing 115, 206, 341, 369, 398, 433, 453, 479, 484 no critical estimate of as dramatist, in Hamburgische Dramaturgie 232, translation of, by Lessing 31, 93, 172 (note 4), 206, 232, 241, 319 (note 2), 321 (note 1), 341, 353 (note 1), 466 (and note 3) views on comedy and tragedy 234, 400, 401

201-2, 230; variants in title of

German translation of, by I. E.

Philosoph, or Der Philosoph, der

sich der Heyrath schamet) 33, 37, 40,

45, 51, 52, 53, 62–3, 72, 197–8 German translation of 31 (and

note 6), 62, 63, 139; variants in

(Das Gespenst mit der Trommel. oder der wahrsagende Ehemann) 33,

Le Tambour nocturne, ou le Mari devin

45, 52, 71-2, 197, 200-1 translation of 71, 134, 200-1, 424

Le Philosophe marié (Der verheyrathete

Le Glorieux (Der Ruhmredige) 45

Schlegel 463

title of 62-3

views on dramatic characters 234, DIODATI, OTTAVIANO Biblioteca teatrale italiana 122 (and 400-1, 409, 410, 411, 441; Lessing on 400, 401, 402, 409, note 1), 227, 228, 279, 281 (note 7) DIOGENES, LAERTIUS 411, 412, 441 views on language of tragedy 398-9; cited by Lessing 308 (and note 2) on moral end of tragedy 371-2; DIPHILUS on Terence 319 (note 2), 321, and Menander 328-9, 330 327, 412 Lessing on 329 and art of actor 321 (and note 1), and Terence 325 (note 3), 328-9 335, 474, 475, 479, 484-5 Dodsley, R. De la Poésie dramatique 230 (note 2), Select Collection of old Plays 113 319 (note 2), 321 (and note 1), 'Dodsley und Compagnie' (of Leipzig) 355 (and note 10), 438 (note 3), 452 (and note 2), 453 (and note Donatus, Ælius 3), 466 (and note 3) commentaries on Terence 320 (and Entretiens sur le Fils Naturel 326 (and note 3), 321, 325 (and note 5), note 3), 341, 355 (note 10), 398-329, 330 9, 400 (and note 2), 410, 479, quoted by Lessing 320, 329, 330, 484-5 402 (note 2) Le Fils Naturel (Der naturliche Sohn) DRAMATURGIE definition and use of word 120 (and 45, 232-4, 234 (note 1), 341, note 2), 121, 122 (and notes 2 & 3) 400, 467 Lessing's criticism of 232-4, 467 use of, after Lessing's publication Palissot on 233 (and note 2), 234, 122 (note 3) 410-1, 467 DRYDEN, JOHN Le Père de Famille (Der Hausvater) 31, 57, 97 (note 1), 107, 113 (and note 2), 41, 45, 54, 93, 232 (and note 2), 114, 246, 256 criticism of Corneille by 247 244, 341 German translations of 31, 93 (and epilogues of 256, 464 influence of, on Lessing 114, 246, note 1) success of, in Hamburg 48-9 247, 255 views of, on Shakespeare 205, 246-7; Les bijoux indiscrets 433 (and note 2) authorship of 433 on Unities 381 (note 1) All for Love 256 (and note 2) extract quoted by Lessing 433, Essay of Dramatic Poesy 113, 114, 205, 246-7, 334-5, Lessing's trans-German translation of 433 (and notes 3 & 4); Lessing's possible lation of, in Theatralische Bibliothek authorship of 433 (and note 4) 106, 114, 115, 205, 246, 254, 255 (note 4), 256, 334-5, 381 (note 1) Lettre sur les sourds et muets 115, 335, Du Bos, J B. influence of Sainte Albine on 474, 339 Réflexions critiques sur la Poesie et sur la Peinture 115, 334, 335, 355, 414 Paradoxe sur le Comédien 479 Réflexions sur Térence 260 (note 1) (note 1), 418 (and note 2), 428, 430 (note 1), 446-7, 449 (and DIEZE, J. A. note 5), 479 (and note 2), 480, and Lessing 295 (and note 2), 485 (and note 4) translation of Velazquez' Origenes de German translations of 113 (and note 1), 449; Lessing's translation la Poesia Castellana 295

76, 77, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 85, 87, Du Bos, J. B. (cont.) of, in Theatralische Bibliothek 106, 88, 90, 91, 92, 93 translations by 31, 62, 77 (and 113 (and note 1), 335, 472, 479 (and note 2), 480 (notes 1 & 2) note 1), 90 (note 2) and Schonemann 27-9 influence of, on Lessing 251, 472, and Weisse 91 479 (and note 2), 480 (and note 1) ENGLISH DRAMA Ducis, J. F. compared with Greek drama 242, adaptations of Shakespeare 50 Du Fresny, C. de la Rivière 249, 308 contrasted with French drama 114, Le Chevalier Joueur 191-2 205, 242, 246, 249, 257-8, 308, Duim, Frederik 381, 463; with German drama 211 (and note 2) 257-8 translation of Zayre 211 DUIM, IZAAK influence of, with tragedies of common life 14, 49, 101, 205, 429 mingling of tragic and comic ele-Du Perron de Castera, L. A. Extraits de plusieurs pieces du Théatre ments in 248, 257 espagnol 296-7 use of prologues and epilogues to Dusch, Johann Jakob 257, 261-2, 464 (and note 3) as author of Prologue and Epilogue Lessing and 96, 97 (and note 1), 101, at opening of Hamburg 'Enter-113, 114, 152, 205, 242, 245-78, prise' 56-7, 58 342, 429, 463 (note 3), 464 Nicolai on change of scene in 385, Du Val, G. on history of English stage in editions of Aristotle 345 (and note 2), Theatralische Bibliothek 105, 106, 351, 361 (note 4) 113, 262, 263 (note 3) Voltaire and 96 (and note 4), 205, EKHOF, HANS KONRAD DIETERICH 9, 14 (note 3), 16, 18, 20, 24, 25, 207, 210, 244, 256 (and note 2), 27-32, 33, 34, 36, 37 (note 2), 38, 288, 446, 462 39, 41, 55, 79, 88, 90, 117, 143 EPILOGUE collection of playbills, in Gotha comic, appended to English tragedies 257, 261-2, 464 (and note 3), 31, 33 (note 2), 41, 43 (notes 2 & Lessing on 257, 464 3), 44 (note 1), 53 (note 2), 85 to performance of Olint und Sophronia (note 1) début of, in 1740 27 261 use of, in drama 260, 261, 464; by juvenile parts chosen by 41 Dryden 256, 464; by Plautus Lessing's views of 30 (note 1), 30-1, 32, 55, 69, 72, 143, 471, 474, 477, 464 (and note 2); by Thomson 260, 261-2, 464 (and note 3) 479 (note 2), 482, 483 rôles played by 27, 28, 29, 30 (and Eschenburg, J. J. notes 1 & 2), 31 (and note 4), 41, translation of Hurd's commentary on 55, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, Horace's Epistles 362 (note), 402 67, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 74, 75, 76, (note 4) 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 85, 86, Lessing and 362 (note), 379 (note 4) 87, 88, 91, 92, 93, 143, 479 (note Essex, Earl of 2), 483; in rôle of Jurge (Der Bauer dramas on 181, 182, 190, 262, 263, mit der Erbschaft) 81 275 (and note 4), 276-8, 295 (See Schroder's views of 30 (note 2), 31, also under Banks, Thomas Cor-55, 59, 62, 67, 69, 70, 71, 72, 75, NEILLE, COELLO)

historical accounts of 182-3, 184, FAVART, CHARLES SIMON 185, 186, 187 (and note 2) 235-9 romance on 264 (and note 2); Isabelle et Gertrude 61, 159 quoted from 264-7; used by La Fée Urgèle ou ce qui plaît aux Dames Banks 267 160 (and note 2) Eugraphius, Johannes Soliman II ou Les Sultanes 16, 33, 40, 324, 325 45, 48, 53, 84-5, 127, 235-9, EURIPIDES 280, 412 (and note 1), 442, 461 111, 171, 213, 214, 242, 249 (and comparison of, with Marmontel's note 1), 286, 308, 309, 310-5, tale 235-8, 442, 461 383, 387 (note 4), 406, 407, 409 French review of 237, 442 (and note 1), 434 (note 3), German translations of 84 (and notes 1 & 2), 85 (note 2) alone of Greek tragic dramatists Lessing's criticism of 235-9, 442, discussed in Dramaturgie 300 use of prologues by 465-6, Lessing's performance of, in Vienna 237 defence of 315, 465-6 (note 2) and Hyginus 314 playbills of 84 (note 2), 85 (and Cresphontes 213, 214, 219, 285, 286, note 1) FAVART, MARIE JUSTINE BENOÎTE LA fragments of, preserved 311, 312 231 (and note 2) (and note 3) Annette et Lubin 231 (and notes 3 & 4) Corneille on 313 (and note 2); FELBRICH, CORNELIE Curtius on 311; Dacier on 311, 39 (and note 1), 61, 65, 66, 71, 72, 312 (note 3), 313 (and note 2); 77, 79, 88, 90, 93 Lessing on 311, 312-3, 314-5, FIELDING, HENRY 467 (note 4); Maffei on 286, Tom Jones 253 (and note 5) 310-1, 314, Polybius on 312 German translation of 253 (note (and note 4) 5) and Maffei's Merope 286, 310-4 FONTANELLE, J. G. DUBOIS Electra, Hurd on 407–9 Ericie (Ericia, oder die Vestalin), 44, 48 Hecuba, prologue to 465-6 FRENCH DRAMA Ion, prologue to 465-6 96, 165, 206, 218, 220, 241, 242, 244, Iphigeneia in Aulis 312, 340 340, 445, 486, 490 Medea 340 contrasted with English and Greek 242, 249, 308; with English 114, Fabricius, J. A. 205, 206, 246, 249–50, 254, 257–8, Bibliotheca Graca 284, 315 (note 3), 369, 381, 463, with German 160; 316 (and note 4), 317 with Greek 221, 243, 307-8 Bibliotheca Latina 98, 323 (note 4), Lessing's criticism of 164-244; 324 (note 3), 325 (note 3), 327 sources for 164-5 (note 1), 329 (note 1) preponderance of, in Hamburg reper-FAERNO, GABRIELLO tory 49, 133, 164, 241 rule of liaison in 383-4, Corneille and 321, 324, 325 (note 5) FALBAIRE, C. G. FENOUILLOT DE Voltaire on 384, D'Aubignac on L'honnête Criminel (Der Galeerensklave) 384 (note 1) Voltaire on 96 (note 4), 209 (and 45, 49 'FASTNACHTSPIELE' note 3), 221, 242-3, 308, 384, 393, in Germany 1 462

GLEIM, J. W. L. 117 (note 1), 118, 164 (note 2), 350 (note 1), 356 (note 2) Gotz von Berlichingen, Lessing's attitude to 458 (and note 1) Wilhelm Meisters theatralische Sendung 65 GOLDONI, CARLO 14, 107, 119, 227, 279-80 German translation of 279 (note 6, on p. 280) influence of, on Lessing 279 (note 6) little criticism of, in Hamburgische Dramaturgie 279, 280 plays of, in Hamburg repertory 45, 49, 280 and Voltaire 227, 280 (and note 2) Gli Innamorati 279 (note 6) Il Bugiardo (Der Lugner) 45 Il Servitore di due Padroni (Der Diener zweier Herren) 45 Il Teatro comico 280 Il Tutore (Der Vormund) 45 La Bottega del Caffè 227, 280 La finta ammalata (Die verstellte Kranke) La Locandiera 279 (note 6) La Vedova spiritosa (Die schlaue Wittwe) L'Erede fortunata 279 (note 6) collection of Ekhof's playbills in 31, 33 (note 2), 41, 43 (notes 2 & 3), 44 (note 1), 53 (note 2), 85 (note 1) Court theatre in 29 Bock in 32; Ekhof in 29; Madame Mecour in 38 Herzogliche Bibliothek in 31, 41, 43 (note 2), 73 permanent theatre in 11 GOTTER, F. W.

completion of Olint und Sophronia 148

translation of Marivaux' Les fausses

28, 48, 79, 95, 113, 116, 133-4, 334,

337, 348, 428, 441, 457

'Tadler' in Der allerkostbarste Schatz 9 association with Caroline Neuber 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 134 attacks on 95 banishment of Harlequin 6, 7-8, 134, 424-5 influence of, on Lessing's first journal 95, 96, 334 Lessing's antagonism to 95, 134, 135, 205, 230, 348, 425, 441 Lessing's verdict on 134 project of translating Aristotle's Poetics 336 (note 3) Beytrage zur critischen Historie der deutschen Sprache etc. 95, 336 (note 3), 357 (note 2), 424 (note 2), 461 (and note 3), 472 (note 4), 484 (note 3) Critische Dichtkunst 100-1, 103 (note 2), 119, 134, 334, 355 (note 6), 371, 425, 428 (and note 5), 441, 458, 459 (and note 1) echoes of, in Hamburgische Dramaturgie 134, 441, 458, 459 Das Neueste aus der anmuthigen Gelehrsamkert 95 (note 5), 104, 113 (note 2), 262 Der sterbende Cato 6, 8, 134, 369 Deutsche Schaubuhne 6, 28, 50, 65, 70, 71, 80 (and note 1), 95 (and note 4), 121, 134 (and notes 4 & 5), 135, 137 (and note 2), 194 (note 1), 200-1, 202, 243 (note 1), 336 (note 3) plays from, in Hamburg repertory 50, 134 Neuer Buchersaal der schonen Wissenschaften und freyen Kunste 86, 95 (and notes 4 & 5), 96, 110, 225 (note 5), 285 (note 1) Nothiger Vorrath zur Geschichte der deutschen dramatischen Dichtkunst 58, 59, 75, 81, 86, 89, 90, 92, 121, 296 (note 1), 395 (note 1) GOTTSCHED, LUISE ADELGUNDE VICTORIA 134 (and note 4), 135 Lessing's criticism of 134-5, 200, 201, 229-30

(note 3)

Confidences 73
GOTTSCHED, JOHANN CHRISTOPH

GOTTSCHED, LUISE ADELGUNDE VICTORIA	Gries, J. F translation of Voltaire's <i>Mérope</i> 86
(cont.)  Das Testament 31, 134 (note 5), 202	Gryphius, Andreas
Die Hausfranzosin 31, 40, 44, 52, 80,	
	2, 97, 137 (note 2), 443 Gueullette, T S
134, 135  Panthea 80 (note 1)	228 (note 3)
translation of Alzire 8, of Cénie 75,	L'Amour précepteur (Die Liebe als Lehr-
115, 134, 229–30, 396; of Le	meister) 45
Tambour nocturne 71, 134, 200-1;	, 10
of La fausse Agnès, ou le Poète cam-	Hagedorn, Friedrich von
pagnard 65, 134, 201 (note 1),	17
201-2, 230, of The Spectator 252-3	Hamburg
Goujet, C. P.	8, 9, 10, 17, 27, 59, 89, 91, 117
Bibliothèque françoise 297, 299	as centre of theatrical enterprise 3,
Goulston, T.	5, 7, 9–11, 24 (and note 3), 117,
Latin translation of Aristotle's Poetics,	130 (and note 2)
cited by Lessing 362 (note)	Hof von Holland, performances in
Gozzi, Gasparo	10
translation of Zayre 210, 280	Italian opera in 10
Grafigny, Françoise d'Happoncourt	'Komodienhaus' in 14, 77
DE	opera house in 10, 14, 27
229–32	Staats- und Universitatsbibliothek in 41,
Cénie 30 (and note 2), 35, 37, 41, 45,	63, 68, 69, 77 (note 2), 81, 82,
52, 53, 64, 75, 229–32, 479 (note 2)	86, 88, 92, 93, 109 (note 1), 126
authorship of 230–1 English version of 230 (note 1)	(note 1), 234
German translation of 75, 115,	theatre in 10,29, financed by citizens
134, 229–30, 396	new building, description of 14-6;
synopsis of 75	opening of, by Ackermann,
GREEK DRAMA	1765 13, 16, 56, 58, 74
221, 242, 243, 244, 245, 249, 307-17,	Hamburg 'Nationaltheater'
360-1, 383, 440, 446, 464 (and	10, 11, 13, 18, 29, 121
note I)	acting company of 27-39
Lessing on 214, 242, 243, 249, 309-	agreement concerning, 1767-77 18,
17, 383, 440, 446, 457, 465-6;	40
superiority of, to French tragedy	aim of enterprise 121
242, 243, 249, 307–8	'Consortium' in financial control of
origins of 308	18, 19
Grécourt, J. B. J. Willart de	continuation of previous undertaking
235	13, 19
Gresset, J. B. L.	control by actors of 20, 50
229	dates of theatre season during period
Le Méchant 411 (and note 1)	of enterprise 26, 41, 127
Sidner 30, 41, 44 (note 1), 45, 52, 54	failure of enterprise 11, 26, 27, 36, 37,
(note 1), 70-1, 87 (note 1), 93,	50, 130, 344
229, 257 German translation of 70	influence of J. E. Schlegel on scheme
Lessing's criticism of 229, 257	for 19–20, 342 Lessing's position in regard to 17–8,
synopsis of 71	20, 116-9, 126-7, 471; his views
-,	20, 210 g, 220-/, 4/1, 1115 victor

on 25-6, occasions of closure, during Lessing's time 52 (notes 2 & 3), 53 (notes 1, 2, 3, 4) opening of, April 22, 1767 13, 24, 55, 56, 123, 133, 142, 261 opposition to reforms in 25 organisation and staff of 13-39, 116, practical details of performances in 42 public of 25, 36-7, 308, 458 repertory of, during period covered by Hamburgische Dramaturgie 20, 26, 40-93, 279-80 ballets (and other attractions) in 26, 39, 42, 43, 49 changes in programme 52 (note 4), 64, 87 (note 1) comparison of, with others 49 dependent on interests of actors 40, 41, 126, 485 Dutch plays in 46, 47 English plays in 44, 46, 47, 49 first performances of new plays in 50-1, 59, 61, 82 French plays in 44, 45, 46, 47, 48-9, 133; Lessing's criticisms of 164-244 German plays in 44, 45, 46, 47, 49, 133; Lessing's criticisms of 133-63 harlequinades in 43, 49 Italian plays in 45, 47, 49, 280 Lessing's view of 25-6, 49-50, 126, 133, 160, 485 light thrown by, on German 18th century theatre 42 list of dramatic works in (with number of performances of each) 43, 44-7 list of dramatic works performed in, during period covered by Dramaturgie 51-4 lists of, among Lessing's papers 41-2, 238, 262 (note 6) 'Nachspiele' in 42, 46, 47, 49 native works in 49, 133 notes on dramas performed in, during period covered by Dramaturgie 54-93

playbills of, in Ekhof's collection 33 (note 2), 41, 43 (notes 2 & 3), 44 (note 1), 53 (note 2), 85 (note 1); in Hamburg collection 41,88 productions of Ackermann's management repeated in 40, 48, 50, 60, 63, 64, 66, 69, 73-4, 75, 76, 79, 83, 85, 88, 90, 92, 260 (note 3), 280 scheme of 'theatre poet' for 116. 2-811 translations of French plays in, identification of 54 well-known plays repeated in 50, 58, 59, 60, 61, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 70, 71, 72, 75, 77, 78, 79, 81, 86, 87, 88, 89, 90, 91, 92, scheme for improved conditions in 24, 25, 40, 50 'Vorlaufige Nachricht' concerning (October, 1766) 20 (note 3), 20-3, 25, 40, 126 HAMBURGISCHE ADDRESS-COMTOIR  $N_{ACHRICHIEN}$ 33 (note 2), 43 (note 3), 44 (note 1), 52 (notes 1 & 4), 53 (notes 2 & 4), 61 (note 1), 68 (note 1), 87 (note 1), 92 (note 2) Hamburgische Beyträge HAMBURGISCHE CORRESPONDENT 82 HAMBURGISCHE DRAMATURGIE (See Special Index for specific references to particular sections) 83, 144 (note 3), 163, 168, 205, 206, 207, 211, 307, 309, 341, 367, 369, 429, 474, 489, 490, 491 aims of 119-20, 121, 122 Ankundigung of 23 (note 2), 123, 126 (and note 1) attack on tragédie classique in 165, 206, 218, 220, 241, 242, 243, 244, 307, 445, 490; anticipation of, in Litteraturbriefe 205 changes of plan in 126-7 characteristics of style of 490

HAMBURGISCHE DRAMATURGIE (cont.) conditions under which written 20, 126-7, 489, 490 contribution of, to appreciation of Shakespeare 245, 247-8, 254, 490-I criticism of actors abandoned in 35, 107, 126 (and note 2), 471 (and note 2) criticism of, in Klotz's Deutsche Bibliothek 57, 136 (note 2), 150 (note 2), 151 (note 4), 153 (note 2), 163, 211 (note 3), 358 (note 2) dates of criticisms in relation to plays discussed in 42, 126-9, 138-9, 142 (and note 3), 154, 172 (and note 1), 182 (note 1), 322 dates of publication of parts of 123, 124-5, 126, 127-9, 344 defects of repertory and 41, 50, 126, 133, 160, 485, 489 difficulties of publication owing to piracy 123-6 erroneous view of Aristotle as pivot of 342-3 importance of, as treatise on theory of drama in 18th century 491, in literary movement of Lessing's time 243, 491 Lessing's criticism of French plays in 164-244; of German plays in 133-63, arrangement of, under authors 133 Lessing's criticism of English, Italian, Spanish and classical drama in, distinct from theatre criticism 245; of English dramatists in 245-78; of Italian drama in 279-92; of Spanish drama in 293-306; of classical drama in 307-32, meagre treatment of classical literature in main interests of Lessing in 244, 307 method of criticism in 206-7, 208-9, 342, 429, 490 nature and scope of 119, 121, 279, 429, 489, Lessing's statements on occasion of 10, 13

period of 'Enterprise' dealt with in 51, 149 personal confession in 131 (and note 2) plan of 'critisches Register' 41, 119-20, 121, 126-7, 164 precedents for 119, 120, 121, 122 publication, method of 123-9, 489 review of enterprise in 130 section of Hamburg repertory dealt with 42 shortcomings of, in criticism 130, 243-4, 489-90, 491 sources available for, during composition 164-5, 349-50 theatre programmes as given by Lessing, variations in 53 (note 5), 54 (note 1), 90 (note 2) title of 120 (and note 2), 122 (and notes 2 & 3) unfavourable criticism of German drama in 160, 161-2 value of, as criticism 243-4, 489, for present day 489-91, in respect of literary style 208, 490, 491 Voltaire dominating figure of 48, 206, 244, vivid portrait in 244, Hamburgische Neue Zeitung 124-5 HAMBURGISCHE UNTERHALTUNGEN 14 (note 3), 20 (note 3), 27, 31 (note 4), 61, 91, 93, 119, 159 HANOVER 5, 7, 26, 27, 29, 30 (note 2), 36, 43 dates of Hamburg company's theatre season in 41, 127 performances of plays in 56, 59, 60, 61, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73, 75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 81, 82, 83, 85, 86, 87, 88, 90, 91, 92, 93, 140 (note 1) HANS WURST 4, 69, 297 Harlequin 290 banishment of, by Gottsched and

Caroline Neuber 6, 7-8, 134,

424-5

	• •
ın Germany 4, 6, 424–7	plays of, in Hamburg repeitory 44, 47
Italian 4,6	Der Geburtstag 158 (and note 3)
Lessing on 4, 8, 134, 204, 424-7	Die Haushaltung nach der Mode 156
plays with rôles of, in German	(note 3), 158
repertories 424 (note 2)	Die Liebhaber nach der Mode 156
Schroder in rôles of 39, 424 (note 2)	(note 3), 158
'HAUPT- UND STAATSAKTIONEN'	Die Tochter des Bruder Philipps 158
4, 7, 296 (and note 1)	(note 3)
Heinrich Julius von Braunschweig	
O DEAGUSCHWEIG	Julie 30, 40, 44, 47, 51, 59-60, 156-8
Unaversa Danier	and La nouvelle Héloise 157-8, 461
Heinsius, Daniel	Lessing's criticism of 157, 382
influence of, on Lessing 358 (note 2),	(note 3)
362 (note)	preface to 156
interpretation of κάθαρσις 373-4	revision of 157 (note 3)
on tragic emotions 354-5	synopsis of 60
De Tragadiæ Constitutione 354-5, 358	Hill, Aaron
(note 2), 362 (note), 373–4	258 (and note 2), 259 (and notes 2 &
Latin version of Poetics 354, 362	3)
(note)	and Voltaire 210 (note 2), 258 (and
Hensel, Johann Gottlieb	note 2)
33, 34 (and note 1)	An Essay on the Art of Acting 31 (and
rôles played by 60, 61, 62, 63, 64,	note 7)
65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 72, 73, 76,	translation of Zayre 210, 256, 258,
77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 86, 88,	259 (note 2)
90, 91, 92, 93	Lessing on 256, 258, 259 (and
Hensel, Sophie Friederike	notes 2 & 3)
16-7, 19, 26, 27, 33, 34-6, 37, 38, 44	performance of 258-9, 259 (notes
(note 1), 56, 58, 87 (note 1)	1 & 3)
comparison of, with Madame Lowen	HIPPEL, T. G. VON
36, 37	156 (and note 2)
début in Zayre 16, 34	Der Mann nach der Uhr, oder der
in Cenne 35; in Miss Sara Sampson	ordentliche Mann 41, 46, 52, 53,
34-5, 35 (note 1), 66 (and note 1),	79, 156, 468
483, 484	History
Lessing's views of 34-6, 36 (note 2),	relations of drama and 183, 187, 188,
55, 143, 478–9, 483	236, 238, 240, 343 (note 4), 401,
resentment of Lessing's criticism 35,	406, 412, 428, 437-45
126 (note 2), 471	HISTORY OF THE MOST RENOWNED
rôles played by 34-5, 40, 55, 60, 62,	Queen Elizabeth and the
63, 64, 65, 66, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72,	EARL OF ESSEX
73, 74, 75, 76, 83, 85, 86, 87, 91,	263, 264 (and note 2)
143, 483, 484	use of, by Banks 263, 264 (and note
Herder, J. G.	2), 267
	Hoffmann, Madame
148 (note 1), 162	'Polnisch-Sachsisch' company of
HERTEL, J. W.	
82, 486 (note 2)	players 5
symphonies for Olint und Sophronia 56	Hogarth, William
Heufeld, Franz von	Analysis of Beauty 473, 480-1
156 (and note 3), 157-8	German translation of, by Mylius

HOGARTH, WILLIAM (cont) Shakespeare 249 (and note 2), 473, 480, 481 (note 1); preface 405, on truth of expression in to, by Lessing 480 dramatic poetry 405-9 reference to, by Lowen 481 Commentaries on Horace's Epistles 331, 362 (note), 402 (and note 3), Holberg, L. popular adaptations of, in Schone-403-9, passages translated by Lessing 402 (note 4), 403-9, mann's repertory 9, 28 Bramarbas 424 (note 2) edition of, used by Lessing 402 Home, Henry (Lord Kames) German translation of, by Eschen-Elements of Criticism 342, 374 (note 3, on p. 375), 383, 390 (note 1) burg 362 (note), 402 (note 4) Dissertation concerning the Provinces German translation of 342, 374 (note 3, on p 375), 383 (note 2), of the several species of the Drama in 390 (and note 3), 392, 394 390 (note 1) influence of, on Lessing 342 (note 2), 403-5, use of, by HOME, JOHN Lessing 392, 394 (note 2) author of Douglas, cited by Voltaire HUTCHESON, FRANCIS as 'Hume' 63, 226, 259 Elements of Moral Philosophy 338 HOMER translation of, by Lessing 338, 248, 249, 250 (note 4), 286, 287, 352, 345 (note 1) Reflections upon Laughter 338 396, 401, 420 Madame Dacier and 307 (note 2), Hyginus 428 (and note 3) 219, 286, 310, 314 (and note 2), 315 references to, in Hamburgische Dramaturgie 307 (and note 2) Iffland, A. W. Odyssey 416, 428 11, 32 HORACE ILLUSION 192 (note 2), 262 (note 6), 289 (note in drama 419, 430–3, 437, 445, 485 Lessing on 287, 419, 430-2, 433, 2), 315, 326, 331, 337, 362 (note), 381 (note 1), 392, 398, 402, 405 437, 445, 447, 448, 460, 485 (and note 3), 419, 428, 448 (note 1) HUMANISTIC DRAMA theory of, in 18th century 428-30, 437 1, 2 Lessing's view of 428, 429-30, 437, HUME, DAVID 226 ITALIAN DRAMA History of England 183, 184, 185, 186, 96, 97, 103 (and note 2), 104, 279-92, 187 (and note 2), 268 German translations of 182 (note Lessing's criticism of 279-92; sources 2) of information for 279, 394 HURD, RICHARD ITALIAN THEATRE 150 [Hord], 249 (and note 2), 254 history of, Lessing's interest in 115 (and note 3), 262 (note 6)[Hord],national theatre in Italy, possible 309, 317, 402 (note 3), 409 (and influence of on Germany 6 note 1), 410 on characters in drama 403-9, com-JONES, HENRY parison of, in comedy and 276, 277 tragedy 403, 409, 410; on The Earl of Essex 275 (and note 4), comedy 390, 392, 403-4, 405; 276-7; review of, in Monthly on Euripides's Electra 407-9; on Review 276, by Cibber 276-7

KOMISCHES THEATER, von S. IONSON, BEN 114, 254 (and note 3), 255, 276, 409 authorship of 357 (note 2), articles Every Man in his Humour 255 in 357 (note 2); reference to, by Every Man out of his Humour 254, 255, Lessing 357-8 405, Lessing's note on meaning of Kormart, Christophorus (See under humour in 254-5 CORMARTEN) KRUGER, B. E. FOURNAL DES SCAVANS Mahomed IV 80 (note 1) 164, 294 influence of, on Gottsched's periodi-KRUGER, JOHANN CHRISTIAN 16, 28, 116, 138-9, 393 (note 3) cals 95; Lessing's use of 294 FOURNAL ENCYCLOPÉDIOUE Lessing's criticism of 138-9 plays of, in Hamburg repertory 44, 145, 149, 150 (note 1), 159, 164, 173 (and notes 1 & 2), 207 (note 1), 46, 47 Die Candidaten 44, 138-9 224, 226, 234, 235-6, 237, 240-1, 247, 255, 277-8, 412 (note 1), Die Geistlichen auf dem Lande 139 (and 426 (note 1), 439, 441 (note 1), note 1) Herzog Michel 28, 46, 54, 91-2, 138, 442 Journal étranger 156 140 (and notes 2 & 3), 164, 214 (note popularity of 91-2, 138 3), 230 (note 1), 262, 295 (and reference to, by Goethe 92 translation of Destouches' Le Philonote 3), 298, 318, 332, 397 sophe marié 62, 63, 139, of Kastner, A. G. Marivaux' L'héritier de Village Gedanken uber die christlichen Tragö-28, 80, 139; of Marivaux' Le dien 422 dénouement imprévu 90, 139, 424 Kirchhof (note 2); of Marivaux' plays 80-1, 90, 425 (and note 5); of KLOPSTOCK, FRIEDRICH GOTTLIEB Regnard's Le Joueur 67, 139; 117, 160-1, 240 version of Saint-Foix' L'Oracle Der Tod Adams 252 Hermannsschlacht 160-1 Kurz, J. F. (See under Bernardon) KLOTZ, C. A. 62, 130 (note 2), 344 La Bruyère, Jean de Deutsche Bibliothek der schonen Wissen-Les Caractères, ou les Mœurs de ce schaften 82, 89 (note 1), 151 (note Siècle 193 LA CHAUSSÉE, P. C. NIVELLE DE 2), 211 (note 3), 248 (note 2), 414 (note 5, on p. 415); criticism of 228-9, 244 Hamburgische Dramaturgie in 57, plays of, in Hamburg repertory 45, 48 L'École des Mères 45, 52, 53, 76-7, 136 (note 2), 150 (note 2), 151 (note 4), 153 (note 2), 163, 211 203 (note 1), 228-9 confusion with Marivaux' play 77 (note 3), 358 (note 2); criticism of Lessing's Freygeist in 69, 139 German translation of 31, 77 (note 4) Le Préjugé à la Mode 45 Koch, Gottfried Heinrich Mélanide 37, 40, 45, 51, 52, 58-9, 228 (and note 3), 395 11, 14, 19, 29, 34, 50, 64, 69, 91 German translation of 58, 59, commentary on repertory of, in Schildereyen der...Kochschen Buhne 119 228, 279; Italian translation of translation of Regnard's Démocrite 228, 279 amoureux 72 Paméla 226 (and note 1), 229

L'Affichard, T.	Le Grand, Marc Antoine
La Famille (Ist er von Familie?) 46, 52,	195–6
54, 71, 73, 92, 228, 468	La Famille extravagante (Die ausschwei-
German translations of 71, 424	fende Familie) 46
(note 2)	L'Aveugle clair-voyant (Der sehende
LAFONT, J. DE	Blinde) 46, 54 (note 1), 92-3,
Le Naufrage (Der Schiffbruch) 45	195-6
LA FONTAINE, JEAN DE	German translations of 92, 195;
	variation in title of 93
91, 235 Lambin, D.	Le Tems passé (Der Sieg der vergangenen
interpretation of κάθαρσις 373	Zert) 46, 51, 58, 195
La Mesnardière, Jules Pilet de	German translation of 58
101, 355 (note 1)	Le Triomphe du Tems 58
La Motte, Houdar de	LEIBNITZ, GOTTFRIED WILHELM
101, 434 (notes 1 & 4)	124, 333, 334
comedy on Ephesian Matron, cited by	LEIPZIG
Lessing 332, German version of	as centre of theatrical enterprise in
332 (and note 3)	18th century 5, 9, 10, 116
on prose form for tragedy 461 (and	as headquarters of Caroline Neuber's
note 5), 462 (and note 2), 463; on	company 5
unities 380 (note 1)	Ekhof in 28
Voltaire on 462	Lemierre, A M.
and Lessing 101,380 (note 1),462,463	Hypermnestre 44
LANCELOT, C. [DE TRIGNY]	Léris, A. de
Nouvelle méthode pour apprendrela	Dictionnaire portatif historique et lit-
langue espagnole 299 (and note 3)	téraire des Théâtres 63, 121, 164,
LANGENDYK, P.	182, 191, 195, 197, 198, 203,
Krelis Louwen (Claus Lustig) 46	209 (note 2), 212, 222 (note 2),
LA SERVA PADRONA, Italian Inter-	225–6, 228, 229, 234, 235, 239,
mezzo	263 (note 1), 285 (note 1), 332,
238, 280-1, 281 (note 2)	350
French adaptation of 280-1	Lessing's use of 110, 112, 164, 182,
identification of 281 (and note 2)	195, 197, 225, 263 (note 1)
LA TOUCHE, GUIMOND DE	Lesage, A. R.
Iphigénie en Tauride 212 (note 3)	Théâtre espagnol 297
Laudes, J. G. von	Turcaret 45
93 (note 1)	LESSING, GOTTHOLD EPHRAIM
Le Blanc, Abbé	aesthetic theory and attitude to
Lettres d'un François 192-3, 255	aesthetic problems 115, 117,
(note 2), 389	244, 333, 334, 338, 340–1, 342,
Le Bossu, René	371, 391, 491; sources for theory
346 (and note 3)	333-50
Traité du Poeme épique 419, 420	as translator 102, 103, 108, 110, 114,
German translation of 115, 366	182 (note 2), 190, 198, 267, 275,
(note 3)	302, 303, 304, 305, 306, 349,
LE BRUN, CHARLES	385, 386 (note 1), 398, 433
404 (and note 1)	(note 4), 468 (note 1)
Lee, Nathaniel	classical scholarship of 94, 98–9, 100,
257	101, 111–2, 114, 167, 255, 307,

308 (and note 2), 309 (and note 1), 312-5, 316-7, 319-20, 321-7, 328-30, 331, 337 (note 2), 343, 344, 345 (and notes 1 & 3), 349, 352, 353-4, 358-9, 361 (note 4), 378-80, 402 (note 2), 472

criticism of actors in Hamburg company 107, 471 (and note 2), of Ackermann 33, of Bock 32; of Madame Bock 38, of Borchers 33; of Mlle Felbrich 39, of Hensel 33, 34 (note 1); of Madame Hensel 34–6, 36 (note 2), 143, 471, 478–9, 483; of Ekhof 30 (and note 1), 31, 32, 143, 471, 474, 477, 479 (note 2), 482, 483, of Madame Lowen 35, 37, 484; of Merschy 33, 34 (note 1)

dramatic practice of 144, 164, 286 (note 3), 384 (note 1), 385

dramatic theory of 41, 174, 335, 342, 343-4, 347, 349, 350, 351-88, 400, 409-10, 412, 415-21, 423, 429-32, 489, 491; early interest in 109, 114, 115, 247, 334; eclectic mode of criticism 334, 489; practical method of approach to 334; small part of his philosophy in 333, 334; standpoint at outset of Hamburgische Dramaturgie in respect of 350

early interest in pantomime 94, 115, 335; in theatre 94, 116, 117 influence of 'Aufklarung' on 333, 489 invitation to Hamburg 116, 117-8, 349; conditions of engagement 118; limited resources of library there 350; plans in connexion with engagement 118-22, severance of connexion 130 (and note 2)

invitation to Konigsberg, refusal of

knowledge of English 108, 109 (and note 2), 114; of Spanish 293 (and note 1), 302, 303, 304, 305, 306

papers, repertory lists among 41-2 plan for treatise on laughter 338, 390 (note 1)

plays of, in Hamburg 16, 28, 44, 46, 47, 48, 139-42

quarrel with Klotz 344

on actor-managers 24, 485, on admiration as tragic emotion 363, 365, 366 (and note 2), 367-8, 421 (note 3), 423-4, as characteristic of epic 366, on art as imitation of reality 395, 428-30, 437, 445, on best form of tragic plot 343, 385–8, on characters of comedy 191, 392, 400, 402 (and note 2), 410-2, compared with characters in tragedy 234, 400-2, 409-10, 429; on characters in historical drama 401, 440-3; on 'Christian' tragedy 145, 174, 421-4; on comédie larmoyante 226, 228, 229-30, 395-6, 429, on comedy, native and foreign customs in 154, 226, 391-2, 431, purpose of 101, 389 (and note 2, on p. 390), 390 (and note 1), rhymed form of 392, 461-3, use of 389; on consistency in characterisation 236, 238, 412-4, on décor and music of performances 55, 56, 486-7, 487-8; on definition of 'das Lacherliche' 391, on drama and history 183, 187, 188, 236, 238, 343 (note 4), 401, 412, 437-45; on drama and narrative 157, 235, 236, 331, 352, 431, 442, 460-1; on dramatic illusion 287, 419, 430-2, 433, 437, 445, 447, 448, 460, 485; on function of poetry and art 370 (and note 3), 450 (and note 3), 451, of criticism 131 (and note 2); on genius 170 (note 1), 286, 342, 412, 415, 449-51, 452-6, 457-8, 459, 460, contrasted with wit 450 (and note 2), 452; on good taste 458-9; on the harlequin 4, 8, 134, 204, 424-7, on humour

LESSING, GOTTHOLD EPHRAIM (cont)

254-5; on imitators 148-9; on mingling of comic and tragic elements in drama 248, 257, 394, 395, 429, on moral end of tragedy 370, 371 (and note 3), 414, 415, 419, on naturalness of dramatic characters 412, 413, 414, on prologues and epilogues to plays 257, 315, 464-6, on purpose of tragedy 153, 242, 254, 340, 351, 352-3, 354, 363, 364, 365, 366, 368, 369 (and note 4), 370, 374, 421, 440, 465, on κάθαρσις 371 (note 3), 372, 374, 377, 378-9, 379-80, 421, on realism in drama 101, 254. 429-30, 431, 433, 437, on the supernatural in drama 223-5, 251-2, 431, 445-8, on the three unities 101, 111, 112, 218, 340, 344, 380, 381, 382-5, 429-30, 445, the 'rules' 335, 413, 455-6, 457-8, 459, 490; on titles of plays 156 (note 2), 168 (and note 1), 228, 309 (note 2), 313 (and note 1), 331 (and note 3), 467-8; on tragic hero 153, 353, 357, 360, 362, 366, 400 (note 1), 415-21, 422, contrasted with epic hero 400 (note 1); on tragi-comedy 165, 174, 206, 392-5, 395 (note 1); on translations of French plays 73, 168, 174, 195, 197, 200-1, 202, 226, 228, 229-30; on verse and prose translation 468-70, of English plays 470, of French plays 468-9, 470 (and note 1); on women characters in tragedy 168-q

and art of acting 35-6, 94, 115, 431, 471-85, fragment of early treatise on 472, sources of 472; plan of work Der Schauspieler 473, 479, probable date of 473, use of gesture 320-1, 431, 479 (and note 2), 480 (and note 1), 481, 483, 485

and ballets 39, 49-50

and drama of antiquity 96, 100-1, 111-2, 115, 154-5, 214, 221, 242, 243, 249, 255, 307-32, 383, 392-3, 399, 402 (and note 2), 425, 440, 446, 457, 464 (and note 1), 465-6, 467 (and note 4), 468, 472; early interest in Plautus and Terence 94, 98, 100-1, 112, 114, 330, influence of, on Lessing's early work 98

and Dutch drama 96, 97

and English drama 96, 97 (and note 1), 101, 113, 114, 152, 205, 242, 245-78, 342, 429, 463 (note 3), 464

and French drama 96, 114, 165, 205, 206, 218, 220, 221, 241, 242, 243, 244, 249, 250, 254, 369, 463; criticism of 164-244, influence of, on his dramatic work 164; sources of information on 164-5 and German drama 96, 133-63, 242, 246

and Italian drama 96, 97, 103, 114-5, 279-92, 394, influence of L. Riccoboni 103, 107, 112

and Spanish drama 96 (and note 4), 97, 293-306, 394-5

and the 'tragedy of common life' 101, 108, 115, 141, 205, 369, 397-9, 429

and Addison 257

and Aristotle 115, 152, 153, 214, 245, 307, 309, 312, 316, 336 (and notes 2, 3, 4), 337, 342-9, 351, 352-4, 356-9, 360-4, 372-80, 381, 385-8, 391, 401-2, 409, 410, 415, 416, 418, 419, 421, 438, 440, 441, 487, 489; implicit faith in Aristotle's authority 346-7, 352, 379; interpretation not novel but with wide bearing 349

and Banks 190, 257, 262-78, 393, 398, 442, 470 (note 1)

and Batteux 115, 335-6, 341, 347 (note 1), 366, 368-9, 370, 374, 378-9, 420, 429, 484 (note 4)

and Dryden 97 (note 1), 106, 107, and de Belloy 73, 160, 161, 239-41, 113, 114, 205, 246-7, 254, 244, 382 (note 3), 431, 470 255, 256, 334-5, 381 (note 1), and Brawe 142 (and note 4), 161 464 and Calepio 172, 188 (note 4), 292 (and note 2), 365 (and note 1), and Du Bos 106, 112-3, 115, 251, 334, 335, 418, 472, 479 (and 367, 420 note 2), 480 (and note 1). and Casaubonus 120 (note 1) 485 and Colman 227, 257, 259-60, 319and Dusch 57 20, 323, 330 and Ekhof 28, 30 (and note 1), 31, 32, and Corneille 83, 101, 102, 112, 165-55, 69, 72, 117, 143, 471, 474, 75, 205, 241, 242, 245, 247, 249, 344, 347, 355 (note 2), 364 (and 477, 479 (note 2), 482, 483 and Euripides 242, 249, 309-15, note 1), 377, 382, 384, 415, 408 (note 1), 409 (note 1), 457, 416-9, 421, 423-4, 444, 445, 465-6, 467 (note 4) 450, 452, 465 (note 2), 467, 490 and Favart 235-9, 442, 461 and Thomas Corneille 181-91, 412, and Fielding 253 (and note 5) 440, 445 and Frederick the Great 117 and Cronegk 142-50, 161, 294, 413, and Gellert 108 (and note 3), 135, 334 414, 421 and Curtius 115, 251, 308, 336 (and and Gerstenberg 161 (and note 3), 254 (note 1), 394 (note 1), 460 note 3), 337 (and note 2), 339 (note 1), 345 (note 1), 348, 349 (note 1), 491 (and note 1), 352, 353, 354, and Gleim 117 (note 1), 118, 164 378 (and note 2), 385-7, 401 (note 2), 350 (note 1), 356 (note (and note 1), 402, 444 (note 1), 2) and Goethe 458 (and note 1) 446 and Goldoni 107, 119, 227, 279-80 and Dacier 120, 171 (and note 1), and Gottsched 95, 96, 99, 110, 113, 203 (note 2), 309 (and note 3), 312 (note 3), 313 (note 2), 315, 133-4, 135, 205, 230, 334, 348, 316, 317, 336 (and note 2), 344, 369, 395 (note 1), 425, 441, 458, 345 (and note 1), 346 (and note 459 and Frau Gottsched 134-5, 200, 201, 2), 347-8, 352, 353, 354, 367, 377, 378, 386 (note 1), 387 (and 229-30, 396 and Heinsius 358 (note 2), 362 (note) note 4), 401, 402, 409 (note 1), and Madame Hensel 34-6, 36 (note 419, 423 2), 55, 126 (note 2), 143, 471, and D'Aubignac 165, 309 (note 1), 478-9, 483, 484 324, 336, 344, 381, 394, 414 and Heufeld 156-8, 382 (note 3), 461 (note 4), 464 (note 1), 465, 482 and Hill 256, 258, 259 (and note 2) (note 2) and Destouches 107, 110, 115, 196-8, and Hogarth 473, 480-1 and Horace 192 (note 2), 289 (note 200-2, 244 and Diderot 31, 93, 115, 206, 230 2), 315, 428 (note 2), 232-4, 241, 244, 321, and Hurd 249 (and note 2), 254 (and 326-7, 335, 341 (and note 3), note 3), 309, 317, 390, 392, 394 349, 369, 398-9, 400 (and note 2), (note 2), 402-9, 410 and Klopstock 117, 160-1 401, 402, 409, 410, 411, 412, 433, 441, 453 (and note 3), 466 (and and Kruger 138-9, 425 and La Motte 101, 332, 380 (note 1), note 3), 467, 474, 475, 479,

462, 463

484-5

and Plautus 94, 97, 98, 99, 100-1, 111, LESSING, GOTTHOLD EPHRAIM (cont.) and Lowen 24, 135, 140 (note 1), 159, 114, 139, 330-1, 334, 382, 392-3, 206 (and note 1), 485 and Ramler 107 (note 3), 131 (note and Maffer 214, 216-8, 219, 220-1, 281-92, 310-1, 312 (note 3), 314, 2), 335 and Regnard 191-4 385, 453 and F. Riccoboni 98, 102-3, 115, and Marivaux 115, 196-7, 202-4, 244 473, 474, 475 (note 1), 481 (note and Marmontel 122 (and note 2), 235, 236, 239 (note 2), 342, 355 (and 3) and L Riccoboni 96, 103, 105 (note note 11), 398, 421 (and note 2), 2), 106, 112, 121, 279 and Madame Mecour 38, 143, 471 and Romanus 154-5, 321-2, 327-8, and Mendelssohn 57, 107 (note 3), 113-4, 132, 136-7, 142 (notes 3 and Rousseau 157, 390 & 4), 143, 145-7, 148, 158, 162, and Sainte Albine 30, 34 (note 2), 110, 226 (note 5), 250, 252, 253, 115, 254, 473, 474-5, 476-9, 482 (note 1), 484 (note 4) 337-8, 344, 349 (note 1), 356, 358 (and note 1), 360, 361 (and and Saint-Evremond 422 (and note note 2), 362, 363, 367 (note 2), 369, 374 (note 3, on p. 375), and J. E. Schlegel 24, 97, 119, 121-2, 379, 390 (note 1), 391, 395 135, 136-8, 161, 342, 381, 413, (note 2), 415, 422-3, 430-1, 429, 443, 461, 462-3 and Seneca 111-2, 114, 465 (note 2) 432-3, 447, 451, 452, 453, 455, 456, 460, 469, 482-3, 485 and Shakespeare 50, 97 (note 1), 114, correspondence with Mendelssohn 152, 153, 161, 205-6, 209 (and note 6), 224-5, 242, 245-54, 258, and Nicolai on tragedy 205, 308, 369, 395, 429, 446, 447, 247, 337, 338, 339 (note 1), 340, 343, 344 (and note 3), 345 481, 487, 490-1 and Sterne 119 (note 1) (note 1), 349, 353-4, 356, 358 (and note 2), 360, 362, 363, 366and Sulzer 454 7, 368, 370, 374, 389, 390 and Terence 94, 131 (and note 1), (note 1), 400 (note 1), 423-4, 154-5, 206, 316, 318-21, 322-7, 430; Lessing's share in 338; 328–30, 402 (note 2) Lessing's use of, in Hamburgische and Thomson 107, 108, 109 (and Dramaturgie 354, 358 notes 1 & 2), 115, 257, 260-2, and Molière 175-80, 202, 204, 318 369, 464 and Trublet 162-3, 177, 455 and Moser 425, 426, 427 (note 1) and Mylius 94, 97 (and note 4), 99, and Voltaire 48, 50, 95, 96-7, 97 102, 103, 105 (and note 5), 106, (note 1), 101, 108, 112, 115, 117, 472 141 (and note 2), 145, 163 (note and Caroline Neuber 6-7, 94 2), 166, 168, 169 (and note 1), and Nicolai 19 (note 1), 66 (note 1), 170, 171-2, 173 (note 2), 175, 113, 114, 123, 127 (note 1), 142 177, 178, 179-80, 181, 183-91, (notes 3 & 4), 161, 338, 340, 204-27, 241, 242 (note 1), 243, 244, 251, 254, 255, 256-7, 260, 385, 397 correspondence with Mendelssohn 281, 282, 289-91, 308, 312, 318, and Nicolai on tragedy (See 319, 382, 383, 384-5, 393, 395, above, under LESSING and Men-396-7, 412-3, 431, 439 (note 1), delssohn) 440 (and note 1), 444, 445 (and note 2), 446, 447, 458, 462, 467-8, 490

and J. Warton 452, 453

and Weisse 66, 81, 133, 140 (notes 1 & 2), 148 (note 1), 150-4, 161-2, 224, 249-50, 314 (note 2), 332, 348, 353, 415, 421, 471 (note 2) and Wieland 248 (and notes 1, 2, 3), 395

and Young 132, 341-2, 456, 457 (and note 2)

Works

Abhandlung von dem Wesen der Fabel 336, 340-1, 345 (note 1), 370 Anmerkungen uber das Epigramm 376 (note 2)

Antiquarische Briefe 130 (note 1)

Berlinische privilegirie Zeitung, contributions to 94-5, 104, 106
(and note 1), 113 (note 2, on p. 114), 115, 203, 204, 229-30, 321 (note 2), 337, 396, 470 (note 1)

Beytrage zur Historie und Aufnahme des Theaters 22, 78 (note 2), 94, 95-105, 106, 107, 110, 112, 114, 116, 121, 164, 204, 246, 293, 320, 324, 330-1, 334, 336 (note 4), 343, 355 (note 2), 364 (note 2), 377, 382, 389 (note 2, on p. 390), 392, 394 (note 2), 416 (note 2), 427 (note 1), 464 (note 1), 467, 472, 473

aims of 96, 472, authorship of items in 97 (and note 4), 98, 99, 100, 102-3, 104, 105, 472; difficulties of joint editorship 103, 105 (and note 5), 106; Lessing's increasing responsibility for 103, 105 (and note 5); main interests of Lessing in 114-5, 330, 472, use of La Bigarure in 95, 104 (and note 3), 467-8; Abhandlung von dem Leben ..des Plautus in 97, 98-9, 334, translation of Captun in 97, 98, 99; Critik uber die Gefangnen des Plautus

in 97, 98, 99-100, 110, 115, 336 (note 4), 425, 464 (note 1), authorship of 99-100, 100 (note 1), 115, Reply to, by Lessing 97, 99, 100-1, 110-1, 334, 382, 392-3

Briefe, die neueste Litteratur betreffend
(Litteraturbriefe) 57, 114, 132,
136 (and note 1), 140 (note
2), 142, 143 (note 1), 145, 150
(note 2), 151 (note 1), 154,
158, 205, 207 (note 1), 245,
247, 248, 250, 252, 253 (note
5), 338, 345 (note 1), 349
(note 1), 350, 367 (note 2),
369, 411, 425 (and note 2),
426-7, 441, 447-8, 449, 450,
452, 453, 455 (and note 3),
457, 460, 484 (and note 2),
485

Collectanea 130 (note 1), 164 (note 2), 274, 320, 327 (note 1), 332 (note 1), 356 (note 2), 480 (note 1), 481, 482 (note 1)

Critische Briefe 376 (note 2)

Das Neueste aus dem Reuche des Witzes, article in, on Batteux and Diderot 335; importance of, for Hamburgische Dramaturgie 335

Der Freygeist 32, 44, 47, 52, 69, 139, 424 (note 2); alteration in title of 69, 139 (and note 4), 142, 467

Der junge Gelehrte 98 influence of Plautus on 98

Der Misogyn 44, 47

Der Schatz 46, 51, 60, 98, 139, 142, 197, 330

Der Schlaftrunk 118

Die alte Jungfer 98, 424 (note 2)

Die Juden 337

Die Matrone von Ephesus 118, 238, 332 (and note 5); English versions noted by Lessing 332

Emilia Galotti 131 (note 2), 275 (note 3), 385

Lessing on 467 (note 4)

Entwurfe zu Besprechungen 148, 149,

Lessing, Gotthold Ephraim (cont.) Works (cont.)
158, 172-3, 177-8, 179, 238-9,
263-4, 276, 277, 332
Fabeln 57
Hamburgische Dramaturgie (See under
HAMBURGISCHE DRAMATUR-
GIE)
Justin 98
Laokoon 109 (note 1), 116, 117,
130 (note 1), 164 (note 2),
130 (note 1), 164 (note 2), 247, 309, 334, 342, 345 (note
1), 348, 350, 355 (note 11), 356 (note 2), 360-1, 361
356 (note 2), 360-1, 361
(note 2), 367 (note 2), 370
(note 3), 391, 428-9, 430, 431,
457, 460, 480 (note 1), 482,
485, 486 (note 1), 489
influence of Mendelssohn on
337 (note 3), 367 (note 2), 391
links of, with Hamburgische Dra-
maturgie 342, 482, 485
Leben Sophocles 120 (note 1), 309,
316 (note 2), 343, 345 (note 1)
Minna von Barnhelm 31, 33, 38, 44, 116, 118, 142, 161, 228, 279
(note 6), 342, 385
date of first performance of, in
Hamburg 33 (note 2), 41, 47
(note 1), 118, 142 (and note 2)
popularity of, in Hamburg reper-
tory 47
Miss Sara Sampson 28, 33, 34-5, 40,
41,44,47,51,53,66,107-8,115,
139, 141, 142, 205, 397, 482, 483
first production of, by Acker-
mann 66, 140 (note 1)
curtailments of 140 (and note 1)
French review of 140 (and notes
2 & 3), 141, 397–8
French translation of 140 (and
notes 2 & 3), 397
Lessing's remarks on, in Hambur-
gische Dramaturgie 139–42, 397
Mendelssohn on 482  Nathan der Weise 124 (note 1), 206,
286 (note a) 207 28"
286 (note 3), 337, 385 influence of Diderot and Vol-
taire on 206
and the same of th

```
Philologischer Nachlass 249 (note 1),
    255, 310 (note 1), 465-6
Pope ein Metaphysiker! 337
Rettung des Cardanus 144 (note 3)
Rettung des Cochlæus 231 (note 4)
Samuel Henzi 246 (note 2)
Theatralische Bibliothek 22, 89, 97
    (and note 4), 105 (note 5),
    105-14, 116, 164 (and note 1),
    197, 200, 205, 227-8, 228
    (note 1), 279, 285 (note 1),
    293, 300 (note 4), 334, 336
    (note 2), 337, 343, 353 (note
    1), 355 (note 2), 371, 389
    (note 2, on p. 390), 397, 424
    (note 2), 473, 474, 475, 479
    (and note 2), 480 (notes 1 & 2)
  articles on comédie larmoyante in
    105, 107-8, 334, 395-6, con-
    nexion with Miss Sara Sampson
    107-8
  article on Dryden in 107, 113,
    114, 115, 205, 246-7, 254-5,
    255 (note 4), 256, 381 (note 1)
  article on Seneca in 106, 107,
    111-2, 371, 465 (note 2)
  as continuation of Beytrage 106-
    7, 112, 473
  history of English stage in 105,
    106, 113, 262, 263 (note 3)
  Lessing's original contributions
    to 105, 106, 111
  life of Thomson in 105, 108-9,
    110, 114, 260-1
  main interests of Lessing in 114-
    5, 205
  objects of 106-7
  plans for 107
  publication of 107
  subordinate place of dramatic
    theory in 109, 114-5
  translation of Du Bos in 106,
    113 (and note 1), 334-5, 472,
    479 (and note 2), 480 (notes
    1 & 2)
Weiber sind Weiber 98
essay on publication by private
    subscription 124 (and note 1)
```

preface to German translation of

Thomson's tragedies 100 (and note 2), 115, 369 review of Crébillon's Catilina 94; of Curtius's version of Poetics 115, 336 (note 3), 337, 345 (note 1), 348, of Geissler's Abhandlung von den Pantomimen 94, 472 (note 2); of German plays 115; of Gottsched's Das Neueste aus der anmuthigen Gelehrsamkert 104; of Frau Gottsched's translation of Cénie 229-30, 396, of Gregorius's translation of Werenfels 97; of Marivaux' Théâtre 115, 203; of translation of French plays 67, 115, of translation of Le Bossu's Traité du Poeme épique 115, 366 (note 3), of Voltaire's Amélie 115, 204 Translations of An Impartial Foreigner's Remarks upon the Present Dispute between England and Prussia 108(note 4) Cibber's Life of Thomson 108 (and note 4), 114, 260-1 Corneille's Trois Discours 102 (and notes 4, 5, 6), 103, 334,

England and Prussia 108(note 4)
Cubber's Life of Thomson 108
(and note 4), 114, 260—1
Corneille's Trois Discours 102
(and notes 4, 5, 6), 103, 334, 355 (note 2), 364 (note 2), 377
D'Hermilly's Exposition de Virgunie etc. (Dissertation sur les Tragédies espagnoles) 109, 294
Diderot's Théâtre 31, 93, 172
(note 4), 206, 232, 241, 319
(note 2), 321 (note 1), 341, 353 (note 1), 466 (and note 3)
Dryden's Essay of Dramatic Poesy
106, 114, 115, 205, 246, 254, 255 (note 4), 256, 334—5, 381

Du Bos' Réflexions critiques 106, 112-3, 113 (note 1), 334-5, 472, 479 (and note 2), 480 (notes 1 & 2)

(note 1)

Gellert's Pro Comædia commovente 105, 108 (and note 3), 334 Hutcheson's Elements of Moral

Philosophy 338, 345 (note 1) Plautus's Captivi 97, 98, 99 Regnard's Le Distrait (with Weisse) 81; Le Joueur (with Weisse) 66

F. Riccoboni's L'Art du Théâtre 98, 102-3, 473

L. Riccoboni's Histoire du Théâtre italien 106, 112, 279

Sainte Albine's *Le Comédien* 106, 110, 473, 474, 475

Voltaire's minor historical writings 205 (and note 2)

LESSING, KARL

25, 98, 100, 105, 117, 125, 275 (note 3), 458, 467 (note 4), 484

L'HUMANITÉ OU LE TABLEAU DE

L'HUMANITE OU LE L'ABLEAU DE L'INDIGENCE

234-5

attribution of, to Diderot 234-5; to Randon 235 (and note 1)

German translations of 234

Lillo, George
The Merchant of London (Georg Barnwell,
oder Der Kaufmann von London) 44,
258, 369

produced in Hamburg 1754 28

LIVIERA, G. B.

author of a play on Merope 285 (and note 2)

LOHENSTEIN, DANIEL CASPAR VON

Lope de Vega

96 (note 4), 293, 296, 297, 298, 395

Arte nuevo de hazer Comedias en este

tiempo 298 (and note 3), 299, 395

French version of, cited by Lessing
298 (note 3), 299 (and note 5),
300

LOWEN, ELISABETH

17, 20, 35, 36-7, 37 (note 2), 38, 40, 56, 484

comparison of, with Madame Hensel 36, 37

rôles of, in Lessing's time 37, 40, 59, 60, 63, 65, 67, 68, 70, 71, 75, 77, 78, 79, 80, 90, 91, 92, 93, 136 Schroder on 90

LOWEN, JOHANN FRIEDRICH

15, 17–8, 19, 20, 24–5, 26, 27, 38, 39, 42, 50, 58, 73, 91, 116, 118, 119,

<b>3</b>	
LOWEN, JOHANN FRIEDRICH (cont.)	Maffei, F. Scipione
126, 130 (note 2), 133, 147	7, 172, 281–92, 445 (note 2), 453
(note 2), 159–60, 485	attempt to establish national Italian
	stage in Verona 6
as author of Prologue and Epilogue	
at opening of 'Enterprise' 57-8	on Aristotle's definition of tragedy
authorship of 'Vorlaufige Nachricht'	378
20, 40	sources of Lessing's knowledge of 281,
plays of, in Hamburg repertory 44,	283 (and note 2), 284-5
46, 47	Merope 6, 86, 212, 214-21, 279, 281-
and Ackermann 17–8, 19, 33, 116,	91, 310-1, 312 (note 3), 313,
118, 159–60	314, 315, 378, 380, 385
Anrede an die samtlichen Mitglieder des	comparison of, with Voltaire's
Hamburgischen Theaters 20 (note	Mérope 212, 214-5, 216, 217-21,
3), 24	281, 287, 291, 310
Das Rathsel 41, 46, 51, 53, 82, 159-60	editions of 279, 281, 282 (and
criticisms of, in Allgemeine Deutsche	note 1), 283 (and note 1), 284
Bibliothek etc. 82	(note 1), 285 (notes 1, 3, 4), 286
	(note 2), 290 (note 4), known to
variants in title of 160 (note 1)	
Die Comodie im Tempel der Tugend 16	Lessing 279, 281 (and note 7),
Die Liebhaber von Ohngefahr 424 (note	282 (and note 1), known to
2)	Voltaire 282, variant readings
Die neue Agnese 39 (note 1), 41, 46, 51,	in 282-3, 283 (note 1), 290 (and
52, 53, 61, 63, 64, 78, 159	note 4), conclusions drawn by
Die Walpurgisnacht 27	Lessing from 282, 290
Geschichte des deutschen Theaters 17,	English and French translations of
	284 (and note 1)
20 (note 3), 26 (note 3), 135,	
138 (note 4), 140 (note 1), 147	German translations of 86, 284
(note 2), 296 (and note 1)	(and note I)
Ich habe es beschlossen 44	Lessing's criticism of 281-91;
Kurzgefasste Grundsatze von der Beredt-	Voltaire's criticism of 207, 215-
samkert des Lerbes 22 (and note 1),	6, 217, 218, 219, 221, 281, 282,
481	287-8, 289-91, 385, Lessing's
Schreibenuber die Ackermannsche Schau-	defence of Maffei against 218,
buhne zu Hamburg 15 (note 2), 17	219, 221, 282, 289-91; reply by
Schreiben an einen Marionettenspieler als	Maffei to Voltaire's criticism of
eine Abfertigung des Schreibens	216 (and note 4), 217 (and note
über die Ackermannsche Schau-	1), 288–9
buhne 17	popularity of 284, 285 (note 1)
adaptation of Saint-Foix' L'Oracle 90	theme of, in antiquity 286, 310-5
translation of Voltaire's Sémiramis	Osservazioni sopra la Rodoguna 292
61 (note 1), 61-2	Teatro scelto, as forerunner of Gott-
LUCIAN	sched's Deutsche Schaubuhne 6
De Saltatione 120 (note 2)	Mannheim
Machiavelli	Bernardon in 39; Bock in 32
	theatre in, under Iffland 11
169 (note 1)	
Clitia 103	Marivaux, P. C. de Chamblain de
translation of, in Lessing's Beytrage	202-4, 244
103	German translation of, by Kruger
Mandragola 103, 104	80–1, 90, 425 (and note 5)

influence of, on Lessing 196-7 plays of, in Hamburg repertory 45, 46, 48, 202 popularity of, in Germany 196 (and note 1) La double inconstance 203 (note 1) La fausse suivante (Das falsche Kammermadchen) 45, 151 La surprise de l'amour (Die Uberraschung der Liebe) 45 L'école des mères (Die Mutterschule) 77 (and note 1), 203 (note 1), 229 Le dénouement imprévu (Der unvermuthete Ausgang) 46, 54, 90 (and note 2), 202 German translation of 90, 139, 424 (note 2) L'héritier de village (Der Bauer mit der Erbschaft) 28, 41, 46, 48, 53, 80-1, 202 German version of 28, 80-1, 139, 202 (and note 2), 424 (note 2), popularity of in Hamburg 48, 81, 202 Le jeu de l'amour et du hasard (Das Spiel der Liebe und des Zufalls) 45 Les fausses confidences (Die falschen Vertraulichkeiten) 33, 45, 52, 73, 202-3, 204 German translations of 73, 424 (note 2) Théâtre 115 Lessing's review of 115, 203 MARMONTEL, JEAN FRANÇOIS 122 (and note 2), 212 (and note 3), 222 (note 1), 238, 371 (and note 4), 434 (note 2) influence of, on Lessing 122 (and note 2), 239 (and note 2), 342, 355 (and note 11), 398, 421 (and note 2) and Favart 235-8, 442, 461 Contes Moraux 235, 236 (and note 2) Poétique françoise 239 (and note 2), 342, 355 (and note 11), 371 (note 4), 398, 421 MARTINI, C. L. translation of Cérou's L'Amant auteur et valet 67

MAYBERG, J. W. translation of Nanine 77 (note 3) MECOUR, SUSANNA 20, 37-8, 38 (note 1), 59, 68 as Franziska in Minna von Barnhelm as Sophronia in Olint und Sophronia 38 (and note 1), 55, 143 refusal to allow mention in Dramaturgie 38, 143, 471 rôles played by 38, 40, 55, 60, 61, 62, 63, 68, 71, 72, 75, 79, 82, 83, 87, 90, 92, 93, 143 MEIER, GEORG FRIEDRICH 95, 338, 348, 366 (note 3) Anfangsgrunde aller schonen Wissenschaften, influence of on Lessing 338 (and note 1) Gedanken von Scherzen 338 MÉNAGE, G. 309 (note 1) controversy with D'Aubignac on Terence 112, 324-5 MENANDER 162, 316-7, 326, 330 edition of, possessed by Lessing 316 (and note 3) and Diphilus 328-9, 330 and Terence 316, 317, 322-3, 325 (and note 3), 328-30 Mendelssohn, Moses 132, 136-7, 210 (note 1), 411-2, 449, 455 correspondence with Lessing 107 (note 3), 113-4, 142 (notes 3 & 4), 147 (note 1), 162, 226 (note 5), 337, 344, 390 (note 1), 482-3 correspondence with Lessing and Nicolai on tragedy 205, 247, 337, 338, 339 (note 1), 340, 343, 344 (and note 3), 345 (note 1), 349, 353-4, 356, 358 (and note 2), 360, 362, 363, 366-7, 368, 370, 374, 389, 390 (note 1), 400 (note 1), 423-4, 430 influence of, on Lessing 57, 136-7, 145-7, 148, 158, 250, 252, 253, 337-8, 349 (note 1), 356, 358, 360, 361, 362, 363, 367 (note 2), 369,

review of Curtius's Critische Abhand-

MENDELSSOHN, Moses (cont)

```
lungen und Gedichte 349 (note 1), of
  374 (note 3, on p. 375), 391,
                                           German translation of Pope's
  430-1, 432-3, 447, 451, 452, 453,
                                           works 469; of Klopstock's Der Tod
  455, 469, 485
on admiration as tragic effect 365
                                           Adams 252, of J. A. Schlegel's trans-
                                           lation of Batteux 252, of Sulzer's
  (note 2), 366 (note 5), 367 (and
  note 2), on art of actor 475 (note
                                           treatise on genius 449, 454 (note 3),
  1), 484, 485; on 'das Lacherliche'
                                           455, of Warton's essay on Genius
  391; on definition of tragedy 356-7,
                                           and Writings of Pope 452, of
                                           Wieland's Clementina von Porretta
  358 (and note 1), 359, 360, 361,
  362, 363, 365 (note 2), 367, 368
                                           250, 367 (and note 2), 460
  (note 2), 369, 379; on drama and
                                      Mercier, L S.
  narrative 460, on function of art
                                         Olinde et Sophronie and Cronegk's play
  451-2, on genius 415, 453, 455,
                                           144 (notes 2 & 3), 149 (note
  456-7; on 'idealische Schonheit'
                                           3)
  395 (note 2), 451-2; on illusion
                                      MERCURE DE FRANCE
  430, 432-3, 447-8, 485, on La
                                         67, 108 (note 2), 181, 192, 193, 212
  nouvelle Héloise 158; on moral effect
                                           (note 3)
                                         Lessing and 164 (and note 2), 356
  of tragedy 340, 372, 415; on per-
                                           (and note 2)
  fect characters in drama 146-7,
  411-2, 422-3
                                      MEROPE
and Cronegk 138, 143 (and note 1),
                                         subject of, in antiquity 310-5
                                           as dramatic fable 311, 314, in
  145-7, 148, 149 (note 3), 150 (note
                                             Hyginus's fables 314; Lessing
  1), 411
                                             on 311, 312-3, 314; Maffei on
and Curtius 349 (note 1)
and Dusch 57
                                             286, 310-1, 314, 315, Voltaire
and Richardson 460
                                             on 311-2
and Shakespeare 210 (note 1), 250,
                                       MERSCHY
  252, 253, 447-8, 457
                                         33, 34 (note 1)
and Trublet 455, 456-7
                                         rôles played in Hamburg 58, 60, 61,
and Voltaire 226 (note 5)
                                           68, 69, 71, 72, 73, 77, 79, 80, 87,
and Young 456, 457
                                           88, 90, 93
Betrachtungen uber die Quellen und Ver-
                                       MERSCHY, MADAME
   bindungen 415, 453
Briefe uber die Empfindungen 338, 340
                                         rôles played in Hamburg 55, 65, 66,
   (note 1), 355 (note 6), 356-7, 357
                                           68, 69, 81, 93
                                       MEYER, W. C. D.
   (note 1), 360, 361, 362, 368 (note 2)
Philosophische Gesprache 338
                                         possible authorship of translation of
Pope ein Metaphysiker! 337
                                           Rodogune 82-3
 Rhapsodie oder Zusatze zu den Briefen
                                       MICHAELIS, J. D.
   uber die Empfindungen 355 (note 6),
                                         109 (note 1), 337
   358 (and note 1), 361 (and note 2),
                                       MILTON
                                         interpretation of κάθαρσις 372
   391, 432
 Über die Hauptgrundsatze der schonen
                                       MINTURNO (A. SEBASTIANI)
   Kunste und Wissenschaften 395 (note
                                         interpretation of κάθαρσις 372
   2), 415 (note 1), 432-3, 433 (note
                                          Arte Poetica 372 (and note 5)
                                          De Poeta 372 (and note 5)
   1), 451-2, 452 (note 1)
 Von der Herrschaft uber die Neigungen
                                        MOLIÈRE
   374 (note 3, on p. 375), 432
                                          3, 137, 138 (and note 4), 175-80, 181,
```

191, 192 (note 1), 202, 204, 404, Moser, Justus 410, 426, 463 (and note 2) Harlekin, oder Vertheidigung des Grocomparison of, with Destouches 180, teske-Komischen 425-6, 426 (note 1), 427 (note 1) German translation of, by Bierling review of, in Litteraturbriefe 426-7 Muratori, Ludovico plays of, in Hamburg repertory 45, and reform of Italian theatre 6 48 MYLIUS, CHRISTLOB Amphitryon 45, 396 (and note 6) 78 (note 2), 94, 97, 99, 102, 103 (and Critique de l'École des Femmes 178, 179 note 2), 104, 105, 106, 139 (note 1), Impromptu de Versailles 180, 411 (and note 1) on art of acting 472 (and note 4), L'Avare (Der Gentzige) 45, 48, 403 on harlequin rôles 424 (note 2) L'École des Femmes (Die Frauenschule) share in Beytrage zur Historie und Aufnahme des Theaters 97 (and note 41, 45, 48, 53, 54, 88, 159 (note 1), 176–80 4), 103, 105 (and note 5), 472 translation of Hogarth's Analysis of German translations of 88 L'École des Maris (Die Mannerschule) Beauty 473, 480, 481 (note 1); of Machiavelli's Clitia 97, 103 45, 176, 180, 318, 319, 320 322 Der Kuss 46 (note 1) Le Malade imaginaire (Der Kranke in der Einbildung) 45 NANNIUS, P. (PIETER NANNINK) cited by Lessing 329 (and note 1), Le Misanthrope (Der Menschenfeind) 138, 180, 390, 468 330 Tartuffe (Der Tartuffe) 45, 151 NATIONAL THEATRE Montesquieu, Charles de Secondat, establishment of, in Germany 29, 121, 130 (and note 2) BARON DE use of term in Hamburg 19 (and 214 (note 2) MONTHLY REVIEW note 1), in Vienna 19 (note 1) 113, 262, 414 (note 5) (See also under Hamburg 'Nationalcited by Lessing 260, 276 (and note 1) THEATER') Montiano y Luyando, Agustin de Neuber, Friederike Caroline 4-5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 48, 50, 60, 66, 109, 115, 293-5 70, 79, 81, 94, 134, 296 (note 1), 424 Lessing's view of 293-4, 295 Discurso sobre las tragedias españolas alliance with Gottsched 5, 6, 7, 10, 134, severance of 8-9 107, 394 French translation of, by D'Herdeath of 9 milly 109, 294, 300, 394 (note 3) in Hamburg 5, 8, 10, 79, 81, 102 (note 2) Ataulpho 294, 295 review of, in Journal étranger 295 in Brunswick, Dresden, Hanover, Virginia 105-6, 109, 293-4 Nurnberg 5; in Leipzig 5, 7-8 Lessing's view of 6; mention of, in analysis of, in Theatralische Bibliothek 105-6, 109, 293-4 Hamburgische Dramaturgie 7 (note 1) performance of Der sterbende Cato in MONTIGNY, TRUDAINE DE French translation of Miss Sara Roman costume 8 prologue Der allerkostbarste Schatz Sampson 140 (note 3) against Gottsched 9 MOORE, EDWARD Neuber, Johann The Gamester (Der Spieler) 28, 44, 49, 140 (note 2), 258 5, 7

OTWAY, THOMAS NICOLAI, FRIEDRICH 19 (note 1), 24 (note 2), 66 (note 1), 97 (note 1), 257 113, 114, 123, 127 (note 1), 142 The Orphan 258 Venuce Preserv'd 246 (note 2), 258 (notes 3 & 4), 147 (note 1, note 4, on p. 148), 154 (note 2), 161, 279 (note 6), 298 (note 3), 385, PALAPRAT, J. (See under Brueys, D. A. DE and PALAPRAT, J.) 386 (note 1) correspondence with Lessing and Palissot de Montenoy, Charles Mendelssohn on tragedy 205, 180, 203, 233, 234, 411 (note 1) and Diderot 233 (and note 2), 234, 247, 337, 338, 339 (note 1), 340, 343, 344 (and note 3), 345 (note 410-1, 467 PANTOMIME 1), 349, 353-4, 356, 358 (and note 2), 360, 362, 363, 366-7, Diderot and 321 (and note 1), 335, 479 Du Bos on 115, 479 (and note 2), 480 368, 370, 374, 389, 390 (note 1), Lessing's interest in 94, 115, 321, 400 (note 1), 423-4, 430 definition of object of tragedy 339, 335, 472-4, 475, 479, 482-3; discussion of, in Hamburgische Drama-367, 368 (and note 2), 372 (and note 2) turgie 472, 474, 475, 476, 479, discussion of Aristotelian κάθαρσις 480-2, 483-5, warning against excess of 483, 485 339-40, 373 on admiration as tragic passion 340, Parfaict, Claude and Fréderic 366; on Corneille's 'heroic' Lessing's debt to 164, 165, 175, 176, 181, 182, 191-2, 192-3, 193-4, tragedies 366; on different kinds of tragic plot 340; on the unities 195, 394 Dictionnaire des Théâtres de Paris 114. plan of Bibliothek der schonen Wissen-121, 164 (note 1) Histoire du Théâtre françois 121, 164, schaften 338 Abhandlung vom Trauerspiele 338 (and 165 (and note 4), 175, 176, 181, note 3), 339-40, 366, 368, 372 182, 191-2, 192-3, 193-4, 195, 196, 350, 389, 394, 486 (note 2), 373, 384 (note 3), 385, PATZKE, J. S. Beschreibung einer Reise durch Deutschtranslation of Terence 321 (and land und die Schweiz im Jahre 1781 note 2) 296 (note 1) Freundschaftliche Briefe 321 (note 2) Geschichte der englischen Schaubuhne in Petronius Arbiter Theatralische Bibliothek 105, 106, Matrona Ephesi 331-2, 332 (note 1), 113, 262, 263 (note 3) 461 authorship of 113; translation cited by Lessing 332 Lessing's interest in the theme of of, from Dodsley and Cibber 113 332, 461 NUTH, FRANZ ANTON Pfeffel, G. K. 64 155-6 Die Gouvernante 46, 51, 64-5; mention Der Eremit (Der Einsiedler) 155 of, by Goethe 65 Der Schatz 46, 52, 69, 155 Theatralische Belustigungen 73 OGIER, F. version of La Motte (Die Matrone von on unity of time 380 (note 1) Ephes) 332 (and note 3) PHILEMON in Germany 3, 10 317, 331

	333
Pickelharing	PLUTARCH
of German stage 4	edition of, cited by Lessing 317
PINDAR	on Cresphontes and Merope 286,
references to, in Hamburgische Dra-	311, 312 (and note 3); on Menander
maturgie 307 (and note 3), 454	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	317
(note 4)	references to, in Hamburgische Dra-
Pistorius, H. A.	maturgie 307 (and note 5), 317
77 (and note 3)	Life of Solon 308 (note 2)
PLATO	Poisson, P.
339, 373, 406	Le Mariage fait par Lettre de Change (Die
references to, in Hamburgische Dra-	Heyrath durch Wechselbriefe) 46, 156
maturgie 307 (and note 4)	POLYBIUS
PLAUTUS	312 (and note 4)
94, 97, 98, 100, 101, 103, 111, 114,	Pope, Alexander
139, 300, 326 (note 1), 330-1,	
	392, 452
389 (note 2, on p. 390), 394 (note	German translation of, reviewed by
2), 404, 425, 426	Mendelssohn 469
choice of titles for plays 331, 467	Warton's Essay on 449, 452, 453
editions of, in 18th century 99	Portal, A.
influence of, on Lessing 98, 139	Olindo and Sophronia 144 (note 2), 149
life of, Lessing's account of in Bey-	(note 3), 150 (and note 1)
trage 97, 98-9, 334	PRADON, N.
little place of, in Hamburgische Dra-	Régulus 5
maturgie 330	Prehauser, Gottfried
translation of, by Madame Dacier	•
	4, 64 (Particular) (on Agree Martine)
99	'Principals' (or Actor-Managers)
use of epilogue by 464 (and note 2)	116
use of term 'Tragico-Comœdia'	control of, over theatre 18, scheme
392-3, 394 (note 2)	for abolition of 18, 24, 25; Lessing's
Amphitryon 392, 393, 394 (note 2)	criticism of 24, 485; Lowen's
Captivi	criticism of 17, 485
translation of, by Coste 99; by	Prologue
Lessing in Beytrage 97, 98, 99	use of, in drama 220, 260, 261, 262,
Critik of, in Lessing's Beytrage 97,	464 (and note 1), 465 (and note 2),
98, 99–100, 110, 115, 336 (note	Lessing on 315, 464; by Euripides
4), 425, 464 (note 1); Reply to,	315, 465-6, by Thomson 261, 464
by Lessing 97, 99, 100-1, 110-1,	PROMPTER, THE
334, 382, 392–3	121, 210 (note 2)
Casina 103, 464 (note 2)	O
Miles Gloriosus, title of 331 (and note	Quinault, Philippe
3), 468	181, 217 (note 4), 244
Pseudolus 98	La Mère coquette (Die coquette Mutter)
Rudens 98	40, 45, 51, 68, 181
Stichus 98	German translations of 68 (and
Trinummus 279, 330-1; title of 331	note 2)
(and note 1)	Quintilian
adaptation of, by Cecchi 279; by	on 'risus' and 'derisus' 390 (note 1)
Lessing, in Der Schatz 98, 139,	Quistorp, T. J.
<del>-</del> -	Der Bock im Processe 80 (note 1)
330	Del Dock Mil I locase do (Mote I)

RACINE, JEAN 112, 188, 205, 241, 242 (and note 1),	(note 1), 41, 45, 52, 72, 191, 193-4, 194 (note 1)
247, 249, 308, 434 (note 6)	German translations of 72
unrepresented in Hamburg repertory	synopsis of 72
48, 165	Le Distrait (Der Zerstreute) 45, 53,
Athalie 149, 289, 340	81-2, 191, 192-3, 389, 390 Franch criticism of 192
Britannicus 403 (and note 1), 418,	French criticism of 192, 390 German translations of 81-2,
Iphrgénie 468	variations in title in 81, 192
Mithridate 27, 462, 468, 487	(note 1), 467 (note 1)  Le Joueur (Der Spieler) 41, 45, 51,
Phèdre 437 (note 2), 468, 477 (note 2) analysis of scene in, by Sainte	66-7, 191-2
Albine 34 (note 2), 479	German translations of 66, 67, 139
RACINE, LOUIS	REICHARD, H A. O.
Traité de la poesse dramatique ancienne et	Theater-Kalender auf das Jahr 1775
moderne 356, 358 (note 2), 373	68 (note 2), 1776 38, 81, 122 (note
(note 2), 376 (note 2)	3); 1777 31 (note 5); 1778 73,
RALPH, JAMES	1780 109 (note 3)
author of 'Essex' play 275 (and note	REINESIUS, T.
4), 276	314 (note 1)
RAMLER, KARL WILHELM	Reuchlin, J.
107 (note 3), 131 (note 2), 471 (note	Henno and Patelin 195
2)	Riccoboni, François
translation of Batteux 335-6, 355	115, 473
(note 9), 374 (note 3), 386	and Sainte Albine 473-4
(note 1), 459	L'Art du Théâtre 110, 475 (note 1),
RANDOLPH, THOMAS	481 (note 3)
Muse's Looking-Glasse 254 (note 3),	translation of, in Lessing's Bey-
405 (and note 2) RANDON	trage 98, 102-3, 473 RICCOBONI, LUIGI
authorship of L'Humanité ou le	6, 7, 101
Tableau de l'Indigence 235 (and	influence of, on Lessing 96, 279
note 1)	Histoire du Théâtre italien 103-4, 107,
Zamir 235	112, 121, 279, 284–5, 394
RAPIN, R.	translation from, in Theatralische
and Louis Racine 376 (note 2)	Bibliothek 106, 112, 279
Réflexions sur la Poétique d'Aristote 376;	Nouveau Théâtre stalsen 105 (note 2),
effect of tragedy 376; possible in-	284 (note 1)
fluence on Lessing 376 (and	Réflexions historiques et critiques sur les
note 2)	différens Théâtres de l'Europe 96
RASPE, RUDOLF ERICH	RICHARDSON, SAMUEL
translation of Favart's Soliman II 84	Mendelssohn and 460
REGNARD, J. F.	Pamela 225 (and note 5)
191-4, 244 German translation of works of 72,	RICHELIEU, CARDINAL
81; noticed by Lessing 67	and French theatre 5, 6 RIEGGER, ANTON VON
plays of, in Hamburg repertory 45,	translation of Diderot's Le Père de
40 Démocrite amoureux (Democrit) 30	Famille 93 (and note I)
Democrite amoureux (Democrit) 30	Pamela als Mutter 93 (note 1)

ROBERTSON, WILLIAM and F. Riccoboni 473-4 History of Scotland, cited by Lessing Le Comédien 110, 209, 473-4, 476-8, 482 (note 1) German translation of 182 (note 2) translation from, in Theatralische ROBORTELLO, F. Bibliothek 106, 110, 473, 474, 475 on Aristotle 339 (note 1), 347 (note SAINT-ÉVREMOND, CHARLES MARGUE-5), 358 (note 2), 373, 378 TEL DE SAINT-DENIS, SEIGNEUR DE Romanus, Karl Franz 242-3, 243 (note 1), 422 (and note 2) Nicolai on 154 (note 2) Les Opéra 242, 243 (note 1), 422 plays of, in Hamburg repertory 44,47 (note 2) Crispin als Vater 44 German version of 243 (note 1) Sir Politick Would-be 242, 243 (note 1), Die Bruder 31, 41, 44, 53 (and note 5), 88-9, 90 (note 2), 154-5, 318, 422 (note 2) 320 (note 1), 327-8, 391 SAINT-FOIX, G F POULLAIN DE comparison of, with Baron's L'École German translation of works of (by des Pères 155, 321-2, 327-8, with C A. Wichmann ?) 76, 89; Terence's Adelphi 154-5, 327-8 notice of, by Lessing 89 Lessing's criticism of 154-5, 321-2 Deucalion et Pirrha (Deukalion und synopsis of 89 Pyrrha) 46 variations in title of 88 Le Financier (Der Finanzpachter) 46, ROSCHMANN-HORBURG, CASSIAN ANTON 52, 76, 228 German versions of 76 completion of Cronegk's Olint und L'Oracle (Das Orakel) 46, 53, 89-90, Sophronia 54, 143, 148 (and note 3), 228 149; Lessing on 148-9, Sonnen-German translations and adaptafels on 148 (note 3) tions of 89-90 Rosenberg, Berlin scene painter Les Parfaits Amants, ou les Méta-56, 62 morphoses (Die vollkommenen Ver-ROUSSEAU, JEAN JACQUES liebten) 45 180 Saint Mard, Rémond de on Le Misanthrope 180, 390 on rhymed verse form for drama 462 La nouvelle Héloise 157, 158, 461 (note 3) Lessing on 157, Mendelssohn on Saint-Sauveur, Flachat de [Abbé de 158 Tricaud] Lettre à M D'Alembert 231-2, 355 Prèces fugitives d'histoire et de littérature (and note 10), 390 anciennes et modernes (containing French version of Lope de Vega's Rousseau, P. Les Méprises (Irrungen) 46 Arte nuevo) 299; quoted by Lessing Rowe, Nicholas 300 Sammlung ver vischter Schriften 253, 257 ZUR BEFORDERUNG DER SCHONEN Wissenschaften SACHS, HANS UNDFREYEN KUNSTE 452, 454 (note 2) Sainte Albine, Rémond de SAURIN, B. J. 30, 34 (note 2), 110, 115, 209, 254, Les Mœurs du Temps (Die Sitten der 473, 479, 482 (note 1), 484 Zert) 46 (note 4) SCALIGER, JULIUS influence of, on Lessing 34 (note 2), 322, 323-4, 325, 346 (note 4) 474-5, 476-9

Scarron, Paul  La précaution inutile 176 (and note 3)	and D'Aubignac 381 (and note 4) Canut 44, 47, 139, 262 (note 6),
SCHAUBUHNE	340 Dos Park about Tatal (waste T. A.
Schaubuhne englischer und frantzosischer	Das Buch ohne Titel (with J. A.
Comodianten, German translation of	Schlegel) 58
La Mère coquette in 68	Demokrit 41, 192 (note 1), 194
(See also under Gottsched, Schone-	Der Geheimnissvolle 137 (and note 4),
mann, Vienna)	138, 424 (note 2)
Scheibe, Johann Adolf	Der geschaftige Mussigganger 137
487 (note 1)	Der Triumph der guten Frauen 44, 47,
Der critische Musikus, edited by 486,	53, 87, 136, 180
487 (and note 1), quoted by	Lessing's view of 136
Lessing 192 (note 1), 486-7	synopsis of 87
Schenk, C. E.	Dido 80 (note 1)
357 (note 2)	Die stumme Schonheit 37, 40, 41, 46,
SCHIEBELER, DANIEL	47, 51, 65-6, 78 (note 2), 136,
Die Schule der Junglinge 46	158, 461
Lisuart und Dariolette 160 (note 2)	Lessing's view of 136
SCHILLER	synopsis of 66
Die Rauber, Bock as Karl Moor in 32	Gedanken uber das Theater und insonder-
Schlegel, Johann Adolf	hert das damsche 24 (note 1)
58, 59, 335	Gedanken zur Aufnahme des dansschen
translation of Batteux 115, 252, 335,	Theaters 24 (note 1), 122, 381
483 (and note 3)	(and notes 2 & 4), 413, 444-5,
Das ausgerechnete Gluck 91	461-2
Das Buch ohne Titel (with J. E.	Schreiben uber die Comodie in Versen 461,
Schlegel) 58	463
SCHLEGEL, JOHANN ELIAS	Schreiben von der Errichtung eines
16, 19, 24, 28, 59, 89, 97, 119, 135,	Theaters in Kopenhagen 121-2
136–8, 161, 340, 342, 429, 462,	Vergleichung Shakespears und Andreas
483-4	Gryphs 97, 443-4
as predecessor of Lessing 97, 121-2,	Von der Nachahmung 428
381, 413	Schlegel, J. H.
controversy concerning verse comedy	on J E. Schlegel 136, 137, 138
136, 461–2, 463	Schlosser, J. L.
influence of, on Lessing 24, 342, 381,	414 (note 5, on p. 415)
443	Der Zweykampf 45, 47, 53, 90 (note 2)
Lessing's criticism of, in Hamburgusche	Schmelz, S.
Dramaturgie 136-8, 161, 461	34
on dramatic characters 413, 443-4,	rôles played by 55, 60, 62, 74, 75, 76,
444-5; on English drama, con-	82, 86, 88, 91, 93
trasted with French 381; on	SCHMELZ, MADAME
unities 381	39
plays of, in Hamburg repertory 44,	rôles played by 59, 65, 67, 71, 73, 74,
46, 47	77, 82, 83, 87, 93
scheme of, for Copenhagen theatre	77, 62, 63, 67, 93 Schmid, C. H.
20, 121, 342	Zusätze zur Theorie der Poesie nach den
translation of Le Glorieux, preface to	neuesten Grundsatzen und Nachrichten
463	von den besten Dichtern 151-2, 154
7-0	0010 4011 0631011 Diffici 11 131-2, 134

SCHMIDT, J. F.	Schulz
as author of a translation of de	34
Belloy's Zelmire 73	rôles played by 65, 80
Schonemann, Johann Friedrich	SCHULZ, THERESE
8, 9, 10, 11, 13, 17, 19, 28-9, 36, 67, 116, 481 (note 2)	39 rôles played by 58, 60, 61, 64, 65, 66,
as actor and actor-manager 9, 27,	67, 68, 69, 76, 77, 79, 82, 88, 92
37 (and note 2), 79	Schulze, Karoline
in Hamburg 9, 10, 18, 28, 65, 66, 77,	16, 31, 36, 40
79, 80, 81, 87, 88, 91, 118	on Madame Hensel 35 (note 1)
repertory of 9, 14, 28, 48, 50, 58, 59,	Schwabe, J. J.
60, 61, 63, 65, 66, 67, 68, 70, 71,	translation of Voltaire's Zayre 70
72, 75, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 87, 88,	Schwerin
89, 90, 91, 92, 137 (note 4), 424	13, 17, 27, 29, 59
(note 2)	dramatic academy at 24, 29
and Ekhof 27–9	Scudéry, Georges de
Schaubuhne (Schauspiele, welche auf der	393
Schonemannischen Schaubuhne aufge-	Observations sur le Cid 383
führt werden) 50, 59 (and note 1),	Sedaine, M J.
62, 67, 70, 71, 72, 90, 91, 239 (note	Le Philosophe sans le savoir (Der Philo-
1), 424 (note 2); vols. vii and viii	soph, ohne es zu wissen) 45, 48
as Neue Sammlung von Schauspielen	SENECA
31, 50, 59 (and note 1), 60, 61, 62,	114, 300, 331
67, 75, 77	Lessing's article on, in Theatralische
Schrader, J. P.	Bibliothek 106, 107, 111-2, 371,
357 (note 2)	465 (note 2), comparison with
SCHRODER, FRIEDRICH LUDWIG	Euripides in 111
11, 13, 15, 16, 39, 43 (note 1), 85, 93,	Hercules furens 111, 112
281, 393 (note 3)	Thyestes 111, 112, 340
in harlequin rôles 39, 424 (note 2)	SEYLER, ABEL
on Ackermann 14 (note 1), 73, 92,	17, 19, 20, 25, 26, 27, 29, 36, 119
on Madame Bock 38, on Ekhof	SHAFTESBURY, ANTHONY ASHLEY
30 (note 2), 31, 55, 59, 62, 67, 69,	COOPER, 3RD EARL OF
70, 71, 72, 75, 76, 77, 79, 80, 81,	338, 413, 422, 449, 454 (note 2)
82, 83, 85, 87, 88, 90, 91, 92, 93, on	Shakespeare
Hensel 34 (note 1), on Madame	97 (note 1), 114, 137 (note 2), 205,
Hensel 36; on Lowen 25 (note 3);	206, 225, 242, 244, 245-54, 256,
on Madame Lowen 90; on	258, 275, 308, 348, 349, 395, 405,
Merschy 34 (note 1)	429, 457, 458, 481, 487, 488
performance of Hamlet, 1776 11	adaptations of, by Ducis 50
and Bock 32, 69, 88	as actor 253 (and notes 2 & 3)
and Madame Mecour 38	influence of, in Germany 11, 206; on
SCHRODER, SOPHIE	Lessing 246 (note 2)
9, 10, 13, 27, 65, 68, 72, 89	Lessing's interest in, prior to Ham-
Schuch, Franz	burgische Dramaturgie 114, 205,
29, 66 (note 1)	246-7
commentary on repertory of, in	Lessing's views on 161, 245-7, 248-
Kritische Nachricht von der Schuch-	54, 429, 491; claim for, in rela-
schen Schauspielergesellschaft 119	tion to Aristotle's laws 245, 246;
morning portunition Congressing	

Julius Caesar 216, 246 (and note 2) SHAKESPEARE (cont ) contribution to appreciation of 248, 253 (note 4) German translation of, by you 245, 400-1, importance of for 18th century 245, little interest Borck 246, 348 King Lear 250 in comedies 249, 258, mingling of comic and tragic in 248, no Othello 250, 253 (note 4), 254 (note 1) systematic criticism of, in Hamcomparison of, with Zayre 205-6. huroische Dramaturgie 247, 254, 200-10, 210 (note 2), 254 power of producing tragic effect Richard III 152, 153 (and note 2). 247, 254, 369, realism of 249, 224, 246, 249, 250 254: sources of knowledge for comparison of, with Weisse's play 251. 253 (and notes 2 & 3): 152 (and note 3), 153 (and superiority of to French dramanote 2), 224, 240-50 tists 205-6, 209-10, 242, 249, Romeo and Juliet, comparison of, with 254, 308, 369, comparison with Zavre 209, 254 Corneille 245, 247, with Vol-SMITH, ADAM taire 50, 205-6, 224, 225, 251, Theory of Moral Sentiments 360, 361 446, 447 (and note 1) Pope's edition of, preface to in Wieinfluence of, on Laokoon 360 land's translation 253 (note 2) SMITH, EDMUND Rowe's biography of, translated by Phædra and Hippolitus 256 (and note 2) Wieland 253 SOCRATES I. E. Schlegel's comparison of, with 308, 309, 310 (and note 1), 315 Gryphius 97, 443 SOLINUS use of supernatural in drama 224, cited by Lessing 130 (note 1) SONNENFELS, TOSEF VON 225, 251-2, 446, 447 Wieland's translation of 248 (and 19 (note 1), 237 (note 2) note 1), 253 (note 2), 470, 481 on Lessing's criticism of Roschmann Curtius and 348-9, 446 148 (note 3), on Lowen's trans-Hurd and 249, 405 lation of Sémiramis 61-2, 222-3 Mendelssohn and 210 (note 1), 250, SOPHOCLES 252, 253, 447–8, 457 171, 215, 221, 225, 245, 249, 307, Voltaire and 96 (note 4), 205-6, 216, 308, 309 (and note 2), 310, 352, 224, 244, 245, 446, 447, 458 378, 387 (note 4), 406, 407, 408 Wieland on 248 (and note 3), 395 (and note 2), 409 (and note 1), Falstaff, character of 248, 253 (note 429, 434 (note 3), 435 (note 1), 4), acted by Quin 253 457, 467 Lady Macbeth 169 SPANISH DRAMA Hamlet 96 (and note 4), 97, 107, 175 (note 1), Bock in 32; Ekhof in 29; Garrick 203-306 characterisation of 296-7, 394-5, first performance of, in Germany form of 297-9 Lessing's criticism of 293-306; his ghost in, 224-5, 251-3, 446 (and sources of knowledge of 294-5, note 1), 447; compared with 296-8, 299-300, 394 that in Sémiramis 224-5, 251, SPECTATOR, THE 252, 446 (and note 1), 447-8 99, 252 instructions to players in 253, 481; German translation of, by Frau quoted by Lessing 481 Gottsched 252-3

	***
Spence, Joseph	Supernatural
348	Use of, in drama 224, 225, 251-3,
Spiegelberg	431, 445-8, Lessing on 223-5,
company of players 5	251-2, 431, 445-8, Voltaire on
Spiegelberg, Georgine	222, 224-5, 251, 446
marriage to Ekhof 28	
Spinoza	Tasso
influence of, on Lessing 333	Gerusalemme liberata, as source for
STAGE THE HIGH ROAD TO HELL, THE	Cronegk's Olint und Sophronia 143,
pamphlet cited by Lessing 414 (and	144, 145, 146 (and note 2), 149,
note 5)	460, German translation of 144
STAHLIN, JACOB VON	Tempesta, Antonio
translation of Maffei's Merope 86	•
	reference to, in Hamburgische Drama-
STARKE, JOHANN LUDWIG  and translation of Favart's Soliman II	turgie 482 (note i)
	TERENCE
84 (note 1)	94, 103, 112, 120 (and note 1), 131
Steffens, J. H.	(and note 1), 154-5, 206, 300,
<sup>234</sup> _	318-30, 381 (note 1), 402 (note
Sterne, Laurence	2), 404, 425, 426
Tristram Shandy, cited by Lessing	Diderot on 319 (note 2), 321, 327,
119 (note 1)	412
Stranitzky, Johann Anton	editions of, possibly used by Lessing
4	320 (and notes 1 & 3), 323 (and
Straparola, G. F.	note 4)
Le pracevoli notti 176 (and note 3)	English translation of, by Colman
STRAUBE, G. B.	260 (note 1), 319, 320 (and note
357 (note 2)	1), 330, French translation of,
on verse form for comedy 357 (note	by Madame Dacier 320 (note 1),
2), 461	321, 330 (note 1), German
translation of Voltaire's Name 77	translation of, by Patzke 321
(and note 2)	(and note 2)
STUVEN, PETER	only classical dramatist fully dis-
translation of Thomas Corneille's Le	cussed in Hamburgische Drama-
Comte d'Essex 79	turgie 307
STURM UND DRANG MOVEMENT	Voltaire and 180, 206, 318, 319 (and
11, 162, 247, 458, 491	note 1), 320, 321
'Geniezeit' 449	and Diphilus 325 (note 3), 328-9
STURZ, H. P.	and Menander 316, 317, 322-3, 325
Julie und Belmont 44, 47	(and note 3), 328-30, Lessing's
Suabe, Carl August	conclusions on 325-6
translation of Le Grand's L'Aveugle	Adelphi 318-21, 325 (and note 3),
clair-voyant 92, 195	327-30, 412 and Molière's École des Maris 180,
Sulzer, J. G.	
454, 457	318, 319, 322 (note 1)
Analyse du Génie 455 (and note I)	Baron's imitation of 88, 155, 322,
German translation of 449, 454	Colman on 322 (note 1)
(and note 2), review of, by	Romanus's imitation of 154, 155,
Mendelssohn 449, 454 (note 3),	318, 321-2, 327-8, 391
455	Andria 325 (and note 3)

TERENCE (cont.)	Torelli, P.		
Eunuchus 325 (and note 3), 326 (note	author of play on Merope 285 (and note 2)		
1) Heautontimorumenos 316, 322, 322–6,	Tournemine, R. J., Père de		
327 (and note 1), Colman on,	213, 214 (and note 2), 343		
cited by Lessing 323, Madame	on Voltaire's <i>Mérope</i> 213, 214, 311		
	Tragedy		
Dacier on 322-3	awakening of pity and fear by 153,		
TERENTIANUS, MAURUS	242, 254, 343, 351, 352–65, 368–80,		
445 (note 2)			
THEATER DER DEUTSCHEN (See under	417, 418		
German Theatre)	best form of tragic plot 340, 343, 361, 385-8, 416-7		
Théâtre italien	Lessing's view of, in Hamburgische		
in Paris 7			
THEOPHRASTUS	Dramaturgie 333, 334, 340, 343,		
Characters of 404	351, 352-3, 363, 364, 365, 366,		
THESPIS	367-8, 368, 369 (and note 4), 370,		
and Greek drama 308 (and note 2),	371 (and note 3), 374, 377, 378-80,		
440	419, 421, 440, 465		
THOMSON, JAMES	tragic hero 153, 353, 357, 360, 361,		
107, 108 (and note 5), 109, 115, 257,	362, 366, 400 (note 1), 415-21,		
260–2, 464	422, 423, 424		
German translation of tragedies, pre-	TRAGI-COMEDY		
face to by Lessing 109 (and	165, 174, 206, 257, 392-5, 395 (note		
note 2), 115, 369	Dia di manda de Caldina e Canada		
life of, in Theatralische Bibliothek 105,	D'Aubignac's definition of 393-4		
108-9, 110, 114, 260-1	Lessing's definition of 393		
Agamemnon 108, 261	Plautus's use of 'tragico-comædia'		
epilogue to 261, 464 (note 3)	392-3, 394 (note 2)		
German translations of 109 (and	TRUBLET, ABBÉ N. C. J.		
note 1)	influence of, on Lessing 162-3, 177,		
Castle of Indolence 108	on Mendelssohn 455, 456-7		
Corrolanus 261	on genius 455, 456		
prologue to 261	Essais sur divers sujets de littérature et de		
Edward and Eleonora (Eduard und	morale 162-3, 177, 455 (and note 2),		
Eleonora) 44	456		
Seasons 108 (and note 5)	***		
Tancred and Sigismunda 261	UHLICH, A. G.		
epilogue to 261-2, 464 (note 3)	Elisse 80 (note 1)		
Lessing's partial translation of	Unities, Three		
109	controversy concerning, in French		
Thoyras, Rapin de	criticism 380 (note 1)		
187–8	discussion of, by Lessing 101, 111,		
Histoire d'Angleterre and Voltaire 188	112, 218, 340, 344, 380–5, 429–30,		
(note I)	<b>44</b> 5		
Tillemann, Johann Martin	**		
<sup>19</sup>	Vanbrugh, Sir John		
Timogles	97 (note 1), 246		
reference to, by Curtius and Lessing	VATRY, ABBÉ R.		
339 (note 1)	on unity of time 380 (note 1)		

VELAZQUEZ DE VELASCO, LUIS JOSÉ Origenes de la poesía Castellana 295 (and note 3) articles on, in Journal étranger 295 (and note 3), 298 German translation of 295 Velthen, Johann 3, 4, 296 VERMISCHTE BEYTRAGE ZUR PHILO-SOPHIE UND DEN SCHONEN WISS-ENSCHAFTEN 455 Victorius (Vettori, P) commentary on Aristotle's Poetics 346 (and note 2), 354, 361 (note 4), 373, 387 VIENNA as centre of theatrical activity 11 Madame Hensel in 16, 36, 63; Madame Mecourin 37, 38, 55, 62 plays imported from 51, 59 theatre in 9-10 Collections of plays performed in Deutsche Schaubuhne zu Wien 75, 79, 95, 284 (note 1) Deutsche Schauspiele, welche in Wien .. aufgefuhret worden 70, 90 Neue Sammlung von Schauspielen 55, 61, 62–3, 63, 65, 67, 71, 72, 88, 156 (note 3) 100, 101, 146 (note 2), 250 (note 4), and Maffei 288 (and notes 1 & 3), Virues, Cristóval de 298 Visé, Jean Donneau de Nouvelles nouvelles 176 (and note 2) VOLTAIRE 105, 112, 141, 173, 179, 204-27, 228 (note 1), 241, 242, 249, 308, 348, 431, 458 as dominating figure of the Ham-

burgische Dramaturgie 48, 206,

244; portrait of 244, 490 editions of, in 18th century 208 (and

importance of, in European letters

note 2)

204, 208

influence of, in Germany 206, 208; on Lessing 204, 206, 208, 241, 244, 255, 462 Lessing's attacks on 171, 183, 184, 186, 187, 205, 206-8, 212-3, 214, 218, 220, 221, 223-4, 225, 243, 244, 256, 260, 282, 289, 290-1, 308, 319, 382, 383, 384-5, 393, 431, 440 (and note 1), 444, 490, his early interest in 95, 204, 205; his comparison of, with Shakespeare 50, 205-6, 209, 447, his method of criticising 206-7, 208-9, 221, his personal contact with 117, 204-5, his views on 204, 206-8, 228 (note 1), 244, 383, 490 letters of 141 (note 2) on comédie larmoyante 226, 395, 396; on comedy 396-7, 463 (and note 2); on French tragedy 96 (note 4), 209 (and note 3), 242-3, 393, 462, rule of liaison in 384, superiority to that of Greeks 221, 243, 308, on 'humour' 255 (and note 2); on tragedy 101, 371 (and note 2), use of verse and prose form for 462 plays of, in Hamburg repertory 44, 45, 48, 206 style of 208, 241, 244 translations of, by Lessing 205 (and and drama of antiquity 204, 206, 214, 221, 225, 244, 308, 311-2, 319, and English drama 96 (and note 4), 207, 210, 244, 256 (and note 2), 288, 446, 462; opinions on contravened by Lessing 207, 256-7 and historical accuracy 183, 184, 185-6, 187, 188 (note 1), 206, 207 (and note 1), 439 (note 1), 440 (and note 1), 444 and Corneille 168 (note 2), 169 (and note 1), 169-70, 171, 172, 173 (and note 1), 174-5, 207, 212 (note 2), 242 (note 1), 243, 384,

393, 444

Italian translation of 227, 279 (and VOLTAIRE (cont.) edition of Corneille's dramatic note 5) works (Théâtre de Pierre Corneille, synopsis of 64 avec des Commentaires) 165 (note Le Droit du Seigneur (Das Herrenrecht) 45 L'Éducation d'une Fille 159 4), 166, 172, 173 (and note 2), 188-91, 208, 440 (note 1), 441 L'Enfant prodigue (Der verlorene Sohn) (note 1) 31, 45, 141, 211 (note 4) and Thomas Corneille 181, 183-91, preface to 108, 396 (and note 3), 440 (and note 1), 445 397 (and note 1) L'Envieux 227 and Maffer 207, 212, 214 (and note 3), Lettres Philosophiques 96, 101-2, 205 215–21, 281, 282, 287–91 and Shakespeare 96 (note 4), 205-6, influence of, on Lessing 96 (and note 4), 97 (and note 1) 216, 224, 244, 245, 447, 458, on ghost in Hamlet 224, 446 translations of 96 (note 4), 101-2, and Terence 180, 206, 318, 319 (and 102 (note 1), 204 note 1), 320, 321 L'Ingénu 171-2, 172 (note 1), 208 Alzire 8, 44, 48, 134, 141 (note 2), Mahomet 44, 173, 434 (note 2), 439 Mérope 40, 44, 53, 86-7, 127, 173, 145, 173, 211, 439 preface to 163 (note 2) 207, 211-21, 311-2, 317, 340, Amélie 115, 204 343, 380, 382, 383, 384-5, 385, Brutus 149, 211 (note 4), 340 412-3, 466 Discours sur la tragédie prefixed to comparison of, with Maffei's play 212, 214-5, 216, 217-21, 281. 209 (note 3), 216 (note 2), 463 (and note 2) 287, 291, 310 German translations of 86 Ce qui plaît aux Dames 160 (and note letter of 'M. de la Lindelle' pre-I) Charles XII 207 (note 1) fixed to 207, 217, 218, 219, 221, Contes de Guillaume Vadé 159, 160 (note 2), 208, 243 (note 1); letter to Maffei prefixed to 215-6, Vie de Molière in 177, 178, 180, 287, 312, 445 (note 2) 242 (note 1), 318 parody on, cited by Lessing 213 Écrivains du Tems de Louis XIV 188 popularity of, in France 212 (and (note 1), 212 (note 2) notes 1 & 3) La Femme qui a raison (Die Frau, welche synopsis of 86-7 Recht hat) 45, 54, 92, 226 Voltaire's criticism of Maffei's Merope 207, 215-6, 217, 218, German translations of 92, 226 La Mort de César (Casar) 211 (note 4) 219, 221, 281, 282, 287-8, 289letter of Algarotti prefixed to 242 (note 1) Nanine 45, 52, 53, 54, 77-8, 81, 90 L'Écossaise, ou le Café (Das Caffeehaus) (note 2), 225–6, 396, 467 33, 41, 45, 51, 63-4, 226 (and comparison of, with Pamela 225 note 5), 227 (and note 3), 259-60 (and note 5) comparison of, with Goldoni's La editions of 208 (note 2) Bottega del Caffè 227, 280 (and German translations of 77 (and note 2) notes 2 & 3), 226 preface to 108, 395, 396 English versions of 227, 257, 259, 260; criticism of, in Monthly synopsis of 78 Review 260 titles of 225, 467-8 German translations of 63-4 Edipe, preface to 1730 edition of 462

Sémiramis 35, 40, 44, 51, 53, 61-2, 204, 221-5, 251, 308, 446, 486 dissertation on tragedy prefixed to 216 (note 2), 221-2, 224, 243,	German translation of 452 review of, by Mendelssohn 452 Weil, Heinrich interpretation of Aristotelian κάθαρσις
371 (note 2), 439 (note 1) German translation of, by Lowen	372 (and note 4) Weisker[n], Friedrich Wilhelm
61-2, 222, quotation from 222-3 ghost in 222 (and notes 1 & 2),	version of Saint-Foix' Le Financier 76 Weisse, Christian Felix
223-4, 224 (note 1), 446 (and	28, 116, 133, 140 (notes 1 & 2), 148
note 1); comparison of with ghost in <i>Hamlet</i> , by Lessing	(note 1), 150–4, 161–2, 248 Lessing's criticism of 151–4, 161–2
224-5, 251-2, 447-8, by Vol-	personal relations of, with Lessing
224–5, 251–2, 447–8, by Voltaire 224, 446, by Curtius 251,	66, 81, 148 (note 1), 150 (and
446 (and note 1), by Mendels-	note 3), 151 (and note 3), 348,
sohn 252, 447–8	471 (note 2)
Lessing's criticism of 221–5 moral conclusion of 225	plays of, in Hamburg repertory 44, 47, 48
Siècle de Louis XIV 205, 212 (note 2)	and Ekhof 91
Lessing and 205	and Shakespeare 152 (and note 3),
Zayre 16, 30, 34, 35, 40, 44, 48, 52,	153 (and note 2), 224, 249-50
69-70, 134, 205, 206, 208-11,	Amalia 38, 41, 44, 52, 75–6, 151
248, 254, 340, 439, 477	revision of 151 (note 3) synopsis of 76
analysis of scene in, by Sainte Albine 477–8	Atreus und Thyest 151 (note 1), 314
comparison of, with Othello 205–6,	(note 2)
209-10, 210 (note 2), 254, with	Beytrag zum deutschen Theater 75, 91,
Romeo and Juliet 209, 254	151 (note 1), 152 (note 2), 332
Lessing's criticism of 208-10	(note 2)
synopsis of 70	Crıspus 44 Dıe Haushalterınn 424 (note 2)
translations of 70, 210–11, 211 (note 3), 280; English transla-	Die Matrone von Ephesus 332 (and note
tion of, by Hill 210, 256, 258,	2)
259 (note 2)	Die Poeten nach der Mode 424 (note 2)
Épitres à M. Falkener (Fakener),	Eduard III 44, 151 (note 1)
prefixed to 209, 210, 256, 258-9	List uber List 44
Vossius	Richard der Dritte 41, 44, 52 (note 4),
Poeticarum Institutionum Libri tres 365 (note 2), 420 (and note 4)	54, 91, 151, 152, 153, 249, 250, 353, 415, 421 comparison of, with Shakespeare's
Walpole, Horace	Richard III 152, 153 (and note 2),
Castle of Otranto, criticism of Voltaire	224, 249-50
in preface to 186; French transla-	revision of gi
tion of, cited by Lessing 186	Romeo und Julie 44, 47
Warburton, William	Rosemunde 44
392	translation of Le Distrait, part in 81;
WARTON, JOSEPH	of <i>Le Joueur</i> , part in 66 Whitehead, William
Essay on the Genius and Writings of Pope 449, 452, 453	Creusa 262
cited by Lessing 452, 453	reviews of 262
7 TO 100	

39

WICHMANN, C. A. possible authorship of translation of Saint-Foix 76, 89 WIELAND, CHRISTOPH MARTIN on Shakespeare 248 (and note 3), Agathon 248 (and notes 2 & 3), 395 Clementina von Porretta, Mendelssohn's review of 250, 367 (and note 2), 460 translation of Shakespeare 248 (and note 1), 253 (note 2), 470, 481 Winckelmann, Johann Joachim 243 WITTHOFT, CHRISTIAN rôles played by 88, 90, 93 WITTHOFT, MADAME

97 (note 1), 246, 258 (and note 1)

Young, Edward

449, 452, 456, 457

Conjectures on Original Composition 132, 249 (note 1), 341-2, 456 (and note 1)

German translations of 132, 249 (note 1), 341 (and note 4), 456 (note 1)

influence of, on Lessing 132, 341-2, 457 (and note 2)

Revenge, Gerstenberg's comparison of with Othello 254 (note 1)

Xenophon
Cyropedia 255

Wycherley, William